

Hyperspaces of CW-complexes

by

Bao-Lin Guo and Katsuro Sakai (Tsukuba)

Abstract. It is shown that the hyperspace of a connected CW-complex is an absolute retract for stratifiable spaces, where the hyperspace is the space of non-empty compact (connected) sets with the Vietoris topology.

0. Introduction. The class \mathcal{S} of stratifiable spaces (M_3 -spaces) contains both metrizable spaces and CW-complexes and has many desirable properties (cf. [Ce] and [Bo₁]). Moreover, any CW-complex is an $\text{ANR}(\mathcal{S})$ (i.e., an absolute neighborhood retract for the class \mathcal{S}) [Ca₄]. In [Ca₅], it was shown that the space of continuous maps from a compactum to a CW-complex with the compact-open topology is stratifiable, whence it is an $\text{ANR}(\mathcal{S})$ (cf. [Bo₄] or [Ca₂]). It is interesting to find hyperspaces which are $\text{ANR}(\mathcal{S})$'s (cf. [Wo], [Ke] and [Ta]). By $\mathfrak{K}(X)$, we denote the space of non-empty compact sets in a space X with the Vietoris topology, i.e., the topology generated by the sets

$$\langle U_1, \dots, U_n \rangle = \{A \in \mathfrak{K}(X) \mid A \subset U_1 \cup \dots \cup U_n, \forall i, A \cap U_i \neq \emptyset\},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and U_1, \dots, U_n are open in X . Let $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ denote the subspace of $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ consisting of compact connected sets. In this paper, we show the following:

MAIN THEOREM. *For any connected CW-complex X , the hyperspaces $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ are $\text{AR}(\mathcal{S})$'s. Hence for any CW-complex X , $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ are $\text{ANR}(\mathcal{S})$'s.*

One should note that $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ is not stratifiable even if X is stratifiable (cf. [MK] and [Mi]). Although Mizokami [Mi] gave a sufficient condition on X for $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ to be stratifiable, this condition is not satisfied for any non-locally compact CW-complex (see §3). For a simplicial complex K , let $|K|$ denote

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 54B20, 54C55, 54E20, 57Q05.

Key words and phrases: CW-complex, hyperspace, the Vietoris topology, stratifiable space, $\text{AR}(\mathcal{S})$, $\text{ANR}(\mathcal{S})$.

the polyhedron of K , i.e., $|K| = \bigcup K$ with the *weak (Whitehead) topology*. Since any connected CW-complex X can be embedded in $|K|$ as a retract for some connected simplicial complex K (cf. [Ca₁, Corollaire 2]), $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ can be considered as retracts of $\mathfrak{K}(|K|)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(|K|)$, respectively. Thus the main theorem reduces to the case $X = |K|$ for a connected simplicial complex K . By the same reason, the main theorem is valid for a (connected) ANR(\mathcal{S}) X which can be embedded in a simplicial complex as a closed set. Throughout the paper, we simply write $\mathfrak{K}(|K|) = \mathfrak{K}(K)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(|K|) = \mathfrak{C}(K)$ for any simplicial complex K .

In the case where X is a *separable* CW-complex, it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ is an ANR(\mathcal{S}). In fact, let Δ^∞ be the countable full simplicial complex. Since $|\Delta^\infty|$ is the direct limit of n -simplexes Δ^n , $\mathfrak{K}(\Delta^\infty)$ is homeomorphic to the direct limit of Hilbert cubes by [CP, Corollary 3.1], whence it is an AR(\mathcal{S}) by [Ca₃, Corollaire 4.2]. Since X can be embedded in $|\Delta^\infty|$ as a closed set, it can be considered a neighborhood retract of $|\Delta^\infty|$, whence $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ is a neighborhood retract of $\mathfrak{K}(\Delta^\infty)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ is an ANR(\mathcal{S}).

1. A particular base of neighborhoods of $A \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$. Let K be a simplicial complex. In this section, we construct a particular base of neighborhoods of $A \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$ in imitation of [Ca₅]. For each $\sigma \in K$, the barycenter, the boundary and the interior of σ are denoted by $\hat{\sigma}$, $\partial\sigma$ and $\overset{\circ}{\sigma}$, respectively. Moreover, $\tau \leq \sigma$ ($\tau < \sigma$) means that τ is a (proper) face of σ . The simplex with vertices v_0, \dots, v_n is denoted by $\langle v_0, \dots, v_n \rangle$. We abuse the notation $\langle \dots \rangle$, but it can be recognized from the context to stand for a simplex or a basic open set of the Vietoris topology.

For each $x \in |K|$, let $(x(\hat{\sigma}))_{\sigma \in K}$ denote the barycentric coordinates of x with respect to the barycentric subdivision $\text{Sd } K$. Let d be the barycentric metric on $|\text{Sd } K|$ ($= |K|$) defined by

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{\sigma \in K} |x(\hat{\sigma}) - y(\hat{\sigma})|,$$

and let $N_d(x, \varepsilon)$ denote the ε -neighborhood of $x \in |K|$ with respect to d . Let d_{H} be the Hausdorff metric on $\mathfrak{K}(K)$ induced by d , that is, for each $A, B \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$,

$$d_{\text{H}}(A, B) = \inf\{\varepsilon > 0 \mid A \subset N_d(B, \varepsilon) \text{ and } B \subset N_d(A, \varepsilon)\},$$

where

$$N_d(C, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{x \in C} N_d(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in |K| \mid \text{dist}_d(y, C) < \varepsilon\}.$$

One should not confuse $N_{d_{\text{H}}}(C, \varepsilon)$ with $N_d(C, \varepsilon)$, where $N_{d_{\text{H}}}(C, \varepsilon)$ denotes the ε -neighborhood of $C \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$ with respect to d_{H} . Note that these metrics are continuous but they do not generate the topology of $|K|$ nor the

Vietoris topology of $\mathfrak{K}(K)$ if K is infinite. For each finite subcomplex L of K , they do.

For each $\sigma \in K$ and $0 < t \leq 1$, let

$$\sigma(t) = \{x \in \sigma \mid 0 \leq x(\widehat{\sigma}) < t\} \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma[t] = \{x \in \sigma \mid 0 \leq x(\widehat{\sigma}) \leq t\}.$$

Then each $\sigma(t)$ is an open neighborhood of $\partial\sigma$ in σ and $\sigma[t] = \text{cl}_\sigma \sigma(t)$. Each $x \in \sigma(1) = \sigma \setminus \{\widehat{\sigma}\}$ can be uniquely written as

$$x = (1 - x(\widehat{\sigma}))\pi_\sigma(x) + x(\widehat{\sigma})\widehat{\sigma}, \quad \pi_\sigma(x) \in \partial\sigma.$$

Then for each $\sigma \in K$, we have a map $\pi_\sigma : \sigma(1) \rightarrow \partial\sigma$, called the *radial projection*.

1.1. LEMMA. *Let $\sigma_0 < \dots < \sigma_n = \sigma \in K$. For each $x \in \langle \widehat{\sigma}_0, \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_n \rangle \cap \sigma(1)$,*

$$\pi_\sigma(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{x(\widehat{\sigma}_i)}{1 - x(\widehat{\sigma}_n)} \widehat{\sigma}_i \quad \text{and} \quad d(x, \pi_\sigma(x)) = 2x(\widehat{\sigma}).$$

Proof. The first equality follows from

$$x = (1 - x(\widehat{\sigma}_n))\pi_\sigma(x) + x(\widehat{\sigma}_n)\widehat{\sigma}_n = \sum_{i=0}^n x(\widehat{\sigma}_i)\widehat{\sigma}_i.$$

Since $1 - x(\widehat{\sigma}_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x(\widehat{\sigma}_i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, \pi_\sigma(x)) &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{x(\widehat{\sigma}_i)}{1 - x(\widehat{\sigma}_n)} - x(\widehat{\sigma}_i) \right) + x(\widehat{\sigma}_n) \\ &= \frac{x(\widehat{\sigma}_n)}{1 - x(\widehat{\sigma}_n)} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} x(\widehat{\sigma}_i) + x(\widehat{\sigma}_n) = 2x(\widehat{\sigma}_n) = 2x(\widehat{\sigma}). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Let L be a subcomplex of K . Then

$$W(L) = \{x \in |K| \mid \exists \sigma \in L \text{ such that } x(\widehat{\sigma}) > 0\}$$

is an open neighborhood of $|L|$ in $|K|$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, we write $K_L^{(n)} = L \cup K^{(n)}$, where $K^{(n)}$ denotes the n -skeleton of K . Let

$$W_n(L) = W(L) \cap |K_L^{(n)}| = \{x \in W(L) \mid x(\widehat{\sigma}) = 0, \forall \sigma \in K \setminus K_L^{(n)}\}.$$

