

sparser than  $P$ . By Lemma 6, for all but finitely many natural numbers  $n$  the intersection  $P \cap \{Q_n, Q_{n+1}, \dots, Q_{n+1}-1\}$  is non-empty. Thus  $P$  does not belong to  $I_Q$ , since in this case  $I$  has to contain all but finitely many natural numbers, which is impossible as  $I$  is an ideal. ■

#### References

- [1] B. Balcar and P. Simon, *Disjoint refinements*, in: *Handbook of Boolean Algebras* (ed. J. D. Monk), North-Holland, 1989.
- [2] P. L. Dordal, *A model in which the base-matrix tree cannot have cofinal branches*, *J. Symbolic Logic* 52 (1987), 651–664.
- [3] D. Fremlin, *Consequences of Martin's Axiom*, Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [4] Sz. Plewik, *On completely Ramsey sets*, *Fund. Math.* 127 (1986), 127–132.
- [5] — *Intersections and unions of ultrafilters without the Baire property*, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* 35 (1987), 805–808.
- [6] M. Talagrand, *Compacts de fonctions et filtres non mesurables*, *Studia Math.* 67 (1980), 13–43.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI  
UNIWERSYTET ŚLĄSKI  
ul. Bankowa 14  
40-007 Katowice  
Poland

Received 28 August 1989;  
in revised form 24 April 1990

## The space of Lipschitz maps from a compactum to an absolute neighborhood LIP extensor

by

Katsuro Sakai (Tsukuba)

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a non-discrete metric compactum and  $Y$  a separable locally compact absolute neighborhood LIP extensor. The spaces of continuous maps and Lipschitz maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  are denoted by  $C(X, Y)$  and  $LIP(X, Y)$ , respectively. Let  $l_2$  be the Hilbert space and

$$l_2^Q = \{(x_i) \in l_2 \mid \sup |i \cdot x_i| < \infty\}.$$

It is proved that  $(C(X, Y), LIP(X, Y))$  is an  $(l_2, l_2^Q)$ -manifold pair if each point of  $Y$  has a neighborhood  $V$  admitting a map  $\gamma: V \rightarrow LIP(I, Y)$  such that each  $\gamma(y)$  is an embedding with  $\gamma(y)(0) = y$  and the Lipschitz constant of each  $\gamma(y)$  does not exceed some  $k > 0$ , e.g., Euclidean polyhedra without isolated points and Lipschitz  $n$ -manifolds ( $n > 0$ ) have such a property.

**Introduction.** Let  $l_2$  be Hilbert space and  $l_2^Q$  the subspace of  $l_2$  which is the linear span of the Hilbert cube  $\prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} [-i^{-1}, i^{-1}] \subset l_2$ , that is,

$$l_2^Q = \{(x_i) \in l_2 \mid \sup |i \cdot x_i| < \infty\}.$$

An  $l_2$ -manifold or an  $l_2^Q$ -manifold is a separable metrizable space locally homeomorphic to  $l_2$  or  $l_2^Q$ , respectively. An  $(l_2, l_2^Q)$ -manifold pair is a pair  $(M, N)$  of an  $l_2$ -manifold  $M$  and an  $l_2^Q$ -manifold  $N$  which admits an open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $M$  and open embeddings  $\varphi_U: U \rightarrow l_2$ ,  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , such that  $\varphi_U(N \cap U) = l_2^Q \cap \varphi_U(U)$ .

Let  $X = (X, d_X)$  and  $Y = (Y, d_Y)$  be separable metric spaces. In case there is no confusion,  $d$  stands for both metrics  $d_X$  and  $d_Y$ . We assume that

$X$  is non-discrete compact and  $Y$  has no isolated point.

The spaces of (continuous) maps and Lipschitz maps from  $X$  to  $Y$  are denoted by  $C(X, Y)$  and  $LIP(X, Y)$ , respectively. The topology of these spaces is induced by

1985 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 58D15, 57N20.

*Key words and phrases*:  $(l_2, l_2^Q)$ -manifold pair, space of (continuous) maps, space of Lipschitz maps, absolute neighborhood LIP extensor, Euclidean polyhedron, Lipschitz manifold.

the sup-metric

$$d(f, g) = \sup\{d(f(x), g(x)) \mid x \in X\}.$$

It is known that  $C(X, Y)$  is an  $l_2$ -manifold if  $Y$  is a completely metrizable ANR [Ge<sub>1</sub>, To, Sa<sub>2</sub>]. Naturally arises the following:

**PROBLEM.** Under what conditions is  $\text{LIP}(X, Y)$  an  $l_2^Q$ -manifold? Or is  $(C(X, Y), \text{LIP}(X, Y))$  an  $(l_2, l_2^Q)$ -manifold pair?

