

## Some results on boundary value problems for multivalued differential equations \*

by GIUSEPPE CONTI (Firenze) and RITA IANNACCI (Cosenza)

**Abstract.** In this paper we give some existence theorems for the multivalued boundary value problem

$$(1) \quad x' - A(t)x \in F(t, x),$$

$$(2) \quad Lx = r.$$

To do this we reduce the existence of solutions for this problem to that of a fixed point for a multivalued map.

The main tools we will use is a spectral theory for multivalued maps for the convex case and a selection theorem for the non-convex one.

Finally we give an application of our results and we show that, in this example, we cannot apply the previous results of other authors.

**1. Introduction.** Consider the multivalued differential system

$$(1) \quad x' - A(t)x \in F(t, x)$$

with the linear condition

$$(2) \quad Lx = r.$$

In [7] A. Lasota and Z. Opial reduced the existence of a solution for problem (1), (2) to that of a fixed point for a suitably defined multivalued map  $T$ . Then they employed the Kakutani-Ky Fan fixed point theorem to prove, under suitably hypotheses, that problem (1), (2) has solutions.

Using the same fixed point theorem, M. Grandolfi [4] and, with a different technique, L. E. Miller [8] extended the results of [7].

In this paper we calculate the asymptotic spectrum for the multivalued map  $T$  as introduced in [5]. Using a subjectivity result given in [5], the spectrum calculus allows us to carry out an existence theorem for problem (1), (2).

---

\* Work performed under the auspices of the C.N.R. (Italy).

The paper is divided as follows. In Section 2 we collect all the notations and definitions to be used throughout the paper. Moreover, we state a spectrum property and some results which we need. In Section 3 we spend some words to recall the definition of the map  $T$  and we calculate the spectrum of  $T$ .

In Section 4 we give an existence theorem for problem (1), (2). In Section 5 we apply our results to establish the existence of solutions for some multivalued boundary value problems and we give an example where we cannot apply the results of [4], [7], [8].

Finally in Section 6 we give an existence theorem for problem (1), (2) when  $F(t, x)$  has not convex values.

**2. Notations and definitions.** Let  $\Delta = [a, b]$  be a fixed compact interval of the real line  $\mathbf{R}$ . Let  $\mathbf{R}^n$  be the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space with Euclidean norm  $\|\cdot\|$ .

Let  $C^n$  be the space of all continuous mappings from  $\Delta$  into  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with the usual norm  $\|x\|_C = \max_{t \in \Delta} \|x(t)\|$  and let  $(L^p)^n$  ( $1 \leq p < \infty$ ) be the Banach space of all  $p$ -summable mappings  $f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  with the norm  $\|f\|_p = \left( \int_{\Delta} \|f(\tau)\|^p d\tau \right)^{1/p}$ .  $(L^\infty)^n$  will denote the Banach space of essentially bounded maps  $f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  with the norm  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in \Delta} \|f(t)\|$ .  $L^p$  and  $L^\infty$  will denote  $(L^p)^1$  and  $(L^\infty)^1$  respectively.

Given two real Banach spaces  $E$  and  $E'$ , a multivalued map with non-empty and compact values  $F: E \rightarrow E'$  is called *upper* (resp. *lower*) *semicontinuous* (briefly *u.s.c.* resp. *l.s.c.*) if the set  $\{y: F(y) \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$  is closed (resp. open) in  $E'$  whenever  $A$  is closed (resp. open) in  $E$ . If  $F$  is *l.s.c.* and *u.s.c.*, then  $F$  is said to be *continuous*. Notice that a multivalued map  $F$  with non-empty and compact values is *u.s.c.* if and only if its graph is closed on  $E \times E'$  and  $F$  sends compact sets into relatively compact sets.

An *u.s.c.* map is said to be *compact* if it sends bounded sets into relatively compact sets.

A multivalued map  $G: \Delta \rightarrow E$  with non-empty and closed values is called *measurable* if, for every  $x \in E$ , the distance from  $x$  to  $G(t)$  is a measurable function on  $\Delta$ .

