

as determined by  $x$  in the manner described in Section 1. See Figure 7 for a picture of  $R(S_x)$  where  $R$  is a figure eight, and Figure 8 for another example.

We can map  $R(S_x)$  onto  $S_x$  by mapping  $S^1$  identically onto itself, all of the  $k$  spirals homeomorphically onto one of themselves, and  $A$  onto the endpoint of that spiral. It may be checked by means of nerves of  $\varepsilon$ -covers that  $R(S_x)$  is  $R$ -like. But there can be no model for  $\mathcal{R}$  since, if there were, it would also be a model for  $\mathcal{S}$ . ■

# References

- [1] H. Bell, *On fixed point properties of plane continua*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 128 (1967) pp. 539-548.
- [2] D. Fellamy, *Mappings of indecomposable continua*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 30 (1971), pp. 179-180.
- [3] H. Cook, *Tree-likeness of hereditarily equivalent continua*, Fund. Math. 68 (1970), pp. 203-205.
- [4] L. Fearnley, *Characterizations of the continuous images of the pseudo-arc*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1964), pp. 380-399.
- [5] W. T. Ingram, *Concerning atrioidic tree-like continua*, Fund. Math. 101 (1978), pp. 189-193.
- [6] — *Hereditarily indecomposable tree-like continua*, Fund. Math. 103 (1979), pp. 61-64.
- [7] F. B. Jones, *Homogeneous plane continua*, Proceedings of the Auburn Topology Conference, 1969, pp. 46-56.
- [8] W. Kuperberg, *Uniformly pathwise connected continua*, Fund. Math. (to appear).
- [9] A. Lelek, *On weakly chainable continua*, Fund. Math. 51 (1962), pp. 271-282.
- [10] M. McCord, *Embedding P-like compacta in manifolds*, Canad. J. Math. 19 (1967), pp. 321-332.
- [11] J. Mioduszewski, *A functional conception of snake-like continua*, Fund. Math. 51 (1962), pp. 179-189.
- [12] J. T. Rogers, *Pseudo-circles and universal circularly chainable continua*, Ill. J. Math. 14 (1970), pp. 222-237.
- [13] J. W. Rogers, *Continuous mappings on continua*, Proceedings of the Auburn Topology Conference, 1969, pp. 94-97.
- [14] Z. Waraszkiewicz, *Sur un problème de M. H. Hahn*, Fund. Math. 22 (1934), pp. 180-205.

Accepté par la Rédaction le 7. 3. 1977

## Decompositions in the product of a measure space and a Polish space

by

J. Bourgain (Brussel)

**Abstract.** Let  $X, \mathcal{M}, \mu$  be a complete probability space and  $Y$  a Polish space with Borel field  $\mathcal{B}_Y$ . It is shown that if  $A \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ , then  $\{x \in X; A(x) \text{ is } F_\sigma\}$  and  $\{x \in X; A(x) \text{ is } F_{\sigma\delta}\}$  are both measurable. Furthermore, we prove the existence of "measurable decompositions". From those results, we deduce a theorem on the stability of the class of the Baire-2 functions under integration.

**Introduction.** Assume  $X, \mu$  a probability space and let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\sigma$ -measurable subsets of  $X$ . Let  $Y$  be a Polish space with Borel field  $\mathcal{B}_Y$ . By well known arguments, we obtain that if  $A \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ , then the sections  $A(x)$ , where  $x$  is taken in  $X$ , are of bounded Baire class. Hence  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$  is the union of the classes  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$  ( $\alpha < \omega_1$ ), consisting of the sets  $A \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ , such that  $A(x)$  is of Baire class at most  $\alpha$ , for each  $x \in X$ , where the Baire class is defined with respect to the closed sets. Let  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \mathcal{S}_0$ , which is stable under countable intersections. Starting from  $\mathcal{F}_0$ , we obtain a Baire system  $(\mathcal{F}_\alpha)_{\alpha < \omega_1}$ . It is a natural question if  $\mathcal{S}_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$  coincide for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . We will answer it affirmatively for  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\alpha = 2$ .

Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{M \times F; M \in \mathcal{M}, F \text{ closed in } Y\}$ . The class of the  $\mathcal{P}$ -analytic subsets of  $X \times Y$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ , or simply  $\mathcal{A}$ , if no confusion is possible. Let  $A \in \mathcal{A}$  and assume  $A = \bigcup_v \bigcap_k (M_{v|k} \times F_{v|k})$ , where  $v$  runs over  $\mathcal{N} = \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$ .

In such a representation, it will be always assumed that

$$M_{v|k} \times F_{v|k} \neq \emptyset, \quad M_{v|k+1} \times F_{v|k+1} \subset M_{v|k} \times F_{v|k} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{diam } F_{v|k} \leq 1/k,$$

for each  $v \in \mathcal{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . It is easily seen that  $\mathcal{A}$  contains  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ .

**DEFINITION 1.** If  $A \subset X \times Y$ , then  $\bar{A}^\varepsilon \subset X \times Y$  is defined by  $\bar{A}^\varepsilon(x) = \overline{A(x)}$ .