In [SW<sub>1</sub>], it has been shown that  $(C(X, Y), \text{LIP}(X, Y))$  is an  $(l_2, l_2^Q)$ -manifold pair if  $Y$  is a locally compact, locally convex set in a normed linear space, where  $Y$  is said to be *locally convex* if each point of  $Y$  has a convex neighborhood. Locally Lipschitz maps are shortly called LIP maps. If the domain is compact, a LIP map is Lipschitz. In the category of metric spaces and LIP maps, we can introduce notions corresponding to AR, AE, ANR and ANE, namely ALR (*absolute LIP retract*), ALE (*absolute LIP extensor*), ANLR (*absolute neighborhood LIP retract*) and ANLE (*absolute neighborhood LIP extensor*)<sup>(1)</sup>. Then  $Y$  is an ALR or an ANLR if and only if  $Y$  is an ALE or an ANLE, respectively [Lu, Theorem 4.7]. Euclidean polyhedra and Lipschitz manifolds are ANLR's (ANLE's) [LV, 5.12]. However, a normed linear space is generally not an ALE [Lu, 3.13]. For LIP maps and ANLE's (or ANLR's) refer to [LV] and [Lu]. The Lipschitz constant of  $f \in \text{LIP}(X, Y)$  is denoted by  $\text{lip } f$ . For each  $k > 0$ , let  $k\text{-LIP}(X, Y) = \{f \in \text{LIP}(X, Y) \mid \text{lip } f \leq k\}$ . In this paper, we prove the following:

**MAIN THEOREM.** *Let  $Y$  be a locally compact ANLE. Then  $(C(X, Y), \text{LIP}(X, Y))$  is an  $(l_2, l_2^Q)$ -manifold pair if each point of  $Y$  has a neighborhood  $V$  admitting a map  $\gamma: V \rightarrow k\text{-LIP}(I, Y)$  for some  $k > 0$  such that each  $\gamma(y)$  is an embedding with  $\gamma(y)(0) = \text{id}$ .*

It can be shown that  $\text{LIP}(X, Y)$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact ANR which is dense in  $C(X, Y)$  if  $Y$  is a locally compact ANLE, but it is unknown whether  $\text{LIP}(X, Y)$  is an  $l_2^Q$ -manifold without any other condition. If so,  $(C(X, Y), \text{LIP}(X, Y))$  is an  $(l_2, l_2^Q)$ -manifold pair. (See Remarks.) A Lipschitz  $n$ -manifold ( $n > 0$ ) clearly satisfies the condition of the Main Theorem. We can also show that a Euclidean polyhedron without isolated points satisfies the condition. Thus we have the following

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $Y$  be a Euclidean polyhedron without isolated points or a Lipschitz  $n$ -manifold ( $n > 0$ ). Then  $(C(X, Y), \text{LIP}(X, Y))$  is an  $(l_2, l_2^Q)$ -manifold pair.*

It is unknown whether every Lipschitz manifold has a LIP triangulation, i.e., whether it is LIP homeomorphic to a Euclidean polyhedron (cf. [SS]). By [Su, Corollary 3], every (topological)  $n$ -manifold can be metrized so as to be a Lipschitz manifold if  $n \neq 4, 5$  or if  $n = 5$  and the boundary is empty.

**Proofs of Main Theorem and Corollary.** We denote by  $I$  and  $Q$  the unit interval  $[0, 1]$  and the Hilbert cube  $I^\infty$ , i.e., the countable-infinite product of  $I$ , respectively. Let  $M = (M, d)$  be a metric space. For  $x \in M$  and  $A \subset M$ , we write

$$\text{dist}(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y) \mid y \in A\}.$$

<sup>(1)</sup> In [LV], an ANLE is called an ALNE (= absolute LIP neighborhood extensor) but we prefer "ANLE".