Thus we have a tower $|L| = W_0(L) \subset W_1(L) \subset \dots$ with $W(L) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} W_n(L)$. Since $W_n(L) \setminus W_{n-1}(L)$ is covered by

$$S_n(L) = \{\sigma \in K_L^{(n)} \setminus K_L^{(n-1)} \mid \sigma \cap |L| \neq \emptyset\},$$

we can define a retraction $p_n^L : W_n(L) \rightarrow W_{n-1}(L)$ by the radial projections, i.e., $p_n^L|_{\sigma \cap W_n(L)} = \pi_\sigma|_{\sigma \cap W_n(L)}$ for each $\sigma \in S_n(L)$. We define a retraction

$\pi^L : W(L) \rightarrow |L|$ by $\pi^L|W_n(L) = p_1^L \dots p_n^L$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$S(L) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n(L) = \{\sigma \in K \setminus L \mid \sigma \cap |L| \neq \emptyset\}.$$

For each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{S(L)}$, we inductively define an open neighborhood $W(L, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} W_n(L, \varepsilon)$ of $|L|$ in $|K|$ as follows: $W_0(L, \varepsilon) = |L|$ and

$$W_n(L, \varepsilon) = |L| \cup \bigcup \left\{ \sigma(\varepsilon(\sigma)) \cap (p_n^L)^{-1}(W_{n-1}(L, \varepsilon)) \mid \sigma \in S_n(L) \right\} \\ \left(= |L| \cup \bigcup \left\{ \sigma(\varepsilon(\sigma)) \cap \pi_\sigma^{-1}(W_{n-1}(L, \varepsilon)) \mid \sigma \in S_n(L) \right\} \right).$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{E}_m^L = \{\varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{S(L)} \mid \forall \sigma \in S(L), \varepsilon(\sigma) < 2^{-(m + \dim \sigma + 1)}\}.$$

1.2. LEMMA. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_m^L$. Then $d_{\mathbb{H}}(A, \pi^L(A)) < 2^{-m}$ for any $A \in \mathfrak{K}(W(L, \varepsilon))$.*

PROOF. From compactness of A , $A \subset W_n(L, \varepsilon)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Each $x \in W_n(L, \varepsilon)$ is contained in $\sigma(\varepsilon(\sigma))$ for some $\sigma \in S_n(L)$, whence

$$d(x, p_n^L(x)) = d(x, \pi_\sigma(x)) = 2x(\hat{\sigma}) < 2\varepsilon(\sigma) < 2^{-(m+n)}.$$

Then $d(x, p_n^L(x)) < 2^{-(m+n)}$ for each $x \in A$, whence $d_{\mathbb{H}}(A, p_n^L(A)) < 2^{-(m+n)} = 2^{-m}2^{-n}$. Note $p_n^L(A) \subset W_{n-1}(L, \varepsilon)$. By induction, we have

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(p_1^L \dots p_n^L(A), A) \leq d_{\mathbb{H}}(p_1^L \dots p_{n-1}^L(p_n^L(A)), p_n^L(A)) + d_{\mathbb{H}}(p_n^L(A), A) \\ < 2^{-m} \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{-i} (< 2^{-m}). \blacksquare$$

Let $A \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$. By $L(A)$, we denote the smallest subcomplex of K which contains A . Since A is compact, $L(A)$ is a finite subcomplex of K . For each $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{S(L(A))}$, we define

$$V(A, \delta, \varepsilon) = \{B \in \mathfrak{K}(W(L(A), \varepsilon)) \mid d_{\mathbb{H}}(\pi^{L(A)}(B), A) < \delta\}.$$

Since $\mathfrak{K}(W(L(A), \varepsilon))$ is an open neighborhood of A in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$ and $\pi^{L(A)}$ induces a map from $\mathfrak{K}(W(L(A), \varepsilon))$ to $\mathfrak{K}(L(A))$, $V(A, \delta, \varepsilon)$ is an open neighborhood of A in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$.

1.3. LEMMA. *For each $A \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$, $\{V(A, \delta, \varepsilon) \mid \delta > 0, \varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{S(L(A))}\}$ is a neighborhood base of A in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$.*

PROOF. In the proof, we simply write $p_n = p_n^{L(A)}$. Let $\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle$ be a basic neighborhood of A in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$. For each $i = 1, \dots, k$, choose $x_i \in A \cap U_i$ and $\delta_i > 0$ so that $\text{cl} N_d(x_i, \delta_i) \cap |L(A)| \subset U_i$. Let $\eta > 0$ be a Lebesgue number for the open cover $\{U_i \cap |L(A)| \mid i = 1, \dots, k\}$ of A in $|L(A)|$, that

is, each $B \subset |L(A)|$ is contained in some $U_i \cap |L(A)|$ if $\text{diam}_d B < \eta$ and $B \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let

$$\delta_0 = \min\{\eta/3, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_k\} > 0.$$

By compactness, we can choose more points $x_j \in A$, $j = k+1, \dots, m$, so that

$$A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^m N_d(x_j, \delta_0) \cap |L(A)|.$$

For each $j = 1, \dots, m$, let $V_0(x_j) = N_d(x_j, \delta_0) \cap |L(A)|$. Then for each $j \leq k$,

$$\text{cl } V_0(x_j) \subset \text{cl } N_d(x_j, \delta_j) \cap |L(A)| \subset U_j.$$

Let $i(j) = j$ for each $j \leq k$, while for each $j > k$, choose $i(j) \leq k$ so that

$$\text{cl } V_0(x_j) \subset \text{cl } N_d(x_j, \eta/3) \cap |L(A)| \subset U_{i(j)}.$$

By induction on dimension, we can choose $\varepsilon_j(\sigma) \in (0, 1)$ for each $\sigma \in S(L(A))$ so that

$$C(j, \sigma) = \pi_\sigma^{-1}(C(j, \partial\sigma)) \cap \sigma[\varepsilon_j(\sigma)] \subset U_{i(j)},$$

where

$$C(j, \partial\sigma) = (\partial\sigma \cap \text{cl } V_0(x_j)) \cup \bigcup \{C(j, \tau) \mid \tau \in S(L(A)), \tau < \sigma\}.$$

In the above, $C(j, \partial\sigma) = \partial\sigma \cap \text{cl } V_0(x_j)$ if $\dim \sigma = 1$. Thus we have $\varepsilon_j \in (0, 1)^{S(L(A))}$ for each $j = 1, \dots, m$. We define $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{S(L(A))}$ by $\varepsilon(\sigma) = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \varepsilon_j(\sigma)$. We inductively define

$$\begin{aligned} V_n(x_j) &= \{y \in W_n(L(A), \varepsilon) \mid p_n(y) \in V_{n-1}(x_j)\} \\ &= W_n(L(A), \varepsilon) \cap p_n^{-1}(V_{n-1}(x_j)). \end{aligned}$$

Then $V(x_j) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_n(x_j)$ is an open neighborhood of x_j in $|K|$. Since

$$V_n(x_j) \subset V_0(x_j) \cup \bigcup \{C(j, \sigma) \mid \sigma \in S(L(A))\} \subset U_{i(j)},$$

we have $V(x_j) \subset U_{i(j)}$ for each $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence

$$A \in \langle V(x_1), \dots, V(x_m) \rangle \subset \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle.$$

Let $\zeta > 0$ be a Lebesgue number for the open cover $\{V_0(x_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, m\}$ of A in $|L(A)|$ and let $\delta = \min\{\delta_0, \zeta, 1\} > 0$. We show that

$$V(A, \delta, \varepsilon) \subset \langle V(x_1), \dots, V(x_m) \rangle \subset \langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle.$$

To this end, it suffices to show that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$(*_n) \quad V(A, \delta, \varepsilon) \cap \mathfrak{K}(W_n(L(A), \varepsilon)) \subset \langle V(x_1), \dots, V(x_m) \rangle.$$

To see $(*_0)$, let $B \in V(A, \delta, \varepsilon) \cap \mathfrak{K}(W_0(L(A), \varepsilon))$. Then $B \subset W_0(L(A), \varepsilon) = |L(A)|$ and $d_H(A, B) < \delta$. For any $y \in B$, we have $x \in A$ such that $d(x, y) < \delta \leq \zeta$, whence $\{x, y\} \subset V(x_i) \cap |L(A)|$ for some $i = 1, \dots, m$.