The following description of the set  $\bar{A}^\varepsilon$  will be useful. If  $y \in Y$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then  $B(y, \varepsilon)$  is the open ball with midpoint  $y$  and radius  $\varepsilon$ . Let  $(y_n)_n$  be a dense sequence in  $Y$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we take  $M_{nk} = \pi_1(A \cap (X \times B(y_n, 1/k)))$ , where  $\pi_1$  is the projection on  $X$ . Then  $\bar{A}^\varepsilon = \bigcap_{k,n} (M_{nk} \times B(y_n, 1/k))$ . From this observation, we obtain immediately:

PROPOSITION 2. Let  $A \subset X \times Y$  and suppose  $\mu(\pi_1(A)) = 0$ . If  $A(x)$  is closed in  $Y$  for each  $x \in X$ , then  $A \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ .

PROPOSITION 3. If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\pi_1(A) \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Proof. Assume  $A = \bigcup_v \bigcap_k (M_{v|k} \times F_{v|k})$ , then  $\pi_1(A) = \bigcup_v \bigcap_k M_{v|k}$  and therefore an  $\mathcal{M}$ -analytic set. Thus  $\pi_1(A) \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Clearly (3) implies the following:

PROPOSITION 4. If  $A \in \mathcal{A}$ , then  $\bar{A}^s \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ .

PROPOSITION 5. Let  $Y, Z$  be Polish spaces and assume  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow X \times Z$  an  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y - \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Z$  measurable map satisfying  $\pi_1 \circ f = \pi_1$ . Then  $f(A) \in \mathcal{A}(X, Z)$ , for each  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ .

Proof. Let  $g = \pi_2 \circ f$ , which is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y - \mathcal{B}_Z$  measurable. Let  $h: X \times Y \times Z \rightarrow Z^2$  be given by  $h(x, y, z) = (g(x, y), z)$ . Clearly  $h$  is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y \otimes \mathcal{B}_Z - \mathcal{B}_Z \otimes \mathcal{B}_Z$  measurable. Hence  $h^{-1}(\Delta) \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y \otimes \mathcal{B}_Z$ , where  $\Delta$  is the diagonal of  $Z^2$ . Thus  $h^{-1}(\Delta) \cap (A \times Z)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y \times Z)$ . Therefore  $h^{-1}(\Delta) \cap (A \times Z)$  has a representation  $\bigcup_v \bigcap_k (M_{v|k} \times F'_{v|k} \times F''_{v|k})$ , implying

$$f(A) = \pi_{X \times Z}(h^{-1}(\Delta) \cap (A \times Z)) = \bigcup_v \bigcap_k (M_{v|k} \times F''_{v|k}) \in \mathcal{A}(X, Z).$$

PROPOSITION 6. If  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ , then there exist a subset  $D$  of  $X \times \mathcal{N}$  and a map  $\varphi: X \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow X \times Y$ , verifying the following properties:

1.  $D \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}}$ ,
2.  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}} - \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$  measurable,
3.  $D(x)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{N}$  for each  $x \in X$ ,
4.  $\pi_1 \circ \varphi = \pi_1$  and  $\pi_2 \circ \varphi_x$  is continuous for each  $x \in X$ ,
5.  $\varphi(D) = A$ .

Proof. Assume  $A = \bigcup_v \bigcap_k (M_{v|k} \times F_{v|k})$ . For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $(n_1, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , let

$$\mathcal{N}_{n_1 \dots n_k} = \{v \in \mathcal{N}; v_1 = n_1, \dots, v_k = n_k\}.$$

Obviously

$$D = \bigcup_v \bigcap_k (M_{v|k} \times \mathcal{N}_{v|k}) = \bigcap_k \bigcup_{n_1, \dots, n_k} (M_{n_1 \dots n_k} \times \mathcal{N}_{n_1 \dots n_k})$$

verifies (1) and (3). The map  $\varphi$  is given by  $\varphi(x, v) = (x, \bigcap_k F_{v|k})$ , where  $\bigcap_k F_{v|k}$  is a unique point of  $Y$ . Clearly  $\pi_1 \circ \varphi = \pi_1$  and using standard arguments we obtain that  $\pi_2 \circ \varphi_x$  is continuous for each  $x \in X$ . It follows immediately that  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}} - \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$  measurable. Further

$$\varphi(D) = \bigcup_v \varphi(\bigcap_k M_{v|k} \times \{v\}) = \bigcup_v \bigcap_k (M_{v|k} \times F_{v|k}) = A,$$

completing the proof.

**Decomposition results.** Let  $X, \mathcal{M}, \mu$  be a complete probability space and  $Y$  a Polish space.  $\pi_1$  will denote the projection on  $X$  and  $\pi_2$  the projection on  $Y$ . The bulk of this paper is the following proposition, which is essentially based on the Baire category theorem.

PROPOSITION 7. For each  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_x$  be a set of closed subsets of  $Y$ , verifying the following condition:

(S)  $A$  closed subset of a set in  $\mathcal{C}_x$  also belongs to  $\mathcal{C}_x$  and  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{C}_x$ .

Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$  and take  $C = \{x \in X; A(x) \text{ can be covered by countably many elements of } \mathcal{C}_x\}$ . Then there are sequences  $(A_n)_n, (B_k)_k$  in  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$  and a sequence  $(X_k)_k$  of subsets of  $X$ , such that:

1.  $A_n(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and each  $x \in X$ .
- For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ :
2.  $\mu_*(X_k) = 0$ ,
3.  $\{x \in \pi_1(B_k); B_k(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x\} \subset X_k$ ,
4.  $X_k \setminus \{x \in \pi_1(B_k); B_k(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x\}$  is negligible,
5.  $C \cap \pi_1(A \setminus \bigcup_n A_n) \subset \bigcup_k X_k$ .

