

# $L_\infty$ -ESTIMATES FOR SOLUTIONS OF NONLINEAR PARABOLIC SYSTEMS WITH GRADIENT LINEAR GROWTH

WOJCIECH M. ZAJĄCZKOWSKI

*Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences  
 Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warszawa, Poland*

**Abstract.** Existence of weak solutions and an  $L_\infty$ -estimate are shown for nonlinear non-degenerate parabolic systems with linear growth conditions with respect to the gradient. The  $L_\infty$ -estimate is proved for equations with coefficients continuous with respect to  $x$  and  $t$  in the general main part, and for diagonal systems with coefficients satisfying the Carathéodory condition.

**1. Introduction.** We consider the following initial boundary value problem for a nonlinear system of parabolic equations:

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{it} - \sum_{j=1}^m \nabla \cdot (a_{ij}(x, t, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u_j) &= f_i(x, t, u, \nabla u) \quad \text{in } \Omega^T = \Omega \times (0, T), \\ u_i|_{t=0} &= u_{0i} \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_i &= u_{bi} \quad \text{on } S^T = S \times (0, T), \end{aligned}$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded domain,  $S = \partial\Omega$  and the dot denotes scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Strictly speaking the main term in  $(1.1)_1$  takes the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \nabla \cdot (a_{ij} \cdot \nabla u_j) = \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{r,s=1}^n \partial_{x_r} (a_{ij}^{rs} \partial_{x_s} u_j).$$

Moreover,  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Our aim is to prove existence of solutions to (1.1) and then to show regularity under appropriate assumptions on the coefficients of  $(1.1)_1$ .

To this end we assume the following structure conditions. First

$$a_{ij} : \Omega^T \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n^2}, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, m,$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 35K50, 35K60, 35A05.

The paper is in final form and no version of it will be published elsewhere.

satisfy the Carathéodory condition and

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha_1 |\nabla u|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij}(x, t, u, \nabla u) \cdot \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla u_i \leq \alpha_2 |\nabla u|^2,$$

where  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2$  are positive constants and  $|\cdot|$  denotes the norm in  $\mathbb{R}^\alpha$ .

Moreover, there exists a positive constant  $\alpha_0$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \alpha_0 |\nabla u_1 - \nabla u_2|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^m (a_{ij}(x, t, u, \nabla u_1) \cdot \nabla u_{1j} - a_{ij}(x, t, u, \nabla u_2) \cdot \nabla u_{2j}) \cdot (\nabla u_{1i} - \nabla u_{2i}).$$

Finally, the r.h.s. (right hand side) functions

$$f_i : \Omega^T \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^{mn} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

satisfy the Carathéodory condition and there exist positive constants  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad |f_i(x, t, u, \nabla u)| \leq \beta_1 |\nabla u| + \beta_2 |u| + \beta_3, \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Now, we introduce some definitions and auxiliary results. First we define the Steklov averages

$$v_h(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{h} \int_{t-h}^t v(x, \tau) d\tau, & t \in (h, T], \\ 0, & t < h. \end{cases}$$

Next,

$$\mathring{W}_2^1(\Omega) = \{u \in W_2^1(\Omega) : u|_S = 0\}.$$

In this paper we prove existence of weak solutions to nonlinear parabolic systems with linear growth conditions with respect to  $\nabla u$  for the right-hand side functions. Next an  $L_\infty$ -estimate is shown in two cases. In the first case using the technique of Solonnikov (see [5]) an  $L_\infty$ -estimate is shown for general parabolic systems with coefficients of the main part continuous with respect to  $x$  and  $t$ . In the case of coefficients which are measurable with respect to  $x$  and  $t$  the  $L_\infty$ -estimate is shown by the method of Di Benedetto (see [3]) for diagonal systems only. Moreover, the diagonal elements are the same. In this paper the methods of [7] cannot be applied for general  $n$ .

