## Convexity of a class of functions related to classes of starlike functions and functions with boundary rotation

by S. Bhargava and S. Nanjunda Rao (Mysore, India)

**Abstract.** Let  $N_k^{\lambda}(\beta, b, c)$  denote the class of functions  $H_f = z(f')^b (f/z)^c$ , where  $f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + ...$  is analytic on |z| < 1,

$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \operatorname{Re} dJ_{f(z)} - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \right| d\theta \leqslant k\pi, \quad z = re^{i\theta}, \ 0 \leqslant r < 1,$$

$$J_{f(z)} = bz \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} + cz \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + (1-c), \qquad d = e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda (1-\beta)^{-1};$$

b and c are complex numbers,  $-\pi/2 < \lambda < \pi/2$ ,  $0 \le \beta < 1$  and  $k \ge 2$  an integer. In this paper, we obtain a disc of convexity for the class  $N_k^{\lambda}(\beta, b, c)$  and thereby unify and, at the same time, generalize results concerning discs of convexity of generalized Robertson functions, generalized Moulis functions, generalized  $\lambda$ -spirallike functions and functions in other related classes.

1. Introduction. Let N denote the set of all regular functions f on the unit disc |z| < 1 such that f(0) = 0, f'(0) = 1 and for such a function f, let

(1.1) 
$$J_{f(z)} = J_{f(z)}(b, c) = bz \frac{f''(z)}{f'(z)} + cz \frac{f'(z)}{f(z)} + (1-c),$$

where b and c are complex numbers. Let then  $N_k^{\lambda}(\beta, b, c)$  denote the class of functions

$$(1.2) H_f = z (f')^b (f/z)^c,$$

where

(1.3) 
$$\int_{0}^{2\pi} \left| \operatorname{Re} dJ_{f(z)} - \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \right| d\theta \leqslant k\pi, \quad z = re^{i\theta}, \ 0 \leqslant r < 1,$$

$$(1.4) d = e^{i\lambda} \sec \lambda (1-\beta)^{-1}, 0 \leqslant \beta < 1,$$

 $-\frac{1}{2}\pi < \lambda < \frac{1}{2}\pi$ ,  $k \ge 2$  an integer.

We make the following additional notations:

(1.5) 
$$\hat{J}_f = \hat{J}_f(b, c) = \frac{J_f - 1}{z} = b \frac{f''}{f'} + c \left\{ \frac{f'}{f} - \frac{1}{z} \right\},$$

(1.6) 
$$N_{f(z)}(v) = N_{f(z)}(b, c, v) = \hat{J}'_{f(z)} - v\hat{J}^2_{f(z)} \quad (v \text{ complex}),$$

so that

$$(1.7) N_{f(z)}(1, 0, \frac{1}{2}) = \{f, z\},\,$$

the Schwarzian of f. In what follows we also use the following polynomials:

$$(1.8) Q_{\delta}(r) = a_0 - a_1 r + a_2 r^2$$

with

(1.9) 
$$a_0 = \operatorname{Re} \delta > 0, \quad a_1 = k |\delta d^{-1}|, \quad a_2 = \operatorname{Re}(2\delta d^{-1} - \delta),$$

$$(1.10) T_u(r) = |d/u| \{2Q_1(r) + Q_d(r) - 2\operatorname{Re}(d-1)(1-r^2)\} Q_{\delta'}(r) Q_u(r) - -2|d/u| (1-r^2)^2 Q_u(r) - 12\tilde{J}(0, k, 1) Q_{\delta'}(r) r^2,$$

where u = 1 or d and  $\delta' = 2/(2-d)$ 

(1.11) 
$$\tilde{J}(0, k, 1) = \begin{cases} (k^2 - 4)/12, & k \ge 4, \\ (k - 1)/3, & 2 \le k \le 4. \end{cases}$$

Further, let

(1.12) 
$$R_{\delta} = \begin{cases} \frac{a_1 - \sqrt{a_1^2 - 4a_0 a_2}}{2a_2}, & a_2 \neq 0, \\ a_0/a_1, & a_2 = 0, \end{cases}$$

the radius of positivity of  $Q_{\delta}(r)$ .

In Section 3 we prove the following main results.