$F: E \rightarrow E'$  is called *quasibounded* if it sends bounded sets into bounded sets and

$$|F| = \limsup_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\delta(F(x))}{\|x\|} < +\infty,$$

where  $\delta(F(x))$  denotes  $\sup_{y \in F(x)} \|y\|$ .

The number  $|F|$  is said to be the *quasinorm* of  $F$ .

Let  $F: E \rightarrow E'$  be quasibounded. We put

$$q(F) = \liminf_{\|x\| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\inf_{y \in F(x)} \|y\|}{\|x\|}.$$

The spectrum of  $F$  is the set defined by

$$\Sigma(F) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{R}: q(\lambda I - F) = 0\} \quad (\text{see [5]}),$$

where  $I$  is the identity on  $E$ .

In the sequel we shall use the following results: the first one, due to R. Iannacci [5] for the convex case and the second, due to M. Kisielewicz [6] for the non-convex case.

**PROPOSITION 2.1 ([5]).** *Let  $E$  be a real Banach space and let  $F: E \rightarrow E$  be convex-valued, compact and quasibounded. If  $\lambda \neq 0$  belongs to either of the unbounded component of  $\mathbb{R} \setminus \Sigma(F)$ , then  $\lambda I - F$  is onto.*

**PROPOSITION 2.2 ([6]).** *Let  $X$  be a separable Banach space and let  $F: \Delta \times X \rightarrow X$  be a multivalued map with non-empty and compact values. Assume that for every  $x \in X$ ,  $F(\cdot, x)$  is measurable; for every  $t \in \Delta$ ,  $F(t, \cdot)$  is continuous and there exists a function  $m \in L^1$  such that  $\delta(F(t, x)) \leq m(t)$  for  $x \in X$  and a.e.  $t \in \Delta$ . Then there exists a continuous mapping  $g: X \rightarrow L^1$  such that  $g(x)(t) \in F(t, x)$  for each  $x \in X$  and a.e.  $t \in \Delta$ .*

**3. The multivalued map  $T$ .** Consider the multivalued differential system (1), (2) under the following hypotheses:

(a)  $A: \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  is the algebra of  $n \times n$  matrices which are measurable and integrable on  $\Delta$ ;

(b)  $F: \Delta \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is a multivalued map such that:

(b<sub>0</sub>)  $F(t, x)$  is a non-empty, closed and convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for any  $(t, x) \in \Delta \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

(b<sub>1</sub>) for every fixed  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $F(\cdot, x)$  is measurable on  $\Delta$ ,

(b<sub>2</sub>) for every fixed  $t \in \Delta$ , the map  $F(t, \cdot)$  is u.s.c. on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,

(b<sub>3</sub>) there are functions  $\alpha, \beta \in L^1$  such that

$$\sup_{y \in F(t,x)} \|y\| \leq \alpha(t) + \beta(t)\|x\|, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n, t \in \Delta \text{ a.e.};$$

(c)  $L$  is a linear continuous map from  $C^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and  $r \in \text{Im } L$  is fixed.

An absolutely continuous map  $x \in C^n$  will be called *solution* of (1) if it satisfies (1) almost everywhere on  $\Delta$ .

In what follows we shall need the definition of a multivalued map  $T: C^n \rightarrow C^n$ . This has been done already (see e.g. [1], [4] and [7]), but we will include it here for reader's convenience.

From condition (a) it follows that there exists only one function

$U: \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  which is continuous and such that

$$U(t, s) = I + \int_s^t A(\tau) U(\tau, s) d\tau,$$

where  $I$  is the identity on  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Also  $U(\cdot, s)$  is a continuous and compact linear operator from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $C^n$ , while the composition product  $L_U = L \circ U(\cdot, s)$  is a linear operator from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^m$ , and hence can be represented by an  $m \times n$  matrix.

