

[3] J. Kordylewski and M. Kuczma, *On some linear functional equations*, Ann. Polon. Math. 9 (1960), pp. 119-136.

[4] M. Kuczma, *Remarques sur quelques théorèmes de J. Anastassiadis*, Bull. Sci. Math. (2) 84 (1960), pp. 98-102.

[5] — *Sur une équation fonctionnelle*, Mathematica, Cluj, 3 (26) (1961), pp. 79-87.

[6] A. C. Oeconomou, *Sur une équation fonctionnelle*, Actes Congr. Interbalkan. Math. (Athens 2-9, IX. 1934), 1935, pp. 215-218.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 5. 5. 1962

## A note on $v^*$ -algebras

by

W. Narkiewicz (Wrocław)

A  $v^*$ -algebra is an abstract algebra  $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{L}, F)$  satisfying the following conditions:

(i) If  $a \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $a$  is not an algebraic constant, then the set  $\{a\}$  is a set of independent elements.

(ii) If  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  is a set of independent elements and  $\{a_1, \dots, a_{n+1}\}$  is not a set of independent elements, then  $a_{n+1}$  belongs to the subalgebra generated by  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ . (Independence is to be understood in the sense of E. Marczewski. See [1], [2].)

Some properties of  $v^*$ -algebras have been developed in [3]. Here we shall prove a strengthening of theorem II of [3].

Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be any algebra. By  $A^{(n)}$  we denote the set of all algebraic functions of  $n$  variables, and by  $A^{(n,k)}$  we denote the set of all functions of  $A^{(n)}$  depending on at most  $k$  variables.

**THEOREM.** *If  $\mathfrak{A} = (\mathcal{L}, F)$  is an  $n$ -dimensional  $v^*$ -algebra, and  $A^{(3)} = A^{(3,1)}$ , then there exist a group  $G$  of transformations of the set  $\mathcal{L}$  and a subset  $\mathcal{L}_0 \subset \mathcal{L}$  containing all fixed points of the transformations from  $G$  such that  $G(\mathcal{L}_0) \subset \mathcal{L}_0$ , and moreover every algebraic function of  $n$  variables is of the form:*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g(x_i) \quad \text{for } g \in G \text{ and } 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

or

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a \quad \text{for } a \in \mathcal{L}_0.$$

In view of theorem II of [3] it suffices to prove that  $A^{(n)} = A^{(n,1)}$ , whence the theorem results at once from the following

**LEMMA.** *If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $v^*$ -algebra,  $k \geq 3$ ,  $A^{(k)} = A^{(k,1)}$ , and  $\dim \mathfrak{A} \geq k+1$ , then  $A^{(k+1)} = A^{(k+1,1)}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that the set  $A^{(k+1)} \setminus A^{(k+1,k)}$  is non-void, and let  $f \in A^{(k+1)} \setminus A^{(k+1,k)}$ . Hence the set  $\{f(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}), x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}\}$  in the algebra  $A^{(k+1)}$  is independent, and thus this set generates the whole algebra  $A^{(k+1)}$ . There exists an  $F \in A^{(k+1)}$  such that

$$x_1 = F(f(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}), x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}).$$

By putting here  $x_1 = X$ ,  $x_2 = \dots = x_{k+1} = Y$  we obtain

$$X = F(f(X, Y, \dots, Y), Y, \dots, Y).$$

From the hypothesis,  $f(X, Y, \dots, Y) \in A^{(k+1)}$ . If we had  $f(X, Y, \dots, Y) = h(Y)$  with some  $h$ , then  $X = F(h(Y), Y, \dots, Y)$ —a contradiction; hence  $f(X, Y, \dots, Y) = h(X)$  with a suitable  $h \in A^{(1)}$ .

The set  $\{f(x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}), x_1, x_3, x_4, \dots, x_{k+1}\}$  is independent in the algebra  $A^{(k+1)}$ ; hence, as before, we obtain

$$x_2 = G(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}), x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1})$$

with a suitable  $G \in A^{(k+1)}$ .