A closed set  $A \subset M$  is called a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each map  $f: Q \rightarrow M$ , there is a map  $g: Q \rightarrow M \setminus A$  with  $d(f, g) < \varepsilon$ . A *cap set* for  $M$  is a subset  $N = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i \subset M$ , where  $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \dots$  is a tower of compact  $Z$ -sets in  $M$  such that for each compact set  $A \subset M$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $j \geq i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and an embedding  $h: A \rightarrow N_j$  such that  $h|_{A \cap N_i} = \text{id}$  and  $d(h, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$ . It is well known that  $(M, N)$  is an  $(l_2, l_2^Q)$ -manifold pair if and only if  $M$  is an  $l_2$ -manifold and  $N$  is a cap set for  $M$  (cf. [Ch]). The following is a modification of Theorem 4.8 in [Cu] which can be easily proved, so the proof is omitted.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $N_1 \subset N_2 \subset \dots$  be a tower of compacta in an  $l_2$ -manifold  $M$  satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) *for each compact set  $A \subset M$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $j \geq i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and a map  $f: A \rightarrow N_j$  such that  $f|_{A \cap N_i} = \text{id}$  and  $d(f, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$ ; and*
- (ii) *for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is a  $j > i$  ( $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ) and an embedding  $g: N_i \times Q \rightarrow N_j$  such that  $g(x, 0) = x$  for each  $x \in N_i$ .*

*Then  $N = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} N_i$  is a cap set for  $M$ , that is,  $(M, N)$  is an  $(l_2, l_2^Q)$ -manifold pair.*

**Proof of Main Theorem.** Let  $x_\infty \in X$  be a cluster point. For each  $y \in Y$ , let  $V(y)$  be an open neighborhood of  $y$  such as in the condition and let

$$G(y) = \{f \in C(X, Y) \mid f(x_\infty) \in V(y)\}.$$

Since  $\{G(y) \mid y \in Y\}$  is an open cover of  $C(X, Y)$ , it suffices to show that each  $G(y) \cap \text{LIP}(X, Y)$  is a cap set for  $G(y)$ .

Now let  $y_0 \in Y$  be fixed. For simplicity, we write  $V = V(y_0)$ ,  $G = G(y_0)$  and  $L = G \cap \text{LIP}(X, Y)$ . Let  $\gamma: V \rightarrow k\text{-LIP}(I, Y)$  be a map such that each  $\gamma(y)$  is an embedding with  $\gamma(y)(0) = y$ . Let  $Y = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} Y_j$  and  $V = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} V_j$ , where  $Y_j$  and  $V_j$  are compact,  $Y_j \subset \text{int } Y_{j+1}$ ,  $y_0 \in \text{int } V_1$ ,  $V_j \subset \text{int } V_{j+1}$  and  $\gamma(V_j) \subset k\text{-LIP}(I, Y_j)$ .

Since  $x_\infty \in X$  is a cluster point, we can choose mutually disjoint closed balls  $B_{i,n}$  ( $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) in  $X$  with center  $x_{i,n}$  and radius  $r_{i,n} > 0$  so that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{i,1}, x_\infty) = 0, \quad r_{i,n+1} \leq \frac{1}{3} r_{i,n} \quad \text{and}$$

$$r_{i,n}, d(x_{i,n+1}, x_\infty) \leq \frac{1}{3} \cdot d(x_{i,n}, x_\infty).$$

Let  $B_i = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_{i,n}$  and  $L_j = \{f \in L \mid \text{lip } f \leq j, f(X) \subset Y_j, f(\bigcup_{i \geq j} B_i) = f(x_\infty) \in V_j\}$ . Then each  $L_j$  is compact and  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} L_j \subset L$ . By [Ch, Theorem 6.6], it suffices to show that  $\bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{N}} L_j$  is a cap set for  $G$ . We verify the conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1.1.

(i) Let  $F$  be a compact set in  $G$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Let  $\text{ev}: F \times X \rightarrow Y$  be the evaluation map, i.e.,  $\text{ev}(f, x) = f(x)$ . Since  $F \times X$  is compact, by [Lu, Theorem 4.11], we have  $\delta > 0$  such that any LIP map  $\psi$  from a closed set in  $F \times X$  to  $Y$  which is  $\delta$ -close to  $\text{ev}$  extends to a LIP map from  $F \times X$  which is  $\varepsilon$ -homotopic to  $\text{ev}$ . (Note that  $\delta$  in [Lu, 4.11] is obtained in the proof as the restriction of  $\pi$  which is independent of  $A$ .) Choose  $j' \geq j$  so that

$$\text{dist}(f(x_\infty), f(\bigcup_{i \geq j'} B_i)) < \delta \quad \text{for all } f \in F$$

and let

$$\Gamma = (F \cap L_j) \times X \cup F \times \left( \bigcup_{i \geq j'} B_i \cup \{x_\infty\} \right).$$