Therefore $B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m V(x_i)$. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$, there is a $y \in B$ such that $d(x_i, y) < \delta \leq \delta_0$. Then $y \in V_0(x_i) \subset V(x_i)$, whence $B \cap V(x_i) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $B \in \langle V(x_1), \dots, V(x_m) \rangle$. Thus we have $(*_0)$.

Next assume $(*_{n-1})$ and let $B \in V(A, \delta, \varepsilon) \cap \mathfrak{K}(W_n(L(A), \varepsilon))$. Since $B \subset W_n(L(A), \varepsilon)$, we have $p_n(B) \subset W_{n-1}(L(A), \varepsilon)$, whence

$$p_n(B) \in V(A, \delta, \varepsilon) \cap \mathfrak{K}(W_{n-1}(L(A), \varepsilon)) \subset \langle V(x_1), \dots, V(x_m) \rangle.$$

For each $y \in B \subset W_n(L(A), \varepsilon)$, $p_n(y)$ is contained in some $V_{n-1}(x_i)$, since

$$p_n(B) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m V(x_i) \cap W_{n-1}(L(A), \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{i=1}^m V_{n-1}(x_i).$$

Then it follows that $y \in V_n(x_i)$. Therefore $B \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m V(x_i)$. For each $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$p_n(B) \cap V_{n-1}(x_i) = p_n(B) \cap V(x_i) \neq \emptyset,$$

that is, $p_n(y) \in V_{n-1}(x_i)$ for some $y \in B \subset W_n(L(A), \varepsilon)$. Then $y \in V_n(x_i) \subset V(x_i)$, whence $B \cap V(x_i) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $B \in \langle V(x_1), \dots, V(x_m) \rangle$. By induction, $(*_n)$ holds for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. ■

1.4. LEMMA. Let $A_0 \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$, $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{S(L(A_0))}$.

(1) If $\mathcal{A} \subset V(A_0, \delta, \varepsilon)$ and \mathcal{A} is compact, then $\bigcup \mathcal{A} \in V(A_0, \delta, \varepsilon)$.

(2) For each $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$, if $A \subset B \subset C$ and $A, C \in V(A_0, \delta, \varepsilon)$ then $B \in V(A_0, \delta, \varepsilon)$.

(3) If $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_m^{L(A_0)}$ then $V(A_0, 2^{-m}, \varepsilon) \subset N_{d_H}(A_0, 2^{-m+1})$.

PROOF. Since $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$ is compact, (1) follows from the definition. By the definition, (2) is also easily observed. Finally, (3) follows from Lemma 1.2. ■

2. A stratification of $\mathfrak{K}(K)$. Recall that a T_1 -space X is *stratifiable* if each open set U in X can be assigned a sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of open sets in X so that

(a) $\text{cl } U_n \subset U$,

(b) $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$,

(c) $U \subset V$ implies $U_n \subset V_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

where $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a *stratification* of U and the correspondence $U \rightarrow (U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a *stratification* of X . In this section, we prove the following:

2.1. THEOREM. For any simplicial complex K , $\mathfrak{K}(K)$ is stratifiable.

PROOF. For any $A \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$ and $\sigma \in K$ with $\sigma \cap A \neq \emptyset$, let

$$\alpha(A, \sigma) = \sup\{x(\hat{\sigma}) \mid x \in \sigma \cap A\}.$$

Then $\alpha(A, \sigma) = x(\hat{\sigma}) > 0$ for some $x \in \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \cap A$ because $\sigma \cap A$ is compact and $x(\hat{\sigma}) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\sigma \cap A$. We define

$$\alpha(A) = \min\{\alpha(A, \sigma) \mid \sigma \in L(A), \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \cap A \neq \emptyset\} > 0.$$

For each open set \mathcal{U} in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let

$$\mathcal{U}'_n = \{A \in \mathcal{U} \mid \alpha(A) > 2^{-n}, \exists \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_n^{L(A)} \text{ such that } V(A, 2^{-n}, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}\}.$$

By Lemma 1.3, $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}'_n$. For each $A \in \mathcal{U}'_n$, let

$$\mathcal{U}_n(A) = \bigcup \{V(A, 2^{-(n+2)}, 2^{-2\varepsilon}) \mid \varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_n^{L(A)}, V(A, 2^{-n}, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{U}_n(A) \subset N_{d_H}(A, 2^{-(n+1)})$ by Lemma 1.4(3). Thus we have open sets $\mathcal{U}_n = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{U}'_n} \mathcal{U}_n(A)$ in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$ and $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{U}_n$. It follows from the definition that $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{V}$ implies $\mathcal{U}_n \subset \mathcal{V}_n$ for any open sets \mathcal{U} and \mathcal{V} in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$. We will show that $\text{cl}\mathcal{U}_n \subset \mathcal{U}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\mathcal{U} \rightarrow (\mathcal{U}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a stratification.

Now let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C \in \mathfrak{K}(K) \setminus \mathcal{U}$ be fixed. To see that $C \notin \text{cl}\mathcal{U}_n$, it suffices to construct a neighborhood \mathcal{V}_C of C in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$ so that $\mathcal{V}_C \cap \mathcal{U}_n(A) = \emptyset$ for all $A \in \mathcal{U}'_n$. Let \mathcal{L}_C be the collection of all subcomplexes L of $L(C)$ such that $L \neq L(C)$ and $C \subset W(L)$. First, we show that if $A \in \mathcal{U}'_n$ and $L(A) \notin \mathcal{L}_C$ then

$$N_{d_H}(C, 2^{-(n+1)}) \cap \mathcal{U}_n(A) = \emptyset.$$

To this end, since $\mathcal{U}_n(A) \subset N_{d_H}(A, 2^{-(n+1)})$, it suffices to show that $d_H(A, C) \geq 2^{-n}$. We consider three cases. In case $L(A) \not\subset L(C)$, we have $\sigma \in L(A) \setminus L(C)$ such that $\overset{\circ}{\sigma} \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Choose $x \in \overset{\circ}{\sigma} \cap A$ so that $x(\hat{\sigma}) = \alpha(A, \sigma)$. Since $\sigma \notin L(C)$, $y(\hat{\sigma}) = 0$ for all $y \in C$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(A, C) &\geq \text{dist}_d(x, C) = \inf\{d(x, y) \mid y \in C\} \\ &\geq x(\hat{\sigma}) = \alpha(A, \sigma) \geq \alpha(A) > 2^{-n}. \end{aligned}$$

In case $L(A) = L(C)$, since $C \subset |L(A)|$ and $C \not\subset V(A, 2^{-n}, \varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_n^{L(A)}$ such that $V(A, 2^{-n}, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}$, it follows that $d_H(A, C) \geq 2^{-n}$. In case $L(A) \subsetneq L(C)$ and $C \not\subset W(L(A))$, we have $x \in C$ such that $x(\hat{\sigma}) = 0$ for all $\sigma \in L(A)$, whence

$$\text{dist}_d(x, A) \geq \text{dist}_d(x, |L(A)|) = 2,$$

which implies $d_H(A, C) \geq 2$.

Next, we construct a neighborhood \mathcal{V}_L of C in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$ for each $L \in \mathcal{L}_C$ so that if $A \in \mathcal{U}'_n$ and $L(A) = L$ then $\mathcal{V}_L \cap \mathcal{U}_n(A) = \emptyset$. Then, since \mathcal{L}_C is finite,

$$\mathcal{V}_C = \bigcap_{L \in \mathcal{L}_C} \mathcal{V}_L \cap N_{d_H}(C, 2^{-(n+1)})$$

is the desired neighborhood. (In case $\mathcal{L}_C = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{V}_C = N_{d_H}(C, 2^{-(n+1)})$.)

