

# $n$ -movable compacta and ANR-systems

by

G. Kozłowski and J. Śegal (Seattle, Wash.)

**Abstract.** K. Borsuk recently introduced a new shape invariant for metric compacta called  $n$ -movability. In this paper we give an alternate description in terms of ANR-systems and generalize the notion to (Hausdorff) compacta. Using this approach we answer two questions raised by Borsuk. We also show that an  $n$ -dimensional  $n$ -movable compactum is movable.

**1. Introduction.** K. Borsuk [1] introduced a new shape invariant for metric compacta called  $n$ -movability. In this paper we give an alternate description in terms of ANR-systems and generalize the notion to (Hausdorff) compacta. We then apply this method to answer two questions raised by Borsuk in [1]. It is also shown that an  $n$ -dimensional  $n$ -movable compactum is movable.

A compactum  $X$  lying in the Hilbert cube  $I^\infty$  is said to be  $n$ -movable (in the sense of Borsuk) if for every neighborhood  $U$  of  $X$  in  $I^\infty$  there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of  $X$  (in  $I^\infty$ ) such that for every compactum  $C \subset V$  with  $\dim C \leq n$  and every neighborhood  $W$  of  $X$  (in  $I^\infty$ ) there exists a homotopy  $\Phi: C \times [0, 1] \rightarrow U$  satisfying both conditions:  $\Phi(x, 0) = x$  and  $\Phi(x, 1) \in W$  for every point  $x \in C$ . Borsuk [1] showed that  $n$ -movability is a shape invariant for metric compacta.

An ANR-system is an inverse system  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, A\}$ , where each  $X_\alpha$  is an ANR, i.e., a compact ANR for metric spaces and  $p_{\alpha\alpha'}, \alpha \leq \alpha', \alpha, \alpha' \in A$ , are maps from  $X_{\alpha'}$  into  $X_\alpha$ ;  $(A, \leq)$  is a closure-finite directed set [8]. If  $X = \text{Invlim } \underline{X}$ , we say that  $\underline{X}$  is associated with  $X$  and we denote by  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  the natural projections. A map of systems  $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y} = \{Y_\beta, q_{\beta\beta'}, B\}$  consists of an increasing function  $f: B \rightarrow A$  and of a collection  $\{f_\beta, B\}$  of maps  $f_\beta: X_{f(\beta)} \rightarrow Y_\beta$  such that  $\beta \leq \beta'$  implies the homotopy relation

$$f_\beta p_{f(\beta)f(\beta')} \simeq q_{\beta\beta'} f_{\beta'}.$$

The identity map  $1_{\underline{X}}: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  is given by  $1_\alpha(a) = a, 1_\alpha = 1_{X_\alpha}$ . The composition of maps  $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}, g: \underline{Y} \rightarrow \underline{Z} = \{Z_\gamma, r_{\gamma\gamma'}, C\}$  is the map  $h = gf: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Z}$  given by  $h(\gamma) = fg(\gamma)$  and  $h_\gamma = g_\gamma f_{g(\gamma)}$ . Two maps of systems  $\underline{f}, \underline{g}: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$

are said to be *homotopic*,  $f \simeq g$ , provided for every  $\beta \in B$  there is an index  $\alpha \in A$ ,  $\alpha \geq f(\beta)$ ,  $g(\beta)$  such that  $f_\beta p_{f(\beta)\alpha} \simeq g_\beta p_{g(\beta)\alpha}$ . ANR-systems  $\underline{X}$  and  $\underline{Y}$  are said to be of the *same homotopy type*,  $\underline{X} \simeq \underline{Y}$ , provided there exists maps of systems  $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ ,  $g: \underline{Y} \rightarrow \underline{X}$ , such that  $gf \simeq 1_{\underline{X}}$ ,  $fg \simeq 1_{\underline{Y}}$ . If the second homotopy relation  $fg \simeq 1_{\underline{Y}}$  holds, then we say that  $\underline{X}$  (shape) *dominates*  $\underline{Y}$ . Any two ANR-systems  $\underline{X}, \underline{X}'$  associated with a compactum  $X$  are of the same homotopy type [8]. Therefore, if  $\underline{Y}$  is associated with a compactum  $Y$  and  $\underline{X}$  dominates  $\underline{Y}$ , then so does  $\underline{X}'$ . Similarly, we see if  $\underline{Y}'$  is also associated with  $Y$ , then  $\underline{X}$  dominates  $\underline{Y}'$ , so we can say  $X$  dominates  $Y$ .