Proof. Using Proposition 6, there is a subset  $D$  of  $X \times \mathcal{N}$  and a map  $\varphi: X \times \mathcal{N} \rightarrow X \times Y$  satisfying the following properties:

1.  $D \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}}$ ,
2.  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}} - \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$  measurable,
3.  $D(x)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{N}$  for each  $x \in X$ ,
4.  $\pi_1 \circ \varphi = \pi_1$  and  $\pi_2 \circ \varphi_x$  is continuous for each  $x \in X$ ,
5.  $\varphi(D) = A$ .

Let  $(V_k)_k$  be a countable base for the topology of  $\mathcal{N}$ . By transfinite induction, we define for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  a sequence  $(M_{k\alpha})_k$  in  $\mathcal{M}$  and a sequence  $(\psi_{k\alpha})_k$  in  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}}$  as following:

1. Let  $M_{k0} = \psi_{k0} = \emptyset$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .
2. Now assume  $(M_{k\alpha})_k$  and  $(\psi_{k\alpha})_k$  obtained for each  $\alpha < \beta$ . Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  be fixed.

Take

$$\Phi_{k\beta} = (X \times V_k) \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \bigcup_l \psi_{l\alpha} \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

Let  $M_{k\beta}$  be a measurable subset of

$$\{x \in X; \overline{\varphi(\Phi_{k\beta} \cap D)}(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x\},$$

with measure the inner measure of this set. Finally, take

$$\psi_{k\beta} = \Phi_{k\beta} \cap (M_{k\beta} \times \mathcal{N}) \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{N}}.$$

This completes the construction.

It is clear that when  $k \in N$  is fixed,  $\mu(M_{k\alpha})$  increases when  $\alpha$  increases. Hence there exists some ordinal  $\eta < \omega_1$  so that  $\mu(M_{k\eta}) = \mu(M_{k,\eta+1})$  for each  $k \in N$ . The sequence  $(A_n)_n$  will be the countable family  $\{\varphi(\psi_{k\alpha} \cap D)^s; \alpha \leq \eta, k \in N\}$ . For each  $k \in N$ , define

$$B_k = \overline{\varphi(\Phi_{k,\eta+1} \cap (M_{k\eta}^c \times \mathcal{N}) \cap D)^s} \quad \text{and} \quad X_k = \{x \in M_{k\eta}^c; \overline{\varphi(\Phi_{k,\eta+1} \cap D)^s}(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x\}.$$

Using successively Proposition 5 and Proposition 4, we see that  $(A_n)_n$  and  $(B_k)_k$  are sequences in  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ . We prove that the required conditions are verified.

1. Let  $\alpha \leq \eta$ ,  $k \in N$  and  $x \in X$ . We remark that

$$\varphi(\psi_{k\alpha} \cap D)(x) = (\pi_2 \circ \varphi_x)(\psi_{k\alpha}(x) \cap D(x)).$$

If  $x \notin M_{k\alpha}$ , then

$$\overline{\varphi(\psi_{k\alpha} \cap D)^s}(x) = \emptyset \in \mathcal{C}_x.$$

If  $x \in M_{k\alpha}$ , we have

$$\overline{\varphi(\psi_{k\alpha} \cap D)^s}(x) = \overline{\varphi(\Phi_{k\alpha} \cap D)^s}(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x.$$

2. This follows from the property

$$\mu(M_{k\eta}) = \mu(M_{k,\eta+1}) = \mu_*\{x \in X; \overline{\varphi(\Phi_{k,\eta+1} \cap D)^s}(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x\}.$$

3. If  $x \in \pi_1(B_k)$ , then  $x \in M_{k\eta}^c$  and  $B_k(x) = \overline{\varphi(\Phi_{k,\eta+1} \cap D)^s}(x)$ .

4. First, we have that  $M_{k\eta}^c \setminus \pi_1(B_k) \subset X_k$ . Indeed, if  $x \in M_{k\eta}^c \setminus \pi_1(B_k)$ , then

$$\emptyset = B_k(x) = \overline{\varphi(\Phi_{k,\eta+1} \cap D)^s}(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x.$$

Since  $M_{k\eta}^c \setminus \pi_1(B_k) \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $M_{k\eta}^c \setminus \pi_1(B_k)$  is negligible. Now

$$X_k \setminus \{x \in \pi_1(B_k); B_k(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x\} \subset (M_{k\eta}^c \setminus \pi_1(B_k)) \cup \{x \in X_k; B_k(x) \notin \mathcal{C}_x\}.$$

Because  $\{x \in X_k; B_k(x) \notin \mathcal{C}_x\} = X_k \cap \{x \in M_{k\eta}^c; B_k(x) \notin \mathcal{C}_x\} = \emptyset$ , we obtain (4).

5. Let  $x \in X$  be fixed and define  $I_x = \{k \in N; x \in M_{k\eta}\}$ . Obviously

$$V_k \subset \bigcup_{\alpha < \eta} \bigcup_l \psi_{l\alpha}(x) \cup \Phi_{k\eta}(x) \quad \text{for each } k \in N.$$

Therefore  $\bigcup_{l \in I_x} V_l \subset \bigcup_{\alpha < \eta} \bigcup_l \psi_{l\alpha}(x)$ .