Now let $L \in \mathcal{L}_C$, that is, $L \subsetneq L(C)$ and $C \subset W(L)$. Since π^L induces a map from $\mathfrak{K}(W(L))$ to $\mathfrak{K}(L)$, C has a neighborhood \mathcal{V}_0 in $\mathfrak{K}(W(L))$ such

that $\pi^L(B) \in N_{d_H}(\pi^L(C), 2^{-(n+1)})$ for all $B \in \mathcal{V}_0$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\pi_i^L : W(L) \rightarrow W_i(L)$ by $\pi_i^L|_{W_j(L)} = p_{i+1}^L \dots p_j^L$ for each $j > i$. Since $L \subsetneq L(C)$ and $C \subset W(L)$, we have $\sigma \in S(L)$ such that $\sigma \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and $\dim \sigma = \dim(L(C) \setminus L)$, whence $\pi_{\dim \sigma}^L(C) = C$. Let

$$\{\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m\} = \{\sigma \in S(L) \mid \sigma \cap \pi_{\dim \sigma}^L(C) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

For each $i = 1, \dots, m$, let $k_i = \dim \sigma_i$ and

$$t_i = \inf\{t > 0 \mid \pi_{k_i}^L(C) \cap \sigma_i \subset \sigma_i(t)\} > 0.$$

Then $\mathcal{V}'_i = \langle W_{k_i}(L), \sigma_i \setminus \sigma_i[\frac{1}{2}t_i] \rangle$ is a neighborhood of $\pi_{k_i}^L(C)$ in $\mathfrak{R}(W_{k_i}(L))$, whence C has a neighborhood \mathcal{V}_i in $\mathfrak{R}(W(L))$ such that for each $B \in \mathcal{V}_i$, $\pi_{k_i}^L(B) \in \mathcal{V}'_i$, that is, $\pi_{k_i}^L(B) \cap \sigma_i \not\subset \sigma_i[\frac{1}{2}t_i]$. Then $\mathcal{V}_L = \bigcap_{i=0}^m \mathcal{V}_i$ is the desired neighborhood of C .

In fact, let $A \in \mathcal{U}'_n$ with $L(A) = L$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_n^L$ such that $V(A, 2^{-n}, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{U}$. Then $C \notin V(A, 2^{-n}, \varepsilon)$ since $C \notin \mathcal{U}$. In case $d_H(A, \pi^L(C)) \geq 2^{-n}$, it follows that for each $B \in \mathcal{V}_L \subset \mathcal{V}_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} d_H(A, \pi^L(B)) &\geq d_H(A, \pi^L(C)) - d_H(\pi^L(B), \pi^L(C)) \\ &> 2^{-n} - 2^{-(n+1)} > 2^{-(n+2)}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $B \notin V(A, 2^{-(n+2)}, 2^{-2}\varepsilon)$. In case $d_H(A, \pi^L(C)) < 2^{-n}$, we have $C \notin W(L, \varepsilon)$, whence $\pi_i^L(C) \not\subset W_i(L, \varepsilon)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$k = \min\{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid \pi_i^L(C) \not\subset W_i(L, \varepsilon)\}$$

and choose $\sigma \in S_k(L)$ so that $\pi_k^L(C) \cap \sigma \not\subset \sigma(\varepsilon(\sigma))$. Then $\sigma = \sigma_i$ for some $i = 1, \dots, m$, whence $k = k_i$ and $t_i \geq \varepsilon(\sigma_i)$. For each $B \in \mathcal{V}_L \subset \mathcal{V}_i$, $\pi_{k_i}^L(B) \cap \sigma_i \not\subset \sigma_i(\frac{1}{2}t_i)$, whence $\pi_{k_i}^L(B) \not\subset W_{k_i}(L, 2^{-1}\varepsilon)$, so $B \notin W(L, 2^{-1}\varepsilon)$. Then $B \notin V(A, 2^{-(n+2)}, 2^{-2}\varepsilon)$. Therefore $\mathcal{V}_L \cap \mathcal{U}_n(A) = \emptyset$. ■

3. CW-complexes have no σ -CF quasi-base. In [Mi], Mizokami gave a condition for a stratifiable space X so that $\mathfrak{R}(X)$ is stratifiable. In this section, we show that non-locally compact CW-complexes do not satisfy this condition. Let \mathcal{A} be a family of subsets of a space X . Recall that \mathcal{A} is *closure preserving* in X if $\text{cl} \bigcup \mathcal{B} = \bigcup \{\text{cl} B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ for any subfamily $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{A}$. Moreover, \mathcal{A} is *σ -closure preserving* in X if $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$, where each \mathcal{A}_n is closure preserving in X [Ce]. It was proved independently by Gruenhage [Gr] and Junnila [Ju] that a regular space X is stratifiable if and only if X has a σ -closure preserving quasi-base, where a *quasi-base* of X is a family \mathcal{B} of (not necessarily open) subsets of X such that for each $x \in X$, $\{B \in \mathcal{B} \mid x \in \text{int} B\}$ is a neighborhood base of x in X . We say that \mathcal{A} is *finite on compact sets* (CF) in X if $\{A \cap C \mid A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ is finite for each compact set C in X [Mi]. Finally, \mathcal{A} is *σ -CF* in X if $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}_n$, where each \mathcal{A}_n is CF in X [Mi].

The following is shown by Mizokami [Mi, Theorem 4.5]:

3.1. THEOREM. *Let X be regular. Then $\mathfrak{R}(X)$ is stratifiable if X has a quasi-base $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n$ consisting of closed sets such that each \mathcal{B}_n is CF and closure preserving in X .*

Note that if \mathcal{B} is a quasi-base for a regular space X then $\{\text{cl } B \mid B \in \mathcal{B}\}$ is also a quasi-base for X . We show the following:

3.2. PROPOSITION. *Any non-locally compact CW-complex X has no σ -CF quasi-base consisting of closed sets.*

Proof. From non-local compactness, X contains the cone C of \mathbb{N} with vertex v , i.e., $C = (\mathbb{N} \times \mathbf{I}) / (\mathbb{N} \times \{0\})$ and $v = \mathbb{N} \times \{0\} \in C$. Thus it suffices to show that C has no σ -CF quasi-base consisting of closed sets.

Assume that C has a quasi-base $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{B}_n$ consisting of closed sets such that each \mathcal{B}_n is CF in C . Let $q : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow C$ be the quotient map and set $\mathbf{I}_n = q(\{n\} \times \mathbf{I})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then each $\{B \cap \mathbf{I}_n \mid B \in \mathcal{B}_n\}$ is finite. Hence for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, v has a neighborhood U_n in \mathbf{I}_n such that $B \cap \mathbf{I}_n \not\subset U_n$ for all $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ with $v \in \text{int } B$. Then $U = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ is a neighborhood of v in C . Since \mathcal{B} is a quasi-base for C , there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $v \in B \subset U$. Then $B \in \mathcal{B}_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, whence $B \cap \mathbf{I}_n \subset U \cap \mathbf{I}_n = U_n$. This is a contradiction. ■

4. Cauty's test space $Z(X)$. Let X be a stratifiable space. In [Ca₄], Cauty constructed a space $Z(X)$ and showed that X is an $\text{AR}(\mathcal{S})$ (resp. an $\text{ANR}(\mathcal{S})$) if and only if X is a retract (resp. a neighborhood retract) of $Z(X)$. Let $F(X)$ denote the full simplicial complex with X the set of vertices (i.e., $X = F(X)^{(0)}$). Recall that $|F(X)|$ has the *weak topology*. The space $Z(X)$ is defined as the space $|F(X)|$ with the topology generated by open sets W in $|F(X)|$ such that

$$(*) \quad W \cap X \text{ is open in } X \text{ and } |F(W \cap X)| \subset W.$$

The second condition of $(*)$ means that each $\tau \in F(X)$ is contained in W if all vertices of τ are contained in $W \cap X$. For each $A \subset X$, $Z(A)$ is a subspace of $Z(X)$ and

$$Z(X) \setminus Z(A) = \{(1-t)x + ty \mid x \in Z(X \setminus A), y \in Z(A), 0 \leq t < 1\},$$

whence if A is closed in X then $Z(A)$ is closed in $Z(X)$. Each map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ induces a map $\tilde{f} : Z(X) \rightarrow Z(Y)$ which is simplicial with respect to $F(X)$ and $F(Y)$. Observe that $\tilde{f}(Z(X)) = Z(f(X))$, $\tilde{f}(Z(X) \setminus X) \subset Z(Y) \setminus Y$. For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, let $Z_n(X) = |F(X)^{(n)}|$, a subspace of $Z(X)$. Then $Z_0(X) = X$ and $Z(X) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} Z_n(X)$.