THEOREM 1.1. Let H be in  $N_k^{\lambda}(\beta, b, c)$ . Let

(1.13) 
$$0 \le \beta < \frac{3 - \sqrt{4 \sec^2 \lambda - 3}}{4} \le \frac{1}{2}, \quad \cos \lambda > 1/\sqrt{3},$$

and let  $R_0$  be the least positive root of

$$(1.14) T_{\mathbf{u}}(r) = 0$$

with

$$u = 1 \quad \text{if } R_1 \text{ or } R_{\delta'} \text{ is } \min \{R_1, R_d, R_{\delta'}\},$$

$$u = d \quad \text{if } R_d \text{ is } \min \{R_1, R_d, R_{\delta'}\}.$$

Then

(1.15) 
$$\operatorname{Re}(1+zH''/H') > 0 \quad \text{in } 0 \le r = |z| < R_0.$$

Corollary 1.1. If f in N satisfies (1.3) with b=1, c=0, that is, if f is in the Moulis class  $V_k^{\lambda}(\beta)$  [4], then zf' is convex in the disc  $|z| < R_0$ , where  $R_0$  is as in the theorem.

In particular, the corollary is true for

- (i) Robertson functions (put  $\beta = 0$ ),
- (ii) functions of bounded boundary rotation ( $\lambda = \beta = 0$ ),
- (iii) convex functions of order  $\beta$  ( $\lambda = 0$ , k = 2).

Corollary 1.2. If f in N satisfies (1.3) with b = 0, c = 1, that is, if f is in the generalized Pinchuk class  $U_k^{\lambda}(\beta)$  ([7], [6], [2]), then f is convex in the disc  $|z| < R_0$ , where  $R_0$  is as in the theorem.

In particular, the corollary holds for functions in (i) the class  $U_k^0(0)$  [7], (ii) the class  $U_k^0(\beta)$  [6] when  $k \ge 4$ . Further, in the latter case,  $R_0$  can be obtained as the least positive root of

(1.16) 
$$T_0(r) = 1 - 3k(1 - \beta)r + \left\{6 - 8\beta + k^2(1 - \beta)^2\right\}r^2 - k(1 - \beta)(3 - 4\beta)r^3 + (1 - 2\beta)^2r^4 = 0, \quad k \ge 4.$$

Thus a result of Padmanabhan and Parvatham [6] is contained in our Theorem.

**2. Some lemmas.** We first note that the class  $V_k$  of Paatero [5] consists of those functions f in N satisfying (1.3) with  $\lambda = \beta = 0$ , b = 1, c = 0.

LEMMA 2.1. Let f and g in N be related by

$$(2.1) g'(z) = [H_f/z]^d.$$

Then f satisfies (1.3) if and only if g is in  $V_k$ .

Proof. Taking logarithmic derivatives in (2.1), we have

$$zg^{\prime\prime}/g^\prime=d(J_f-1),$$

and hence

$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1+\frac{zg^{\prime\prime}}{g^{\prime}}\right) = \operatorname{Re}dJ_f - \frac{\beta}{1-\beta}.$$

Using Pinchuk [7] criteria for g to be in  $V_k$ , we get the required result.

Lemma 2.2. Let f in N satisfy (1.3). Let F be another function in N defined by

(2.2) 
$$F'(z) = \frac{1}{(1+\bar{a}z)^2} \left[ \frac{H_{f(\xi)}}{\xi} \frac{a}{H_{f(a)}} \right]^d, \quad \xi = \frac{z+a}{1+\bar{a}z}, \ |a| < 1.$$

Then F is in  $V_k$ .

Proof. Given f in N satisfying (1.3), we define g in N by (2.1). Then, by the above lemma, g is in  $V_k$ . We now define F by

$$F(0) = 0,$$
  $F(z) = \frac{g(\xi) - g(a)}{(1 - |a|^2)g'(a)}.$ 

Then, by variational principle of Robertson [8], F is in  $V_k$ . Substituting it for g, we have (2.2).

Lemma 2.3. If f in N satisfies (1.3) and  $\delta$  any nonzero complex number with  $\text{Re }\delta>0$ , then

(2.3) 
$$\operatorname{Re} \delta J_f(b, c) \ge \frac{Q_{\delta}(r)}{1 - r^2} > 0, \quad 0 \le r = |z| < R_{\delta} \le 1,$$

where  $Q_{\delta}(r)$  and  $R_{\delta}$  are as in (1.8) and (1.12) respectively.

Proof. By Lemma 2.2 we can choose g in the Paatero class  $V_k$  such that

$$g'(z) = \operatorname{const} \cdot (1 + \bar{a}z)^{-2} \left[ \frac{H_{f(\xi)}}{\xi} \right]^d, \quad \xi = \frac{z + a}{1 + \bar{a}z}, \ |a| < 1.$$

Hence

$$\frac{g''(z)}{g'(z)} = -\frac{2\bar{a}}{1+\bar{a}z} + \frac{1-|a|^2}{(1+\bar{a}z)^2} d\hat{J}_{f(\xi)}.$$