**2. Complexes and nerves.** By a complex  $K$  is meant a finite simplicial complex  $K$ , and when the dimension of  $K$  is at most  $n$ , it is called an *n-complex*. No distinction will be made between a complex and its underlying space. We shall use some facts and conventions concerning open covers. An open cover of a space  $X$  here will be a finite collection  $\mathcal{U}$  of non-empty open subsets of  $X$  whose union is  $X$ . The nerve  $K(\mathcal{U})$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  is the simplicial complex whose vertices are the members of  $\mathcal{U}$  and whose simplices are the finite subsets  $s$  of  $\mathcal{U}$  which have non-empty intersections:  $\bigcap s \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ , then there are projections  $\pi: \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  which satisfy the condition  $V \subset \pi V$  for all  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ . Any projection defines a unique simplicial map  $\pi: K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$  (also a projection). A canonical map  $\varphi: X \rightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$  is a map such that all vertices of the smallest simplex containing  $\varphi(x)$  contain  $x$  (for every point  $x \in X$ ). Any two canonical maps from  $X$  into  $K(\mathcal{U})$  are homotopic.

For  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  continuous and  $\mathcal{U}$  an open cover of  $Y$ ,  $f^{-1}\mathcal{U} = \{f^{-1}V | V \in \mathcal{U}\}$ . If  $\mathcal{U}$  refines  $f^{-1}\mathcal{U}$ , then there are simplicial maps  $g: K(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{V})$  defined by any vertex assignment  $g: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  satisfying  $fU \subset gU$  and any two such maps are contiguous. These maps are induced by  $f$ . When  $Y = K$  is a complex and  $\mathcal{U} = \emptyset$  is the cover of  $K$  by open stars of vertices of  $K$ , then because  $K$  can be naturally identified with  $K(\emptyset)$ , we can speak of a map  $g: K(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow Y$  being induced by  $f$ . If  $g$  is induced by  $f$  and  $\varphi: X \rightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$  is any canonical map, then  $g\varphi \simeq f$ .

**3. n-movable ANR-systems.** We now give the definition of *n-movability* in terms of ANR-systems.

**DEFINITION 1.** An ANR-system  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, A\}$  is said to be *n-movable*, provided for every  $\alpha \in A$  there is an  $\alpha' \in A$ ,  $\alpha' \geq \alpha$ , such that for every  $\alpha'' \in A$ ,  $\alpha'' \geq \alpha$ , and every map  $\varphi': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha'}$  of an *n*-complex  $K$  into  $X_{\alpha'}$ , there is a map  $\varphi'': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha''}$ , with

$$(1) \quad p_{\alpha\alpha'}\varphi' \simeq p_{\alpha\alpha''}\varphi''.$$

In keeping with the view point of this definition we can also give a new formulation of movability (in the sense of Mardešić and Segal [7]).