## 2. Existence of weak solutions. First we need

DEFINITION 2.1. By a weak solution of problem (1.1) we mean solutions  $u_i \in L_\infty(0, T; L_2(\Omega)) \cap L_p(0, T; W_p^1(\Omega))$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , of the integral identity

$$(2.1) \quad - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega^T} (u_i - u_{0i}) \phi_{it} dx dt + \sum_{i,j=1}^m \int_{\Omega^T} a_{ij} \cdot \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla \phi_i dx dt = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \int_{\Omega^T} f_i \phi_i dx dt,$$

which holds for any  $\phi_i$  such that  $\phi_i|_S = 0$ ,  $\phi_i|_{t=T} = 0$ ,  $\phi_{it} \in L_2(\Omega^T)$ ,  $\phi_i \in L_\infty(0, T; L_2(\Omega)) \cap L_2(0, T; \mathring{W}_2^1(\Omega))$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ .

To obtain necessary estimates we need the following identity with Steklov averages:

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega \times (h, T)} \left( \partial_t u_{hi} \phi_i + \sum_{j=1}^m (a_{ij} \cdot \nabla u_j)_h \cdot \nabla \phi_i - f_{ih} \phi_i \right) dx dt = 0.$$

Hence, we have

LEMMA 2.2. *Let  $u_b \in L_\infty(0, T; L_2(\Omega)) \cap W_2^1(\Omega^T)$ ,  $u_0 - u_b(0) \in L_2(\Omega)$ . Let (1.2) and (1.4) hold.*

*Then there exist constants  $c_1 = c_1(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3)$ ,  $c_2 = c_2(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_2)$  such that*

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq e^{c_1 t} \left[ c_2 \int_{\Omega^t} (|u_b|^2 + |u_{bt}|^2 + |\nabla u_b|^2) dx dt \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \beta_3 |\Omega^t| + \text{ess sup}_t \int_{\Omega} |u_b|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_0 - u_b(0)|^2 dx \right], \quad t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|\Omega^t| = t \text{ vol } \Omega$ .

Proof. Putting  $\phi_i = u_{hi} - u_{bi}$  into (2.2), integrating with respect to time and passing with  $h$  to 0 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u - u_b|^2 dx + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \\ & \leq \int_{\Omega^t} |u - u_b| |u_{bt}| dx dt + \alpha_2 \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla u| |\nabla u_b| dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_{\Omega^t} (\beta_1 |\nabla u| + \beta_2 |u| + \beta_3) |u - u_b| dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_0 - u_b(0)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (1.2) and (1.4).

In view of the Hölder and Young inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u - u_b|^2 dx + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^t} (|u - u_b|^2 + |u_{bt}|^2) dx dt \\ & \quad + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla u|^2 dx dt + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla u_b|^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + \frac{\beta_1^2}{2\varepsilon} \int_{\Omega^t} |u - u_b|^2 dx dt + \beta_2 \int_{\Omega^t} |u - u_b|^2 dx dt \\ & \quad + \beta_2 \int_{\Omega^t} |u_b| |u - u_b| dx dt + \beta_3 \int_{\Omega^t} |u - u_b| dx dt \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u_0 - u_b(0))^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon = \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$  and using again the Hölder and Young inequalities implies

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u - u_b)^2 dx + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \leq \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\beta_1^2}{\alpha_1} + \frac{3\beta_2}{2} + \frac{\beta_3}{2} \right) \int_{\Omega^t} (u - u_b)^2 dx dt$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\alpha_1} \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla u_b|^2 dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega^t} |u_{bt}|^2 dx dt \\
& + \frac{\beta_2}{2} \int_{\Omega^t} |u_b|^2 dx dt + \frac{\beta_3}{2} |\Omega^t| + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (u_0 - u_b(0))^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $|\Omega^t| = t|\Omega|$  and  $|\Omega| = \text{vol } \Omega$ .

