

Extension of ZF-models to models with the scheme of choice

by

Andrzej Zarach (Wrocław)

Abstract. In this paper we show that if M is a countable, standard model of ZF, then there exists a countable, standard model N of $ZFC^- + V = HC$ (ZF theory without the power set axiom + the choice scheme + "every set is countable") such that $M \subseteq N$ and M, N are models of the same height.

§ 0. Introduction. The following theorem was announced by D. B. Morris [1]. Let M be a c.s.m. for ZFC. There exists a c.s.m. N for ZF such that $M \subseteq N$, N has the same cardinals as M , and the following statement is true in N : For each α there exists a set X such that X is a countable union of countable sets and the power set of X can be partitioned into \aleph_α nonempty sets.

Notice that there is no transitive model M_1 of ZFC for which N would be a submodel with the same ordinals; M_1 would ensure the partitioning of 2^{\aleph_0} into any number of nonempty sets.

Let T be a theory obtained by removing the power set axiom of ZF and adding the sentence "every set is countable". We already know that not every c.s.m. M for ZF can be extended to a c.s.m. N for ZF + the choice scheme such that $On^M = On^N$, but every c.s.m. M for ZF can be extended to a c.s.m. N for T + the choice scheme such that $On^M = On^N$.

The following part of the paper is devoted to the proof of the above-mentioned fact. We assume that the reader is familiar with the method of unramified forcing due to Shoenfield [2] and also that he know the paper [3].

§ 1. Collapsing onto ω .

DEFINITION 1. Let Q be a set. Then

$$K_Q(a) = \{K_Q(b) : (\exists p)_Q(\langle b, p \rangle \in a)\}.$$

The definition is inductive with respect to the rank of the set.

DEFINITION 2. Let M be a transitive set. Then

$$M[Q] = \{K_Q(a) : a \in M\}.$$

DEFINITION 3. M is a 3-model if M is c.s.m. for

ZF⁻ + the collection scheme.

Let M be a c.s.m. for ZF and let R_α^M be the α th set in the von Neumann hierarchy (in M).

In order to obtain a model for ZF^- of the same height as M we shall add to the model M a class of generic functions f_α such that $f_\alpha: \omega \rightarrow R_\alpha^M$ for $\alpha \in On^M$.

DEFINITION 4. Let C be the class of all finite functions f such that $\text{dom}(f) \subseteq On^M \times \omega$ and if $\langle \langle \alpha, n \rangle, x \rangle \in f$ then $x \in R_\alpha^M$; $p \leq q \equiv q \subseteq p$ for $p, q \in C$. $P_{(\alpha)} = p \cap (\alpha \times \omega \times R_\alpha^M)$ and $p^{(\alpha)} = p - P_{(\alpha)}$ for $p \in C$, $\alpha \in On^M$. $p \wedge q = p \cup q$ for compatible conditions p, q .

LEMMA 1. $\langle C, \leq \rangle$ is a coherent continuous notion of forcing. $C_\alpha = \{p_{(\alpha)}: p \in C\}$ and $C^\alpha = \{p^{(\alpha)}: p \in C\}$ (see [3]).

Proof. If $\lambda > 0$ is a limit ordinal, then $C_\lambda = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} C_\alpha$ and $C = \bigcup_{\alpha \in On^M} C_\alpha$.

DEFINITION 5. G is C -3-generic over M if G is C -generic over M and if for every set of dense sections (see [3]) $\{D_a\}_{a \in b}$ there exists a function $W \in M$ such that $\text{dom}(W) = b$, $W(a) \subseteq D_a$ for $a \in b$ and $(a)_b(W(a) \cap G \neq \emptyset)$.

MAIN LEMMA. If $\langle C, \leq \rangle$ is a coherent continuous notion of forcing, then every C -generic is a 3-generic.

Proof. By $\Delta'(p)$ we shall denote the least ordinal α such that $p \in C_\alpha$. Let $\{D_a\}_{a \in b}$ be a set of dense section.

By transfinite recursion we can uniformly define sequences of F_a^α for $a \in b$, $\alpha \in On$. Let $F_0^\alpha = \{p \in D_a: \Delta'(p) \text{ minimal}\}$.

$F_\beta^\alpha = \{p \in D_a: p \text{ incompatible with any } q \in \bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} F_\alpha^\alpha \text{ and } \Delta'(p) \text{ minimal}\}$. Then $p \in F_\beta^\alpha \Rightarrow \Delta'(p) \geq \beta$ and $p_1 \in F_{\alpha_1}^\alpha$ & $p_2 \in F_{\alpha_2}^\alpha$ & $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 \Rightarrow \Delta'(p_1) < \Delta'(p_2)$ & p_1, p_2 are incompatible. Hence we infer that the class $F^\alpha = \bigcup_{\alpha \in On} F_\alpha^\alpha$ is maximal, i.e., if $p \in D_a$ then there exists a $q \in F^\alpha$ such that p, q are compatible. Obviously $F^\alpha \subseteq D_a$. Now let $h_\alpha(p) = \min_{\beta} (\exists q)_{F_\beta^\alpha} (p, q \text{ compatible})$. The mapping h_α is defined for any condition p .

Indeed, if p is a condition, then from the density of D_a it follows that there exists a $p' \in D_a$ such that $p' \leq p$. The construction of F^α implies that there exists a $q \in F^\alpha$ such that p' and q are compatible; hence q and p are compatible. Let $g_\alpha(\sigma) = \min_{\beta} (h_\alpha(C_\beta) \subseteq \beta)$. By the continuity of the notion of forcing we infer that for every α there exists a $\sigma \geq \alpha$ such that $C_\sigma = \bigcup_{\beta < \sigma} C_\beta$ and $g_\alpha(\sigma) \leq \sigma$. Subsequently

$\bigcup_{\beta \in On} F_\beta^\alpha \subseteq C_\sigma$ for σ greater than the level starting from which C is continuous. Hence in view of the comprehension scheme, every F^α is a set and $W(a) = F^\alpha$, $a \in b$, is a function whose existence we require in Definition 5.

