

- [K1] K. Kunen, *Some applications of iterated ultrapowers in set theory*, Ann. Math. Logic 1 (1970), pp. 179–227.
- [K2] — *Set Theory*, North-Holland, 1980.
- [P] K. Prikry, *Changing measurable into accessible cardinals*, Dissertationes Math. 68 (1970), pp. 5–52.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN
Madison, Wisconsin 53706

Accepté par la Rédaction le 27. 10. 1980

Periodic homeomorphisms of chainable continua

by

Wayne Lewis (Lubbock, Tex.)

Abstract. We prove that for every positive integer n there exists a chainable continuum M_n which admits a homeomorphism of period n . While each M_n can be made to be a pseudo-arc, there also exist for each n non-hereditarily indecomposable chainable continua with homeomorphisms of period n .

Introduction. It is an easy exercise to show that every periodic homeomorphism of an arc must either have period 2 or be the identity. Beverly Brechner [Br-1] has constructed a homeomorphism of the wedge of two pseudo-arcs of period 4. (The pseudo-arc itself has an obvious homeomorphism of period 2.) Until now, all known periodic homeomorphisms of chainable continua had periods 1, 2, or 4.

Michel Smith and Sam Young [SY-1] have shown that if a chainable continuum admits a homeomorphism of period greater than 2, then the continuum must contain an indecomposable continuum. Since the pseudo-arc is hereditarily indecomposable [M-1], [B-1], and in many other ways is at the opposite end of the spectrum from an arc, it would seem a natural place to try to construct a homeomorphism of period greater than 2. It will follow from our results that the pseudo-arc has such homeomorphisms of high period, but our construction is more easily described for non-hereditarily indecomposable continua. (The author earlier [L-1] announced the existence of homeomorphisms of prime period for the pseudo-arc. The results here, in addition to being stronger, have what are hopefully much more readily understandable proofs.)

Throughout, by saying that a homeomorphism h has period n we will mean that n is the smallest positive integer such that h^n is the identity.

Construction of M_n . Let T_n be the continuum resulting from $[0, 1] \times \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ by identifying $\{0\} \times \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ to a point. (Thus T_3 is the standard triod.)

Let M be an integer greater than n . Let f_1 be a map from T_n onto T_n satisfying the following conditions. Let

$$\delta_1 = \frac{1}{2^{2(2^1-1)} M^{(2^1)}}.$$

Then

$$[2 \sum_{j=0}^K j\delta_i^2, 2 \sum_{j=0}^K j\delta_i^2 + (K+1)\delta_i^2] \times \{0\}$$

is mapped linearly, order preserving, onto $[0, (K+1)\delta_i] \times \{p\}$ where p is congruent to $K \pmod{n}$ and $K = 0, \dots, 1/\delta_i - 1$. The interval

$$[2 \sum_{j=0}^K j\delta_i^2 + (K+1)\delta_i^2, 2 \sum_{j=0}^{K+1} j\delta_i^2] \times \{0\}$$

is mapped linearly, order reversing, onto $[0, (K+1)\delta_i] \times \{p\}$. If $f_i(\langle x, 0 \rangle) = \langle y, p \rangle$, then $f_i(\langle x, r \rangle) = \langle y, p+r \rangle$, where addition in the second co-ordinate is done modulo n .

Let $M_n = \varinjlim \langle T_n^i, f_i \rangle$ where each T_n^i is homeomorphic to T_n and $f_i: T_n^{i+1} \rightarrow T_n^i$ is the f_i defined above.

Let $h_n^i: T_n^i \rightarrow T_n^i$ be the homeomorphism defined by $h_n^i(\langle x, r \rangle) = \langle x, r+1 \rangle$. (Addition in the second co-ordinate is done modulo n .) It is easily checked that $h_n^i f_i = f_i h_n^{i+1}$ for each i , so there is an induced homeomorphism $h_n: M_n \rightarrow M_n$.

Proof of periodic homeomorphisms. We are now ready to prove the existence of period n homeomorphisms for chainable continua. This will be done in two steps.

THEOREM 1. *For each positive integer n , h_n is a homeomorphism of M_n having period n .*

Proof. Each $h_n^i: T_n^i \rightarrow T_n^i$ clearly has period n . Since the h_n^i 's commute with the bonding maps f_i , the induced h_n also has period n . ■

Of course, since M_n was defined as an inverse limit of trees (n -ods), we need to show that M_n is arc-like, not just tree-like. The following theorem will suffice to prove this.

THEOREM 2. *For each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a map $f: M_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that $\text{diam}(f^{-1}(x)) < \varepsilon$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$.*

Proof. Define $g_j: T_n^j \rightarrow [0, 1]$ to satisfy the following conditions. The interval $[2 \sum_{p=0}^K p\delta_{j-1}^2, 2 \sum_{p=0}^{K+1} p\delta_{j-1}^2] \times \{r\}$ is mapped linearly, order preserving, onto $[2 \sum_{p=0}^{K+r-n} p\delta_{j-1}^2, 2 \sum_{p=0}^{K+r-n+1} p\delta_{j-1}^2]$ for $n-r \leq K \leq 1/\delta_{j-1} - 2$. The interval $[1 - \delta_{j-1}, 1] \times \{r\}$ is mapped linearly, order preserving onto $[2 \sum_{p=0}^{K+r-n} p\delta_{j-1}^2, 2 \sum_{p=0}^{K+r-n} p\delta_{j-1}^2 + (K+r-n+1)\delta_{j-1}^2]$, where $K+1 = 1/\delta_{j-1}$. The interval $[0, 2 \sum_{p=0}^{n-r} p\delta_{j-1}^2] \times \{r\}$ is mapped onto 0.