Now suppose  $x \in C$  and  $D(x) \not\subset \bigcup_{\alpha < \eta} \bigcup_l \psi_{l\alpha}(x)$ . Clearly  $D(x) \setminus \bigcup_{l \in I_x} V_l \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $(F_r)_r$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{C}_x$  with  $A(x) \subset \bigcup_r F_r$ . Because  $D(x) \subset \bigcup_r (\pi_2 \circ \varphi_x)^{-1}(F_r)$

and each set  $(\pi_2 \circ \varphi_x)^{-1}(F_r)$  is closed in  $\mathcal{N}$ , we obtain by the Baire category theorem some  $r \in N$  such that  $(\pi_2 \circ \varphi_x)^{-1}(F_r) \cap (D(x) \setminus \bigcup_{l \in I_x} V_l)$  has nonempty interior in

$$D(x) \setminus \bigcup_{l \in I_x} V_l.$$

Take  $k \in N$  satisfying

$$\emptyset \neq V_k \cap (D(x) \setminus \bigcup_{l \in I_x} V_l) \subset (\pi_2 \circ \varphi_x)^{-1}(F_r).$$

It follows that  $(\Phi_{k,\eta+1} \cap D)(x) \subset (\pi_2 \circ \varphi_x)^{-1}(F_r)$  and thus  $\varphi(\Phi_{k,\eta+1} \cap D)(x) \subset F_r$ . Since (S) holds, we find  $\varphi(\Phi_{k,\eta+1} \cap D)^s(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x$ . On the other side,  $k \notin I_x$ , implying that  $x \in X_k$ . Hence, if  $x \in C \setminus \bigcup_k X_k$ , then  $D(x) \subset \bigcup_{\alpha < \eta} \bigcup_l \psi_{l\alpha}(x)$  and thus  $A(x) \subset \bigcup_n A_n(x)$ .

This completes the proof.

**COROLLARY 8.** Assume that the class  $\mathcal{C}_x$  ( $x \in X$ ) satisfy the following additional hypothesis:

(M) If  $B \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ , then  $\{x \in X; B(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x\} \in \mathcal{M}$ .

Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$  and let  $C$  be as in Proposition 7. Then:

1.  $C \in \mathcal{M}$ .

2. If  $C = X$ , then exists a sequence  $(F_n)_n$  in  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$  such that  $F_n(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x$  for each  $n$  and each  $x \in X$  and  $A \subset \bigcup_n F_n$ .

**Proof.** We consider the sequences  $(A_n)_n$ ,  $(B_k)_k$  and  $(X_k)_k$  obtained in Proposition 7.

Let  $k \in N$  be fixed. Since (M) holds,  $\{x \in \pi_1(B_k); B_k(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x\} \in \mathcal{M}$  and thus also  $X_k \in \mathcal{M}$ , by (3) and (4). It follows that  $X_k$  is negligible. It is clear that

$$X \setminus \pi_1(A \setminus \bigcup_n A_n) \subset C \subset (X \setminus \pi_1(A \setminus \bigcup_n A_n)) \cup \bigcup_k X_k,$$

showing that  $C$  is measurable.

Assume now  $C = X$ . For each  $x \in \pi_1(A \setminus \bigcup_n A_n)$ , we consider a sequence  $(F_n^x)_n$  in  $\mathcal{C}_x$  so that  $A(x) \subset \bigcup_n F_n^x$ . For each  $n \in N$ , take  $F_n(x) = A_n(x)$  if  $x \notin \pi_1(A \setminus \bigcup_n A_n)$  and  $F_n(x) = F_n^x$  otherwise. Applying Proposition 2, we see that  $F_n \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ . Furthermore  $F_n(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x$  for each  $x \in X$ . By construction  $A \subset \bigcup_n F_n$ .

**THEOREM 9.** If  $A \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ , then  $\{x \in X; A(x) \text{ is } F_\sigma\} \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $A(x)$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set for each  $x \in X$ , then there exists a sequence  $(F_n)_n$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  so that  $A = \bigcup_n F_n$ . We conclude that  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{F}_1$ .

**Proof.** For each  $x \in X$ , let  $\mathcal{C}_x = \{F \subset A(x); F \text{ closed}\}$ . Clearly condition (S) is satisfied. To show (M), take  $B \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ . Then

$$\{x \in X; B(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x\} = X \setminus (\pi_1(\bar{B}^c \setminus B) \cup \pi_1(B \setminus A)) \in \mathcal{M}.$$

The proof is completed by Corollary 8.

Our next objective is to show that  $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{F}_2$ . To do this, we need more material.

**DEFINITION 10.** Let  $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} N^k$ , where  $N^0 = \{\emptyset\}$ . Let  $S \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$  be

fixed and let  $\bigcup_k \bigcap_{v|k} (M_{v|k} \times F_{v|k})$  be a representation of  $S$ . If  $c \in N^k$ , we take  $S_c = S$  if  $k = 0$  and  $S_c = \bigcup_{v|k=c} \bigcap_{v|l} (M_{v|l} \times F_{v|l})$  otherwise. We introduce for each  $x \in X$  and  $c \in \mathcal{G}$  a transfinite system  $(\mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c))_{\alpha < \omega_1}$  of subsets of  $2^Y$  as following:

1.  $\mathcal{D}_x^0(c) = \{P \subset Y; \bar{P} \cap S_c(x) = \emptyset\}$ ,
2.  $\mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c) = \{P \subset Y; \text{for each } r \in N, P \text{ has a countable closed covering } (F_n)_n, \text{ such that } P \cap F_n \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathcal{D}_x^\beta(c, r) \text{ for each } n \in N\}$ .