For each $A \subset X$, $F(A)$ is a subcomplex of $F(X)$. Here using different notations, we write $W(F(A)) = M(A)$, $S(F(A)) = T(A)$, $W_n(F(A)) =$

$M_n(A)$ and $S_n(F(A)) = T_n(A)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$:

$$\begin{aligned} M(A) &= \{x \in Z(X) \mid \exists \tau \in F(A) \text{ such that } x(\hat{\tau}) > 0\}, \\ T(A) &= \{\tau \in F(X) \setminus F(A) \mid \tau \cap A \neq \emptyset\}, \\ M_n(A) &= Z(A) \cup (M(A) \cap Z_n(X)), \\ T_n(A) &= T(A) \cap F(X)^{(n)} \setminus F(X)^{(n-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

For each $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{T(A)}$, we write

$$M(A, \varepsilon) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+} M_n(A, \varepsilon),$$

where $M_0(A, \varepsilon) = Z(A) = |F(A)|$ and

$$M_n(A, \varepsilon) = Z(A) \cup \bigcup \{\tau(\varepsilon(\tau)) \cap \pi_\tau^{-1}(M_{n-1}(A, \varepsilon)) \mid \tau \in T_n(A)\}$$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $M(A, \varepsilon) \cap X = A$. For any open set U in X , $M(U, \varepsilon)$ is an open set in $Z(X)$.

4.1. LEMMA. *Let $\mathcal{N}(x)$ be an open neighborhood base of x in X . Then*

$$\{M(U, \varepsilon) \mid U \in \mathcal{N}(x), \varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{T(U)}\}$$

is a neighborhood base of x in $Z(X)$.

Proof. As observed above, $M(U, \varepsilon)$ is an open neighborhood of x in $Z(X)$ for each $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{T(U)}$. Let W be an open set in $|F(X)|$ satisfying $(*)$ and $x \in W$. Since $W \cap X$ is an open neighborhood of x in X , $W \cap X$ contains some $U \in \mathcal{N}(x)$. Then $Z(U) \subset Z(W \cap X) \subset W$. Let $C_\tau = \tau$ for all $\tau \in F(U)$ and $C_\tau = \emptyset$ for all $\tau \in F(X \setminus U)$. By induction on dimension, we can choose $\varepsilon(\tau) \in (0, 1)$ for each $\tau \in T(U)$ so that

$$C_\tau = \pi_\tau^{-1}(C_{\partial\tau}) \cap \tau[\varepsilon(\tau)] \subset W \cap \tau,$$

where $C_{\partial\tau} = \bigcup_{\tau' < \tau} C_{\tau'}$. Thus we have $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{T(U)}$ such that

$$M(U, \varepsilon) \subset Z(U) \cup \bigcup_{\tau \in T(U)} C_\tau \subset W. \blacksquare$$

Let $p_n = p_n^A : M_n(A) \rightarrow M_{n-1}(A)$ be the retraction defined by the radial projections and $\pi^A : M(A) \rightarrow Z(A)$ the retraction defined by $\pi^A|_{M_n(A)} = p_1 \dots p_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Consider $M_n(A)$'s and $M(A)$ as subspaces of $Z(X)$. Then it is easy to see that the retractions are continuous.

5. A retraction of $Z(\mathfrak{K}(K))$ onto $\mathfrak{K}(K)$. The main theorem implies the following:

5.1. THEOREM. *For any connected simplicial complex K , there exists a retraction $r : Z(\mathfrak{K}(K)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$ such that $r(Z(\mathfrak{C}(K))) = \mathfrak{C}(K)$. Hence $\mathfrak{K}(K)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(K)$ are AR(S)'s.*

In the proof, we first construct a retraction $r_1 : Z_1(\mathfrak{K}(K)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$ and then extend r_1 to $r : Z(\mathfrak{K}(K)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$. To construct r_1 , we introduce several notations. Let

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\langle A, B \rangle \in F(\mathfrak{K}(K))^{(1)} \mid A \neq B, d_H(A, B) < 1/2\}.$$

For each $\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{H}$, we define $C\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$ so that $A \cup B \subset C\langle A, B \rangle$ and each component of $C\langle A, B \rangle$ meets both A and B . Let $n = \dim L(A \cup B)$. By downward induction, we define L_i, q_i^n ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) and R_i, q_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) as follows: $L_n = L(A \cup B)$, $q_n^n = \text{id}$ and

$$R_i = \{\sigma \in L_i \mid \dim \sigma = i, \forall \tau \in L_i, \sigma \not\subset \tau, \sigma \cap q_i^n(A \cup B) \subset \sigma[2^{-(i+2)}]\},$$

$$q_i : |L_i \setminus R_i| \cup \bigcup_{\sigma \in R_i} \sigma[2^{-(i+2)}] \rightarrow |L_i \setminus R_i|$$

is the retraction defined by

$$q_i|\sigma[2^{-(i+2)}] = \pi_\sigma|\sigma[2^{-(i+2)}] \quad \text{for each } \sigma \in R_i,$$

$$q_{i-1}^n = q_i \dots q_n|A \cup B \quad \text{and} \quad L_{i-1} = L(q_{i-1}^n(A \cup B)).$$

Observe that $\sigma \cap q_i^n(A \cup B) \neq \emptyset$ for each $\sigma \in R_i$. Next we define $\eta : \bigcup_{i=1}^n R_i \rightarrow (0, 1)$ by

$$\eta(\sigma) = \inf\{t > 0 \mid q_i^n(A \cup B) \cap \sigma \subset \sigma(t)\} > 0 \quad \text{if } \sigma \in R_i.$$

Now we inductively define N_i ($i = 0, 1, \dots, n$) as follows: $N_0 = |L_0|$ and

$$N_i = N_{i-1} \cup \bigcup_{\sigma \in R_i} (q_i^{-1}(N_{i-1}) \cap \sigma[\eta(\sigma)]).$$

Finally, we define

$$C\langle A, B \rangle = \bigcup\{C \in \mathfrak{C}(N_n) \mid \text{diam}_d C \leq 2d_H(A, B), C \cap (A \cup B) \neq \emptyset\}.$$

5.2. LEMMA. *For each $\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{H}$, each component C of $C\langle A, B \rangle$ meets both A and B .*

Proof. Since C meets at least one of A and B , we may assume that $A \cap C \neq \emptyset$ and show that $B \cap C \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in A \cap C$. Then we have $y \in B$ such that $d(x, y) \leq d_H(A, B) < 1/2$. Since $x, y \in |L_n| = |\text{Sd } L_n|$, we have $\sigma_0 < \dots < \sigma_m \in L_n$ and $\sigma'_0 < \dots < \sigma'_{m'} \in L_n$ such that $\dim \sigma_i = i$, $\dim \sigma'_j = j$,

$$x \in \langle \hat{\sigma}_0, \dots, \hat{\sigma}_m \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad y \in \langle \hat{\sigma}'_0, \dots, \hat{\sigma}'_{m'} \rangle.$$

Let $k = \max\{i \mid \sigma_i \in L_0\} \geq 0$. Then $\langle \hat{\sigma}_0, \dots, \hat{\sigma}_k \rangle \cap \langle \hat{\sigma}'_0, \dots, \hat{\sigma}'_{m'} \rangle \neq \emptyset$. In fact, if $k = m$, this follows from $d(x, y) < 1/2$. If $k < m$, then $\sigma_m \in R_m$ and $x(\hat{\sigma}_m) \leq \eta(\sigma_m)$ since $x \in N_n \setminus N_0$. For each $j = k+1, \dots, m$, by using

Lemma 1.1 inductively, we have

$$q_{j-1}^m(x) = q_j \cdots q_m(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{x(\widehat{\sigma}_i)}{1 - (x(\widehat{\sigma}_j) + \cdots + x(\widehat{\sigma}_m))} \widehat{\sigma}_i.$$

Then for each $j = k+1, \dots, m-1$,

$$\frac{x(\widehat{\sigma}_j)}{1 - (x(\widehat{\sigma}_{j+1}) + \cdots + x(\widehat{\sigma}_m))} \leq \eta(\sigma_j) \leq 2^{-(j+2)}$$

because $q_{j+1} \cdots q_m(x) \in \sigma_j(\eta(\sigma_j))$. By Lemma 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, q_k^m(x)) &= d(x, q_{k+1} \cdots q_m(x)) \\ &\leq d(x, q_m(x)) + \cdots + d(q_{k+2} \cdots q_m(x), q_{k+1} \cdots q_m(x)) \\ &\leq 2^{-(m+1)} + \cdots + 2^{-(k+2)} < 2^{-(k+1)} \leq 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q_k^m(x) \in \langle \widehat{\sigma}_0, \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_k \rangle \subset \sigma_k$ and

$$d(q_k^m(x), y) \leq d(x, y) + d(x, q_k^m(x)) < 1/2 + 1/2 = 1,$$

we have $\langle \widehat{\sigma}_0, \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_k \rangle \cap \langle \widehat{\sigma}'_0, \dots, \widehat{\sigma}'_{m'} \rangle \neq \emptyset$.

