

## Even coefficient estimates for bounded univalent functions

by D. V. PROKHOROV (Saratov)

**Abstract.** Extremal coefficient properties of Pick functions are proved. Even coefficients of analytic univalent functions  $f$  with  $|f(z)| < M$ ,  $|z| < 1$ , are bounded by the corresponding coefficients of the Pick functions for large  $M$ . This proves a conjecture of Jakubowski. Moreover, it is shown that the Pick functions are not extremal for a similar problem for odd coefficients.

Let  $S$  denote the class of functions  $f$ ,

$$(1) \quad f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n,$$

analytic and univalent in the unit disk  $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ . Let  $S^M$ ,  $M > 1$ , denote the family of functions  $f \in S$  bounded by  $M$ :  $|f(z)| < M$  for  $|z| < 1$ . Moreover, set  $S^\infty = S$ .

L. de Branges [1] proved the Bieberbach conjecture:  $|a_n| \leq n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , in the class  $S$ , with equalities only for the Koebe functions  $K_\alpha$ ,

$$K_\alpha(z) = \frac{z}{(1 - e^{i\alpha}z)^2}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The functions  $P_\alpha^M \in S^M$  which satisfy the equation

$$\frac{M^2 P_\alpha^M(z)}{(M - P_\alpha^M(z))^2} = K_\alpha(z), \quad |z| < 1, \quad M > 1, \quad P_\alpha^\infty = K_\alpha,$$

are called *Pick functions*. Let

$$P_0^M(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} p_{n,M} z^n, \quad 1 < M \leq \infty, \quad p_{n,\infty} = n.$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 30C50.

*Key words and phrases*: coefficient estimates, univalent function, Pick function, Koebe function.

Z. Jakubowski [4] conjectured that even coefficients of functions  $f \in S^M$  are bounded by  $p_{n,M}$  for large  $M$ . Namely, for every even  $n \geq 2$  there exists  $M_n^+ > 1$  such that for all  $M \geq M_n^+$  and all  $f \in S^M$ ,

$$(2) \quad |a_n| \leq p_{n,M}.$$

For references to earlier results due to Z. Jakubowski, A. Zielińska, K. Zyskowska, L. Pietrasik, M. Schiffer, O. Tammi, O. Jokinen, see [4]. Recently the author’s student V. G. Gordenko [3] proved the Jakubowski conjecture for  $n = 6$ . Moreover, he showed that Pick functions do not maximize  $|a_5|$  in  $S^M$  with finite  $M$ .

In this article we prove the Jakubowski conjecture for all even  $n \geq 2$ . Moreover, we show that odd coefficients of functions  $f \in S^M$  do not necessarily satisfy (2) for sufficiently large  $M$ .

1. According to [1] only Koebe functions are extremal for the estimate of  $|a_n|$  in  $S$ . Since the classes  $S^M$  are rotation invariant, it is sufficient to find an upper estimate for  $\operatorname{Re} a_n$  instead of one for  $|a_n|$ . Thus the Jakubowski conjecture reduces to the fact that only Pick functions  $P_0^M$  and their rotations give a local maximum of  $\operatorname{Re} a_n$  in the class  $S^M$  for large  $M$ .

The author [6], [7] described a constructive algorithm determining the value set  $V_n^M$  of the coefficient system  $\{a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  in the class  $S^M$ ,  $1 < M \leq \infty$ . The set  $V_n^M$  is the set reachable at time  $t = \log M$  for the dynamical control system

$$(3) \quad \frac{da}{dt} = -2 \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} e^{-s(t+iu)} A(t)^s a(t), \quad a(0) = a^0,$$

where  $a = a(t) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ ,

$$a(t) = \begin{pmatrix} a_1(t) \\ \vdots \\ a_n(t) \end{pmatrix}, \quad A(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a_1(t) & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ a_2(t) & a_1(t) & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ a_{n-1}(t) & a_{n-2}(t) & \dots & a_1(t) & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$a^0 = (1, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ ,  $a_1(t) \equiv 1$ , and  $u = u(t)$  is a real control. Optimal controls satisfy the Pontryagin maximum principle. They maximize the Hamilton function

$$H(t, a, \bar{\psi}, u) = -2 \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} \operatorname{Re}[e^{-s(t+iu)} (A^s a)^T \bar{\psi}],$$

while the conjugate vector  $\bar{\psi} = (\bar{\psi}_1, \dots, \bar{\psi}_n)^T$  of complex-valued Lagrange

multipliers satisfies the conjugate Hamilton system

$$(4) \quad \frac{d\bar{\psi}}{dt} = 2 \sum_{s=1}^{n-1} e^{-s(t+iu)} (s+1)(A^T)^s \bar{\psi}, \quad \psi(0) = \xi.$$

The vector  $(\psi_2(\log M), \dots, \psi_n(\log M))$  is orthogonal to the boundary hypersurface  $\partial V_n^M$  of  $V_n^M$ . More precisely, it is orthogonal to a tangent plane or to a certain support plane if they exist. If  $\operatorname{Re} a_n$  attains its maximum at any point  $x \in \partial V_n^M$ , then there exists  $\psi$  such that  $(\psi_2(\log M), \dots, \psi_n(\log M)) = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$  at this point.