In view of the Gronwall inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\Omega} |u - u_b|^2 dx + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla u|^2 dx dt \\
& \leq e^{(1 + \frac{2\beta_1^2}{\alpha_1} + 3\beta_2 + \beta_3)t} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{2\alpha_2^2}{\alpha_1} + \beta_2 \right) \int_{\Omega^t} (|\nabla u_b|^2 + |u_{bt}|^2 + |u_b|^2) dx dt \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \beta_3 |\Omega^t| + \int_{\Omega} (u_0 - u_b(0))^2 dx \right].
\end{aligned}$$

Using  $\int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx \leq \int_{\Omega} |u - u_b|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} |u_b|^2 dx$  in the above inequality gives (2.3). This concludes the proof.

Now, we prove existence of solutions to (1.1).

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 hold. Let (1.3) hold and let  $S$  be Lipschitz continuous. Then there exists a weak solution to problem (1.1) such that*

$$u_i \in L_{\infty}(0, T; L_2(\Omega)) \cap L_2(0, T; W_2^1(\Omega)), \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

and the estimate (2.3) holds.

**Proof.** To prove existence of solution to problem (1.1) we replace  $\partial_t u$  by the backward difference quotient

$$\partial_t^{-h} u = \frac{1}{h} [u(t) - u(t-h)].$$

Hence, to prove existence of solutions to (1.1) we approximate (1.1) using time and space discretization. Successively, on time levels we solve approximated (projected on finite-dimensional space) elliptic equations.

Then, we prove estimates for approximate solutions. Finally, we pass to the limit to show existence.

Let  $e_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, \lambda$ , be linearly independent smooth functions in  $\mathring{W}_2^1(\Omega)$  such that their linear combinations are dense in  $\mathring{W}_2^1(\Omega)$ . Then we are looking for an approximate solution of (1.1) in the form

$$(2.4) \quad u_{\alpha}(x, t) = u_{bh} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} d_{\alpha, i}(t) e_i(x), \quad (x, t) \in \Omega^T,$$

where  $\alpha = (h, \lambda^{-1})$ ,  $d_{\alpha, i}(t) \in L_{\infty}(0, T)$  are constant on the subintervals  $I_k = (t_{k-1}, t_k)$ ,  $t_k = kh$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, s$ ,  $h = \frac{T}{s}$ ,  $s \in \mathbf{N}$ . The values of  $d_{\alpha}$  on  $I_k$  are determined successively

for  $k = 1, \dots, \frac{T}{h}$  by solving the elliptic problems

$$(2.5) \quad S_\alpha(u_\alpha, \phi) := \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left[ \partial_t^{-h} u_{\alpha i}(t) \phi_i + \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ijh} \cdot \nabla u_{\alpha j} \cdot \nabla \phi_i - f_{ih} \phi_i \right] dx = 0,$$

which hold for any  $\phi_i \in V_\lambda = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_\lambda\}$ ,

$$a_{ijh} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{(k-1)h}^{kh} a_{ij}(s, x, u_\alpha(t), \nabla u_\alpha(t)) ds,$$

$$f_{ih} = \frac{1}{h} \int_{(k-1)h}^{kh} f_i(s, x, u_\alpha(t), \nabla u_\alpha(t)) ds, \quad t \in ((k-1)h, kh).$$

We take the initial data

$$(2.6) \quad u_\alpha(t) := u_{0h}(t) \quad \text{for } -h < t \leq 0,$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad u_{0h} := \min \left( 1, \frac{1}{h|u_0|} \right) u_0,$$

and the boundary conditions

$$(2.8) \quad u_{bh}(x, t) := \frac{1}{h} \int_{(k-1)h}^{kh} u_b(x, s) ds, \quad t \in ((k-1)h, kh),$$

where  $u_{bh}$  is time independent also in each interval  $((k-1)h, kh)$ .

The choice of  $u_{0h}$  implies that we can determine  $u_\alpha(t)$  inductively for  $t \in ((k-1)h, kh)$  as a solution of an elliptic problem. In fact if  $u_\alpha(t-h)$  is known the l.h.s. of (2.5) defines a continuous mapping  $\Phi_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\lambda$ , where the  $\lambda$  parameters are the unknown coefficients of  $u_\alpha(t)$ .

