

A system which can define its own truth.

By

John Myhill (Philadelphia).

Tarski has shown ¹⁾ that for a certain class of logical systems S , the following holds:

It is impossible to define in S the class of Gödel-numbers of true statements of S .

The essence of his proof consists of the following version of the Epimenides. Let $Fmla$ be the class of Gödel-numbers of meaningful statements of S ; then we can define the class of false statements of S as follows

$$x \in Fals \equiv x \in Fmla \cdot \sim x \in True$$

where $True$ is the class of Gödel-numbers of true statements of S .

Let „ $Nom(y, x)$ “ say that y is the Gödel-number of the numeral designating x , and let „ $Subst(z, y, x)$ “ say that z is the Gödel-number of the result of writing the expression whose Gödel-number is y for all free occurrences of „ v “ in the expression whose Gödel-number is x . Let n be the numeral designating the Gödel-number of

$$Ep. 1 \quad (Ey) (Ez) (Nom(y, v) \cdot Subst(z, y, v) \cdot z \in Fals).$$

Then the formula

$$Ep. 2 \quad (Ey) (Ez) (Nom(y, n) \cdot Subst(z, y, n) \cdot z \in Fals)$$

says that the result of writing n for all free occurrences of „ v “ in Ep. 1 is false. But this result is Ep. 2 itself; i. e. Ep. 2 affirms its own falsehood, an evident contradiction ²⁾.

¹⁾ A. Tarski, *Pojęcie prawdy w językach nauk dedukcyjnych*, Warszawa, 1933.

²⁾ We have $(Ey) (Ez) (Nom(y, n) \cdot Subst(z, y, n) \cdot z \in Fals) \equiv (Ep. 2 \text{ is true})$; but by Tarski's schema for truth (see Tarski, op. cit.), also $(Ey) (Ez) (Nom(y, n) \cdot Subst(z, y, n) \cdot z \in Fals) \equiv (Ep. 2 \text{ is false})$; the contradiction follows by the theory of deduction.

It is obvious that this proof of Tarski's depends upon S 's containing a certain amount of conceptual apparatus; in particular it depends upon S 's containing negation. The question has hitherto remained undecided, whether *any* system, even without negation, can define its own truth. The purpose of this paper is to answer this question in the affirmative.

Rószka Péter ³⁾ has constructed a number-theoretic function Φ such that for every primitive recursive function f of two arguments there is a number x such that

$$f(y, z) = \Phi(x, y, z)$$

for all y and z . Further, it is evident from the definition of this function that it is general recursive.

Let S_1 be a system consisting of the recursion equations for Φ and everything which can be deduced from them by the use of extensionality and substitution of constants for variables.

Let S_2 be the class of all formulae of S_1 which contain no free variables.

Let S_3 be a system consisting of all formulae of S_2 and everything which can be obtained from them by means of the rule:

From „... n —“, where „ n “ is a numeral, infer „ $(Ex)(\dots x \text{—})$ “, where „ x “ is a variable not occurring in „... n —“.

We shall show that S_3 can define its own truth.

It is evident that S_3 is a system, i. e. that the class of Gödel-numbers of theorems of S_3 forms the range of values of a general recursive function, say α . Further S_2 , and hence S_3 , is clearly complete and consistent, in the sense that all true formulae expressible in the notation of S_2 and S_3 , and no others, are provable in S_2 and S_3 respectively. Hence the class of true statements of S_3 coincides with the class of theorems of S_3 .

Rosser ⁴⁾ has shown that the range of values of every general recursive function coincides with the range of values of some primitive

³⁾ R. Péter, *Konstruktion nichtrekursiver Funktionen*, Math. Ann., 111 (1935), pp. 42-60.

⁴⁾ B. Rosser, *Extensions of Some Theorems of Gödel and Church*, Journal of Symbolic Logic, 1, p. 88, Lemma I, Corollary 1.

recursive function. Hence we may suppose a primitive recursive. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x \text{ is the Gödel-number of a true statement of } S_3 &= (E y) (x = a(y)) \\ &= (E y) (x = \beta(y, 0)) \\ &= (E y) (x = \Phi(m, y, 0)) \end{aligned}$$

for some primitive recursive β and for some m and this is clearly expressible in S_3 ; hence S_3 can define its own truth.

Q. E. D.

A Proof of the Completeness Theorem of Gödel.

By

H. Rasiowa (Warszawa) and R. Sikorski (Warszawa).

In this paper we shall give a new proof of the following well-known theorem of Gödel¹⁾:

(*) *If a formula a of the functional calculus is valid in the domain of positive integers, then a is provable.*

Three ideas play an essential part in our proof: Mostowski's algebraic interpretation of a formula a as a functional the values of which belong to a Boolean algebra; Lindenbaum's construction of a Boolean algebra from formulas of the functional calculus; and a theorem on the existence of prime ideals in Boolean algebras, the proof of which is topological and uses the well-known category method.

1. The functional calculus. By the *functional calculus* (of first order) we understand the system which can be briefly described as follows:

The symbols of the system are: *individual variables* x_1, x_2, \dots ; *functional variables* F_1^k, F_2^k, \dots with k arguments ($k=1, 2, \dots$); and *constants*. The constants are: the negation sign $'$, the disjunction sign $+$, the existential quantifier \sum_{x_k} , and the brackets.

$F_f^k(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k})$ is a (elementary) *formula* of this system; if a and β are formulae, then $a + \beta$, a' and $\sum_{x_k} a$ are also formulae.

¹⁾ K. Gödel, *Die Vollständigkeit der Axiome des logischen Funktionenkalküls*, Monatshefte für Mathematik und Physik **37** (1930), pp. 349-360. See also D. Hilbert and P. Bernays, *Grundlagen der Mathematik*, Band II, Berlin 1939; and L. Henkin, *The completeness of the first-order functional calculus*, Journal of Symbolic Logic **14** (1949), pp. 159-166.