

# Topological algebras of continuous functions over valued fields

by

EDWARD BECKENSTEIN, GEORGE BACHMAN,

LAWRENCE NARICI

**Abstract.** In this note we consider the algebra of continuous functions  $C(T, F)$  mapping the 0-dimensional Hausdorff space  $T$  to the complete rank one nonarchimedean nontrivially valued field  $F$ . The first two sections of the paper are concerned with the development of analogs  $\hat{\beta}(T)$  and  $\hat{\nu}(T)$  of the Stone-Čech and realcompactifications of  $T$ . An analog of the Gelfand-Kolmogoroff theorem is presented. The kernels of homomorphisms of  $C(T, F)$  into  $F$  are characterized in a fashion analogous to Hewitt's characterization for real algebras, where  $F$  is a complete discretely valued field whose residue class field has nonmeasurable cardinal.

In the final section it is shown that in the case where  $F$  is complete and discretely valued with residue class field having nonmeasurable cardinal, the algebra  $C(T, F)$  endowed with compact-open topology is  $F$ -bornological if and only if  $\hat{\nu}(T) = T$ .

In the present note we study algebras  $C(T, F)$  of continuous functions with pointwise operations from a 0-dimensional Hausdorff space  $T$  to a complete rank one nonarchimedean nontrivially valued field  $F$ .  $C(T, F)$  carries the compact-open topology and is therefore a locally  $F$ -convex ([12]) topological vector space. Nachbin ([9]) and Shirota ([11]) obtained a necessary and sufficient condition for real algebras  $C(X, R)$  of continuous functions mapping the Tychonoff space  $X$  into the real numbers  $R$  to be bornological:  $C(X, R)$  is bornological if and only if  $X$  is a  $Q$ -space (as defined in [5] or [6]). Here, in Theorem 7, we obtain a necessary and sufficient condition for  $C(T, F)$  to be  $F$ -bornological (in the sense of [12]) when  $F$  is a complete discretely valued field whose residue class field ([1]) has nonmeasurable cardinal ([5]). To accomplish this, we bypass the real-number-dependent machinery used in analyzing the algebras  $C(X, R)$ .

Throughout this paper  $T$  denotes a 0-dimensional Hausdorff topological space,  $F$  a complete rank one nonarchimedean nontrivially valued field. Our use of "0-dimensionality" is that there is a base for the topology consisting of closed and open (clopen) sets. The  $F$ -valued characteristic function of a subset  $E$  of  $T$  is denoted by  $k_E$ .

**1. Maximal ideals of  $C(T, F)$ .** In this section we develop an analog  $\hat{\beta}(T)$  of the classical Stone-Čech compactification  $\beta(T)$  of  $T$ . We also develop an analog  $\hat{\nu}(T)$  of the classical realcompactification  $\nu(T)$  of  $T$ . We list (Theorems 1-3) relationships between the points  $s \in \hat{\beta}(T)$  and maximal ideals  $M$  of the algebra  $C(T, F)$  analogous to those of [5]. The validity of Props. 1-3 enables us to omit the proofs of Theorems 1-3 (cf. [5], pp. 10-108).

**DEFINITION 1.** A subset  $E$  of  $T$  is a  $C_\delta$  set if there exists a countable collection of clopen sets  $(S_n)$  such that  $E = \bigcap S_n$ . A subset  $E$  of  $T$  is an  $F$ -zero set if there exists  $f \in C(T, F)$  such that  $E = f^{-1}(0)$ . We then also denote  $E$  by  $z(f)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.**  $E$  is an  $F$ -zero set if and only if  $E$  is a  $C_\delta$  set.

**Proof.** If  $E$  is an  $F$ -zero set, then  $E = z(f)$  for some  $f \in C(T, F)$  and  $E = \bigcap \{t \in T \mid |f(t)| < 1/n\}$ .

Conversely, if  $E = \bigcap S_n$  where each  $S_n$  is a clopen subset of  $T$ , then choosing  $a \in F$  such that  $|a| < 1$  and setting  $f = \sum a^n k_{CS_n}$ , we see that  $z(f) = E$ .

From Prop. 1 we see that the  $F$ -zero sets are the same for any field  $F$  and may be referred to simply as *zero sets*. We now show that disjoint zero ( $C_\delta$ ) sets can be separated by clopen sets.

**PROPOSITION 2.** If  $E \cap L = \emptyset$  where  $E$  and  $L$  are  $C_\delta$  sets, then there exists a clopen set  $S \subset T$  such that  $E \subset S$  while  $L \subset OS$ .