To prove the existence of  $u_\alpha(t)$  for  $t \in (0, kh)$  we assume that  $u_\alpha(t)$  is already known in  $(0, (k-1)h)$ . Therefore, we have to determine  $\{d_{\alpha, i}\}_{i=1, \dots, \lambda}$  for  $t \in (0, kh)$ . Consider a continuous mapping  $\Phi_\alpha : \mathbb{R}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\lambda$  such that

$$\Phi_{\alpha i}(d_\alpha) := S_\alpha(u_\alpha, e_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, \lambda,$$

where  $d_\alpha = u_\alpha - u_{bh}$ ,  $d_\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^\lambda d_{\alpha, i}(t) e_i(x)$ . Then using (2.5) we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \Phi_\alpha(d_\alpha) \cdot d_\alpha &= \sum_{i=1}^\lambda \Phi_{\alpha i}(d_\alpha) d_{\alpha, i} = \sum_{i=1}^\lambda S_\alpha(u_\alpha, e_i) d_{\alpha, i} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^\lambda S_\alpha(u_\alpha, u_\alpha - u_{bh}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{h} (u_{\alpha i}(t) - u_{\alpha i}(t-h)) (u_{\alpha i}(t) - u_{bh i}) dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ijh} \cdot \nabla u_{\alpha j}(t) \cdot \nabla (u_{\alpha i} - u_{bh i}) - f_{ih} (u_{\alpha i} - u_{bh i}) \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

In view of the Hölder and Young inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.10) \quad \Phi_\alpha(d_\alpha) \cdot d_\alpha \geq & \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{h} \left( |u_\alpha(t)|^2 - \varepsilon_1 |u_\alpha(t)|^2 - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} |u_{bh}|^2 \right. \\
 & \left. - \frac{1}{2\varepsilon_1} |u_\alpha(t-h)|^2 - |u_\alpha(t-h)| |u_{bh}| \right) dx \\
 & + \int_{\Omega} \alpha_1 |\nabla u_\alpha|^2 dx - \varepsilon_2 \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\alpha|^2 dx - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{2\varepsilon_2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{bh}|^2 dx \\
 & - \frac{\beta_1^2}{2\varepsilon_2} \int_{\Omega} |u_\alpha - u_{bh}|^2 dx - \beta_2 \int_{\Omega} |u_\alpha|^2 dx \\
 & - \frac{\beta_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} (|u_\alpha|^2 + |u_{bh}|^2) dx - \beta_3 \int_{\Omega} (|u_\alpha| + |u_{bh}|) dx.
 \end{aligned}$$

Choosing  $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\varepsilon_2 = \frac{\alpha_1}{2}$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.11) \quad \Phi_\alpha(d_\alpha) \cdot d_\alpha \geq & \left( \frac{1}{2h} - \frac{2\beta_1^2}{\alpha_1} - \frac{3\beta_2}{2} - \beta_3 \right) \int_{\Omega} |u_\alpha|^2 dx \\
 & + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\alpha|^2 dx - \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} (|u_{bh}|^2 dx + |u_\alpha(t-h)|^2 dx \\
 & + |u_\alpha(t-h)| |u_{bh}|) dx - \frac{\alpha_2^2}{\alpha_1} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_{bh}|^2 dx - \frac{2\beta_1^2}{\alpha_1} \int_{\Omega} |u_{bh}|^2 dx \\
 & - \frac{\beta_2}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u_{bh}|^2 dx - \beta_3 \int_{\Omega} |u_{bh}| dx - \frac{\beta_3}{4} |\Omega|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large  $|d_\alpha(t)|$  and sufficiently small  $h$  we have  $\Phi_\alpha(d_\alpha) \cdot d_\alpha > 0$ , so there exists  $d_{\alpha_0}(t)$  such that  $\Phi_{\alpha_0}(d_{\alpha_0}) = 0$ , that is,  $u_\alpha(t)$  exists.