Now we write

$$\langle \widehat{\tau}_0, \dots, \widehat{\tau}_l \rangle = \langle \widehat{\sigma}_0, \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_m \rangle \cap \langle \widehat{\sigma}'_0, \dots, \widehat{\sigma}'_{m'} \rangle,$$

where $\tau_0 < \dots < \tau_l$. Then $\tau_0 \in L_0$ since $\tau_0 \leq \sigma_k$. We define $z \in \langle \widehat{\tau}_0, \dots, \widehat{\tau}_l \rangle$ by

$$z(\widehat{\sigma}) = \min\{x(\widehat{\sigma}), y(\widehat{\sigma})\} \quad \text{for each } \sigma \in K \setminus \{\tau_0\},$$

$$z(\widehat{\tau}_0) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^l z(\widehat{\tau}_i).$$

Then $\langle x, z \rangle \subset \langle \widehat{\sigma}_0, \dots, \widehat{\sigma}_m \rangle$ and $\text{diam}_d \langle x, z \rangle \leq 2d_H(A, B)$ because

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) &= |x(\widehat{\tau}_0) - z(\widehat{\tau}_0)| + \sum_{i=1}^l |x(\widehat{\tau}_i) - z(\widehat{\tau}_i)| + \sum_{\sigma_i \neq \tau_0, \dots, \tau_l} x(\widehat{\sigma}_i) \\ &= \left| \sum_{\sigma_i \neq \tau_0} x(\widehat{\sigma}_i) - \sum_{i=1}^l z(\widehat{\tau}_i) \right| + \sum_{i=1}^l |x(\widehat{\tau}_i) - z(\widehat{\tau}_i)| + \sum_{\sigma_i \neq \tau_0, \dots, \tau_l} x(\widehat{\sigma}_i) \\ &\leq 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^l |x(\widehat{\tau}_i) - z(\widehat{\tau}_i)| + \sum_{\sigma_i \neq \tau_0, \dots, \tau_l} x(\widehat{\sigma}_i) \right) \\ &\leq 2 \left(\sum_{i=1}^l |x(\widehat{\tau}_i) - y(\widehat{\tau}_i)| + \sum_{\sigma_i \neq \tau_0, \dots, \tau_l} x(\widehat{\sigma}_i) + \sum_{\sigma'_i \neq \tau_0, \dots, \tau_l} y(\widehat{\sigma}'_i) \right) \\ &= 2d(x, y) \leq 2d_H(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

For each $t \in \mathbf{I}$, let $x_t = (1-t)x + tz$. Then

$$x_t(\widehat{\sigma}) = (1-t)x(\widehat{\sigma}) + tz(\widehat{\sigma}) \leq x(\widehat{\sigma}) \quad \text{for each } \sigma \in K \setminus \{\tau_0\}.$$

Hence $x_t(\widehat{\sigma}_m) \leq \eta(\sigma_m)$. For each $j = k+1, \dots, m$, by using Lemma 1.1 inductively, we have

$$q_{j-1}^m(x_t) = \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{x_t(\widehat{\sigma}_i)}{1 - (x_t(\widehat{\sigma}_j) + \dots + x_t(\widehat{\sigma}_m))} \widehat{\sigma}_i.$$

Then for each $j = k+1, \dots, m-1$,

$$\frac{x_t(\widehat{\sigma}_j)}{1 - (x_t(\widehat{\sigma}_{j+1}) + \dots + x_t(\widehat{\sigma}_m))} \leq \frac{x(\widehat{\sigma}_j)}{1 - (x(\widehat{\sigma}_{j+1}) + \dots + x(\widehat{\sigma}_m))} \leq \eta(\sigma_j),$$

whence $q_j^m(x_t) \in \sigma_j(\eta(\sigma_j))$. On the other hand, $q_k^m(x_t) \in \sigma_k \subset N_0 \subset N_k$. By induction, $q_j^m(x_t) \in N_j$ for each $j > k$, so $x_t \in N_m \subset N_n$. Thus $\langle x, z \rangle \subset N_n$.

Similarly we have $\text{diam}_d \langle y, z \rangle \leq 2d_H(A, B)$ and $\langle y, z \rangle \subset N_n$. Therefore $\langle x, z \rangle \cup \langle y, z \rangle \subset C\langle A, B \rangle$, whence $\langle x, z \rangle \cup \langle y, z \rangle \subset C$, which implies $B \cap C \neq \emptyset$. ■

By the definition of $C\langle A, B \rangle$ and the above lemma, $d_H(A, C\langle A, B \rangle) \leq 4d_H(A, B)$ and $d_H(B, C\langle A, B \rangle) \leq 4d_H(A, B)$ for each $A, B \in \mathcal{H}$.

5.3. LEMMA. *Let $A_0 \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_m^{L(A_0)}$. If $A, B \in V(A_0, 2^{-(m+5)}, 2^{-5}\varepsilon)$ and $A \neq B$, then $\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ and $C\langle A, B \rangle \in V(A_0, 2^m, \varepsilon)$.*

Proof. Since $2^{-5}\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{m+5}^{L(A_0)}$, we have $V(A_0, 2^{-(m+5)}, 2^{-5}\varepsilon) \subset N_{d_H(A_0, 2^{-(m+4)})}$ by Lemma 1.4(3). Then

$$d_H(A, B) \leq d_H(A, A_0) + d_H(B, A_0) < 2^{-(m+3)} < 1/2,$$

whence $\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ and $d_H(A, C\langle A, B \rangle) \leq 4d_H(A, B) < 2^{-(m+1)}$.

Let $\dim L(A \cup B) = n$. We use the notations from the definition of $C\langle A, B \rangle$ and simply write $p_i^{L(A_0)} = p_i$ and $p_i^n = p_{i+1} \dots p_n$ ($p_n^n = \text{id}$). Then $L_n = L(A \cup B) \subset K_{L(A_0)}^{(n)}$ and $A, B \subset C\langle A, B \rangle \subset |L_n| \subset |K_{L(A_0)}^{(n)}|$. Since $A, B \in V(A_0, 2^{-(m+5)}, 2^{-5}\varepsilon)$, we have $p_i^n(A \cup B) \subset W_i(L(A_0), 2^{-5}\varepsilon)$. First note that

$$p_n^n|(A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)| = \text{id} = q_n^n|(A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)|.$$

Moreover, $S_n(L(A_0)) = R_n \setminus L(A_0)$. In fact, for each $\sigma \in S_n(L(A_0))$, we have $\sigma \notin L(A_0)$ and

$$(A \cup B) \cap \sigma \subset \sigma(2^{-5}\varepsilon(\sigma)) \subset \sigma(2^{-(m+n+6)}) \subset \sigma[2^{-(n+2)}],$$

whence $\sigma \in R_n \setminus L(A_0)$. Conversely, for each $\sigma \in R_n \setminus L(A_0)$, we have $(A \cup B) \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$ and $A \cup B \subset W(L(A_0))$, whence $\sigma \cap |L(A_0)| \neq \emptyset$, that is, $\sigma \in S_n(L(A_0))$.