By putting here  $x_1 = X$ ,  $x_2 = Y$ ,  $x_3 = \dots = x_{k+1} = Z$  we obtain

$$(1) \quad Y = G(f(X, Y, Z, \dots, Z), X, Z, \dots, Z)$$

From the hypothesis,  $f(X, Y, Z, \dots, Z) \in A^{(k+1)}$ . If we had  $f(X, Y, Z, \dots, Z) = H(X)$ , or  $f(X, Y, Z, \dots, Z) = H(Z)$  with some  $H$ , then  $Y = G(H(X), X, Z, \dots, Z)$ , or  $Y = G(H(Z), X, Z, \dots, Z)$  and this is impossible. Hence  $f(X, Y, Z, \dots, Z) = H(Y)$  with a suitable  $H \in A^{(1)}$ . By setting here  $Y = Z$ , we obtain  $f(X, Y, \dots, Y) = H(Y)$ ; but we obtained above  $f(X, Y, \dots, Y) = h(X)$ , whence  $H$  must be a constant function,  $H(Y) = c$  for all  $Y$ ; but now from (1) we have  $Y = G(H(Y), X, Z, \dots, Z) = G(c, X, Z, \dots, Z)$ —a contradiction, because the right side of the last equation does not depend on  $Y$ . Hence every function of  $A^{(k+1)}$  depends on at most  $k$  variables, and from the hypothesis it follows that every function of  $A^{(k+1)}$  depends on at most one variable, q.e.d.

The theorem just proved and theorem III of [3] imply the following

**COROLLARY.** *If  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an  $v^*$ -algebra,  $\dim \mathfrak{A} \geq 3$ , then  $\mathfrak{A}$  is decomposable if and only if  $A^{(k)} = A^{(k+1)}$ .*

### References

- [1] E. Marczewski, *A general scheme of the notions of independence in mathematics*, Bull. Acad. Pol. Sci., Sér. sci. math., astr. et phys. 6 (1958), pp. 731-736.  
 [2] — *Independence and homomorphisms in abstract algebras*, Fund. Math. 50 (1961), pp. 45-61.  
 [3] W. Narkiewicz, *Independence in a class of abstract algebras*, Fund. Math. 50 (1962), pp. 333-340.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 9. 5. 1962

## A representation theorem for $v^*$ -algebras

by

K. Urbanik (Wrocław)

By an *algebra*  $\mathfrak{A}$  we mean a pair  $(A, F)$  where  $A$  is a non-empty set and  $F$  is a family of  $A$ -valued functions of finitely many variables running over  $A$ .  $F$  is called the class of *fundamental* operations. The class  $\mathcal{A}$  of *algebraic* operations is, by definition, the smallest class closed with respect to composition, containing all fundamental operations and all trivial operations defined by the formula

$$e_k^{(n)}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = x_k \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, n; n = 1, 2, \dots).$$

Two algebras  $(A, F_1)$  and  $(A, F_2)$  having the same class of algebraic operations will be treated here as identical. In particular, we have the equation  $(A, F) = (A, \mathcal{A})$ . Further, if the class of algebraic operations on  $(A, F_1)$  is contained in the class of algebraic operations on  $(A, F_2)$ , then we say that  $(A, F_1)$  is a *subsystem* of  $(A, F_2)$ .

The subclass of all algebraic operations of  $n$  variables will be denoted by  $A^{(n)}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). Further, by  $A^{(0)}$  we shall denote the set of all values of constant algebraic operations. Elements belonging to  $A^{(0)}$  will be called *algebraic constants*. If  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , then  $A^{(n,k)}$  will denote the subclass of  $A^{(k)}$  consisting of all operations depending on at most  $k$  variables, i.e.  $f \in A^{(n,k)}$  if there is an operation  $g \in A^{(k)}$  such that  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = g(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})$  for a system of indices  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k$  and for every  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$ . By  $A^{(n,0)}$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) we shall denote the subclass of  $A^{(n)}$  containing all constant operations. The above definitions are given in a more detailed form in [1], [2] and [4].

Following E. Marczewski [1], we say that elements of a set  $I$  ( $I \subset A$ ) are *independent* if for each system of  $n$  different elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  from  $I$  and for each pair of operations  $f, g \in A^{(n)}$  the equation

$$f(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) = g(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$$

implies that  $f$  and  $g$  are identical in  $\mathfrak{A}$ . A set whose elements are not independent will be called a set of *dependent* elements. An element  $a \in A$  is said to be *self-dependent* if the one-point set containing  $a$  is a set of dependent elements.