**DEFINITION 2.** An ANR-system  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, A\}$  is said to be *movable*, provided for every  $\alpha \in A$  there is an  $\alpha' \in A$ ,  $\alpha' \geq \alpha$ , such that for every  $\alpha'' \in A$ ,  $\alpha'' \geq \alpha$ , and every map  $\varphi': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha'}$  of a complex  $K$  into  $X_{\alpha'}$ , there is a map  $\varphi'': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha''}$ , with

$$(2) \quad p_{\alpha\alpha'}\varphi' \simeq p_{\alpha\alpha''}\varphi''$$

**LEMMA 1.** *Movability in the sense of Mardešić and Segal and movability are equivalent.*

**Proof.** If  $\underline{X}$  is movable in the sense of Mardešić and Segal, then for every  $\alpha \in A$ , there is an  $\alpha'$ ,  $\alpha' \geq \alpha$ , and there are maps  $r^{\alpha\alpha''}: X_{\alpha'} \rightarrow X_{\alpha''}$ , for each  $\alpha'' \geq \alpha$  such that  $p_{\alpha\alpha'} \simeq p_{\alpha\alpha''}r^{\alpha\alpha''}$ . If  $\varphi': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha'}$  is any map of a complex  $K$  into  $X_{\alpha'}$ , we define  $\varphi'' = r^{\alpha\alpha''}\varphi'$  and so (2) is obviously satisfied.

Conversely, assume  $\underline{X}$  is movable. For a given  $\alpha \in A$  choose  $\alpha'$  as in Definition 2. Since the compact ANR  $X_{\alpha'}$  is dominated by a complex  $K$ , there are maps  $\varphi': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha'}$  and  $\psi: X_{\alpha'} \rightarrow K$  such that  $\varphi'\psi \simeq 1_{X_{\alpha'}}$ . Also by Definition 2 for any  $\alpha'' \geq \alpha$  there is a map  $\varphi'': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha''}$ , such that  $p_{\alpha\alpha'}\varphi' \simeq p_{\alpha\alpha''}\varphi''$ . Let  $r^{\alpha\alpha''} = \varphi''\psi$ . Then

$$p_{\alpha\alpha'}r^{\alpha\alpha''} = p_{\alpha\alpha'}\varphi''\psi \simeq p_{\alpha\alpha'}\varphi'\psi \simeq p_{\alpha\alpha'},$$

i.e.,  $\underline{X}$  is movable in the sense of Mardešić and Segal.

**Remark 1.** It follows from Definition 2 that if  $\underline{X}$  is movable, then it is *n*-movable,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Moreover, if  $\underline{X}$  is *n*-movable, then  $\underline{X}$  is  $(n-1)$ -movable.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Every ANR-system  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, A\}$  with finite sets  $X_\alpha$  and onto bonding maps is movable because for every  $\alpha'' \geq \alpha$  there is a map  $r^{\alpha\alpha''}: X_\alpha \rightarrow X_{\alpha''}$ , satisfying  $p_{\alpha\alpha'}r^{\alpha\alpha''} = 1_{X_\alpha} = p_{\alpha\alpha'}$ . But then the above remark implies  $\underline{X}$  is *n*-movable,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, A\}$  and  $\underline{Y} = \{Y_\beta, q_{\beta\beta'}, B\}$  be ANR-systems. If  $\underline{X}$  dominates  $\underline{Y}$  and  $\underline{X}$  is *n*-movable, then  $\underline{Y}$  is also *n*-movable.

**Proof.** Let  $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$  and  $g: \underline{Y} \rightarrow \underline{X}$  be maps of ANR-systems such that  $fg \simeq 1_{\underline{Y}}$ . We need to show that for every  $\beta \in B$  there is a  $\beta' \geq \beta$  such that for every  $\beta'' \geq \beta$  and every map  $\psi': K \rightarrow Y_{\beta'}$  of an *n*-complex  $K$  into  $Y_{\beta'}$ , there is a map  $\psi'': K \rightarrow Y_{\beta''}$ , with

$$(3) \quad q_{\beta\beta'}\psi' \simeq q_{\beta\beta''}\psi''.$$

From the *n*-movability of  $\underline{X}$  we have that there is an  $\alpha' \geq \alpha = f(\beta)$  such that for every  $\alpha'' \geq \alpha$  and every map  $\varphi': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha'}$  there is a map  $\varphi'': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha''}$ , satisfying (1).