Now, we obtain an estimate for solutions of (2.5). We put  $\phi = u_\alpha(t) - u_b$  into (2.5) and integrate the result over  $t$  from 0 to  $t_{i+1}$ , where  $t_i = ih$ ,  $i \leq \frac{T}{h}$ . Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.12) \quad & \int_0^{t_{i+1}} \frac{1}{h} \int_{\Omega} (u_\alpha(t) - u_\alpha(t-h))(u_\alpha(t) - u_{bh}(t)) dx dt \\
 & + \int_0^{t_{i+1}} \sum_{k,l=1}^m \int_{\Omega} a_{lk} \cdot \nabla u_{\alpha k} \cdot \nabla (u_{\alpha l} - u_{bh l}) dx dt - \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^{t_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega} f_{lh} (u_{\alpha l} - u_{bh l}) dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the formula in line 6 on page 316 of [1] and the structure conditions (1.2) and (1.4) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.13) \quad & \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega} u_\alpha^2(t) dx dt - \int_{\Omega} u_{0h}^2 dx + \alpha_1 \int_0^{t_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\alpha|^2 dx dt \\
 & \leq - \int_0^{t_i} \int_{\Omega} (u_\alpha - u_{0h}) \partial_t^h u_{bh} dx dt + \frac{1}{h} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega} (u_\alpha - u_{0h}) u_{bh} dx dt
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \alpha_2 \int_0^{t_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\alpha| |\nabla u_{bh}| dx dt \\
 & + \int_0^{t_{i+1}} \int_{\Omega} (\beta_1 |\nabla u_\alpha| + \beta_2 |u_\alpha| + \beta_3) |u_\alpha - u_{bh}| dx dt.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $u_\alpha$  and  $u_{bh}$  are constants in the intervals  $(t_i, t_{i+1})$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, \frac{T}{h} - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.14) \quad & \int_{\Omega} u_\alpha^2(t_{i+1}) dx + \alpha_1 \int_0^{t_{i+1}} dt \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\alpha|^2 dx \\
 & \leq c_1 \int_0^{t_{i+1}} dt \int_{\Omega} u_\alpha^2(t) dx + c_2 \int_{\Omega} (u_{bh}^2(t_{i+1}) + u_{0h}^2) dx \\
 & \quad + c_3 \int_0^{t_{i+1}} dt \int_{\Omega} (|u_{0h}|^2 + |\partial_t^h u_{bh}|^2 + |u_{bh}|^2 + |\nabla u_{bh}|^2) dx + c_4.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, in view of the Gronwall lemma we obtain

$$(2.15) \quad \int_{\Omega} u_\alpha^2(t_{i+1}) dx + \alpha_1 \int_0^{t_{i+1}} dt \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_\alpha|^2 dx \leq c,$$

so (2.15) holds for any  $t \in (0, T)$ .

From (2.15) we can choose a subsequence of  $\{u_\alpha\}$  still denoted by  $\{u_\alpha\}$  such that  $u_\alpha \rightarrow u$  weakly in  $L_2(0, T; \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega))$ , and  $u_\alpha \rightarrow u$  weak star in  $L_\infty(0, T; L_2(\Omega))$ , as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .

Now, we shall show almost everywhere convergence of  $u_\alpha \rightarrow u$  in  $\Omega^T$ . Changing the time variable in (2.5) from  $t$  to  $t + h$  and integrating the result over  $t$  from 0 to  $T - h$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.16) \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m \left( \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{T-h} \int_{\Omega} (u_{\alpha i}(t+h) - u_{\alpha i}(t)) \cdot \phi_i dx dt \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \int_0^{T-h} \int_{\Omega} \left( \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ijh} \nabla u_{\alpha j} \nabla \phi_i - f_{ih} \phi_i \right) dx dt \right) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Putting  $\phi = u_\alpha(t+h) - u_\alpha(t) - (u_{bh}(t+h) - u_{bh}(t))$  we get

$$(2.17) \quad \int_0^{T-h} dt \int_{\Omega} (u_\alpha(t+h) - u_\alpha(t))^2 dx \leq ch.$$

Hence, in view of Lemma 1.9 from [1]  $u_\alpha \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L_1(\Omega^T)$ , so

$$(2.18) \quad u_\alpha \rightarrow u \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega^T.$$

Now, from Lemma 6.3, Ch. 5, Sect. 6 of [4] we see that  $u_\alpha \rightarrow u$  strongly in  $L_r(\Omega^T)$ , where  $r < q = p^{\frac{n+2}{n}}$ .