Then  $\Gamma$  is closed in  $F \times X$ . We define a map  $\psi: \Gamma \rightarrow Y$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi|(F \cap L_j) \times X &= \text{ev} \quad \text{and} \\ \psi(f, x) &= \psi(f, x_\infty) = f(x_\infty) \quad \text{if } (f, x) \in F \times \bigcup_{i \geq j'} B_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then as is easily observed,  $\psi$  is Lipschitz. Since  $\psi$  is  $\delta$ -close to  $\text{ev}$ , it extends to a LIP map  $\tilde{\psi}: F \times X \rightarrow Y$  which is  $\varepsilon$ -homotopic to  $\text{ev}$ . Note that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is Lipschitz since  $F \times X$  is compact. Then we can choose  $j'' \in N$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} j'' &\geq \max\{j', \text{lip } \tilde{\psi}\}, \quad \tilde{\psi}(F \times X) \subset Y_{j''} \quad \text{and} \\ f(x_\infty) &\in V_{j''} \quad \text{for all } f \in F. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varphi: F \rightarrow L_{j''}$  be the map defined by  $\varphi(f)(x) = \tilde{\psi}(f, x)$ . Then  $\varphi|_{F \cap L_j} = \text{id}$  and  $d(\varphi, \text{id}) < \varepsilon$ . Thus (i) is satisfied.

(ii) For each  $j \in N$ , we define  $\varphi: L_j \times Q \rightarrow C(X, Y)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f, z)|_{X \setminus B_j} &= f|_{X \setminus B_j} \quad \text{and} \\ \varphi(f, z)(x) &= \gamma f(x_\infty)(z_n \cdot (r_{j,n} - d(x, x_{j,n}))) \quad \text{for } x \in B_{j,n}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\varphi$  is an embedding. In fact, if  $f \neq f' \in L_j$  then  $f|_{X \setminus B_j} \neq f'|_{X \setminus B_j}$ , which implies that  $\varphi(f, z) \neq \varphi(f', z)$  for any  $z, z' \in Q$  by the definition. If  $z \neq z' \in Q$  then  $z_n \neq z'_n$  for some  $n \in N$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(f, z)(x_{j,n}) &= \gamma f(x_\infty)(z_n \cdot r_{j,n}) \\ &\neq \gamma f(x_\infty)(z'_n \cdot r_{j,n}) = \varphi(f, z')(x_{j,n}). \end{aligned}$$

We will show that  $\varphi(L_j \times Q) \subset L_{j'}$  for some  $j' \geq j$ . For each  $(f, z) \in L_j \times Q$ ,  $\varphi(f, z)(X) \subset Y_j$  and

$$\varphi(f, z)\left(\bigcup_{i \geq j+1} B_i\right) = \varphi(f, z)(x_\infty) = f(x_\infty) \in V_j.$$

Then it suffices to find  $j' \geq j$  so that  $\varphi(f, z)$  is  $j'$ -Lipschitz for all  $(f, z) \in L_j \times Q$ . In case  $x, x' \in X \setminus B_j$ ,

$$d(\varphi(f, z)(x), \varphi(f, z)(x')) = d(f(x), f(x')) \leq j \cdot d(x, x').$$

In case  $x, x' \in B_{j,n}$  for some  $n \in N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(f, z)(x), \varphi(f, z)(x')) &\leq k \cdot |z_n \cdot d(x, x_{j,n}) - z_n \cdot d(x', x_{j,n})| \\ &\leq k \cdot d(x, x'). \end{aligned}$$

In case  $x \in B_{j,n}$  and  $x' \in B_{j,n'}$  for  $n < n'$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, x') &\geq d(x, x_\infty) - d(x', x_\infty) > \frac{2}{3} \cdot d(x_{j,n}, x_\infty) - \frac{4}{3} \cdot d(x_{j,n'}, x_\infty) \\ &\geq \frac{2}{3} \cdot d(x_{j,n}, x_\infty) - \frac{4}{3} \cdot d(x_{j,n}, x_\infty) = \frac{2}{3} \cdot d(x_{j,n}, x_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(f, z)(x), \varphi(f, z)(x')) &\leq k \cdot (r_{j,n} + r_{j,n'}) \\ &\leq k \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot r_{j,n} \leq k \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot d(x_{j,n}, x_\infty) \leq 2k \cdot d(x, x'). \end{aligned}$$