Let $p_j: M_n \rightarrow T_n^j$ be the projection of M_n onto the j th co-ordinate. Define $\tilde{g}_j: M_n \rightarrow [0, 1]$ by $\tilde{g}_j = g_j p_j$.

If $g_{j+1}(x) = g_{j+1}(y)$, then $\text{dist}(f_j(x), f_j(y)) < 2n\delta_j$. If $k > j$ and $g_k(x) = g_k(y)$, then

$$\text{dist}(f_j f_{j+1} \dots f_{k-1}(x), f_j f_{j+1} \dots f_{k-1}(y)) < \frac{1}{2^{k-j}} n\delta_j.$$

Thus if $\tilde{g}_j(x) = \tilde{g}_j(y)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(x, y) &< \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{2^i} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{j-i-1}} n\delta_i + \sum_{i=j}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{i-j}} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{1}{2^{j-i-1}} n\delta_i + \frac{1}{2^{j-2}} < \frac{1}{2^{j-3}} \end{aligned}$$

(since $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} n\delta_i < 2$). Hence, if we choose j sufficiently large, $\text{diam } g_j^{-1}(x) < 1/2^{j-3} < \varepsilon$ for each $x \in [0, 1]$. Let $f = \tilde{g}_j$.

COROLLARY 1. *For each n , M_n is a chainable continuum and h_n is a period n homeomorphism of M_n .*

Note that by our construction M_n is indecomposable for every n (even $n = 1, 2$). In a sense, M_n is a minimal example in that any subcontinuum of M_n which is mapped onto itself by h_n must be homeomorphic to M_n . (M_n also has other subcontinua which are arcs, Knaster continua, etc.) One can modify M_n , introducing crookedness into the f_i 's, to make M_n a pseudo-arc.

COROLLARY 2. *For each positive integer n , there exists a homeomorphism of the pseudo-arc of period n .*

One can modify M_n , increasing the branching at each of the defining stages (making T_n^i homeomorphic to T_n) and adjusting the f_i 's accordingly, to produce a non-hereditarily indecomposable chainable continuum admitting homeomorphisms of every period.

By making T_n^j homeomorphic to $T_{(p^j)}$ and further adjusting the f_i 's one can construct a non-trivial p -adic Cantor group action on a chainable continuum, including one on the pseudo-arc.

QUESTIONS. The arc has no homeomorphisms of period greater than 2, the pseudo-arc has homeomorphisms of every period, and there are other chainable continua with no periodic homeomorphisms other than the identity.

QUESTION 1. What conditions on a chainable continuum permit (guarantee) the existence of homeomorphisms of period n ? p -adic Cantor group actions?

As we have constructed it, M_n has only one fixed point, 0, under h_n . The fixed point is also an endpoint of M_n , as the maps in Theorem 2 show. Thus we can attach any other chainable continuum N with an endpoint P to M_n , by identifying P with 0. $M_n \cup N$, with this identification, is a decomposable chainable continuum with a homeomorphism of period n . If N admits a homeomorphism of period s fixing P , then

$M_n \cup N$ admits a homeomorphism of period t , where t is the least common multiple of n and s . If N is homeomorphic to M_n , then $M_n \cup N$ admits a homeomorphism of period $2n$ (e.g. Brechner's period 4 homeomorphism of the wedge of two pseudo-arcs). However, all of these homeomorphisms in a sense factor into periodic homeomorphisms on indecomposable continua.

QUESTION 2. Is there a decomposable chainable continuum admitting a homeomorphism of period greater than 2 which does not factor into periodic homeomorphisms on indecomposable continua?

The following two questions are suggested by Brechner's work.

QUESTION 3. Are all period 2 (period n) homeomorphisms of the pseudo-arc conjugate?

QUESTION 4. For which periodic homeomorphisms of the pseudo-arc and which embeddings of the pseudo-arc in E^2 can the homeomorphisms be extended to periodic homeomorphisms of E^2 ?

Added in proof. Juan Toledo has recently extended these results by constructing periodic homeomorphisms on the pseudo-arc with nondegenerate subcontinua as fixed point sets.

References

- [B-1] R. H. Bing, *Snake-like continua*, Duke Math. J. 18 (1951), pp. 653-663.
- [B-2] — *A homogeneous indecomposable plane continuum*, Duke Math. J. 15 (1948), pp. 729-742.
- [Br-1] B. Brechner, *Periodic homeomorphisms on chainable continua*, Fund. Math. 64 (1969), pp. 197-202.
- [Br-2] — *On stable homeomorphisms and embeddings of the pseudo-arc*, Ill. J. Math. 22 (1978), pp. 630-661.
- [L-1] W. Lewis, *Periodic homeomorphisms on chainable continua*, Notices A. M. S. 26 (1979), Abstract 763-54-16, A 124.
- [L-2] — *Embeddings of the pseudo-arc in E^2* , Pacific J. Math. 93 (1981), pp. 115-120.
- [M-1] E. E. Moise, *An indecomposable plane continuum which is homeomorphic to each of its nondegenerate subcontinua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 63 (1948), pp. 581-594.
- [S-1] M. Smith, *Uncountably many different embeddings of the pseudo-arc in the plane* (preprint).
- [SY-1] — and S. Young, *Periodic homeomorphisms on T-like continua* (preprint).

TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY
Lubbock, TX 79409

Accepté par la Rédaction le 11. 11. 1980