ANNALES POLONICI MATHEMATICI LXXI.2 (1999)

## A remark on the Lion–Rolin Preparation Theorem for LA-functions

by WIESŁAW PAWŁUCKI and ARTUR PIĘKOSZ (Kraków)

**Abstract.** A correct formulation of the Lion–Rolin Preparation Theorem for logarithmic-subanalytic functions (LA-functions) is given.

In [2] Lion and Rolin give an explicit description of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  $(n \in \mathbb{Z}, n > 0)$ , called by them *LE-functions*, defined as finite compositions of globally subanalytic functions with logarithmic and with exponential functions. This enables them to obtain the fundamental results of van den Dries, Macintyre and Marker [1] without making use of model theory. One important step in their study is their Preparation Theorem for LA-functions. To quote this theorem we first recall some basic definitions from [2].

If  $\mathcal F$  is any family of real functions on  $\mathbb R^n,$  a subset E of  $\mathbb R^n$  is called an  $\mathcal F\text{-}set$  if

$$E = \bigcup_{i \in I} \bigcap_{j \in J} E_{ij}$$

where I and J are finite and for each  $(i, j) \in I \times J$ ,  $E_{ij} = \{\phi_{ij} > 0\}$  or  $E_{ij} = \{\phi_{ij} = 0\}$  or  $E_{ij} = \{\phi_{ij} < 0\}$ , with some  $\phi_{ij} \in \mathcal{F}$ .

A subset C of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \{(x, y) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^n, y \in \mathbb{R}\}$  is called an  $\mathcal{F}$ -cylinder if either

$$C = \{(x, y) \mid x \in B, \ y = \phi(x)\}$$

where B is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\phi \in \mathcal{F}$ , or

$$C = \{ (x, y) \mid x \in B, \ \phi(x) < y < \psi(x) \},\$$

where B is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -set in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\phi, \psi \in \mathcal{F} \cup \{-\infty\}^B \cup \{\infty\}^B$  and  $\phi(x) < \psi(x)$  for each  $x \in B$ .

Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} = \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ . We say that

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases:$  subanalytic function, logarithmic-subanalytic function, reducible.



<sup>1991</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 32B20; Secondary 33B10.

(1) f is an LA-function of type 0 if f can be represented as

$$f(x,y) = F(a_1(x),\ldots,a_m(x),y),$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ , m > 0, F is a globally subanalytic function on  $\mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ , and  $a_j$  are LE-functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ;

(2) f is an LA-function of type  $r, r \ge 1$ , if f can be represented as

 $f(x,y) = F(f_1(x,y), \dots, f_m(x,y), \log |f_{m+1}(x,y)|, \dots, \log |f_{m+1}(x,y)|),$ 

where F is globally subanalytic,  $m, l \in \mathbb{Z}, m, l > 0$ , and  $f_i$  are LA-functions of type r - 1.

An LA-function  $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \to \mathbb{R}$  of type r is called *reducible* if there exists a finite covering  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  by LE-cylinders such that, on each  $C \in \mathcal{C}$ , f can be represented in the form

$$f(x,y) = |y_0|^{\alpha_0} \dots |y_r|^{\alpha_r} A(x) U(x,y_0,\dots,y_r),$$

where  $y_0 = y - \theta_0(x)$ ,  $y_1 = \log |y_0| - \theta_1(x)$ , ...,  $y_r = \log |y_{r-1}| - \theta_r(x)$ , with some LE-functions  $\theta_j$  such that  $|y_j| \leq M |\theta_j(x)|$  on C, A is an LE-function,  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{Q}$  (j = 0, ..., r), and

$$U(x, y_0, \dots, y_r) = V(\psi(x, y)),$$

where

$$\psi(x,y) = \left(\phi_1(x), \dots, \psi_s(x), \frac{|y_0|^{1/p_0}}{a_0(x)}, \dots, \frac{|y_r|^{1/p_r}}{a_r(x)}, \dots, \frac{b_0(x)}{|y_0|^{1/p_0}}, \dots, \frac{b_r(x)}{|y_r|^{1/p_r}}\right)$$

with some LE-functions  $\phi_i$ ,  $a_j$ ,  $b_j$ , positive integers  $p_j$ , and an analytic nonvanishing function V of constant sign in a neighbourhood of the compact set  $\overline{\psi(C)}$  in  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^{s+2r+2}$ , where  $\mathbb{P}^1$  denotes the real projective line.