If  $A \subset X \times Y, x \in X$  and  $c \in \mathcal{G}$ , let  $\text{cl}_c(A, x) = \omega_1$  if  $A(x) \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c)$  and otherwise the smallest  $\alpha < \omega_1$  satisfying  $A(x) \in \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c)$ . Propositions 11 and 12 are related to that definition.

**PROPOSITION 11.** *For each  $x \in X$  and  $c \in \mathcal{G}$ :*

1.  $\mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c)$  increases when  $\alpha$  increases.
2. For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $P \in \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c)$ ,  $Q \subset P \Rightarrow Q \in \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c)$ .
3. If  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $P \in \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c)$ , then there exists an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -subset of  $Y$ , which contains  $P$  and is disjoint from  $S_c(x)$ .

4. Conversely, if  $P$  is contained in an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set, which is disjoint from  $S_c(x)$ , then  $P \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c)$ .

Suppose  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ , then:

5. For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $\{x \in X; \text{cl}_c(A, x) \leq \alpha\} \in \mathcal{M}$ , for each  $c \in \mathcal{G}$ .
6. If  $\text{cl}_c(A, x) \leq \beta$  for each  $x \in X$ , then for every  $r \in N$  there is a sequence  $(F_n)_n$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  so that  $\text{cl}_{(c,r)}(A \cap F_n, x) < \beta$  for each  $n \in N$  and each  $x \in X$  and  $A \subset \bigcup_n F_n$ .

**Proof.** 1. This follows immediately from the definition.

2. The property is true if  $\alpha = 0$ . Using induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , the proof is easily completed.

3. The proof is given by induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . The case  $\alpha = 0$  is obvious. Assume now the property true for each  $\alpha < \beta$  and let  $P \in \mathcal{D}_x^\beta(c)$ . Since  $S_c = \bigcup_r S_{(c,r)}$ , we only have to show that for each  $r \in N$  the set  $P$  is contained in an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set, which is disjoint from  $S_{(c,r)}(x)$ . Let  $(F_n)_n$  be a countable closed covering of  $P$  with  $P \cap F_n \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c, r)$  for each  $n$ . By induction hypothesis we have for each  $n \in N$  an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -subset  $Q_n$  of  $Y$  satisfying  $P \cap F_n \subset Q_n$  and  $Q_n \cap S_{(c,r)}(x) = \emptyset$ . The set  $Q = \bigcup_n F_n \setminus \bigcup_n (F_n \setminus Q_n)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set containing  $P$  and disjoint from  $S_{(c,r)}(x)$ .

4. Assume  $P = \bigcap_{m \in N} F_{m,n}$  disjoint from  $S_c(x)$  and  $P \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c)$ . We claim that there are 2 sequences  $(r_m)_m$  and  $(n_m)_m$  of integers so that

$$P \cap F_{1,n_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{m,n_m} \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c, r_1, \dots, r_m) \quad \text{for each } m \in N.$$

Indeed, suppose  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  and  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  obtained. Since  $P \cap F_{1,n_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{m,n_m}$  is covered by the closed sets  $F_{m+1,n}$  ( $n \in N$ ), there must exist some  $r_{m+1} \in N$  and some  $n_{m+1} \in N$  satisfying

$$P \cap F_{1,n_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{m,n_m} \cap F_{m+1,n_{m+1}} \notin \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c, r_1, \dots, r_m, r_{m+1}),$$

proving the claim. It follows that

$$F_{1,n_1} \cap \dots \cap F_{m,n_m} \cap S_{(c,r_1,\dots,r_m)}(x) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{for each } m \in N.$$

Clearly we can take  $\text{diam} F_{m,n} < 1/m$ . Since  $Y$  is Polish, we obtain that  $\bigcap_m F_{m,n_m}$  and  $\bigcap_m (M_{(c,r_1,\dots,r_m)} \times F_{(c,r_1,\dots,r_m)})(x)$  have nonempty intersection, contradicting the hypothesis  $P \cap S_c(x) = \emptyset$ . By (2), this completes the proof.

5. We proceed by induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . We have that

$$\{x \in X; \text{cl}_c(A, x) = 0\} = X \setminus \pi_1(\bar{A}^s \cap S_c)$$

is measurable. Let  $\beta < \omega_1$  and assume the property true for every  $\alpha < \beta$ . Let  $r \in N$  be fixed. For each  $x \in X$ , take

$$\mathcal{C}_x^r = \{F \subset Y; F \text{ closed and } A(x) \cap F \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \mathcal{D}_x^\alpha(c, r)\}.$$

By (2), the sets  $\mathcal{C}_x^r$  verify condition (S) of Proposition 7. We show that condition (M) of Corollary 8 is also satisfied. Let thus  $B \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ . Then

$$\{x \in X; B(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x\} = (X \setminus \pi_1(\bar{B}^s \setminus B)) \cap \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} \{x \in X; \text{cl}_{(c,r)}(A \cap B, x) \leq \alpha\},$$

which is measurable by induction hypothesis.