Indeed, if $p \in F^\alpha - C_\sigma$ then there exist a $p_{(\sigma)} \in C_\sigma$ and a $p^{(\sigma)} \in C^\sigma$ such that $p = p_{(\sigma)} \wedge p^{(\sigma)}$. Since $C_\sigma = \bigcup_{\beta < \sigma} C_\beta$, then there exists a $\mu < \sigma$ such that $p_{(\sigma)} \in C_\mu$. Hence there exists a $q \in C_{\theta_\alpha(\mu)} \subseteq C_\sigma$ such that $q \in F^\alpha$ and q is compatible with p . Subsequently q is compatible with p , which contradicts

$$\Delta'(q) < \Delta'(p) \quad \text{and} \quad p, q \in \bigcup_{\beta \in On} F_\beta^\alpha. \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Note. To prove this fact in [3] we needed the assumption that in C there exists a definable well-ordering. In the sequel $\langle C, \leq \rangle$ will denote the notion of forcing given in Definition 4.

LEMMA 2. Let G be C -generic over M . Then $M[G_{\alpha+1}] \models "R_\alpha^M \text{ is countable}"$.

Proof. Let $G_\alpha = G \cap C_\alpha$. Then G_α is C_α -generic over M and $G_\alpha = \{p_{(\alpha)}: p \in G\}$. Obviously $G_\alpha \in M[G_\alpha]$ and $M[G] = \bigcup_{\alpha \in On^M} M[G_\alpha]$. Let

$$G'_\alpha = \{p \in G_{\alpha+1}: (\beta, n, x) (\langle \langle \beta, n \rangle, x \rangle \in p \Rightarrow \beta = \alpha)\}$$

and $G_\alpha^* = \{\langle n, x \rangle: (\exists p)_{G'_\alpha} (\langle \langle \beta, n \rangle, x \rangle \in p)\}$. Then $G'_\alpha \in M[G_{\alpha+1}]$, $G_\alpha^* \in M[G_{\alpha+1}]$ and $G_\alpha^*: \omega \rightarrow R_\alpha^M$.

LEMMA 3. Let G be C -generic over M . If $a \in M$ and $\Delta(a) \leq \alpha$, $\text{rank}(a) < \alpha$, then $x = K_G(a) = K_{G_\alpha}(a) \in M[G_\alpha]$ and $M[G_\alpha] \models "x \text{ is countable}"$.

Remark. $\Delta(a) = \bigcup \{\max(\Delta(b), \Delta'(p)): \langle b, p \rangle \in a\}$.

Proof. If $\Delta(a) \leq \alpha$ and $a \in M$, then is evident that $K_G(a) = K_{G_\alpha}(a) \in M[G_\alpha]$. Let $\beta = \text{rank}(a)$ and $\text{Rg}(a) = \{b: (\exists p)_C (\langle b, p \rangle \in a)\}$. Then $\text{Rg}(a) \subseteq R_\beta^M$ and $G_\beta^* \in M[G_{\beta+1}] \subseteq M[G_\alpha]$. Hence there exists a function $f \in M[G_\alpha]$ such that $f: \text{Rg}(a) \rightarrow \omega$. Since $G_\alpha \in M[G_\alpha]$, $M \subseteq M[G_\alpha]$, $M[G_\alpha] \models ZF$ and "the functional K_{G_α} is definable in $M[G_\alpha]$ ", we infer that $w = \{\langle K_{G_\alpha}(b), f(b) \rangle: \langle b, p \rangle \in a \text{ \& } p \in G_\alpha\}$ is an element of $M[G_\alpha]$ and $w \subseteq x \times \omega$. Moreover, for every $u \in x$ there exists at least one n such that $\langle u, n \rangle \in w$ and $\langle z_1, n_1 \rangle \in w \ \& \ \langle z_2, n_2 \rangle \in w \ \& \ z_1 \neq z_2 \Rightarrow n_1 \neq n_2$. Let $l(z) = \min(\langle z, n \rangle \in w)$ for $z \in x$. It is a 1-1 function belonging to $M[G_\alpha]$.

LEMMA 4. $ZF^- + \text{the collection scheme} + V = \text{HC} \vdash ZFC^- + V = \text{HC}$.

Now we have

THEOREM. Let M be a c.s.m. for ZF. Then there exists a c.s.m. N such that $M \subseteq N$, $On \cap M = On \cap N$ and $N \models ZFC^- + V = \text{HC}$.

Proof. It suffices to put $N = M[G]$. Indeed, G is C -3-generic over M ; hence (see [3]) $M[G]$ is a 3-model. $M[G] = \bigcup_{\alpha \in On^M} M[G_\alpha]$ and by Lemma 3 $M[G] \models V = \text{HC}$.

References

- [1] D. B. Morris, *A model of ZF which cannot be extended to a model of ZFC without adding ordinals*, Notices of the Amer. Math. Soc. 17 (3), April 1970.
- [2] J. R. Shoenfield, *Unramified forcing*, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Math. 13, part I, pp. 357-381 (AMS, Providence 1971).
- [3] A. Zarach, *Forcing with proper classes*, Fund. Math. 81 (1973), pp. 1-27.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY, Wrocław

Accépté par la Rédaction le 27. I. 1975