With z = 0, this gives, on using the Pick [1] estimate:  $|g''(0)| \le k$ ,

$$\left|d\left(1-|a|^2\right)\hat{J}_{f(a)}-2\bar{a}\right|\leqslant k.$$

Changing a to z and multiplying throughout by  $|zd^{-1}|$ , we get

$$|(1-r^2)\hat{J}_{f(r)}-2r^2d^{-1}| \le k|d^{-1}|r.$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{Re}(\delta J_f) = \operatorname{Re}(\delta + \delta z \hat{J}_f) \ge \operatorname{Re}\delta + \operatorname{Re}\frac{2r^2 \delta d^{-1}}{1 - r^2} - \frac{k |d^{-1} \delta| r}{1 - r^2} \ge \frac{Q_{\delta}(r)}{1 - r^2}$$

where  $Q_{\delta}(r)$  is as in (1.8).

That  $Q_{\delta}(r) > 0$  when  $r = |z| < R_{\delta}$ , where  $R_{\delta}$  is as in (1.12), follows from the standard result for positivity of a quadratic form. Finally, it is easy to check that  $R_{\delta} \le 1$  is equivalent to  $1 + a_2 \le a_1$  which is true.

LEMMA 2.4. If f in N satisfies (1.3), then

$$|N_{f(z)}(b, c, d/2)| \le \frac{6\tilde{J}(0, k, 1)}{|d|(1-r^2)^2},$$

where  $\tilde{J}$  is the Moulis function [3] (in a slightly different notation) given by (1.11).

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, we can take a g in  $V_k$  satisfying (2.1). Differentiating (2.1) logarithmically, we have  $g''/g' = d\hat{J}_f$ , and hence  $dN_{f(z)}(b, c, d/2) = \{g, z\}$ , the Schwarzian of g. The theorem is now at once proved by using Theorem 8 of Moulis [3] with  $J = \tilde{J}$ ,  $\alpha = 0$  and f = g there.

## 3. Proof of the main results.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By the definition of  $N_k^{\lambda}(\beta, b, c)$ , there exists an f in N satisfying (1.3) such that (1.2) holds for  $H = H_f$ . Differentiating (1.2) logarithmically, we have

$$z\frac{H'}{H} = J_f.$$

This similarly yields

(3.2) 
$$1 + \frac{zH'}{H'} = J_f + \frac{zJ'_f}{J_f}.$$

From Lemma 2.4 we have, with  $\delta' = 2/(2-d)$ ,

$$(3.3) \qquad \frac{6\tilde{J}(0, k, 1)}{|d|(1 - r^2)^2} \geqslant \left| \hat{J}_f' - \frac{d}{2} \hat{J}_f^2 \right| = \left| \frac{J_f}{z^2} \right| \left| \frac{zJ_f'}{J_f} - \left( \frac{1}{2} dJ_f - \frac{1}{\delta' J_f} + 1 - d \right) \right|.$$

Now, since

(3.4) 
$$\operatorname{Re} d = \frac{1}{1-\beta} > 0$$
 and  $\operatorname{Re} \delta' = \frac{2(1-\beta)(1-2\beta)}{(1-2\beta)^2 + \tan^2 \lambda} > 0$ ,

applying Lemma 2.3 in (3.3) repeatedly (with  $\delta=1,\ d$  and  $\delta'$ ) and using (3.2), we have

(3.5) 
$$\operatorname{Re}\left(1+z\frac{H''}{H'}\right)$$

$$\geqslant \frac{Q_{1}(r)}{(1-r^{2})} + \operatorname{Re}\frac{dJ_{f}}{2} - \frac{1}{\operatorname{Re}\left(\delta'J_{f}\right)} - \frac{6r^{2}\tilde{J}\left(0, k, 1\right)}{(1-r^{2})^{2}\left|dJ_{f}\right|} + \operatorname{Re}\left(1-d\right)$$

$$\geqslant \frac{T_{1}(r)}{2\left|d\right|\left(1-r^{2}\right)Q_{\delta'}(r)Q_{1}(r)} \equiv Z_{1}(r) \quad \text{in } 0 \leqslant r < R_{1},$$

where  $T_1(r)$  is as in (1.10) with u = 1.

Here, we have assumed  $R_1 = \min\{R_1, R_d, R_{\delta'}\}$ , so that  $Q_{\delta'}(r)$ ,  $Q_1(r)$  are strictly positive (by Lemma 2.3) and  $Z_1(r)$  is continuous. The other two cases are treated in the end. Now, from the above definitions of  $Z_1$  and  $T_1$  we have, on simplification,

$$(3.6) \operatorname{Sgn} T_1(0) = \operatorname{Sgn}(\beta - \beta_1)(\beta - \beta_2),$$

where

$$\beta_1, \, \beta_2 = \frac{3 \pm \sqrt{4 \sec_{\lambda}^2 - 3}}{4},$$

respectively. Conditions (1.13) in (3.6) and (3.5) give

(3.7) 
$$T_1(0) > 0$$
 and  $Z_1(0) > 0$ .