Points of  $\partial V_n^M$  are obtained from boundary extremal functions  $f, f(z) = Mw(z, \log M)$ , where  $w(z, t)$  are solutions of the Cauchy problem for Loewner's differential equation

$$(5) \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = -w \frac{e^{iu} + w}{e^{iu} - w}, \quad w|_{t=0} = z,$$

with optimal controls  $u = u(t)$ . Differentiating (5) with respect to  $z$ , we obtain a differential equation for  $w'(z, t)$ , from which we deduce differential equations for the coefficient system  $b(t) = \{b_0(t), \dots, b_{n-1}(t)\}$  of the function  $f'(z)/(e^t w'(z, t))$ . The system for  $b(t)$  coincides with (4) with  $A^T$  replaced by  $A$ . Hence if  $(\psi_2(\log M), \dots, \psi_n(\log M)) = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$ , then

$$(6) \quad (\psi_2(t), \dots, \psi_n(t)) = (b_{n-2}(t), \dots, b_0(t)).$$

The initial value at  $t = 0$  yields that  $\xi = (\xi_1, (n-1)a_{n-1}, \dots, 2a_2, 1)^T$ .

**2.** Now we are able to prove the theorem for odd coefficients of  $f \in S^M$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *The Pick functions  $P_0^M$  are not extremal for the problem of estimating  $\operatorname{Re} a_{2m+1}$  in the class  $S^M$ , for all sufficiently large finite  $M$  and natural  $m$ .*

**PROOF.**  $P_0^M$  and  $K_0$  correspond to the control  $u(t) \equiv \pi$  in (3)–(4). In this case the condition  $(\psi_2(\log M), \dots, \psi_n(\log M)) = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$  requires the initial value  $(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = ((n-1)p_{n-1, M}, \dots, 2p_{2, M}, 1)$ ,  $1 < M \leq \infty$ , in (4).

Put  $n = 2m + 1$  and write the Hamilton function at  $t = 0$ ,

$$H(0, a^0, \bar{\xi}, u) = -2 \sum_{s=1}^{2m} \xi_{s+1} \cos(su).$$

Hence

$$\frac{\partial H(0, a^0, \bar{\xi}, u)}{\partial u} = 2 \sum_{s=1}^{2m} s \xi_{s+1} \sin(su),$$

and this derivative vanishes at  $u = \pi$ . Moreover,

$$\left. \frac{\partial^2 H(0, a^0, \bar{\xi}, u)}{\partial u^2} \right|_{u=\pi} = 2 \sum_{s=1}^{2m} (-1)^s s^2 \xi_{s+1}.$$

Evidently this derivative vanishes if  $M = \infty$ . It must be non-positive for finite  $M$  if  $u \equiv \pi$  satisfies Pontryagin's maximum principle.

Let us examine how this derivative depends on  $M$ . Write

$$h(M) = \sum_{s=1}^{2m} (-1)^s s^2 \xi_{s+1} = \sum_{s=1}^{2m} (-1)^s s^2 (2m+1-s) p_{2m+1-s, M}, \quad p_{1, M} = 1.$$

Every coefficient  $p_{j, M}$  can be found from (3). It is the  $j$ th coordinate of the vector  $a(\log M)$  if  $u(t) \equiv \pi$ . Put  $T = 1 - 1/M$ ,  $h(M) = h(1/(1-T)) = g(T)$ . Then by elementary calculations we find from (3) that

$$\left. \frac{dg}{dT} \right|_{T=1} = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{s=1}^{2m-1} (-1)^s s^2 (2m-s)(2m+1-s)^2 (2m+2-s).$$

One can verify that  $(1/12)(j+1)(j+2)^2(j+3)$  is the  $j$ th coefficient of the function  $(1-z)^{-4} + 2z(1-z)^{-5}$  while  $(-1)^s s^2$  is the  $(s-1)$ th coefficient of  $(z-1)(z+1)^{-3}$ . Thus  $(-1/4) \frac{dg}{dT} |_{T=1}$  is the  $(2m-2)$ th coefficient of  $(1-z^2)^{-2}(1-z)^{-2}$ , and it is positive. Hence  $h(M)$  is decreasing for sufficiently large  $M$ . Since  $h(\infty) = 0$ , we conclude that  $h(M) > 0$  for large  $M$ .