**Proof.** For the sake of the proof, we choose  $F$  to be a field such that  $\sqrt{-1} \notin F$  (e. g. a  $p$ -adic number field  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  where  $p = 4n + 3$  for some positive integer  $n$ ). Since  $E = z(f)$  and  $L = z(g)$  for some  $f, g \in C(T, F)$ , we observe that as  $\sqrt{-1} \notin F$ , then  $z(f^2 + g^2) = \emptyset$ . Consequently  $h = f^2/(f^2 + g^2) \in C(T, F)$  and the set  $S = \{t \in T \mid |h(t)| < 1/2\}$  will satisfy the conditions of the proposition.

**DEFINITION 2:** Let  $\mathfrak{I}$  be a collection of nonempty  $C_\delta$ -sets such that

(a) If  $E_1, E_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$  then  $E_1 \cap E_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$ ,

and

(b) if  $E_1 \in \mathfrak{I}$  and  $E_1 \subset E_2$  where  $E_2$  is a  $C_\delta$  set, then  $E_2 \in \mathfrak{I}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{I}$  is called a *z-filter*. If  $\mathfrak{I}$  is maximal under set inclusion, then  $\mathfrak{I}$  is called a *z-ultrafilter*.

As an intersection of finitely many (even denumerably many)  $C_\delta$  sets is a  $C_\delta$  set, it can be shown that every  $z$ -filter can be extended to a  $z$ -ultrafilter.

**PROPOSITION 3.** The mapping  $M \rightarrow Z(M) = \{z(f) \mid f \in M\}$  establishes a 1-1 correspondence between the maximal ideals  $M$  of  $C(T, F)$  and the  $z$ -ultrafilters on  $T$ .

**Proof.** We note that the proof of the analogous statement as presented in [5] would be applicable to this situation if it could be shown that when  $f, g \in M$ , then  $z(f) \cap z(g) \in Z(M)$ . Thus we show that when  $f, g \in M$  it follows that  $z(f) \cap z(g) \in Z(M)$ .

We begin by showing that if  $f, g \in M$  then  $z(f) \cap z(g) \neq \emptyset$ . If  $z(f) \cap z(g) = \emptyset$ , then by Prop. 2 it follows that there is a clopen set  $S \subset T$  such that  $z(f) \subset S$  while  $z(g) \subset OS$ . Let

$$f'(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in S, \\ f(t)^{-1} & \text{if } t \in OS, \end{cases}$$

$$g'(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \in OS, \\ g(t)^{-1} & \text{if } t \in S. \end{cases}$$

Then  $ff' + gg' = k_T \in M$  which is contradictory.

We now show that if  $f \in M$  and  $z(g) \subset z(f)$  for some  $g \in C(T, F)$ , then it follows that  $g \in M$ . To do this we simply observe that  $z(g) \cap z(h) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $h \in M$  and therefore the ideal generated by  $M$  and the function  $g$  is a proper ideal. Thus  $g \in M$ .

Now we can show that if  $f, g \in M$  then  $z(f) \cap z(g) \in Z(M)$ . To begin we note that  $z(f) = \bigcap S_n$  and  $z(g) = \bigcap W_n$  where the sets  $S_n$  and  $W_n$  are clopen subsets of  $T$ . Choosing  $a \in F$  such that  $0 < |a| < 1$  and setting  $f' = \sum a^{2n} k_{CS_n}$  and  $g' = \sum a^{2n+1} k_{CW_n}$ , we observe that  $z(f) = z(f')$ ,  $z(g) = z(g')$ , and  $z(f' + g') = z(f') \cap z(g') = z(f) \cap z(g)$ . Since  $f' + g' \in M$ , the proof is seen to be complete.

**DEFINITION 3.** Let  $F$  be a local field and  $V = \{a \in F \mid |a| \leq 1\}$  be the valuation ring of  $F$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S} = \{f \in C(T, F) \mid f(T) \subset V\}$  and consider the map

$$e: T \rightarrow V^{\mathfrak{S}}, \quad t \rightarrow (f(t))_{f \in \mathfrak{S}}.$$

As in [7] the mapping  $e$  of  $T$  into the product space  $V^{\mathfrak{S}}$  is a topological embedding. We define the closure  $\overline{e(T)}$  of  $e(T)$  in  $V^{\mathfrak{S}}$  to be the  $F$ -Stone-Čech compactification of  $T$  and denote it by  $\hat{\beta}_F(T)$ .