In case  $x \in B_{j,n}$  and  $x' \in X \setminus B_j$ , note  $f(x) = f(x_\infty)$  and  $d(x', x_{j,n}) \geq r_{j,n}$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} d(\varphi(f, z)(x), \varphi(f, z)(x')) &\leq d(\varphi(f, z)(x), f(x_\infty)) + d(f(x), f(x')) \\ &\leq k \cdot (r_{j,n} - d(x, x_{j,n})) + j \cdot d(x, x') \\ &\leq k \cdot (d(x', x_{j,n}) - d(x, x_{j,n})) + j \cdot d(x, x') \\ &\leq (k+j) \cdot d(x, x'). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $\varphi(f, z)$  is  $(2k+j)$ -Lipschitz. ■

**Proof of Corollary for a Euclidean polyhedron.** Let  $Y$  be a Euclidean polyhedron with  $K$  a simplicial triangulation. For each vertex  $v \in K^0$ , let  $S_1(v) = \text{Sd}^2(\text{St}(v, K))$  be the second barycentric subdivision of the star at  $v$  in  $K$ , let  $S_1(v)$  be the simplicial neighborhood of  $S_1(v)$  in the second barycentric subdivision  $\text{Sd}^2 K$  of  $K$ , that is,

$$S_2(v) = \{\tau \in \text{Sd}^2 K \mid \exists \tau' \in \text{Sd}^2 K \text{ s.t. } \tau' \cap |S_1(v)| \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \tau < \tau'\},$$

and let

$$U(v) = \text{int}(|S_2(v)| \setminus |\text{St}(v, \text{Sd}^2 K)|).$$

Then  $\{U(v) \mid v \in K^0\}$  is an open cover of  $Y$ . We will construct a map  $\gamma: U(v) \rightarrow k\text{-LIP}(I, Y)$  so that each  $\gamma(y)$  is an embedding with  $\gamma(y)(0) = y$ .

Let  $v_0 \in K^0$  be fixed. For simplicity, we write  $S_1 = S_1(v_0)$ ,  $N_1 = |S_1|$ ,  $S_2 = S_2(v_0)$ ,  $N_2 = |S_2|$  and  $U = U(v_0)$ . We define a Lipschitz deformation  $\psi': N_1 \times I \rightarrow N_1$  as follows:

$$\psi'(x, t) = (1-t) \cdot x + t \cdot v_0.$$

As is well known, there exists a simplicial retraction  $r: S_2 \rightarrow S_1$ . Give a linear order on  $S_2^0$  so that  $v'' < v'$  if  $v' \in S_1^0$  and  $v'' \in S_2^0 \setminus S_1^0$ . For each  $v \in S_2^0$ , let  $v^j = (v, j)$ ,  $j = 0, 1$ . Let  $S_2 \times J$  be the product simplicial complex of  $S_2$  and the triangulation  $J = \{0, 1, I\}$  of  $I$ , that is,

$$S_2 \times J = S_2 \times \{0, 1\} \cup \{v_0^0 \dots v_i^0 v_{i+1}^1 \dots v_n^1 \mid v_0 \dots v_n \in S_2,$$

$$v_0 < \dots < v_i \leq v_{i+1} < \dots < v_n\}.$$

Then  $S_2 \times J$  is a triangulation of  $N_2 \times I$ . We define a simplicial deformation  $\psi'': S_2 \times J \rightarrow S_2$  by

$$\psi''(v^0) = v \quad \text{and} \quad \psi''(v^1) = r(v) \quad \text{for each } v \in S_2^0.$$

Then  $\psi'': N_2 \times I \rightarrow N_2$  is a Lipschitz deformation with  $\psi''|_I = r$ . Let  $S_0 = \{\tau \in S_2 \mid \tau \cap N_1 = \emptyset\}$ ,  $N_0 = |S_0|$  and let  $\alpha: S_2 \rightarrow J$  be the simplicial map defined by  $\alpha(S_0^0) = 0$  and  $\alpha(S_0^1) = 1$ . Then  $\alpha: N_2 \rightarrow I$  is a Lipschitz map with  $\alpha(N_0) = 0$  and  $\alpha(N_1) = 1$ . Each  $x \in N_2 \setminus (N_0 \cup N_1)$  can be written as follows:

$$x = (1-s) \cdot x' + s \cdot x'', \quad x' \in N_0, \quad x'' \in \text{bd} N_1, \quad s \in (0, 1).$$