The last result contradicts the maximizing property of the control  $u = \pi$ . This proves Theorem 1.

**3.** Now we are going to investigate the extremal properties of even coefficients of Pick functions.

**THEOREM 2.** *For every natural  $m$  there exists  $M_{2m}^+ > 1$  such that each function  $f \in S^M$  satisfies the inequalities (2) for  $n = 2m$  and all  $M \geq M_{2m}^+$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  denote an arbitrary neighbourhood of the function  $K_0$  in the class  $S$ , endowed with the topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets of the unit disk. Set  $X^M = X \cap S^M$ . The Pick function  $P_0^M$  belongs to  $X^M$  for sufficiently large  $M$ . By Section 1, it is sufficient to show that only  $P_0^M$  gives a local maximum for  $\text{Re } a_n$  in  $X^M$ .

Again we have  $(\psi_2(\log M), \dots, \psi_n(\log M)) = (0, \dots, 0, 1)$  at a point  $x \in \partial V_n^M$  where  $\text{Re } a_n$  attains its maximum. If  $x$  comes from a function  $f \in S^M$  with expansion (1), then we need the initial value  $(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = ((n-1)a_{n-1}, \dots, 2a_2, 1)$  in (4).

Put  $n = 2m$ ,  $\xi^0 = (\xi_1, (2m-1)^2, \dots, 1)^T$ . Then

$$H(0, a^0, \bar{\xi}^0, u) = -2 \sum_{s=1}^{2m-1} (2m-s)^2 \cos(su).$$

By elementary calculations we find that

$$H(0, a^0, \bar{\xi}^0, u) - H(0, a^0, \bar{\xi}^0, \pi) = \frac{(-\sin u)[2m \sin u - \sin(2mu)]}{(1 - \cos u)^2}.$$

It is easy to verify that the right-hand side of this equality is negative on  $[0, 2\pi]$ , except for  $u = \pi$ , where it vanishes. Thus

$$(7) \quad H(0, a^0, \bar{\xi}^0, u) \leq H(0, a^0, \bar{\xi}^0, \pi),$$

with equality only for  $u = \pi$ . Moreover,

$$\frac{\partial H(0, a^0, \bar{\xi}^0, u)}{\partial(\cos u)} = 2 \sum_{s=1}^{2m-1} (-1)^s s^2 (2m - s)^2.$$

This is the  $(2m - 2)$ th coefficient of  $-2(1 - z^2)^{-2}$ , and it is negative.

The sign of this derivative and the inequality (7) are preserved for close points  $\xi$ . Let  $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)^T$  be an arbitrary point in a neighbourhood of  $\xi^0$ , with  $\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$  real. Then according to the continuity principle  $H(0, a^0, \bar{\xi}, u)$  attains its maximum on  $[0, 2\pi]$  at the single point  $u = \pi$ . We can choose  $(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = ((n - 1)p_{n-1, M}, \dots, 2p_{2, M}, 1)$  for sufficiently large  $M$ . The control  $u = \pi$  satisfies Pontryagin's maximum principle for  $t > 0$  in a certain neighbourhood of the initial value  $t = 0$ , and the corresponding solution  $w(z, t)$  of Loewner's differential equation (5) has real coefficients. Hence  $u = \pi$  is optimal on the whole half-axis  $[0, \infty)$  (see e.g. [6], [7]). This gives the Pick function  $P_0^M$ . So  $P_0^M$  satisfies the necessary conditions for maximum of  $\operatorname{Re} a_n$ .

It remains to show that the necessary conditions for an extremum hold at a unique point in  $X^M$ .

Let us consider the point  $a = (1, 2, \dots, n)^T$  in  $\partial V_n = \partial V_n^\infty$  and its neighbourhood  $Q_a$ ,  $Q_a \subset \partial V_n$ . Points of  $Q_a$  appear as the phase space projections of solutions of the Cauchy problem for the Hamilton system (3), (4). The neighbourhood  $Q_a$  corresponds to a neighbourhood  $Q_\xi$  of the initial value  $\Lambda = (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = ((n - 1)^2, \dots, 1)$  in (4). This correspondence is not one-to-one. All points  $\xi^* \in Q_\xi$  with real coordinates  $\xi_2^*, \dots, \xi_n^*$  are mapped to the point  $a$ . The correspondence between the conjugate vector and the initial value is one-to-one in  $Q_\xi$ . This means that the hypersurface  $\partial V_n$  does not have any tangent hyperplane at  $a$ . It has support hyperplanes there. The initial value  $\Lambda$  selects the support hyperplane  $\Pi$  with normal vector  $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ . But  $\Pi$  and  $\partial V_n$  may be tangent along some directions in the imaginary parts of coordinates of the phase vector, i.e. along the directions of the imaginary parts of  $\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ . We will show that this is at most first order tangency.