Since  $fg \simeq \underline{1}_Y$ , there exists a  $\bar{\beta} \geq \beta$ ,  $g(\alpha)$  such that

$$(4) \quad f_{\beta} g_{\alpha} g_{g(\alpha)\bar{\beta}} \simeq q_{\beta\bar{\beta}}.$$

Since  $g$  increases,  $\alpha \leq \alpha'$  implies  $g(\alpha) \leq g(\alpha')$ .  $B$  being directed, there exists a  $\beta' \geq g(\alpha'), \bar{\beta}$ . Just as in [7, Theorem 1] we have the relation

$$(5) \quad f_{\beta} p_{\alpha\alpha'} g_{\alpha'} g_{g(\alpha')\beta'} \simeq q_{\beta\beta'}.$$

Now let  $\beta'' \geq \beta$ . Since  $f$  is increasing, we have  $\alpha'' = f(\beta'') \geq f(\beta) = \alpha$ . Therefore, by the definition of  $\alpha'$ , for every map  $\varphi': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha'}$  there is a map  $\varphi'': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha''}$  satisfying (1). So letting

$$(6) \quad \varphi' = g_{\alpha'} g_{g(\alpha')\beta'} \varphi'',$$

we have there exists a map  $\varphi'': K \rightarrow X_{\alpha''}$  such that

$$(7) \quad p_{\alpha\alpha'} \varphi' \simeq p_{\alpha\alpha''} \varphi''.$$

Finally we define  $\psi'': K \rightarrow Y_{\alpha''}$  to be

$$(8) \quad \psi'' = f_{\beta''} \varphi''.$$

Then using (5), (6), (7), the fact that  $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$ , and (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} q_{\beta\beta'} \psi' &\simeq f_{\beta} p_{\alpha\alpha'} g_{\alpha'} g_{g(\alpha')\beta'} \psi' \\ &= f_{\beta} p_{\alpha\alpha'} \varphi' \\ &\simeq f_{\beta} p_{\alpha\alpha''} \varphi'' \\ &\simeq q_{\beta\beta''} f_{\beta''} \varphi'' \\ &= q_{\beta\beta''} \psi''. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired relation (3) so  $\underline{Y}$  is *n*-movable.

**COROLLARY 1.** Let  $\underline{X}$  and  $\underline{X}'$  be ANR-systems associated with a compactum  $X$ . If  $\underline{X}$  is *n*-movable, then so is  $\underline{X}'$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\underline{X}$  and  $\underline{X}'$  are of the same homotopy type each dominates the other.

**DEFINITION 3.** A compactum  $X$  is said to be *n*-movable provided there is an *n*-movable ANR-system  $\underline{X}$  associated with it. It follows from Corollary 1 that the *n*-movability of a compactum is independent of the choice of the associated ANR-system  $\underline{X}$ . As an immediate consequence of Definition 3 and Theorem 1 we have

**EXAMPLE 2.** Every 0-dimensional compactum is *n*-movable,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , because there is an *n*-movable ANR-system  $\underline{X}$ , as in Example 1, associated with  $X$  (see also [8, Section 11]).

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be compacta. If  $X$  dominates  $Y$  and  $X$  is *n*-movable, then  $Y$  is also *n*-movable.

Since we prove in the next section that our definition of *n*-movability agrees with that of Borsuk on metric compacta, Theorem 2 is a generalization to the non-metric case of Borsuk's result [1, Theorem (2.1)].

**4. *n*-movability in the metric case.** Every compact metric space  $X$  admits an associated ANR-sequence, i.e., an inverse sequence  $\underline{X} = \{X_m, p_{mm'}\}$  of ANR's  $X_m$  such that  $X = \text{Invlim } \underline{X}$ .  $X$  is *n*-movable if and only if it admits an associated ANR-sequence  $\underline{X}$  which is *n*-movable. In particular, if  $X$  is embedded in the Hilbert cube  $I^\infty$ , we can define a decreasing sequence of ANR's  $X_m \subset I^\infty$  such that each  $X_m$  is a neighborhood of  $X$  and  $X = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} X_m$ . Then  $\underline{X}$  is the inverse limit of the sequence  $\underline{X} = \{X_m, i_{mm'}\}$ , where  $i_{mm'}: X_{m'} \rightarrow X_m$ ,  $m \leq m'$ , is the inclusion map. We call such an  $\underline{X}$  an inclusion ANR-sequence for  $X$  and note that  $X$  is *n*-movable if and only if the sequence is *n*-movable.