Then  $\alpha(x) = s$  and

$$\begin{aligned}\psi''(x, \alpha(x)) &= \psi''((1-s) \cdot x' + s \cdot x'', s) \\ &= \psi''((1-s) \cdot (x', 0) + s \cdot (x'', 1)) = (1-s) \cdot x' + s \cdot x'' = x.\end{aligned}$$

If  $t > \alpha(x) = s$  then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi''(x, t) &= \psi''((1-s) \cdot x' + s \cdot x'', t) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{t-s}{1-s}\right) \cdot \psi''((1-s) \cdot (x', 0) + s \cdot (x'', 1)) \\ &\quad + \frac{t-s}{1-s} \cdot \psi''((1-s) \cdot (x', 1) + s \cdot (x'', 1)) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{t-s}{1-s}\right) \cdot ((1-s) \cdot x' + s \cdot x'') \\ &\quad + \frac{t-s}{1-s} \cdot r((1-s) \cdot x' + s \cdot x'') \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{t-s}{1-s}\right) \cdot x + \frac{t-s}{1-s} \cdot r(x).\end{aligned}$$

By [LV, 2.21 & 2.23], we can define a Lipschitz deformation  $\psi: N_2 \times [-1, 1] \rightarrow N_2$  as follows:

$$\psi(x, t) = \begin{cases} \psi'(r(x), t) & \text{for } t \geq 0, \\ \psi''(x, t+1) & \text{for } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Observe that for all  $x \in U$ ,  $\psi(x, \alpha(x)-1) = x$  and  $\psi(x, t) \neq \psi(x, t')$  if  $t \neq t' \geq \alpha(x)-1$ . Let  $\beta: U \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the Lipschitz map defined by  $\beta(x) = (1-\alpha(x))/2$ . By [LV, 2.40], the desired Lipschitz deformation  $\varphi: U \times I \rightarrow N_2$  can be defined by

$$\varphi(x, t) = \begin{cases} \psi\left(x, \frac{t-\beta(x)}{1-\beta(x)}\right) & \text{for } t \geq \beta(x), \\ \psi(x, 2 \cdot (t-\beta(x))) & \text{for } t \leq \beta(x). \end{cases}$$

Then  $\varphi$  induces the desired map  $\gamma: U \rightarrow k\text{-LIP}(I, Y)$ , where  $k = \text{lip } \varphi$ . ■

**Remarks.** The following is a modification of Theorem 4.11 in [Lu]:

**LIP APPROXIMATION THEOREM.** Let  $f: M \rightarrow Y$  be a map from an arbitrary metric space  $M$  to an ANLE  $Y$  such that  $f|_A$  is LIP for a closed set  $A$  in  $M$ . Then there is a homotopy  $h: M \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $h_0 = f$ ,  $h_1|_A = f$  for each  $t \in I$  and  $h|M \times (0, 1]$  is LIP, where the metric for  $M \times I$  is defined by

$$d((x, t), (y, s)) = d_M(x, y) + |t-s|.$$

**Proof.** By Theorem 4.11 in [Lu], we have a LIP map  $h': M \times (0, 1] \rightarrow Y$  such that

$h'|_A \times (0, 1] = f|_A \times \text{id}$  and

$$d(h'(x, t), f(x)) < t \quad \text{for each } (x, t) \in M \times (0, 1].$$

Then  $h'$  can be extended to the desired homotopy  $h$  by  $h_0 = f$ . ■

As an application of the above, we have the following:

**PROPOSITION.** Let  $Y$  be an ANLE. Then for any metric compactum  $X$  and  $k > 0$ , there exists a homotopy  $\varphi: C(X, Y) \times I \rightarrow C(X, Y)$  such that  $\varphi_0 = \text{id}$ ,  $\varphi_t|_{k\text{-LIP}(X, Y)} = \text{id}$  for each  $t \in I$  and  $\varphi(C(X, Y) \times (0, 1]) \subset \text{LIP}(X, Y)$ .