For the latter we have also used  $Q_{\delta'}(0) = \text{Re } \delta' > 0$  from (3.4). Now, (1.10) gives

$$(3.8) T_1(R_1) = -12\tilde{J}(0, k, 1)R_1^2 Q_{\delta'}(R_1) \le 0$$

since, by Lemma 2.3,  $Q_{\delta'}(R_1) \ge 0$   $(R_1 \le R_{\delta'})$ .

That there exists a positive root, and hence the least positive  $R_0$  ( $\leq R_1$ ) of  $T_1(r) = 0$  follows from (3.7) and (3.8). Thus  $T_1(r) > 0$  in  $0 \leq r < R_0$ . Using this in (3.5) gives (1.15), proving the theorem in the case  $R_1 = \min\{R_1, R_d, R_{\delta'}\}$ . In the case  $R_{\delta'} = \min\{R_1, R_d, R_{\delta'}\}$ , the above arguments can easily be modified to show that  $R_0$ , the least positive root of  $T_1(r) = 0$ , exists with  $R_0 \leq R_{\delta'}$  and (1.15) holds for this  $R_0$ . Lastly, in the case  $R_d = \min\{R_1, R_d, R_{\delta'}\}$  we can retrace steps from (3.5) onwards and show that (1.15) holds when  $R_0$  is the least positive root of  $T_d(r) = 0$ , where  $T_d(r)$  is as in (1.10) with u = d. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.1. If f in N satisfies (1.3) with b = 1, c = 0, then (1.2) gives  $H_f = zf'$  and for the proof of the corollary it is enough to put  $H = H_f$  in Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. If f in N satisfies (1.3) with b=0, c=1, then (1.2) gives  $H_f=f$  and for the proof of the first part of the corollary it is enough to put  $H=H_f=f$  in Theorem 1.1. For the particular case, we have that if  $\lambda=0=b$  and c=1, then

$$d = \frac{1}{1 - \beta}$$
 and  $\delta' = \frac{2}{2 - d} = \frac{2(1 - \beta)}{1 - 2\beta}$ 

are real and positive. Thus, substituting in (1.8) and (1.12), we get

(3.9) 
$$\frac{Q_d}{d} = \frac{Q_{\delta'}}{\delta'} = Q_1 \quad \text{and} \quad R_d = R_{\delta'} = R_1.$$

Hence the three cases of Theorem 1.1 merge. Substituting (3.9) in (1.10), we have

$$(3.10) T_1(r) = T_d(r) = AT_0(r)Q_1(r),$$

where  $A = 4/(1-2\beta) > 0$ ,

(3.11) 
$$AT_0(r) = d\delta' \{(2+d) Q_1(r) - 2(1-r^2)(d-1)\} Q_1(r) - 2d(1-r^2)^2 - 12\delta' \tilde{J}(0, k, 1) r^2$$

which (but for the factor A) reduces to the expression in (1.16) on simplification. Now, from (3.7), (3.10) and (3.11) it is easy to see that  $T_0(r) = 0$  has a positive root and the least positive root  $R_0$  is such that  $R_0 < R_1$  and that this is also the least positive root of  $T_1(r) = 0$ . If f is in N satisfying (1.3) with b = 0, c = 1 (1.2) gives  $H_f = f$  and convexity of f in  $0 \le r < R_0$  now follows from (1.15) on taking  $H = H_f = f$ .

Acknowledgment. We thank the referee for his useful comments.

## References

- [1] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Springer Verlag, 1983, 271.
- [2] G. Lakshma Reddy, On certain classes of functions with bounded boundary rotation, Ind. J. Pure App. Math. 13 (1980), 195-204.
- [3] E. J. Moulis, A generalization of Univalent functions with bounded boundary rotation, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 174 (1964), 369-381.
- [4] -, Generalization of the Robertson functions, Pacific J. Math. 81 (1972), 169–174.
- [5] V. Paatero, Über die konforme Abbildung von Gebieten deren Ründer von beschränkter Drehung Sind, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A 33 (1931), 77.
- [6] K. S. Padmanabhan and R. Parvatham, Properties of a class of functions with bounded boundary rotation, Ann. Polon. Math. 31 (1975), 311-323.
- [7] B. Pinchuk, Functions with bounded boundary rotation, Israel J. Math. 10 (1971), 7-16.
- [8] M. S. Robertson, Coefficients of functions with bounded boundary rotation, Canad. J. Math. 21 (1969), 1477-1482.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF MYSORE MANASAGANGOTHRI, MYSORE, INDIA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 27.10.1986