Assume that

$$p_i^n |(A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)| = q_i^n |(A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)|$$

and $S_i(L(A_0)) = R_i \setminus L(A_0)$. Then

$$p_i^n ((A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)|) = q_i^n ((A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)|) \subset |L_i|,$$

whence it follows that

$$p_i |p_i^n ((A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)|) = q_i |q_i^n ((A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)|).$$

Since $p_{i-1}^n = p_i p_i^n$ and $q_{i-1}^n = q_i q_i^n$, we have

$$p_{i-1}^n |(A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)| = q_{i-1}^n |(A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)|.$$

Since $q_{i-1}^n ((A \cup B) \cap |L(A_0)|) \subset |L(A_0)|$, it follows that

$$q_{i-1}^n (A \cup B) \subset p_{i-1}^n (A \cup B) \cup |L(A_0)| \subset W_{i-1}(L(A_0), 2^{-5}\varepsilon).$$

Then for each $\sigma \in S_{i-1}(L(A_0))$, we have $\sigma \notin L(A_0)$ and

$$q_{i-1}^n (A \cup B) \cap \sigma \subset \sigma(2^{-5}\varepsilon(\sigma)) \subset \sigma(2^{-(m+i+5)}) \subset \sigma[2^{-(i+1)}],$$

whence $\sigma \in R_{i-1} \setminus L(A_0)$. Conversely, for each $\sigma \in R_{i-1} \setminus L(A_0)$, $q_{i-1}^n (A \cup B) \cap \sigma \neq \emptyset$ and $q_{i-1}^n (A \cup B) \subset W(L(A_0))$, whence $\sigma \cap |L(A_0)| \neq \emptyset$, that is, $\sigma \in S_{i-1}(L(A_0))$. Hence $S_{i-1}(L(A_0)) = R_{i-1} \setminus L(A_0)$.

By induction, we have

$$p_i^n |(A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)| = q_i^n |(A \cup B) \setminus |L(A_0)|$$

and $S_i(L(A_0)) = R_i \setminus L(A_0)$ for each $i = 1, \dots, n$. It follows that

$$L_0 = L_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n R_i \subset L_n \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n S_i(L(A_0)) = L(A_0).$$

Moreover, for each $\sigma \in S_i(L(A_0)) = R_i \setminus L(A_0)$,

$$q_i^n (A \cup B) \cap \sigma = p_i^n (A \cup B) \cap \sigma \subset \sigma(2^{-5}\varepsilon(\sigma)),$$

which implies $\eta(\sigma) \leq 2^{-5}\varepsilon(\sigma) < 2^{-4}\varepsilon(\sigma)$. It follows that

$$C\langle A, B \rangle \subset N_n \subset W(L(A_0), 2^{-4}\varepsilon),$$

that is, $C\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}(W(L(A_0), 2^{-4}\varepsilon)) \subset \mathfrak{R}(W(L(A_0), \varepsilon))$. Since $2^{-4}\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{m+4}^{L(A_0)}$, we obtain

$$d_{\mathbb{H}}(\pi^{L(A_0)}(C\langle A, B \rangle), C\langle A, B \rangle) < 2^{-(m+4)}$$

by Lemma 1.2. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{\mathbb{H}}(A_0, \pi^{L(A_0)}(C\langle A, B \rangle)) &\leq d_{\mathbb{H}}(A_0, A) + d_{\mathbb{H}}(A, C\langle A, B \rangle) \\ &\quad + d_{\mathbb{H}}(C\langle A, B \rangle, \pi^{L(A_0)}(C\langle A, B \rangle)) \\ &\leq 2^{-(m+4)} + 2^{-(m+1)} + 2^{-(m+4)} < 2^{-m}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $C\langle A, B \rangle \in V(A_0, 2^{-m}, \varepsilon)$. ■

Since each compact set in $|K|$ is contained in a metrizable continuum, the following is a consequence of [Ke, Lemma 2.3].

5.4. LEMMA. *Let $A, C \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$ and $A \subset C$. If each component of C meets A , then there exists a map $\varphi_{A,C} : \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$ such that $\varphi_{A,C}(0) = A$, $\varphi_{A,C}(1) = C$ and for each $t \in \mathbf{I}$, $A \subset \varphi_{A,C}(t) \subset C$ and each component of $\varphi_{A,C}(t)$ meets A . ■*

5.5. LEMMA. *Let $n > 1$ and τ be an n -simplex. Then each map $f : \partial\tau \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$ extends to a map $\tilde{f} : \tau \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$ such that*

$$f(\pi_\tau(x)) \subset \tilde{f}(x) \subset \tilde{f}(\hat{\tau}) = \bigcup f(\partial\tau) = \bigcup_{y \in \partial\tau} f(y)$$

for each $x \in \tau(1) = \tau \setminus \{\hat{\tau}\}$. Moreover, if $f(\partial\tau) \subset \mathfrak{C}(K)$ then $\tilde{f}(\tau) \subset \mathfrak{C}(K)$.

PROOF. Let $X = f(\partial\tau) \subset \mathfrak{K}(K)$. Then X is a Peano continuum and $\mathfrak{C}(X) \subset \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{K}(K)) \subset \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{K}(K))$. As is shown in the proof of [Ke, Theorem 3.3], X has a homotopy $h : X \times \mathbf{I} \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}(X)$ such that

$$h_0(x) = \{x\} \subset h_t(x) \subset h_1(x) = X \quad \text{for each } x \in X \text{ and } t \in \mathbf{I}.$$

On the other hand, we have the map $\varsigma : \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{K}(K)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$ defined by $\varsigma(\mathcal{A}) = \bigcup \mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$ (cf. [Ke]). Then $\tilde{f} : \tau \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$ can be defined by

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \begin{cases} \varsigma(f(\partial\tau)) & \text{if } x = \hat{\tau}, \\ \varsigma \circ h(f(\pi_\tau(x)), x(\hat{\tau})) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\varsigma(\mathcal{A}) \in \mathfrak{C}(K)$ for any $\mathcal{A} \in \mathfrak{C}(\mathfrak{K}(K))$ with $\mathcal{A} \cap \mathfrak{C}(K) \neq \emptyset$ by [Ke, Lemma 1.2], we have the additional statement. ■

Now we prove Theorem 5.1.

PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1. For simplicity, we write $Z(\mathfrak{K}(K)) = Z$, $Z_1(\mathfrak{K}(K)) = Z_1$ and $F(\mathfrak{K}(K)) = F$. First, we construct a retraction $r_1 : Z_1 \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$. For each $\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{H}$, we have defined $C\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$. For each $\langle A, B \rangle \in F^{(1)} \setminus \mathcal{H}$, choose $C\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathfrak{C}(K)$ so that $A \cup B \subset C\langle A, B \rangle$. By using Lemma 5.4, we can define r_1 as follows: $r_1|_{\mathfrak{K}(K)} = \text{id}$ and

$$r_1((1-t)A + tB) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1/4, \\ \varphi_{A, C\langle A, B \rangle}(4t-1) & \text{if } 1/4 \leq t \leq 1/2, \\ \varphi_{B, C\langle A, B \rangle}(3-4t) & \text{if } 1/2 \leq t \leq 3/4, \\ B & \text{if } 3/4 \leq t \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

for each 1-simplex $\langle A, B \rangle \in F$. If A and B are connected, each $r_1((1-t)A + tB)$ is also connected. Thus $r_1(Z_1(\mathfrak{C}(K))) = \mathfrak{C}(K)$.

We have to show that r_1 is continuous. Since $Z_1 \setminus \mathfrak{K}(K)$ is a subspace of $|F^{(1)}|$ and $r_1|_{\langle A, B \rangle}$ is continuous for each $\langle A, B \rangle \in F^{(1)}$, $r_1|_{Z_1 \setminus \mathfrak{K}(K)}$ is continuous. Since $Z_1 \setminus \mathfrak{K}(K)$ is open in Z_1 , r_1 is continuous at each point of $Z_1 \setminus \mathfrak{K}(K)$.

