

[8] G. Sansone, *Equazioni differenziali nel campo reale*, Parte seconda, Bologna 1949.

[9] J. Szarski, *Sur un système d'inégalités différentielles*, Ann. Soc. Pol. Math. 20 (1947), p. 126-134.

[10] С. А. Чаплыгин, *Избранные труды по механике и математике*, Москва 1954.

[11] T. Ważewski, *Systèmes des équations et des inégalités différentielles ordinaires aux deuxièmes membres monotones et leurs applications*, Ann. Soc. Pol. Math. 23 (1950), p. 112-166.

[12] — *Sur une condition nécessaire et suffisante pour qu'une fonction continue soit monotone*, Ann. Soc. Pol. Math. 24 (1951), p. 111-119.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 18. 11. 1958

## On the uniqueness of the non-negative solution of the homogeneous mixed problem for a system of partial differential equations

by A. PLIŚ (Kraków)

In this paper we shall deal with the following system of partial differential equations of the second order:

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k,q=1}^n r_{ijkq}(Z) \frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial y_k \partial y_q} + \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ijk}(Z) \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k} + \sum_{j=1}^m b_{ij}(Z) u_j$$

$$(i = 1, \dots, m; Z = (x, Y) = (x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)),$$

with vanishing initial and boundary data. It will be proved that  $u_i \equiv 0$  ( $i = 1, \dots, m$ ) is the unique non-negative solution of that problem. The Cauchy problem for the partial differential equations of the first order ( $r_{ijkq} \equiv 0$ ) was treated also in [1]. For  $m = 1$ ,  $r_{11kq} = \delta_k^q$ ,  $a_{11k} \equiv 0$ ,  $b_{11} \equiv 0$  system (1) reduces to the heat equation. In that particular case the restriction to the non-negative solutions is unnecessary. For the general case, however, it is essential as may be shown by a modification of the example given in [2].

**THEOREM T.** *Let us assume that the coefficients  $r_{ijkq}$ ,  $a_{ijk}$ ,  $b_{ij}$  together with the derivatives  $\partial r_{ijkq} / \partial y_k$ ,  $\partial^2 r_{ijkq} / \partial y_k \partial y_q$ ,  $\partial a_{ijk} / \partial y_k$  ( $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ ;  $k, q = 1, \dots, n$ ) are continuous<sup>(1)</sup> with respect to  $Y$  in the prism  $R$   $\{0 < x < 1, |y_k| \leq 1 (k = 1, \dots, n)\}$  and the following inequalities are satisfied:*

$$(2) \quad r_{ijkq} \geq 0 \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, m; k = 1, \dots, n)$$

*on the lateral boundary  $S$  of  $R$  ( $S = R$ —interior of  $R$ ), and for certain constant  $K$  ( $K > 0$ )*

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial^2 r_{ijkq}}{\partial y_k \partial y_q} \leq K, \quad b_{ij} \leq K, \quad \frac{\partial a_{ijk}}{\partial y_k} \geq -K$$

*for  $Z \in R$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ ;  $k, q = 1, \dots, n$ .*

<sup>(1)</sup> This condition has been introduced for conciseness; it may be replaced by a weaker one.

Under the above assumptions every solution  $u_1(Z), \dots, u_m(Z)$  of system (1) of class  $C^2$  in  $R$ , continuous in  $\bar{R}$  satisfying the inequalities

$$(4) \quad u_i(Z) \geq 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \quad \text{for} \quad Z \in R$$

and the mixed conditions

$$(5) \quad u_i(0, Y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad |y_k| \leq 1, \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

$$(6) \quad u_i(x, Y) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \max_{k=1, \dots, n} |y_k| = 1, \quad i = 1, \dots, m$$

vanishes identically in  $R$ .