Finally, we prove strong convergence of  $\nabla u_\alpha$  to  $\nabla u$ . To show this we put  $\phi = u_\alpha - v_\alpha =: w_\alpha$  into (2.5), where  $v_\alpha \in L_2(0, T; V_\lambda)$  are approximations of  $u$  in  $L_2(0, T; \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega))$ , which

are time independent in each interval  $((k-1)h, kh)$ , so

$$(2.19) \quad v_\alpha \rightarrow u \quad \text{strongly in } L_2(0, T; W_2^1(\Omega)).$$

From (2.5) we have

$$(2.20) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_t^{-h} u_{\alpha i} w_{\alpha i} dx dt + \sum_{i,j=1}^m \int_0^t \int_\Omega a_{ijh} \nabla u_{\alpha j} \nabla w_{\alpha i} dx dt \\ = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \int_\Omega f_{ih} \cdot w_{\alpha i} dx dt.$$

From [1] we know that  $\Phi = \frac{1}{2}(u_1^2 + \dots + u_m^2)$ ,  $b = (u_1, \dots, u_m) = \nabla \Phi$ ,  $B(u) = \frac{1}{2}(u_1^2 + \dots + u_m^2)$ , so

$$(2.21) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \int_\Omega \partial_t^{-h} u_{\alpha i} w_{\alpha i} dx dt \geq \frac{1}{h} \int_{t-h}^t \int_\Omega B(u_\alpha(t)) dx dt - \int_\Omega B(u(t)) dx + o(\alpha),$$

where  $o(\alpha) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ .

The second term in (2.20) takes the form

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m \int_0^t \int_\Omega a_{ijh} \nabla u_{\alpha j} \nabla w_{\alpha i} dx dt \\ = \sum_{i,j=1}^m \int_0^t \int_\Omega a_{ijh} (\nabla w_{\alpha j} \nabla w_{\alpha i} + \nabla(v_{\alpha j} - u_j) \nabla w_{\alpha i} + \nabla u_j \nabla w_{\alpha i}) dx dt \\ \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3,$$

where  $I_2$  converges to zero because of strong convergence of  $v_\alpha \rightarrow u$  in  $L_2(0, T; \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega))$ .

Finally,  $I_3 \rightarrow 0$  because  $w_\alpha$  converges weakly to 0 in  $L_2(0, T; \dot{W}_2^1(\Omega))$ .

Finally, we examine the last term in (2.20). Hence, we consider

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \int_\Omega f_{ih} \cdot w_{\alpha i} dx dt \right| \leq c(\nabla u_\alpha L_2(\Omega^t) + \|\nabla u_\alpha\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)} + 1) \|w_\alpha\|_{L_2(\Omega^t)},$$

which converges to zero because  $w_\alpha \rightarrow 0$  strongly in  $L_2(\Omega^T)$ .

Summarizing the above results we get

$$\frac{1}{h} \int_{t-h}^t \int_\Omega B(u_\alpha(t)) dx dt - \int_\Omega B(u(t)) dx + \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla w_\alpha|^2 dx dt \leq o(\alpha),$$

where in view of the Fatou lemma

$$\liminf_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \int_\Omega \frac{1}{h} \int_{t-h}^t B(u_\alpha(t)) dx dt - \int_\Omega B(u(t)) dx \geq 0.$$

Hence,

$$(2.22) \quad \nabla u_\alpha \rightarrow \nabla u \quad \text{strongly in } L_2(\Omega^T).$$



Finally, we pass to the limit in the integral identity

$$(2.23) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} \partial_t^{-h} u_{\alpha i} \phi_i dx dt + \sum_{i,j=1}^m \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} a_{ijh} \nabla u_{\alpha j} \nabla \phi_i dx dt = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} f_{ih} \cdot \phi_i dx dt.$$

In the first term we use the integration by parts formula and we can pass to the limit since  $\phi \in H^1(\Omega^T)$ . In the other two terms we can pass to the limit because of (2.18), (2.20) and Theorem 2, Ch. 1, Sect. 4 of [2]. Hence (2.1) follows. This concludes the proof.