Let  $(a(t), \psi(t))$  solve the Cauchy problem (3)–(4) with  $u = \pi$  and with initial value  $\Lambda$ , and let  $\Lambda^* = (\xi_2^*, \dots, \xi_n^*) = \Lambda + \varepsilon(\delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)$ , where  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $\delta_2, \dots, \delta_n$  are constant complex numbers. Suppose that  $\Pi$  and  $\partial V_n$  have second order tangency along the direction determined by  $(\delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)$ . The phase vector  $a^*(t)$  and the conjugate vector  $\psi^*(t)$  solve the Cauchy problem (3)–(4) with  $\psi^*(0) = (\xi_1, \xi_2^*, \dots, \xi_n^*)^T$  and with optimal control  $u^* = u^*(t, a^*, \psi^*)$ .

Second order tangency implies that  $\operatorname{Re} a_n^*(\infty) = n + O(\varepsilon^3)$ . Since  $|a_n^*(\infty)| \leq n$ , we have  $\operatorname{Im} a_n^*(\infty) = O(\varepsilon^2)$ , and so  $a_n^*(\infty) = n + O(\varepsilon^2)$ . By E. Bombieri's result stated in [5], there are constants  $\alpha_n$  and  $\beta_n$  such that  $\operatorname{Re}(2 - a_2) < \alpha_n \operatorname{Re}(n - a_n)$  for  $n$  even, and  $|2 - a_2| \leq \beta_n$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{Re} a_2^*(\infty) = 2 + O(\varepsilon^3)$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} a_2^*(\infty) = O(\varepsilon^2)$ , and so  $a_2^*(\infty) = 2 + O(\varepsilon^2)$ . By D. Bshouty's result [2], there exist constants  $c_k$  and  $d_k$  such that for  $k \geq 2$ ,  $\operatorname{Re}(k - a_k) \leq c_k \operatorname{Re}(2 - a_2)$  and  $k - |a_k| \leq d_k \operatorname{Re}(2 - a_2)$ . It follows that for  $2 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} a_k^*(\infty) = k + O(\varepsilon^3)$ ,  $\operatorname{Im} a_k^*(\infty) = O(\varepsilon^2)$ , and so  $a_k^*(\infty) = k + O(\varepsilon^2)$ . Hence  $(\psi_2^*(\infty), \dots, \psi_n^*(\infty)) = (0, \dots, 0, 1) + O(\varepsilon)$ . The relation (6) at  $t = 0$  implies that  $\Lambda^* = \Lambda + O(\varepsilon^2)$ . This contradicts our assumptions.

Thus the hyperplane  $\Pi$  may have at most first order tangency to  $\partial V_n$  along some directions.  $\Pi$  is the unique support hyperplane with normal vector  $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$  in the neighbourhood  $Q_a$ . The hypersurfaces  $\partial V_n^M$  depend analytically on  $M$ , except for manifolds of smaller dimension. Hence, passing from  $\partial V_n$  to  $\partial V_n^M$ , we have the unique support hyperplane with normal vector  $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$  in a neighbourhood  $Q_a^M \subset \partial V_n^M$  of the point  $a^M = (1, p_{2,M}, \dots, p_{n,M})^T$ , for  $M$  sufficiently large. This ends the proof.

Theorem 2 answers affirmatively the Jakubowski conjecture.

### References

- [1] L. de Branges, *A proof of the Bieberbach conjecture*, Acta Math. 154 (1985), 137–152.
- [2] D. Bshouty, *A coefficient problem of Bombieri concerning univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 91 (1984), 383–388.
- [3] V. G. Gordenko, *Sixth coefficient estimate for bounded univalent functions*, in: Theory of Functions and Approximation, Proc. 6th Saratov Winter School, Saratov (in Russian), to appear.
- [4] Z. Jakubowski, *On some extremal problems in classes of bounded univalent functions*, Zeszyty Nauk. Politechn. Rzeszowskiej Mat. Fiz. 16 (2) (1984), 9–16 (in Polish).
- [5] C. Pommerenke, *Univalent Functions*, Vandenhoeck and Ruprecht, Göttingen, 1975.

- [6] D. V. Prokhorov, *Value sets of systems of functionals in classes of univalent functions*, Mat. Sb. 181 (12) (1990), 1659–1677 (in Russian).
- [7] —, *Reachable Set Methods in Extremal Problems for Univalent Functions*, Izdat. Saratov. Univ., 1992.

FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS  
SARATOV STATE UNIVERSITY  
ASTRAKHANSKAYA, 83  
410071 SARATOV, RUSSIA

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 7.7.1992*