Let  $L_U^*$  be a generalized inverse of  $L_U$ , i.e.,  $L_U L_U^* L_U = L_U$ . For a fixed  $s \in \Delta$ , let us define the linear operator  $\Gamma: (L^1)^n \rightarrow C^n$  as follows

$$\Gamma(f)(t) = -U(t, s) L_U^* L \int_s^t U(t, \tau) f(\tau) d\tau + \int_s^t U(t, \tau) f(\tau) d\tau.$$

We recall that  $\Gamma$  is continuous and compact also.

Let  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a solution of  $L_U c = 0$ . We put

$$Hr = U(t, s)(c + L_U^* r).$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}(x)$  be the set of all measurable maps  $y: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $y(t) \in F(t, x(t))$  a.e. on  $\Delta$ . We recall that under assumption (b) on  $F$ , the map  $\mathcal{F}: x \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(x)$  is bounded from  $(L^\infty)^n$  into  $(L^1)^n$  with non-empty, closed and convex values (see [7]).

For any  $x \in C^n$  we set

$$T(x) = \Gamma \mathcal{F}(x) + Hr.$$

The map  $T: C^n \rightarrow C^n$  is compact with non-empty, compact and convex values (see [7]).

Let us set

$$(i) \quad M = \max_{t, s \in \Delta} \|U(t, s)\|,$$

$$(ii) \quad \alpha_1 = \int_{\Delta} \alpha(\tau) d\tau,$$

$$(iii) \quad \beta_1 = \int_{\Delta} \beta(\tau) d\tau.$$

We have the following result:

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The map  $T$  defined above is quasibounded. If*

$$(iv) \quad \exp(-M\beta_1) > M \|L_U^*\| \limsup_{\|x\|_C \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\|x\|_C} \left( \sup_{z \in \mathcal{F}(x)} \|L \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau\| \right),$$

then  $\Sigma(T) \subset (-1, +1)$ .

**Proof.** For any  $x \in C^n$  we have  $\delta(T(x)) \leq \| \Gamma \| \alpha_1 + \| Hr \|_C + \beta_1 \| \Gamma \| \| x \|_C$ . Dividing by  $\| x \|_C$  and by taking the limsup as  $\| x \|_C \rightarrow +\infty$ , we obtain

$|T| \leq \|\Gamma\| \beta_1$ . Clearly  $T$  sends bounded sets into bounded sets, hence  $T$  is quasibounded.

Now we shall prove that if (iv) holds, then  $\Sigma(T) \subset (-1, +1)$ . Assume  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ . Let  $y \in \lambda x - \Gamma \mathcal{F}(x) - Hr$ . Hence there exists  $z \in \mathcal{F}(x)$  such that  $y = \lambda x - \Gamma z - Hr$ .

For any  $t \in \Delta$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t)\| \leq |\lambda| \|x(t)\| \leq \|y\|_C + \|Hr\|_C + \int_a^t \|U(t, \tau)\| \|z(\tau)\| d\tau + \\ + \|U(t, a)\| \|L_\psi^*\| \|L\| \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Using (i), for any  $t \in \Delta$  we have

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \|y\|_C + \|Hr\|_C + M \int_a^t \|z(\tau)\| d\tau + M \|L_\psi^*\| \|L\| \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau.$$

Since  $z(t) \in \mathcal{F}(t, x(t))$  a.e. on  $\Delta$ , assumption (b<sub>3</sub>) on  $F$  implies that

$$\|x(t)\| \leq \|y\|_C + \|Hr\|_C + M\alpha_1 + M \int_a^t \beta(\tau) \|x(\tau)\| d\tau + M \|L_\psi^*\| \|L\| \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau.$$

By Gronwall's Lemma it follows that

$$\|x(t)\| \leq (\|y\|_C + \|Hr\|_C + M \|L_\psi^*\| \|L\| \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau + M\alpha_1) \exp(M\beta_1)$$

for any  $t \in \Delta$ .