### 3. Regularity of solutions. First we have

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $S \in C^2$ ,  $a_{ij} = a_{ij}(x, t) \in C(\Omega^T; \mathbb{R}^{n^2})$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ . Let the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 hold. Then the weak solution belongs to  $W_p^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$ ,  $p > 1$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $u_i \in L_\infty(0, T; L_2(\Omega)) \cap L_2(0, T; W_2^1(\Omega))$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and (1.4) holds, the r.h.s. of (1.1) are in  $L_2(\Omega^T)$ . Hence, in view of [5] we have  $u_i \in W_2^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then by imbedding theorems  $\nabla u_i \in L_{p_1}(\Omega^T)$  and  $u_i \in L_{q_1}(\Omega^T)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , where  $p_1 \leq \frac{2(n+2)}{n}$ ,  $q_1 \leq \frac{2(n+2)}{n-2}$ . Now the r.h.s. of (1.1) are in  $L_{p_1}(\Omega^T)$ , so in view of [5],  $u_i \in W_{p_1}^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Then imbedding theorems imply that  $\nabla u_i \in L_{p_2}(\Omega^T)$ ,  $u_i \in L_{q_2}(\Omega^T)$ , where  $p_2 \leq \frac{p_1(n+2)}{n+2-2p_1}$ ,  $q_2 \leq \frac{p_1(n+2)}{n+2-2p_1}$ . Continuing the considerations we get at the  $k$ th step  $\nabla u_i \in L_{p_k}(\Omega^T)$ ,  $u_i \in L_{q_k}(\Omega^T)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , and  $p_k \leq \frac{p_{k-1}(n+2)}{n+2-2p_{k-1}}$ ,  $q_k \leq \frac{p_{k-1}(n+2)}{n+2-2p_{k-1}}$ . By induction  $p_s = \frac{2(n+2)}{n-2(s-1)}$  and  $q_s = \frac{2(n+2)}{n-2s}$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ . Hence, at the  $s$ th step  $u_i \in W_{p_s}^{2,1}(\Omega^T)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , so for sufficiently large  $s$  we conclude the proof.

In the case when  $a_{ij}$  are not continuous with respect to  $x$  and  $t$  the result of Solonnikov (see [5]) cannot be used. Then we obtain an  $L_\infty$ -estimate by applying the method of Di Benedetto (see [3], Ch. 8, Sect. 2).

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $S$  be Lipschitz continuous, let  $a_{ij} = a\delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $a = a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$  be measurable with respect to  $x, t$  and continuous with respect to  $u, \nabla u$ . Let the assumptions of Lemma 2.2 hold. Then the weak solution is bounded.*

**Proof.** We use the integral identity

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} [\partial_t u_{ih} \phi_i + (a \cdot \nabla u_i)_h \cdot \nabla \phi_i] dx dy = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_0^t \int_{\Omega} f_{ih} \cdot \phi_i dx dt,$$

where  $\phi_i = u_{ih} f(|u_h|)$ ,  $f$  is a nonnegative, nondecreasing function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying  $\sup_{0 \leq s \leq l} f'(s) < \infty$  for all  $l > 0$ , and  $f(\omega) = f_\varepsilon[(\omega - k)_+]$ , where

$$f_\varepsilon(s) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \geq \varepsilon, \\ \varepsilon^{-1}s & \text{if } 0 < s < \varepsilon, \\ 0 & \text{if } s \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and  $k > k_0$ ,  $k_0 = \max\{|\omega|_{t=0}|_{L_\infty(\Omega)}, |\omega|_S|_{L_\infty(S \times (0, T))}\}$ .