Proof. Let us introduce a section  $O_\xi$  of prism  $R$  by a plane  $x = \xi$  and sets  $P_\xi^j$ ,  $Q_\xi^j$  bounding  $O_\xi$ , given by the relations

$$P_\xi^j \{x = \xi, |y_k| \leq 1 \ (k = 1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n), y_j = -1\},$$

$$Q_\xi^j \{x = \xi, |y_k| \leq 1 \ (k = 1, \dots, j-1, j+1, \dots, n), y_j = 1\}.$$

Now let us define auxiliary linear operations, associating with the functions of the variables  $x, y_1, \dots, y_n$  functions of the variable  $x$ , given by the formulas

$$(7) \quad \begin{aligned} H(f) &= \int_{C_x}^n f(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) dy_1 \dots dy_n, \\ V_j(f) &= \int_{P_x^j}^{n-1} f(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) dy_1 \dots dy_{j-1} dy_{j+1} \dots dy_n, \\ W_j(f) &= \int_{Q_x^j}^{n-1} f(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) dy_1 \dots dy_{j-1} dy_{j+1} \dots dy_n. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  be an arbitrary solution of (1) of class  $C^2$  in  $R$ , continuous in  $\bar{R}$  and satisfying conditions (4), (5), (6).

Consider the function

$$(8) \quad g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m H(u_i).$$

By (7), (1) we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{dg(x)}{dx} \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^m \sum_{k,q=1}^n H \left( r_{ijkq} \frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial y_k \partial y_q} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n H \left( a_{ijk} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k} \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^m H(b_{ij} u_j). \end{aligned}$$

(\*) For  $n = 1$  we put  $V_j(f) = f(x, -1)$ ,  $W_j(f) = f(x, 1)$ .

From the theorem on integration by parts we have

$$(10) \quad H \left( r_{ijkq} \frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial y_k \partial y_q} \right) = W_k \left( r_{ijkq} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k} \right) - V_q \left( r_{ijkq} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_q} \right) - H \left( \frac{\partial r_{ijkq}}{\partial y_q} \cdot \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k} \right),$$

$$(11) \quad H \left( \frac{\partial r_{ijkq}}{\partial y_q} \cdot \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k} \right) = W_k \left( \frac{\partial r_{ijkq}}{\partial y_q} u_j \right) - V_q \left( \frac{\partial r_{ijkq}}{\partial y_q} u_j \right) - H \left( \frac{\partial^2 r_{ijkq}}{\partial y_k \partial y_q} u_j \right),$$

$$(12) \quad H \left( a_{ijk} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k} \right) = W_k(a_{ijk} u_j) - V_k(a_{ijk} u_j) - H \left( \frac{\partial a_{ijk}}{\partial y_k} u_j \right).$$

By (6) we have

$$(13) \quad u_j = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad P_x^k, Q_x^k \quad (j = 1, \dots, m; \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \quad 0 < x < 1),$$

$$(14) \quad \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_q} = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad P_x^k, Q_x^k \quad (j = 1, \dots, m; \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \quad q = 1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n; \quad 0 < x < 1).$$

From (6), (4) we obtain the inequalities

$$(15) \quad \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k} \geq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad P_x^k; \quad \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k} \leq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad Q_x^k \quad (j = 1, \dots, m; \quad k = 1, \dots, n; \quad 0 < x < 1).$$

From (10), (11), (13) it follows that

$$H \left( r_{ijkq} \frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial y_k \partial y_q} \right) = H \left( \frac{\partial^2 r_{ijkq}}{\partial y_k \partial y_q} u_j \right) + W_q \left( r_{ijkq} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k} \right) - V_q \left( r_{ijkq} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_q} \right).$$

Hence by (14), (15), (2)

$$(16) \quad H \left( r_{ijkq} \frac{\partial^2 u_j}{\partial y_k \partial y_q} \right) \leq H \left( \frac{\partial^2 r_{ijkq}}{\partial y_k \partial y_q} u_j \right).$$

Likewise by (13), (12)

$$(17) \quad H \left( a_{ijk} \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial y_k} \right) = -H \left( \frac{\partial a_{ijk}}{\partial y_k} u_j \right).$$