By taking the sup for  $t \in \Delta$ , we obtain

$$\exp(-M\beta_1) \|x\|_C - (\|Hr\|_C + M\alpha_1 + M \|L_\psi^*\| \|L\| \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau) \leq \|y\|_C.$$

Therefore for any  $\lambda$  with  $|\lambda| \geq 1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{y \in \lambda x - T(x)} \|y\|_C \\ \geq \exp(-M\beta_1) \|x\|_C - \|Hr\|_C - M\alpha_1 - \sup_{x \in \mathcal{F}(x)} M \|L_\psi^*\| \|L\| \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

We have readily

$$q(\lambda I - T) \geq \exp(-M\beta_1) - M \|L_\psi^*\| \limsup_{\|x\|_C \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\|x\|} \left( \sup_{z \in \mathcal{F}(x)} \|L\| \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau \right).$$

From hypothesis (iv) it follows that  $q(\lambda I - T) > 0$  for  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ . Q.E.D.

**COROLLARY 3.1.** Assume that

$$(iv') \quad \exp(-M\beta_1) > M^2 \|L_\psi^*\| \|L\| \beta_1.$$

Then  $\Sigma(T) \subset (-1, +1)$ .

**Proof.** We observe that for any  $x \in C^n$  and for any  $z \in \mathcal{F}(x)$ , using assumption (b<sub>3</sub>) on  $F$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} M \|L_{\mathcal{U}}^*\| \left\| L \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau \right\| &\leq M \|L_{\mathcal{U}}^*\| \|L\| \int_a^t M \|z(\tau)\| d\tau \\ &\leq M \|L_{\mathcal{U}}^*\| \|L\| (M\alpha_1 + M\beta_1 \|x\|_C). \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathcal{F}(x)} M \|L_{\mathcal{U}}^*\| \left\| L \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau \right\| \leq M \|L_{\mathcal{U}}^*\| \|L\| (M\alpha_1 + M\beta_1 \|x\|_C).$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{\|x\|_C \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\|x\|_C} \left( \sup_{z \in \mathcal{F}(x)} M \|L_{\mathcal{U}}^*\| \left\| L \int_a^t U(t, \tau) z(\tau) d\tau \right\| \right) \leq M^2 \|L_{\mathcal{U}}^*\| \|L\| \beta_1.$$

Hence if (iv') holds, then (iv) also holds and Theorem 3.1 applies. Q.E.D.

**4. An existence theorem.** The previous spectral theorem allows us to obtain an existence theorem for the multivalued problem (1), (2).

We set  $V_r = \{x \in C^n: Lx = r\}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_r = \{f \in (L^1)^n: \text{problem (1'): } x' - A(t)x = f(t), (2): Lx = r \text{ is solvable}\}$ . Assume that (a) and (c) hold. From (c) it follows that  $V_r$  is a closed linear variety of  $C^n$ . Note that either  $\mathcal{L}_r = \emptyset$  or  $\mathcal{L}_r$  is a closed linear variety of  $(L^1)^n$ .

We suppose that (c) and

$$(H) \quad \mathcal{F}(v) \cap \mathcal{L}_r \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for every } v \in V_r,$$

hold.

Under these hypotheses, for any  $v \in V_r$  we can consider the non-empty set defined by  $T_r(v) = \Gamma(\mathcal{F}(v) \cap \mathcal{L}_r) + Hr$ .

**LEMMA 4.1.** *If (a), (b), (c) and (H) hold, then the correspondence  $x \mapsto T_r(x)$  defines a compact multivalued map with convex values from  $V_r$  into itself.*

**Proof.** We observe that if  $f \in \mathcal{L}_r$ , then  $\Gamma f + Hr$  is a solution of problem (1'), (2) (see [4]). In particular we have that  $T_r(V_r) \subset V_r$ . Clearly for any  $x \in V_r$ , the set  $T_r(x)$  is a non-empty and convex set.

Now we will prove that  $T_r$  has closed graph. Clearly it is enough to show that  $T_r - Hr$  has closed graph.

Let  $x \in V_r$ ,  $z \in C^n$  and let  $\{x_n\} \subset V_r$ ,  $\{z_n\} \subset C^n$  two sequences such that  $z_n \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}(x_n) \cap \mathcal{L}_r)$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $\|x_n - x\|_C \rightarrow 0$ ,  $\|z_n - z\|_C \rightarrow 0$ .