Using the function  $\phi_i$  in (3.1), integrating with respect to time and passing with  $h$  to zero we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\omega} s f(s) ds + \frac{\alpha_1}{2} \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla u|^2 f(\omega) dx dt + \alpha_1 \int_{\Omega^t} |u_i \nabla u_i|^2 \frac{f'(\omega)}{\omega} dx dt \\ \leq c \int_{\Omega^t} (1 + \omega^2) f(\omega) dx dt + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^{\omega} s f(s) ds|_{t=0},$$

where  $\omega = |u|$ . Then passing with  $\varepsilon$  to zero we get

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} (\omega - k)_+^2 dx + \int_{\Omega^t} |\nabla(\omega - k)_+|^2 dx dt \leq A \int_{\Omega^t} \omega^2 \chi\{(\omega - k) > 0\} dx dt.$$

Now, using Lemma 2 of [6] we obtain  $\sup_{\Omega^T} \omega \leq 2k_0$ . This concludes the proof.

#### 4. Remarks

1. Using the technique of DiBenedetto we proved an  $L_{\infty}$ -estimate for the system

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{it} - \operatorname{div}(a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \nabla u_i) &= f_i(x, t, u, \nabla u), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ u_i|_{t=0} &= u_{i0}, \quad u_i|_S = u_{bi}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $|f_i| \leq c_4 |\nabla u| + c_5 |u| + c_6$ ,  $0 < c_1 \leq a(x, t, u, \nabla u) \leq c_2$ ,  $|u_0| + |u_b| \leq c_3$ ,  $c_1 - c_6$  are positive constants and  $a(x, t, u, \nabla u)$  is measurable with respect to  $x, t$  and continuous with respect to  $u, \nabla u$ . Continuity with respect to  $u$  and  $\nabla u$  is necessary to prove existence of weak solutions.

2. Assuming continuity with respect to  $x$  and  $t$  in the principal part of the parabolic system we can prove regularity for weak solutions to the following system using the technique of Solonnikov:

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} u_{it} - \sum_{j,l=1}^n \sum_{i,k=1}^m \partial_{x_j} (a_{ijkl}(x, t) u_{kx_l}) &= f_i(x, t, u, \nabla u), \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \\ u_i|_{t=0} &= u_{i0}, \quad u_i|_S = u_{bi}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_{ijkl} = a_{ijkl}(x, t)$  are continuous with respect to  $x, t$  and satisfy the Legendre-Hadamard condition

$$a_{ijkl} \xi^{ij} \xi^{kl} \geq a_0 |\xi|^2, \quad a_0 > 0,$$

where  $|\cdot|$  is the euclidean norm in the linear space of matrices. The other assumptions are the same as in (4.1). Applying the technique of Solonnikov we can also show that  $u_i \in L_{\infty}(\Omega^T)$  and  $\nabla u_i \in L_{\infty}(\Omega^T)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Moreover, Theorem 3.1 implies some Hölder continuity of  $\nabla u$  also if data are sufficiently smooth.

In the above considerations the linear growth of  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , with respect to  $\nabla u$  plays the role of critical exponent.

In this case we can repeat the considerations of [7] implying an  $L_{\infty}$ -estimate and we obtain the inequality  $Y_{s+1} \leq c \frac{2^{as}}{k^a} Y_s^{1+\alpha}$  (see (3.18) of [7]) but  $\alpha > 0$  holds for  $n < 2$  only.

## References

- [1] H. W. Alt and S. Luckhaus, *Quasilinear elliptic-parabolic differential equations*, Math. Z. 183 (1983) 311–341.
- [2] J. P. Aubin and I. Ekeland, *Applied Nonlinear Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1984.
- [3] E. DiBenedetto, *Degenerate Parabolic Equations*, Springer, 1993.
- [4] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov and N. N. Uraltseva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1968.
- [5] V. A. Solonnikov, *On boundary problems for linear parabolic systems of differential equations of general type*, Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov. 83 (1965) (in Russian); English transl.: Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. 83 (1967).
- [6] M. Wiegner, *Global solutions to a class of strongly coupled parabolic systems*, Math. Ann. 292 (1992), 711–727.
- [7] W. M. Zajączkowski,  *$L_\infty$ -estimate for solutions of nonlinear parabolic systems*, this volume.