Lion and Rolin formulate the following Preparation Theorem for LAfunctions [2, Théorème 2]: Every LA-function is reducible.

Our goal here is to observe that this formulation requires some correction. To see this, consider the function  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined as follows:

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} y^y = e^{y \log y} & \text{if } y \in (0,1) \\ 0 & \text{if } y \notin (0,1) \end{cases}$$

Of course, f is an LA-function of type 1. However, it is not reducible in the above sense. If it were, we would have the following equality in some interval  $(0, \varepsilon)$  ( $\varepsilon > 0$ ):

$$f(y) = |y_0|^{\alpha_0} |y_1|^{\alpha_1} V(|y_0|^{1/p_0}, |y_1|^{1/p_1}, |y_0|^{-1/p_0}, |y_1|^{-1/p_1})$$

where  $y_0 = y - \theta_0$ ,  $y_1 = \log |y_0| - \theta_1$ ,  $p_0$ ,  $p_1$  are positive integers,  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{Q}$ and V is an analytic positive function in a neighbourhood of  $\overline{\psi(0,\varepsilon)}$  in  $(\mathbb{P}^1)^4$ , where

$$\psi(y) = (|y_0|^{1/p_0}, |y_1|^{1/p_1}, |y_0|^{-1/p_0}, |y_1|^{-1/p_1}).$$

196

If  $\theta_0 \neq 0$ , then f would be subanalytic near 0, which is not the case, so suppose that  $\theta_0 = 0$ . Then

$$f(y) = |y|^{\alpha_0} |y_1|^{\alpha_1} \widetilde{V}(|y|^{1/p_0}, |y_1|^{-1/p_1}),$$

where  $\widetilde{V}$  is analytic in a neighbourhood of (0,0) and  $\widetilde{V}(0,0) > 0$ .

If  $\alpha_0 \neq 0$  or  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$ , the right-hand side would tend to 0 or  $\infty$  as y tends to 0; a contradiction. Hence,

 $f(y) = \widetilde{V}(|y|^{1/p_0}, |y_1|^{-1/p_1})$  and  $y \log y = (\log \widetilde{V})(|y|^{1/p_0}, |y_1|^{-1/p_1})$ for  $y \in (0, \varepsilon')$ . Expanding  $\log \widetilde{V}$  with respect to the first variable, we have

$$y \log y = \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} C_{\nu}(|y_{1}|^{-1/p_{1}})|y|^{\nu/p_{0}}$$
  
=  $C_{k}(|y_{1}|^{-1/p_{1}})|y|^{k/p_{0}}$   
 $\times \left[1 + \frac{|y|^{1/p_{0}}}{C_{k}(|y_{1}|^{-1/p_{1}})}\sum_{\nu>k}^{\infty} C_{\nu}(|y_{1}|^{-1/p_{1}})|y|^{(\nu-k-1)/p_{0}}\right].$ 

Hence  $y^{1-k/p_0}(\log y)[C_k(|y_1|^{-1/p_1})]^{-1}$  tends to 1 as y tends to 0; this is asymptotically equivalent to

$$y^{1-k/p_0}(\log y)|y_1|^{l/p_1}$$
 for some  $l > 0$ ,

so tends to 0 or  $\infty$ .

This example indicates that in order to obtain a correct formulation of the theorem one should allow  $\psi$  in the definition of reducibility in a more general form, viz.

$$\psi(x,y) = (a_1(x)|y_0|^{\beta_{10}} \dots |y_r|^{\beta_{1r}}, \dots, a_s(x)|y_0|^{\beta_{s0}} \dots |y_r|^{\beta_{sr}}),$$

where  $\beta_{ij} \in \mathbb{Q}$ .

The proof after this modification is that of [2].

## References

- [1] L. van den Dries, A. Macintyre and D. Marker, *The elementary theory of restricted analytic fields with exponentiation*, Ann. of Math. 140 (1994), 183–205.
- J.-M. Lion et J.-P. Rolin, Théorème de préparation pour les fonctions logarithmico-exponentielles, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 47 (1997), 859–884.

Wiesław Pawłucki Institute of Mathematics Jagiellonian University Reymonta 4 30-059 Kraków, Poland E-mail: pawlucki@im.uj.edu.pl Artur Piękosz Institute of Mathematics Cracow University of Technology Warszawska 24 31-155 Kraków, Poland E-mail: pupiekos@cyf-kr.edu.pl

Reçu par la Rédaction le 24.9.1998