For any  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  there exists  $y_n \in \mathcal{F}(x_n) \cap \mathcal{L}_r$  such that  $z_n = \Gamma y_n$ .

Since  $\|x_n - x\|_C \rightarrow 0$ , we have that there exists a positive number  $K$  (depending from  $x$ ) such that  $\|x_n\|_C \leq K$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence from hypothesis (b<sub>3</sub>) it follows that  $\|y_n(t)\| \leq \alpha(t) + K\beta(t)$ , a.e.  $t \in A$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

By Lemma 2 of [7] there exists a double sequence  $\{\lambda_{nk}\}$ ,  $n$

$= 1, 2, \dots, k = n, n+1, \dots$  of real non-negative numbers such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{nk} = 1, \quad \lambda_{nk} = 0 \quad \text{for sufficiently large } k \text{ (depending on } n)$$

and the sequence

$$\tilde{y}_n = \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \lambda_{nk} y_k, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

converges a.e. on  $\Delta$  to a function  $y \in (L^1)^n$ .

With a standard technique (see Theorem 2 of [7]), we can show that  $y(t) \in F(t, x(t))$  a.e. on  $\Delta$ , i.e.  $y \in \mathcal{F}(x)$  and  $\Gamma y = z$ .

On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{L}_r$  is a linear variety, by construction we have that  $\tilde{y}_n \in \mathcal{L}_r, n = 1, 2, \dots$ . From the fact that  $\mathcal{L}_r$  is closed, it follows that  $y \in \mathcal{L}_r$  also. Therefore  $z = \Gamma y \in \Gamma(\mathcal{F}(x) \cap \mathcal{L}_r)$ .

Finally observe that, since  $\Gamma$  is compact, then  $T_r$  is compact also. Q.E.D.

We are now ready to prove an existence theorem for problem (1), (2).

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Assume that hypotheses (a), (b), (c), (H), and (iv) (or (iv')) hold. Then problem (1), (2) has a solution.*

**Proof.** We observe that a fixed point of the map  $T_r: V_r \rightarrow V_r$  defined above is a solution of problem (1), (2). Then in order to prove our theorem it is enough to show that  $T_r$  has a fixed point.

Since  $r \in \text{Im } L$ , there exists  $v_0 \in C^n$  such that  $Lv_0 = r$ . Let us write  $V_r$  in the form  $V_r = v_0 + W$ , where  $W$  denotes  $\text{Ker } L$ . Since  $L$  is continuous,  $W$  and  $V_r$  are closed. So that  $W$  is a real Banach space with the norm induced by  $C^n$ .

For any  $w \in W$  we set  $S(w) = T_r(w + v_0) - v_0$ . By Lemma 4.1 we have that the correspondence  $w \rightarrow S(w)$  defines a compact multivalued map with convex values from  $W$  into itself. Moreover,  $S$  is quasibounded since  $T_r(x) \subset T(x)$  for every  $x \in V_r$  and  $T$  is quasibounded.

For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  we have

$$q(\lambda I - S) = \liminf_{\|w\|_C \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\inf_{y \in T_r(w + v_0)} \|\lambda w - y\|_C}{\|w\|_C} \geq q(\lambda I - T).$$

From (iv) (or (iv')) it follows that  $q(\lambda I - T) > 0$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $|\lambda| \geq 1$ .

Since  $\Sigma(S) \subset \Sigma(T)$  and  $\Sigma(T) \subset (-1, +1)$ , by Proposition 2.1 we have that  $I - S$  is onto. Therefore there exists  $w_0 \in W$  such that  $w_0 \in S(w_0)$ , i.e.,  $w_0 + v_0 \in T_r(w_0 + v_0)$ . Now the proof is complete. Q.E.D.

As consequence of this theorem we obtain the following result due to R. Conti [2].