**THEOREM 3.** A metric compactum  $X \subset I^\infty$  is *n*-movable if and only if it is *n*-movable in the sense of Borsuk.

*Proof.* First assume that  $X$  is *n*-movable and choose an inclusion ANR-sequence  $\underline{X} = \{X_m, i_{mm'}\}$  for  $X$  which is *n*-movable by Theorem 1. If  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $X$  in  $I^\infty$ , there is an  $m$  with  $X_m \subset U$ . By Definition 1 there is an  $m' \geq m$  with the property that for every  $m'' \geq m$  and every map  $\varphi': K \rightarrow X_{m'}$  of an *n*-complex  $K$  into  $X_{m'}$ , there is a map  $\varphi'': K \rightarrow X_{m''}$  with  $i_{mm'} \varphi' \simeq i_{mm''} \varphi''$ . Let  $V = X_{m'}$ , and let  $\varrho: N \rightarrow V$  be a retraction of an open neighborhood  $N$  of  $V$  onto  $V$ . If  $W$  is a neighborhood of  $X$ , there is an  $m'' \geq m$  such that  $X_{m''} \subset W$ . Let  $C \subset V$  be a compactum with  $\dim C \leq n$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \text{dist}(V, I^\infty - N)$  and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cover of  $C$  by open sets in  $C$  of diameter  $< \varepsilon$  such that  $K(\mathcal{U})$  has dimension  $\leq n$ . For each vertex  $U$  of  $K(\mathcal{U})$  choose a point  $u \in U$  and define the map  $\varphi: K(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow I^\infty$  linearly on the simplices of  $K(\mathcal{U})$ : if  $U_0, U_1, \dots, U_r$  are the vertices of a simplex of  $K(\mathcal{U})$ , then

$$\varphi\left(\sum_{j=0}^r t_j U_j\right) = \sum_{j=0}^r t_j u_j,$$

where  $t_j \geq 0$  for  $j = 0, 1, \dots, r$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^r t_j = 1$ . Since  $U_0 \cap U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_r \neq \emptyset$ , there is a point  $x$  in  $C$  common to all the sets  $U_j$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, r$ ). Then the distance of the image point  $\sum_{j=0}^r t_j u_j$  from  $x$  is

$$\left\| \sum_{j=0}^r t_j x - t_j u_j \right\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^r t_j \|x - u_j\| < \varepsilon,$$

where  $\|p\| = \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k^2}$  for  $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots) \in I^\infty$ ; hence  $\varphi(K(\mathcal{U})) \subset N$ .

Let  $\eta: C \rightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$  be any canonical map. For any  $x \in C$  the image  $\varphi(\eta(x))$  has the form  $\sum_{j=0}^r t_j u_j$  as above, where  $x \in U_0 \cap U_1 \cap \dots \cap U_r$ ; hence as above  $d(x, \varphi(\eta(x))) < \varepsilon$ . Since the segment with endpoints  $x$  and  $\varphi(\eta(x))$  lies in  $N$ , the map

$$g_t: C \times I \rightarrow I^\infty$$

defined by

$$g_t(x) = (1-t)x + t\varphi(\eta(x))$$

is a homotopy in  $N$  between the inclusion map  $C \rightarrow N$  and  $\varphi\eta$ .