Let  $C^r$  be as Proposition 7. Applying the first part of Corollary 8, we have

$$\{x \in X; \text{cl}_c(A, x) \leq \beta\} = \bigcap_r C^r \in \mathcal{M}.$$

6. This follows from the second part of Corollary 8.

**PROPOSITION 12.** *If  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ , then the following "stabilisation property" holds:*

*There exists  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $\{x \in X; \alpha < \text{cl}_\varphi(A, x) < \omega_1\}$  is negligible. Hence  $\{x \in X; \text{cl}_\varphi(A, x) < \omega_1\} \in \mathcal{M}$ .*

We first have to show the following lemma:

**LEMMA 13.** *Let  $T \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ ,  $c \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $R \subset \{x \in X; \text{cl}_c(T, x) < \omega_1\}$  such that  $\{x \in R; \text{cl}_c(T, x) \leq \alpha\}$  is negligible for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(T_p, R_p, r_p)_p$  in  $\mathcal{A}(X, Y) \times 2^X \times N$  with following properties:*

1.  $R_p \subset \{x \in X; \text{cl}_{(c,r_p)}(T_p, x) < \omega_1\}$  ( $p \in N$ ).
2.  $\{x \in R_p; \text{cl}_{(c,r_p)}(T_p, x) \leq \alpha\}$  is negligible for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$  ( $p \in N$ ).
3. If  $x \in R_p$ , then  $\text{cl}_{(c,r_p)}(T_p, x) < \text{cl}_c(T, x)$  ( $p \in N$ ).
4.  $R \setminus \bigcup_p R_p$  is negligible.

Proof. Let  $X_0 = \{x \in X; \text{cl}_c(T, x) = 0\}$ . Let  $r \in N$  be fixed. For each  $x \in X$  we define a family  $\mathcal{C}_x^r$  of closed subsets of  $Y$  by taking  $\mathcal{C}_x^r = \{F \subset Y; F \text{ closed and there is } \alpha < \text{cl}_c(T, x) \text{ such that } T(x) \cap F \in \mathcal{D}_x^r(c, r)\}$  if  $x \notin X_0$  and  $\mathcal{C}_x^r = \{\emptyset\}$  otherwise. Clearly the classes  $\mathcal{C}_x^r$  verify condition (S) of Proposition 7. Let  $C^r$  be as in this proposition and let  $(A_n^r)_n, (B_k^r)_k$  in  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$  and  $(X_k^r)_k$  in  $2^X$  be the sequences provided by the proposition. For each  $k \in N$ , take  $V_k^r = \{x \in \pi_1(B_k^r); B_k^r(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x^r\}$ . If  $n \in N$  is fixed, then by (5) of the preceding proposition there is some  $\alpha_{nr} < \omega_1$  so that

$$M_{nr} = \{x \in X; \text{cl}_{(c,r)}(T \cap A_n^r, x) \leq \alpha_{nr}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x \in X; \text{cl}_{(c,r)}(T \cap A_n^r, x) \leq \beta\}$$

have the same measure for all  $\beta > \alpha_{nr}$ . Let  $\alpha > \alpha_{nr}$  for all  $n, r \in N$ . Obviously

$$\bigcap_{n,r} M_{nr} \setminus \bigcup_r \pi_1(T \setminus \bigcup_n A_n^r) \subset \{x \in X; \text{cl}_c(T, x) \leq \alpha\}.$$

On the other side  $R \setminus X_0 = \{x \in X; \text{cl}_c(T, x) < \omega_1\} \setminus X_0 \subset \bigcap_r C^r$ . Since

$$C^r \cap \pi_1(T \setminus \bigcup_n A_n^r) \subset \bigcup_k X_k^r \quad \text{for each } r \in N,$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} R &= X_0 \cup \left( X_0^c \setminus \bigcup_r \pi_1(T \setminus \bigcup_n A_n^r) \right) \cup \bigcup_{k,r} (X_0^c \cap X_k^r) \\ &\subset X_0 \cup \left( \bigcap_{n,r} M_{nr} \setminus \bigcup_r \pi_1(T \setminus \bigcup_n A_n^r) \right) \cup \bigcup_{n,r} (X_0^c \cap M_{nr}^c) \cup \bigcup_{k,r} (X_0^c \cap X_k^r). \end{aligned}$$

By hypothesis,  $X_0 \cap R$  and  $(\bigcap_{n,r} M_{nr} \setminus \bigcup_r \pi_1(T \setminus \bigcup_n A_n^r)) \cap R$  are both negligible. The sequence  $(T_p, R_p, r_p)_p$  will be the following countable family

$$\{(T \cap A_n^r, M_{nr}^c \cap X_0^c, r); n \in N, r \in N\} \cup \{(T \cap B_k^r, V_k^r \cap X_0^c, r); k \in N, r \in N\}.$$

It follows from (4) of Proposition 7 that  $R \setminus \bigcup_p R_p$  is negligible. We verify the properties (1), (2), (3).

1. This follows from the fact that  $A_n^r(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x^r$  for each  $x \in X$  and  $B_k^r(x) \in \mathcal{C}_x^r$  if  $x \in V_k^r$ .