To see the continuity of r_1 at each point $A_0 \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$, let \mathcal{V} be a neighborhood of A_0 in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}_m^{L(A_0)}$ so that $V(A_0, 2^{-m}, \varepsilon) \subset \mathcal{V}$. Then

$$r_1(M_1(V(A_0, 2^{-(m+5)}, 2^{-5}\varepsilon), 1/2)) \subset \mathcal{V}.$$

In fact, let $\langle A, B \rangle \in F^{(1)}$, $A \neq B$ and $A \in V(A_0, 2^{-(m+5)}, 2^{-5}\varepsilon)$. In case $B \in V(A_0, 2^{-(m+5)}, 2^{-5}\varepsilon)$, $\langle A, B \rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ and $C\langle A, B \rangle \in V(A_0, 2^{-m}, \varepsilon)$ by Lemma 5.3. By Lemma 1.4(2), we have $\varphi_{A, C\langle A, B \rangle}(t) \in V(A_0, 2^{-m}, \varepsilon)$ and $\varphi_{B, C\langle A, B \rangle}(t) \in V(A_0, 2^{-m}, \varepsilon)$ for $t \in \mathbf{I}$. Then $r_1(\langle A, B \rangle) \subset V(A_0, 2^{-m}, \varepsilon)$. In case $B \notin V(A_0, 2^{-(m+5)}, 2^{-5}\varepsilon)$, let $\tau = \langle A, B \rangle$. Then $r_1((1-t)A + t\hat{\tau}) = r_1((1-t/2)A + (t/2)B) = A \in \mathcal{V}$ for each $t \in [0, 1/2]$.

Next, by the skeletonwise induction applying Lemma 5.5 at each step, we can extend r_1 to $r : Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$ such that

$$r(\pi_\tau(x)) \subset r(x) \subset r(\hat{\tau}) = \bigcup r(\partial\tau) = \bigcup_{y \in \partial\tau} r(y)$$

if $x \in \tau(1) \subset \tau \in F$. Since $r_1(Z_1(\mathfrak{C}(K))) = \mathfrak{C}(K)$, it follows that $r(Z(\mathfrak{C}(K))) = \mathfrak{C}(K)$.

We have to show that $r : Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$ is continuous. Since $Z \setminus \mathfrak{K}(K)$ is a subspace of $|F|$ and $r|_\tau$ is continuous for each $\tau \in F$, $r|_{Z \setminus \mathfrak{K}(K)}$ is continuous. Since $Z \setminus \mathfrak{K}(K)$ is open in Z , r is continuous at each point of $Z \setminus \mathfrak{K}(K)$.

To see the continuity of r at each $A \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$, let \mathcal{V} be a neighborhood of A in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$. We may assume that $\mathcal{V} = V(A, \delta, \varepsilon)$ for some $\delta > 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)^{S(L(A))}$. Then $r(\partial\tau) \subset \mathcal{V}$ implies $r(\tau) \subset \mathcal{V}$ for each $\tau \in F$ by Lemma 1.4. By the continuity of r_1 , $r^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cap Z_1 = r_1^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is a neighborhood of A in Z_1 . By the topologization of Z , there is an open set \mathcal{W} in $|F|$ such that $\mathcal{W} \cap \mathfrak{K}(K)$ is open in $\mathfrak{K}(K)$, $|F(\mathcal{W} \cap \mathfrak{K}(K))| \subset \mathcal{W}$ and $A \in \mathcal{W} \cap Z_1 \subset r^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cap Z_1$.

Let $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{W} \cap \mathfrak{K}(K)$. Then $|F(\mathcal{U})| \subset r^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$, that is, $r(\tau) \subset \mathcal{V}$ for each $\tau \in F(\mathcal{U})$. In fact, this can be shown by induction on $\dim \tau$ since $r(\partial\tau) \subset \mathcal{V}$ implies $r(\tau) \subset \mathcal{V}$ and if $\dim \tau = 1$ then $\tau \subset \mathcal{W} \cap Z_1 \subset r^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$, i.e., $r(\tau) \subset \mathcal{V}$. On the other hand, $r^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cap \tau = (r|_\tau)^{-1}(\mathcal{V})$ is open in τ for any $\tau \in F \setminus F_{F(\mathcal{U})}^{(0)}$. Let $V_\tau = \tau$ for all $\tau \in F(\mathcal{U})$ and $V_\tau = \emptyset$ for all $\tau \in F^{(0)} \setminus F(\mathcal{U})$. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 4.1, we can define $\eta \in (0, 1)^{T(\mathcal{U})}$ so that

$$V_\tau = \pi_\tau^{-1}(V_{\partial\tau}) \cap \text{cl } \tau(\eta(\tau)) \subset r^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \cap \tau,$$

where $V_{\partial\tau} = \bigcup_{\tau' < \tau} V_{\tau'}$. Thus we have a neighborhood $M(\mathcal{U}, \eta)$ of A in Z such that

$$M(\mathcal{U}, \eta) \subset |F(\mathcal{U})| \cup \bigcup \{V_\tau \mid \tau \in T(\mathcal{U})\} \subset r^{-1}(\mathcal{V}).$$

Therefore $r : Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(K)$ is continuous at $A \in \mathfrak{K}(K)$. ■

Appendix. Let \mathcal{K} be the class of compact Hausdorff spaces. Here we show the following:

PROPOSITION. *For any connected CW-complex X , $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ are $AE(\mathcal{K})$'s. Hence for any CW-complex X , $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ and $\mathfrak{C}(X)$ are $ANE(\mathcal{K})$'s.*

PROOF. Let $Z \in \mathcal{K}$ and $f : A \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(X)$ a map from a closed set A in Z . Since $\varsigma(f(A)) = \bigcup f(A)$ is a compact set in X , we have $f(A) \subset \mathfrak{K}(Y)$ for some compact connected subcomplex Y of X , whence Y is a Peano continuum. Since $\mathfrak{K}(Y)$ is an AE for normal spaces (in fact, $\mathfrak{K}(Y)$ is homeomorphic to the Hilbert cube ([CS₁] or [CS₂])), f extends to a map $\tilde{f} : Z \rightarrow \mathfrak{K}(Y) \subset \mathfrak{K}(X)$. Hence $\mathfrak{K}(X)$ is an $AE(\mathcal{K})$. ■

References

- [Bo₁] C. R. Borges, *On stratifiable spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 17 (1966), 1–16.
- [Bo₂] —, *A study of absolute extensor spaces*, ibid. 31 (1969), 609–617.
- [Bo₃] —, *Absolute extensor spaces: A correction and an answer*, ibid. 50 (1974), 29–30.
- [Bo₄] —, *Connectivity of function spaces*, Canad. J. Math. 5 (1971), 759–763.
- [Ca₁] R. Cauty, *Sur les sous-espaces des complexes simpliciaux*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 100 (1972), 129–155.
- [Ca₂] —, *Sur le prolongement des fonctions continues à valeurs dans CW-complexes*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A 274 (1972), 35–37.
- [Ca₃] —, *Convexité topologique et prolongement des fonctions continues*, Compositio Math. 27 (1973), 133–271.
- [Ca₄] —, *Rétraction dans les espaces stratifiables*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 102 (1974), 129–149.
- [Ca₅] —, *Sur les espaces d'applications dans les CW-complexes*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 27 (1976), 306–311.
- [Ce] J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 105–126.
- [CP] D. W. Curtis and D. S. Patching, *Hyperspaces of direct limits of locally compact metric spaces*, Topology Appl. 29 (1988), 55–60.
- [CS₁] D. W. Curtis and R. M. Schori, *Hyperspaces of polyhedra are Hilbert cubes*, Fund. Math. 99 (1978), 189–197.
- [CS₂] —, *Hyperspaces of Peano continua are Hilbert cubes*, ibid. 101 (1978), 19–38.
- [Gr] G. Gruenhagen, *Stratifiable spaces are M_2* , Topology Proc. 1 (1976), 221–226.
- [Ju] H. J. K. Junnila, *Neighbornets*, Pacific J. Math. 76 (1978), 83–108.
- [Ke] J. L. Kelley, *Hyperspaces of a continuum*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 52 (1942), 22–36.
- [Mi] T. Mizokami, *On CF families and hyperspaces of compact subsets*, Topology Appl. 35 (1990), 75–92.
- [MK] T. Mizokami and K. Koiwa, *On hyperspaces of compact and finite subsets*, Bull. Joetsu Univ. of Education 6 (1987), 1–14.
- [Ta] U. Tašmetov, *On the connectedness of hyperspaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 215 (1974), 286–288 (in Russian); English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl. 15 (1974), 502–504.

- [Wo] M. Wojdysławski, *Rétractes absolus et hyperespaces des continus*, Fund. Math. 32 (1939), 184–192.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA
TSUKUBA-CITY 305, JAPAN
E-mail: SAKAIKTR@SAKURA.CC.TSUKUBA.AC.JP

*Received 29 June 1992;
in revised form 30 November 1992*