Now there is a map  $\psi'': K(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow X_{m'} \subset W$  such that  $\psi'' \simeq \varphi\eta$  in  $U$ ; hence  $\psi''\eta \simeq \varphi\eta\eta$  in  $U$ . Since  $\varphi g_t$  gives a homotopy in  $V$  between the inclusion  $C \rightarrow V$  and  $\varphi\eta\eta$ , it follows that there is a deformation  $h_t: C \rightarrow U$  such that  $h_0(x) = x$  and  $h_1(C) \subset W$ . So  $X$  is  $n$ -movable in the sense of Borsuk.

Now assume  $X$  is  $n$ -movable in the sense of Borsuk, and let  $m$  be given. Put  $U = X_m$ , and find an open neighborhood  $V \subset U$  of  $X$  such that for every neighborhood  $W$  of  $X$  any compactum  $C \subset V$  with  $\dim C \leq n$  can be deformed in  $U$  into  $W$ . Choose  $m'$  so that  $m' \geq m$  and  $X_{m'} \subset V$ . If  $m'' \geq m$ , put  $W = X_{m'}$ , and consider any map  $\varphi': K \rightarrow X_{m'}$  of an  $n$ -complex  $K$  into  $X_{m'}$ . Let  $\varepsilon = \text{dist}(X_{m'}, I^\infty - V)$ . Since  $\varphi'$  is uniformly continuous, there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that any two points of  $K$  whose distance is less than  $\delta$  have images under  $\varphi'$  whose distance is less than  $\varepsilon/6$ . Let  $K'$  be a subdivision of  $K$ , every simplex of which has diameter  $< \delta$ . For each vertex  $v$  of  $K'$  let  $\varphi(v)$  be a point of  $V$  whose distance from  $\varphi'(v)$  is less than  $\varepsilon/6$ . We assume the choice of  $\varphi(v)$  made so that all the points  $\varphi(v)$ , where  $v$  is a vertex of  $K'$ , are in general position. Then the vertex assignment  $v \rightarrow \varphi(v)$  extends linearly on each simplex of  $K'$  to give a homeomorphism  $\varphi$  of  $K'$  into  $I^\infty$ . The diameter of the  $\varphi$ -image of any simplex of  $K'$  is less than  $\varepsilon/2$ , because the distance between the  $\varphi$ -images of any two vertices of a simplex of  $K'$  is less than  $\varepsilon/2$ . The diameter of the  $\varphi'$ -images of any simplex of  $K'$  is less than  $\varepsilon/6$ . Since  $\|\varphi(v) - \varphi'(v)\| < \varepsilon/6$  for every vertex of  $K'$ , it then follows that  $\|\varphi(p) - \varphi'(p)\| < \varepsilon$  for every point of  $K'$ . By the choice of  $\varepsilon$  the homotopy

$$\varphi_t(p) = (1-t)\varphi'(p) + t\varphi(p), \quad p \in K'$$

occurs in  $V$ . Put  $C = \varphi(K')$ . By Borsuk's definition there is a deformation

$$h_t: C \rightarrow U = X_m$$

such that  $h_1(C) \subset W$ . Define  $\varphi'': K' \rightarrow X_{m'}$  by  $\varphi'' = h_1\varphi$ . Then in  $X_m$  we have  $\varphi'' \simeq h_0\varphi = \varphi \simeq \varphi'$ .

**5. Finite dimensional movable compacta.** In this section we show that an  $n$ -movable compactum of dimension  $\leq n$  is movable.

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $X$  be the inverse limit of the inverse system  $\{X_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, A\}$  of compacta, and let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  and  $f_\alpha: X_\alpha \rightarrow Y$  (for each  $\alpha \in A$ ) be maps into a compact ANR  $Y$  such that  $f = f_\alpha p_\alpha$ , for all  $\alpha \in A$ , where  $p_\alpha: X \rightarrow X_\alpha$  is the natural projection. If the (covering) dimension of  $X$  is at most  $n$ , then there exist an  $n$ -complex  $K$ , an index  $\beta \in A$ , and maps  $h: X_\beta \rightarrow K$ ,  $g: K \rightarrow Y$  such that  $gh \simeq f_\beta$ .*