**COROLLARY 4.1.** *Consider problem (1''):  $x' - A(t)x = f(t, x), t \in \Delta$ , (2):  $Lx = r$ .*

Assume that

- (I)  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ ;
- (II)  $\|A(t)\| \leq \mu(t)$ ,  $t \in \Delta$ ,  $\mu \in L^1$ ;
- (III)  $x(t) \equiv 0$  is the unique solution of the problem  $x' - A(t)x = 0$ ,  $Lx = 0$ ;
- (IV)  $f: \Delta \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  is measurable in  $t$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and continuous in  $x$  for a.e.  $t \in \Delta$ ;
- (V) there exists  $\varrho > 0$  and a function  $v_\varrho$  integrable on  $\Delta$  such that  $\|f(t, x)\| \leq v_\varrho(t)$ ,  $t \in \Delta$ ,  $\|x\| \leq \varrho$  and

$$\varrho \geq \|U(t, a) L_{\tilde{V}}^* r\|_C + (1 + \|U(t, a) L_{\tilde{V}}^* L\|) \exp\left(\int_{\Delta} \mu(\tau) d\tau\right) \int_{\Delta} v_\varrho(\tau) d\tau.$$

Then problem (1''), (2) has a solution.

**Proof.** Let  $\Delta' = \{t \in \Delta: f(t, \cdot) \text{ is continuous}\}$ . Define

$$F(t, x) = \begin{cases} f(t, x) & \text{for } t \in \Delta', \|x\| \leq \varrho, \\ f(t, x/\|x\|) & \text{for } t \in \Delta', \|x\| > \varrho, \\ 0 & \text{for } t \in \Delta \setminus \Delta', x \in \mathbb{R}^n. \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\|F(t, x)\| \leq v_\varrho(t)$ ,  $t \in \Delta$ ,  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , hence hypothesis (iv') of Corollary 3.1 is satisfied.

Moreover,  $V_r = C^n$ , hence condition (H) is also satisfied. Thus by Theorem 4.1 we have that problem (1<sub>F</sub>)  $x' - A(t)x = F(t, x)$ , (2)  $Lx = r$  has a solution  $x_0 \in C^n$ .

We claim that  $\|x_0\|_C \leq \varrho$ . Taking  $c = 0$  in the definition of  $Hr$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_0\|_C &\leq \|F\| \|v_\varrho\|_1 + \|U(t, a) L_{\tilde{V}}^* r\|_C \leq \|U(t, a)\| \|v_\varrho\|_1 + \\ &\quad + \|U(t, a)\| \|U(t, a) L_{\tilde{V}}^* L\| \|v_\varrho\|_1 + \|U(t, a) L_{\tilde{V}}^* r\|_C \\ &\leq (1 + \|U(t, a) L_{\tilde{V}}^* L\|) \|U(t, a)\| \|v_\varrho\|_1 + \|U(t, a) L_{\tilde{V}}^* r\|_C \\ &\leq (1 + \|U(t, a) L_{\tilde{V}}^* L\|) \exp\left(\int_{\Delta} \mu(\tau) d\tau\right) \int_{\Delta} v_\varrho(\tau) d\tau + \|U(t, a) L_{\tilde{V}}^* r\|_C. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (V) it follows that  $\|x_0\|_C \leq \varrho$ .

This implies that  $F(t, x_0) = f(t, x_0)$ , hence problem (1''), (2) has a solution. Q.E.D.

**5. Some remarks.** In [8] L. E. Miller showed the following theorem:

**THEOREM 5.1.** Assume that conditions (a), (b<sub>0</sub>), (b<sub>1</sub>), (b<sub>2</sub>), (c) hold. Moreover, suppose that

(b'<sub>3</sub>) for all  $\varrho > 0$  there exists a function  $H_\varrho \in L^1$  such that  $\sup\{\|y\|: y \in F(t, x), \|x\| \leq \varrho\} \leq H_\varrho(t)$  for every  $t \in \Delta$ ;

( $\tilde{H}$ ) there exists  $\varrho > 0$  such that



- ( $\tilde{H}_1$ )  $V_r \cap B_\varrho \neq \emptyset$ , where  $B_\varrho = \{x \in C^n: \|x\|_C \leq \varrho\}$ ,
- ( $\tilde{H}_2$ )  $V_r \cap B_\varrho \subset W_r = \{x \in C^n: \mathcal{F}(x) \cap \mathcal{L}_r \neq \emptyset\}$ ,
- ( $\tilde{H}_3$ )  $\|\Gamma\| \int_a^b H_\varrho(\tau) d\tau + U(t, a) L_U r \leq \varrho$ .