**Proof.** We denote by  $\text{ev}: C(X, Y) \times X \rightarrow Y$  the evaluation, that is,  $\text{ev}(f, x) = f(x)$ . Then  $\text{ev}|_{k\text{-LIP}(X, Y) \times X}$  is Lipschitz. By the above proposition, we have a homotopy  $\psi: C(X, Y) \times X \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\psi_0 = \text{ev}$ ,  $\psi_t|_{k\text{-LIP}(X, Y) \times X} = \text{ev}$  and  $\psi|_{C(X, Y) \times X \times (0, 1]}$  is LIP. The desired homotopy  $\varphi$  is induced by  $\psi$ , that is, each  $\varphi(f, t) \in C(X, Y)$  is defined by  $\varphi(f, t)(x) = \psi(f, x, t)$ . ■

Thus if  $Y$  is a locally compact ANLE, then  $\text{LIP}(X, Y)$  is a  $\sigma$ -compact ANR by [Hu, Ch. IV, 6.3] since  $C(X, Y)$  is an ANR. Moreover, if  $\text{LIP}(X, Y)$  is an  $l_2^2$ -manifold then  $(C(X, Y), \text{LIP}(X, Y))$  is an  $(l_2, l_2^2)$ -manifold pair (cf. [Sa<sub>1</sub>, Proposition 2.1]).

Let  $l_2^1$  be the subspace of  $l_2$  which is the linear span of the usual orthonormal basis, that is,

$$l_2^1 = \{(x_i) \in l_2 \mid x_i = 0 \text{ except for finitely many } i\}.$$

Similarly to an  $(l_2, l_2^2)$ -manifold pair, we define an  $(l_2, l_2^1)$ -manifold pair as a pair of spaces which is locally homeomorphic to  $(l_2, l_2^1)$ . In case  $X$  and  $Y$  are polyhedra, let  $\text{PL}(X, Y)$  be the space of PL maps from  $X$  to  $Y$ . It is known that  $(C(X, Y), \text{PL}(X, Y))$  is an  $(l_2, l_2^1)$ -manifold pair [Ge<sub>2</sub>]. Analogously a triple of spaces which is locally homeomorphic to  $(l_2, l_2^2, l_2^1)$  is called an  $(l_2, l_2^2, l_2^1)$ -manifold triple. For  $(l_2, l_2^2, l_2^1)$ -manifold triples, refer to [SW<sub>2</sub>]. It is natural to conjecture the following:

**CONJECTURE.** In case  $X$  and  $Y$  are Euclidean polyhedra,

$$(C(X, Y), \text{LIP}(X, Y), \text{PL}(X, Y))$$

is an  $(l_2, l_2^2, l_2^1)$ -manifold triple.

In case  $Y$  is an open set in Euclidean space, this conjecture has been proved in [Sa<sub>3</sub>].

## References

- [Ch] T. A. Chapman, *Dense sigma-compact subsets of infinite-dimensional manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 154 (1971), 399-426.
- [Cu] D. W. Curtis, *Boundary sets in the Hilbert cube*, Topology Appl. 20 (1985), 201-221.
- [Ge<sub>1</sub>] R. Geoghegan, *On spaces of homeomorphisms, embeddings, and functions - I*, Topology 11 (1972), 159-177.
- [Ge<sub>2</sub>] - *On spaces of homeomorphisms, embeddings, and functions, II: The piecewise linear case*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 27 (1973), 463-483.
- [Hu] S.-T. Hu, *Theory of Retracts*, Wayne State Univ. Press, Detroit 1965.

- [Lu] J. Luukkainen, *Extension of spaces, maps and metrics in Lipschitz topology*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. Dissertationes 17 (1978).
- [LV] J. Luukkainen and J. Väisälä, *Elements of Lipschitz topology*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A. I. Math. 3 (1977), 85–122.
- [Sa<sub>1</sub>] K. Sakai, *A Q-manifold local-compactification of a metric combinatorial  $\infty$ -manifold*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 100 (1987), 775–780.
- [Sa<sub>2</sub>] — *The space of cross-sections of a bundle*, *ibid.* 103 (1988), 956–960.
- [Sa<sub>3</sub>] — *A function space triple of a compact polyhedron into an open set in Euclidean space*, *ibid.* 108 (1990), 547–555.
- [SW<sub>1</sub>] K. Sakai and R. Y. Wong, *The space of Lipschitz maps from a compactum to a locally convex set*, Topology Appl. 32 (1989), 223–235.
- [SW<sub>2</sub>] — — *On infinite-dimensional manifold triples*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 318 (1990), 545–555.
- [SS] L. Siebenmann and D. Sullivan, *On complexes that are Lipschitz manifolds*, in: J. C. Cantrell, ed., *Geometric Topology*, Academic Press, New York 1979, 503–525.
- [Su] D. Sullivan, *Hyperbolic geometry and homeomorphisms*, *ibid.*, 543–555.
- [To] H. Toruńczyk, *Concerning locally homotopy negligible sets and characterization of  $l_2$ -manifolds*, Fund. Math. 101 (1978), 93–110.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA  
Tsukuba-city, 305 Japan