**Proof.** Since  $Y$  is a compact ANR, there is a finite complex  $L$  and maps  $p: Y \rightarrow L$  and  $q: L \rightarrow Y$  such that  $qp \simeq 1_Y$ . If the Lemma is true when  $Y$  is replaced by  $L$  and  $f, f_\alpha$  replaced by  $pf, pf_\alpha$ , respectively, then it is also true for  $Y$ , because we take map the  $\tilde{g}: K \rightarrow L$  given by the modified Lemma and define  $g = q\tilde{g}$ . Since  $\tilde{gh} \simeq pf_\beta$ ,  $gh \simeq qpf_\beta \simeq f_\beta$ . Therefore it suffices to prove the Lemma for the case when  $Y$  is a complex  $L$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the open star cover of  $L$  and let  $\mathcal{U}$  be a cover of  $X$  refining  $f^{-1}\mathcal{O}$  whose nerve  $K(\mathcal{U})$  is an  $n$ -complex. Take  $K = K(\mathcal{U})$ ,  $\varphi$  any canonical map  $X \rightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$ , and  $g$  any map  $K(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow L$  induced by  $f$ .

By Lemma 3.8 of [2, p. 263] there exist an index  $\beta \in A$ , an open cover  $\mathcal{V}$  of  $X_\beta$  such that  $p_\beta^{-1}\mathcal{V}$  refines  $\mathcal{U}$ , and a  $p_\beta$ -induced map  $\varrho: K(p_\beta^{-1}\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$  which is a simplicial isomorphism. Let  $\pi: K(p_\beta^{-1}\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{U})$  be a projection and  $\psi: X_\beta \rightarrow K(\mathcal{V})$  a canonical map, and define  $h = \pi\varrho^{-1}\psi$ .

Since  $p_\beta^{-1}\mathcal{V}$  refines  $f^{-1}\mathcal{O} = p_\beta^{-1}f_\beta^{-1}\mathcal{O}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}$  refines  $f_\beta^{-1}\mathcal{O}$ . Let  $g_\beta: K(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L$  be induced by  $f_\beta$ . It is easily seen that both  $g\pi$  and  $g_\beta\varrho$  are maps  $K(p_\beta^{-1}\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow L$  which are induced by  $f = f_\beta p_\beta$ ; hence  $g\pi \simeq g_\beta\varrho$ . Therefore  $gh = g\pi\varrho^{-1}\psi \simeq f_\beta$ .

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $X$  is an  $n$ -movable compactum of (covering) dimension  $\leq n$ , then  $X$  is movable.*

**Proof.** Let  $\underline{X} = \{X_\alpha, p_{\alpha\alpha'}, A\}$  be an ANR-system associated with  $\underline{X}$  such that each  $X_\alpha$  is a compact ANR (see [8, Theorem 7]). We shall show that for any  $\alpha \in A$  there is a  $\beta \geq \alpha$  such that for each  $\alpha'' \geq \alpha$  there is a map  $r^{\beta\alpha''}: X_\beta \rightarrow X_{\alpha''}$  with  $p_{\alpha\alpha''}r^{\beta\alpha''} \simeq p_{\alpha\beta}$ . For a given  $\alpha$  choose  $\alpha'$  as in the definition of  $n$ -movable. By taking  $Y = X_{\alpha'}$ ,  $f = p_{\alpha'}$ ,  $f_\gamma = p_{\alpha'\gamma}$ , and the inverse system associated with  $X$  consisting of those  $X_\alpha$  with  $\gamma \geq \alpha'$  we find by Lemma 2 that there exist  $\beta \geq \alpha'$ , an  $n$ -complex  $K$  and maps  $g: K \rightarrow X_{\alpha'}$ ,  $h: X_\beta \rightarrow K$  such that  $gh \simeq p_{\alpha'\beta}$ . By the definition of  $n$ -movability for every  $\alpha'' \geq \alpha$  there is a map  $\varphi: K \rightarrow X_{\alpha''}$  with  $p_{\alpha\alpha''}\varphi \simeq p_{\alpha\alpha'}g$ . Then taking  $r^{\beta\alpha''} = \varphi h$  yields the desired relation