From (9), (16), (17) we have

$$\frac{dg(x)}{dx} \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^m \sum_{k,q=1}^n H \left( \frac{\partial^2 r_{ijkq}}{\partial y_k \partial y_q} u_j \right) - \sum_{i,j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^n H \left( \frac{\partial a_{ijk}}{\partial y_k} u_j \right) + \sum_{i,j=1}^m H(b_{ij} u_j)$$

and by (3), (8)

$$(18) \quad \frac{dg(x)}{dx} \leq K(mn^2 + mn + m)g(x).$$

From (5), (8), (7) we obtain

$$(19) \quad g(0) = 0.$$

By the theorem on the ordinary differential inequality it follows from (18), (19) that

$$g(x) \leq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

By (8), (7), (4) it follows that  $g(x) = 0$ . Hence by (8)

$$u_i \equiv 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, m) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < x < 1, \quad |y_k| \leq 1 \\ (k = 1, \dots, n) \quad \text{q. e. d.}$$

Remark. Applying Hadamard's lemma we may obtain an analogue of theorem 2 from [1] for the mixed problem for the following non-linear system of partial differential equations of the second order:

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x} = F_i \left( Z, U, \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_n}, \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y_1 \partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y_1 \partial y_n}, \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y_2 \partial y_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y_n \partial y_n} \right) \\ (i = 1, \dots, m; \quad U = (u_1, \dots, u_m)).$$

#### References

[1] A. Pliś, *On the uniqueness of the non-negative solution of the homogeneous Cauchy problem for a system of partial differential equations*, Ann. Polon. Math. 2 (1955), p. 314-318.

[2] — *The problem of uniqueness for the solution of a system of partial differential equations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Cl. III, 2 (1954), p. 55-57.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 15. 12. 1958

## Sur la stabilité asymptotique des solutions d'un système d'équations différentielles

par Z. OPIAL (Kraków)

1. Considérons le système de deux équations différentielles

$$(1) \quad X' = f(X, t),$$

où  $X$  désigne le vecteur  $(x_1, x_2)$  et  $f(X, t)$  est une fonction vectorielle  $(f_1(x_1, x_2, t), f_2(x_1, x_2, t))$  dont les composantes sont continues par rapport à  $(x_1, x_2, t)$  et de classe  $C^1$  par rapport à  $(x_1, x_2)$  dans tout l'espace à trois dimensions  $(x_1, x_2, t)$ .

Désignons par  $X(t; X_0, t_0)$  la solution (unique en vertu des hypothèses précédentes) du système (1) qui passe par le point  $(X_0, t_0)$ .

Soit  $K$  un ensemble fermé, borné et simplement connexe du plan  $(x_1, x_2)$ . On dit (cf. [8], p. 83) que le système (1) est *relativement borné* dans l'ensemble  $K$  si pour tout  $X_0 \in K$  et tout  $t_0$  on a  $X(t; X_0, t_0) \in K$  pour  $t \geq t_0$ .

On dit qu'une solution  $X(t)$  du système (1) est *asymptotiquement stable dans l'ensemble  $K$*  si le système considéré est relativement borné dans cet ensemble et si pour tout  $X_0 \in K$  et tout  $t_0$  on a la relation

$$(2) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |X(t; X_0, t_0) - X(t)| = 0,$$

où  $|X|$  désigne la longueur euclidienne du vecteur  $X$ .

De la relation (2) il vient que l'on a quels que soient les points  $X_1, X_2 \in K$  et  $t_0$ ,

$$(3) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} |X(t; X_1, t_0) - X(t; X_2, t_0)| = 0,$$

ce qui signifie que toute solution du système (1) passant par un point de l'ensemble  $K$  est forcément asymptotiquement stable dans cet ensemble. Donc, au lieu de dire qu'une solution choisie du système envisagé est asymptotiquement stable dans  $K$  on peut dire tout simplement que c'est le système (1) lui-même qui est asymptotiquement stable dans cet ensemble.