Then problem (1), (2) has a solution.

**Proof.** Observe that the closed and convex subset  $V_r \cap B_\varrho$  is invariant under the map  $T_r: V_r \rightarrow V_r$  defined in Section 4. Since  $T_r$  is compact, the statement is an immediate consequence of the Kakutani–Ky Fan fixed point Theorem. Q.E.D.

**Remark.** Observe that in [8]  $U(t, s) = \varphi(t)\varphi^{-1}(s)$ , where  $\varphi$  is the fundamental matrix for the linear homogeneous system  $x' = A(t)x$  with  $\varphi(a) = I$ . However, Theorem 5.1 holds also in the general case.

The following results are an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1.

**COROLLARY 5.1** (M. Grandolfi [4]). *Assume that conditions (a), (b), (c) hold. Moreover, assume that: (iv'')  $\|\Gamma\| \beta_1 < 1$  and*

( $\bar{H}$ )  $\mathcal{L}_r$  is non-empty and  $\mathcal{F}(x) \subset \mathcal{L}_r$  for all  $x \in V_r$ .

Then problem (1), (2) has solutions.

**COROLLARY 5.2** (A. Lasota and Z. Opial [7]). *Assume that (a), (b), (iv'') hold. Moreover, assume that: (c')  $L$  is a continuous linear operator from  $C^n$  into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ; ( $\bar{H}$ )  $x' - A(t)x = 0$ ,  $Lx = 0$  has only the trivial solution.*

Then problem (1), (2) has solutions.

In [4], [7] and [8]  $\|\Gamma\|$  is not explicated, hence it seems that these results are not comparable with our results. However, we give now an application of our results; we will see that in this example, we cannot use the theorems of [4], [7] and [8].

**5.1.** Consider the following multivalued boundary value problem

$$(5.1) \quad u'' + u \in F(t, u, u'), \quad 3u(0) + u(\pi) = 0, \quad 3u'(0) + u'(\pi) = 0.$$

We assume that  $F: [0, \pi] \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a multivalued map with non-empty, closed and convex values satisfying (b<sub>1</sub>), (b<sub>2</sub>) and

$$(b'_3) \quad \sup_{y \in F(t, v, w)} \|y\| \leq \alpha(t) + \beta(t)(v^2 + w^2)^{1/2}, \quad t \in [0, \pi], \quad v, w \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{with } \alpha, \beta \in L^1$$

and  $\beta_1 = \frac{2}{3} + \varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon = \frac{2}{3}(2 - \exp \frac{2}{3}) / (2 + \exp \frac{2}{3})$ ;

$$(b'_4) \quad F(0, v, w) = F(\pi, v, w), \quad \forall v, w \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By standard calculations we can prove that  $L_U = 2I$  and  $L_U^* = \frac{1}{2}I$ . Since  $M = 1$  and  $\exp(-\beta_1) > \frac{1}{2}\beta_1$ , it follows that hypothesis (iv) is satisfied and problem (5.1) is solvable.

Take  $y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} \sin t \\ \cos t \end{pmatrix}$ ; we have  $\|\Gamma(y_0)(\pi)\| = \frac{3}{2}\pi$ . Hence  $\|\Gamma\| > \frac{3}{2}$  and  $\|\Gamma\| \beta_1 > 1$ . On the other hand  $H_\varrho(t) \leq \alpha(t) + \beta(t)\varrho$ , so that for any  $\varrho > 0$  we

get  $\|\Gamma\| \int_{\Delta} H_{\varrho}(\tau) d\tau = \|\Gamma\|(\alpha_1 + \beta_1 \varrho) > \|\Gamma\| \beta_1 \varrho > \varrho$ . Therefore we cannot apply Theorem 5.1.