Received 7 November 1989;  
in revised form 19 March 1990

## Caractérisation topologique de l'espace des fonctions dérivables

par

Robert Cauty (Paris)

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the space of continuous functions from  $[0, 1]$  into the reals, with the topology of uniform convergence. We give a topological characterization of the subspace  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  consisting of everywhere differentiable functions. We show that  $\mathcal{D}$  is homeomorphic to the subspace of the countable product  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$  consisting of convergent sequences, as well as to the subspace of the hyperspace  $2^I$  consisting of countable sets.

**1. Introduction et notations.** Tous les espaces considérés dans cet article sont supposés métrisables et séparables. Soit  $\mathcal{C}$  l'ensemble des fonctions continues de  $I = [0, 1]$  dans  $\mathbf{R}$ , muni de la norme de la convergence uniforme, et soit  $\mathcal{D}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{D}^*$ ) le sous-espace de  $\mathcal{C}$  formé des fonctions ayant une dérivée finie en tout point de  $I$  (resp. en au moins un point de  $I$ ). Nous nous proposons ici de caractériser topologiquement les espaces  $\mathcal{D}$  et  $\mathcal{D}^*$  et de donner quelques applications de ces caractérisations.

Pour formuler les caractérisations de  $\mathcal{D}$  et  $\mathcal{D}^*$  (théorèmes 1.1 et 1.2), il nous faut rappeler quelques définitions. Si  $f$  et  $g$  sont deux fonctions de  $Y$  dans  $X$  et si  $\mathcal{U}$  est un recouvrement ouvert de  $X$ , nous dirons que  $f$  est  $\mathcal{U}$ -proche de  $g$  si, pour tout  $y$  dans  $Y$ , il y a un élément de  $\mathcal{U}$  contenant à la fois  $f(y)$  et  $g(y)$ . Un sous-ensemble  $F$  d'un rétracte absolu de voisinage  $X$  est appelé un  $Z$ -ensemble dans  $X$  s'il est fermé et si, pour tout recouvrement ouvert  $\mathcal{U}$  de  $X$ , il existe une fonction continue  $f$  de  $X$  dans  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{U}$ -proche de l'identité et telle que  $f(X) \subset X \setminus F$ ; si, de plus, il est toujours possible de choisir la fonction  $f$  de façon que  $\overline{f(X)} \cap F = \emptyset$ , alors  $F$  est appelé un  $Z$ -ensemble au sens fort dans  $X$ . Une fonction  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  est appelée un  $Z$ -plongement si c'est un plongement et si  $f(Y)$  est un  $Z$ -ensemble dans  $X$ .

Soit  $\mathcal{K}$  une classe d'espaces. Un rétracte absolu de voisinage  $X$  est dit  $\mathcal{K}$ -universel si, pour tout espace  $K$  appartenant à  $\mathcal{K}$ , toute fonction continue  $f: K \rightarrow X$  et tout recouvrement ouvert  $\mathcal{U}$  de  $X$ , il y a un  $Z$ -plongement  $g: K \rightarrow X$  qui est  $\mathcal{U}$ -proche de  $f$ ;  $X$  est dit *fortement*  $\mathcal{K}$ -universel si, pour tout espace  $K$  appartenant à  $\mathcal{K}$ , tout fermé  $L$  de  $K$ , toute fonction continue  $f: K \rightarrow X$  dont la restriction à  $L$  est un  $Z$ -plongement, et tout recouvrement ouvert  $\mathcal{U}$  de  $X$ , il existe un  $Z$ -plongement  $g: K \rightarrow X$  qui est  $\mathcal{U}$ -proche de  $f$  et vérifie  $g|L = f|L$ .

Nous noterons  $\mathcal{L}_1$  (resp.  $\mathcal{L}_2$ ) la classe des espaces analytiques (resp. coanalytiques).