2'.  $\{x \in M_{nr}^c \cap X_0^c; \text{cl}_{(c,r)}(T \cap A_n^r, x) \leq \alpha\} \subseteq \{x \in X; \text{cl}_{(c,r)}(T \cap A_n^r, x) \leq \alpha\} \setminus M_{nr}$  is empty if  $\alpha \leq \alpha_{nr}$  and negligible otherwise, by the choice of  $\alpha_{nr}$ .

2''.  $\{x \in V_k^r \cap X_0^c; \text{cl}_{(c,r)}(T \cap B_k^r, x) \leq \alpha\} = \pi_1(B_k^r) \cap X_0^c \cap \{x \in X; \text{cl}_{(c,r)}(T \cap B_k^r, x) \leq \alpha \text{ and } \text{cl}_{(c,r)}(T \cap B_k^r, x) < \text{cl}_c(T, x)\} = \pi_1(B_k^r) \cap X_0^c \cap \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} \{x \in X; \text{cl}_{(c,r)}(T \cap B_k^r, x) \leq \beta \text{ and } \text{cl}_c(T, x) > \beta\}$ , measurable by (5) of the preceding proposition. Since  $\mu_*(V_k^r) = 0$ , our set is negligible.

3. Follows immediately from the definition of the classes  $\mathcal{C}_x^r$ .

This completes the proof of the lemma.

Proof of Proposition 12. Clearly, the only thing to show is that if  $R$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 13, then  $R$  is negligible. By successive applications of that lemma, we obtain for each  $k \in N$  and  $(p_1, \dots, p_k) \in N^k$  a set  $T_{p_1 \dots p_k} \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$ , a subset  $R_{p_1 \dots p_k}$  of  $X$  and an integer  $r_{p_1 \dots p_k}$  so that the following properties are verified:

1.  $R_{p_1 \dots p_k} \subset \{x \in X; \text{cl}_{(c, r_{p_1 \dots p_k})}(T_{p_1 \dots p_k}, x) < \omega_1\}$ .
2.  $\{x \in R_{p_1 \dots p_k}; \text{cl}_{(c, r_{p_1 \dots p_k})}(T_{p_1 \dots p_k}, x) \leq \alpha\}$  is negligible for each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .
3. If  $x \in R_{p_1 \dots p_k}$ , then  $\text{cl}_{(c, r_{p_1 \dots p_k})}(T_{p_1 \dots p_k}, x) < \text{cl}_{(c, r_{p_1 \dots p_{k-1}})}(T_{p_1 \dots p_{k-1}}, x)$ .
4.  $R_{p_1 \dots p_{k-1}} \setminus \bigcup_p R_{p_1 \dots p_{k-1} p}$  is negligible.

We deduce from (4) that  $R \setminus \bigcap_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}^k} R_{\pi|k}$  is negligible. Furthermore, we claim that  $\bigcap_k R_{\pi|k}$  is empty for each  $\pi \in \mathcal{N}$ . Indeed, for  $x \in \bigcap_k R_{\pi|k}$ , we would obtain:

$$\text{cl}_c(T, x) > \text{cl}_{(c, r_{\pi|1})}(T_{\pi|1}, x) > \dots > \text{cl}_{(c, r_{\pi|1}, \dots, r_{\pi|k})}(T_{\pi|k}, x)$$

which is a strictly decreasing sequence of ordinals. This is impossible and the proof is complete.

**THEOREM 13.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ . Then  $\{x \in X; A(x) \text{ is } F_{\sigma\delta}\} \in \mathcal{M}$ . If  $A(x)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set for each  $x \in X$ , then there exists a double sequence  $(F_{mn})_{m,n}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  so that  $A = \bigcap_m \bigcup_n F_{mn}$ . Hence  $\mathcal{S}_2 = \mathcal{F}_2$ .

Proof. The set  $S$  of Definition 10 will be the set  $A^c$ . From (3) and (4) of Proposition 11, we obtain that

$$\{x \in X; A(x) \text{ is } F_{\sigma\delta}\} = \{x \in X; \text{cl}_\varphi(A, x) < \omega_1\}.$$

Thus the first statement follows from Proposition 12. Assume now  $A(x)$  is an  $F_{\sigma\delta}$ -set for each  $x \in X$ . Again using Proposition 12, there exists  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $\{x \in X; \text{cl}_\varphi(A, x) > \alpha\}$  is negligible. By Proposition 2, it is therefore not a restriction to assume in the proof of the second statement that  $\text{cl}_\varphi(A, x)$  is bounded by some  $\alpha < \omega_1$ . We will show by induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$  that if  $c \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}(X, Y)$  and  $\text{cl}_c(A, x) \leq \alpha$  for each  $x \in X$ , then there is an element  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{F}_2$  so that  $A \subset Q \subset S_c^c$ . Clearly, this will finish the proof.