**6. The non-convex case.** In this section we give an existence theorem for problem (1), (2) without the assumption that  $F(t, x)$  is convex. However, we must assume that, for every  $t \in \Delta$ ,  $F(t, \cdot)$  is continuous on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ . The main tool we will use is Proposition 2.2.

**THEOREM 6.1.** *Suppose that (a), (b<sub>1</sub>), (b<sub>3</sub>), (c), (iv') and ( $\bar{H}$ ) hold. Moreover, assume that, for every  $(t, x) \in \Delta \times \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $F(t, x)$  is a non-empty and closed subset of  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and, for every  $t \in \Delta$ ,  $F(t, \cdot)$  is continuous. Then problem (1), (2) has solutions.*

**Proof.** Consider the map

$$G(t, x) = \begin{cases} F(t, x) & \text{if } \|x\| \leq r, \\ F(t, rx/\|x\|) & \text{if } \|x\| \geq r, \end{cases}$$

where

$$0 \leq r \leq \frac{M^2 \|L\| \alpha_1 + \|Hr\|_c + M\alpha_1}{\exp(-M\beta_1) - M^2 \|L\| \beta_1}.$$

Clearly the map  $G(\cdot, x)$  is measurable for every  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ ,  $G(t, \cdot)$  is continuous for every  $t \in \Delta$  and  $\delta(G(t, x)) \leq \alpha(t) + \beta(t)r$ . Hence by Proposition 2.2 there exists a continuous map  $g: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow L^1$  such that  $g(x)(t) \in G(t, x)$  for each  $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ , a.e.  $t \in \Delta$ .

Let us consider the singlevalued boundary value problem

$$(1_f) \quad x' - A(t)x = f(t, x),$$

$$(2) \quad Lx = r,$$

where  $f(t, x) = g(x)(t)$ . It is easy to see that the hypotheses of our Theorem (see [3]) are fulfilled. Hence problem (1<sub>f</sub>), (2) has solutions.

In particular the multivalued problem

$$(1_G) \quad x' - A(t)x \in G(t, x),$$

$$(2) \quad Lx = r$$

has solutions. Since  $g(t, x) \leq \alpha(t) + \beta(t)\|x\|$ , using the Gronwall's Lemma and taking in account the hypothesis (iv'), it is easy to see that a solution  $\bar{x}$  of problem (1<sub>G</sub>), (2) satisfies  $\|\bar{x}\| \leq r$ .

So that  $\bar{x}$  is a solution of problem (1), (2) and the proof is complete.

## References

- [1] S. R. Bernfeld, V. Lakshmikanthan, *An Introduction to Nonlinear Boundary Value Problems*, Academic Press, New York 1974.
- [2] R. Conti, *Problèmes linéaires pour les équations différentielles ordinaires*, Math. Nachr. 23 (1961), 161–168.
- [3] G. Conti, R. Iannacci, *Using a nonlinear spectral theory to solve boundary value problems*, Nonlinear Anal. 6 (1982), 415–421.
- [4] M. Grandolfi, *Problemi ai limiti per le equazioni differenziali multivoche*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. Classe Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. 42 (1967), 355–360.
- [5] R. Iannacci, *The Spectrum for Nonlinear Multivalued Maps Via Approximations*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (5) 15-B (1978).
- [6] M. Kisielewicz, *Multivalued Differential Equations with Compact Right-Hand Side in Banach Space*, preprint.
- [7] A. Lasota, Z. Opial, *An Application of the Kakutani–Ky Fan Theorem in the Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 13 (1965), 781–786.
- [8] L. E. Miller, *Generalized Boundary Value Problems*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 74 (1980), 233–246.

ISTITUTO DI SCIENZE E TECNOLOGIE, FACOLTÀ DI ARCHITETTURA  
UNIVERSITÀ DI FIRENZE, PIAZZA BRUNELLESCHI, 50121 FIRENZE  
DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA DELL'UNIVERSITÀ DELLA CALABRIA  
EDIFICIO POLIFUNZIONALE, ARCAVACATA DI RENDE (COSENZA)

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 1983.10.15*

---