$$p_{\alpha\alpha''}r^{\beta\alpha''} = p_{\alpha\alpha'}\varphi h \simeq p_{\alpha\alpha'}gh \simeq p_{\alpha\alpha'}p_{\alpha'\beta} = p_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Remark 2. It would have been convenient if the compactum  $X$  of dimension  $\leq n$  in Theorem 4 could have been represented as the inverse limit of an inverse system of polyhedra of dimension  $\leq n$ . However, this is not possible in general (see [6]).

**6.  $n$ -movability and sphere-like continua.** Let  $Q = (q_1, q_2, \dots)$  be a sequence of primes and let  $S_Q^n = \{X_m, p_{mm+1}\}$  be an inverse sequence of  $n$ -spheres  $X_m = S^n$ , where the bonding maps are maps of  $S^n$  into  $S^n$  of degree  $q_m$ . Then the shape of the inverse limit  $S_Q^n$  of  $S_Q^n$  is completely determined. It was proved in [8, Theorem 19] that every metric  $S^n$ -like continuum  $X$  is of the shape of a point,  $S^n$  or some  $S_Q^n$ . The first two shapes are obviously movable but the third is not [7]. We will show that the  $S_Q^n$  is  $(n-1)$ -movable but not  $n$ -movable. This gives a positive answer to problem (4.6) of [1].  $S_Q^n$  is  $(n-1)$ -movable: since all mappings of a space of dimension  $< n$  into  $S^n$  are inessential [4, Theorem VI.4] they are homotopic. By Theorem 4  $S_Q^n$  is not  $n$ -movable it is  $n$ -dimensional but not movable [7].

**7.  $n$ -movability and an example of Kahn.** In [3] it was shown that a continuum  $X = \text{Invlim}\{X_m, p_{mm'}\}$  described by Kahn in [5] is not movable. (Actually a family of such continua was described.) Here we show that  $X$  is  $n$ -movable for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  This yields a positive answer to problem 4.7 of [1].

Given any positive integer  $m$ , there is an  $m' \geq m$  such that any map  $\varphi'$  of any polyhedron  $P$  of dimension  $\leq n$  into  $X_{m'}$  is inessential. (Since the connectivity of  $X_m$  increases as  $m$  does we just choose  $m'$  large enough so that the connectivity of  $X_{m'}$  is greater than  $n$ .) So  $p_{mm'}\varphi'$  is also inessential. For any  $m'' > m'$  let  $\varphi'': P \rightarrow X_{m''}$  be any constant map. Then

$$p_{mm'}\varphi' \simeq p_{mm''}\varphi''.$$

Hence  $X$  is  $n$ -movable for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

**Added in proof.** The referee has pointed out that some of these results are included in the paper of A. Kodama and T. Watanabe, *A note on Borsuk's  $n$ -movability*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. (to appear).

## References

- [1] K. Borsuk, *On the  $n$ -movability*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 20 (1972), pp. 859–864.
- [2] S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton 1952.
- [3] D. Handel and J. Segal, *An acyclic continuum with non-movable suspensions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 21 (1973), pp. 171–172.

- [4] W. Hurewicz and H. Wallman, *Dimension Theory*, Princeton 1948.
- [5] D. S. Kahn, *An example in Čech cohomology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1956), p. 584.
- [6] S. Mardešić, *On covering dimension and inverse limits of compact spaces*, Illinois J. Math. 4 (1960), pp. 278–291.
- [7] — and J. Segal, *Movable compacta and ANR-systems*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 18 (1970), pp. 649–654.
- [8] — — *Shapes of compacta and ANR-systems*, Fund. Math. 72 (1972), pp. 41–59.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 21. 2. 1973