The case  $\alpha = 0$  is trivial, since then  $\bar{A} \cap S_c = \emptyset$ . Assume now the property true for every  $\alpha < \beta$  and let  $\text{cl}_c(A, x) \leq \beta$  for every  $x \in X$ . We remark that it is enough to prove that for each  $r \in N$  there is an element  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{F}_2$  so that  $A \subset Q \subset S_{(c,r)}^c$ . Let thus  $r \in N$  be fixed. By (6) of Proposition 11, there exists a sequence  $(F_n)_n$  in  $\mathcal{F}_0$  so that  $\text{cl}_{(c,r)}(A \cap F_n, x) < \beta$  for each  $n \in N$  and each  $x \in X$  and  $A \subset \bigcup_n F_n$ . For each  $n \in N$  and  $\alpha < \beta$ , let

$$M_{n\alpha} = \{x \in X; \text{cl}_{(c,r)}(A \cap F_n, x) = \alpha\}.$$

Applying the induction hypothesis on  $(A \cap F_n) \cap (M_{n\alpha} \times Y)$  we find an element  $Q_{n\alpha}$  in  $\mathcal{F}_2$  so that  $(A \cap F_n) \cap (M_{n\alpha} \times Y) \subset Q_{n\alpha} \subset S_{(c,r)}^c$ . Let  $n \in N$  be fixed. Since



$(M_{n\alpha})_{\alpha < \beta}$  is a measurable partition of  $X$ , the set  $Q_n = \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} (Q_{n\alpha} \cap (M_{n\alpha} \times Y))$  is still in  $\mathcal{F}_2$  and  $A \cap F_n \subset Q_n \subset S_{(c,r)}^c$ . It is easily seen that

$$A \subset \bigcup_n F_n \setminus \bigcup_n (F_n \setminus Q_n) \subset S_{(c,r)}^c,$$

where

$$Q = \bigcup_n F_n \setminus \bigcup_n (F_n \setminus Q_n) = \bigcup_n F_n \cap \bigcap_n (F_n^c \cup Q_n) \in \mathcal{F}_2.$$

This completes the proof.

**Application to the Baire functions.** We refer to [2] for the following result on the integration of functions of the first class:

**THEOREM 14.** Let  $X, \mathcal{M}, \mu$  be a complete probability space and  $Y$  a Polish space. Let  $\Phi: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a uniformly bounded function which is measurable in the first variable and of first Baire class in the second. Then the function  $\varphi$  on  $Y$  given by  $\varphi(y) = \int_X \Phi(x, y) \mu(dx)$  is also of the first class.

Under the continuum hypothesis it is easy to define a function  $\Phi$  on  $[0, 1]^2$  only taking the values 0, 1, which is of the first Baire class in the first variable, of second Baire class in the second one and such that the function  $\varphi$  on  $[0, 1]$  given by  $\varphi(y) = \int_0^1 \Phi(x, y) m(dx)$  is not even measurable. However, the following is true:

**THEOREM 15.** Let  $\Phi: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a uniformly bounded function which is  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ -measurable and of the second Baire class in the second variable. Then the function  $\varphi$  introduced in Theorem 14 is still of the second class.

Using Theorem 14 and the Lebesgue-theorem, Theorem 15 will be a consequence of the following result:

**THEOREM 16.** Let  $\Phi: X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ -measurable and of second Baire class in the second variable. Then there exists a sequence  $(\Phi_n)_n$  of  $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y$ -measurable functions so that each  $\Phi_n$  is of the first class in the second variable and  $\Phi$  is the pointwise limit of  $(\Phi_n)_n$ .

**Proof.** We use the terminology and results of [4], IX. Let  $\mathcal{O} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{X \times Y}; f \text{ is } \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{B}_Y\text{-measurable and } f \text{ is of first Baire class in the second variable}\}$ . Clearly  $\mathcal{O}$  is a complete ordinary function system. The sets  $M, N$  are the sets

$$\{(x, y) \in X \times Y; f(x, y) > a\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{(x, y) \in X \times Y; f(x, y) \geq a\},$$

respectively, where  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  and  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Hence the sets  $M$  are the members of  $\mathcal{S}_1 = \mathcal{F}_1$ . Indeed, each set  $M$  is clearly in  $\mathcal{S}_1$ . Conversely, if  $A = \bigcup_n F_n$  with  $F_n \in \mathcal{F}_0$  for each  $n$ , then

$$A = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y; f(x, y) > 0\}, \quad \text{where} \quad f: \sum_n \frac{1}{2^n} 1_{F_n} \in \mathcal{O}.$$

To show that  $\Phi$  is an  $f^*$ , i.e. a pointwise limit of a sequence in  $\mathcal{O}$ , we have to prove that if  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the sets  $\{(x, y) \in X \times Y; \Phi(x, y) \leq a\}$  and

$$\{(x, y) \in X \times Y; \Phi(x, y) \geq a\}$$

are both  $N^* = M_\delta = \mathcal{F}_2$ . Now, those two sets are both in  $\mathcal{S}_2$  and Theorem 13 completes the proof.

## References

- [1] W. J. Arsenin, A. A. Liapunov and E. A. Čegolkov, *Arbeiten zur deskriptiven Mengenlehre*, Mathematische Forschungsbereiche, VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin 1955 (German traduction of papers appeared in *Uspiehi Mat. Nauk*, V, (5) (39), Moscow 1950).
- [2] J. Bourgain, D. Fremlin and M. Talagrand, *Pointwise compact sets of Baire measurable functions*, to appear.
- [3] M. M. Čoban, *On B-measurable sections*, DAN SSSR 13 (1972), pp. 1473–1477.
- [4] F. Hausdorff, *Set Theory*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York 000.
- [5] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, volumes I and II, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa 1958 and 1961.
- [6] W. Sierpiński, *Les ensembles projectifs et analytiques*, Mémorial des Sciences Mathématiques, 112, Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1950.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
VRIJE UNIVERSITEIT  
Brussel

Accepté par la Rédaction le 14. 3. 1977