By standard arguments we may show that the compactifications  $\hat{\beta}_F(T)$  are equivalent compactifications of  $T$  for all  $F$ , so we may refer to this compactification of  $T$  as  $\hat{\beta}(T)$  — the nonarchimedean Stone-Čech compactification of  $T$ .

As in [7], if  $T$  and  $T^*$  are both 0-dimensional Hausdorff spaces, a continuous function  $f: T \rightarrow T^*$  can be extended to a continuous function  $\hat{f}: \hat{\beta}(T) \rightarrow \hat{\beta}(T^*)$ .

We now list a group of results whose proofs are similar to proofs of analogous results in [5]. We emphasize that unless otherwise stated,  $F$  is any complete nonarchimedean nontrivially valued field.

THEOREM 1 ("Gelfand-Kolmogoroff"). *There exists a 1-1 correspondence between the points  $s \in \hat{\beta}(T)$  and maximal ideals  $M$  of  $C(T, F)$  where*

$$s \rightarrow \{f \in C(T, F) \mid s \in \text{cl}_{\hat{\beta}(T)}(f)\} = M(s)$$

*establishes the correspondence.*

DEFINITION 4. The nonarchimedean realcompactification  $\hat{\nu}(T)$  of  $T$  is defined to be the collection of points  $s \in \hat{\beta}(T)$  such that if  $(W_n)$  is any denumerable collection of clopen neighborhoods of  $s$  in  $\hat{\beta}(T)$ , then  $\bigcap (W_n) \cap T \neq \emptyset$ . If  $\hat{\nu}(T) = T$ , then  $T$  is called a  $\hat{Q}$ -space.

THEOREM 2. *The following statements are all equivalent.*

- (a)  $s \in \hat{\nu}(T)$ ,
- (b) If  $z(f_n) \in M(s)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), then  $\bigcap z(f_n) \in Z(M(s))$ ,
- (c) If  $z(f_n) \in M(s)$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ), then  $\bigcap z(f_n) \neq \emptyset$ .

THEOREM 3. *If  $F$  is a local field, then  $M(s)$  is the kernel of a homomorphism of  $C(T, F)$  into  $F$  if and only if  $s \in \hat{\nu}(T)$ .*

THEOREM 4. *If  $f$  is a continuous function taking  $T$  into  $T^*$  and  $\hat{f}$  is the continuous extension of  $f$  taking  $\hat{\beta}(T)$  into  $\hat{\beta}(T^*)$ , then  $\hat{f}(\hat{\nu}(T)) = \hat{\nu}(T^*)$ .*

Proof. Let  $s \in \hat{\nu}(T)$ . To show that  $\hat{f}(s) \in \hat{\nu}(T^*)$ , it is shown that if  $(W_n)$  is a denumerable collection of clopen neighborhoods of  $\hat{f}(s)$  in  $\hat{\beta}(T^*)$ , then  $\bigcap W_n \cap T^* \neq \emptyset$ . To demonstrate this we observe that as  $s \in \hat{\nu}(T)$ , it follows that  $f^{-1}(\bigcap W_n \cap T^*) = \bigcap f^{-1}(W_n) \cap T \neq \emptyset$ .

**2. The homomorphisms of  $C(T, F)$  into  $F$ .** A set  $S$  is said to have nonmeasurable cardinal [5] if every ultrafilter  $\mathfrak{A}$  of subsets of  $S$ , closed with respect to the formation of denumerable intersections, is fixed ( $\bigcap \mathfrak{A} \neq \emptyset$ ). This is equivalent to the requirement that every countably additive 0-1 measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all subsets of  $S$  be concentrated at a point of  $S$ . A "measurable" cardinal has never been exhibited. Moreover, the collection of nonmeasurable cardinals is a subclass of the class of all cardinals which is closed with respect to the standard operations on the class of cardinals [5].

In this section we show that Theorem 3 can be generalized to include all fields  $F$  such that  $F$  is complete, discretely valued, and the residue class  $k$  ([1] or [10]) has nonmeasurable cardinal. As a complete discretely valued field  $F$  is a local field if and only if  $k$  is a finite field [10], we see from the above remarks that this constitutes a considerable broadening of Theorem 3.

PROPOSITION 4. *If  $M(s) \subset C(T, F)$  and  $M(s)$  is the kernel of a homomorphism  $h$  taking  $C(T, F)$  into  $F$ , then  $s \in \hat{\nu}(T)$ .*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that if  $(S_n)$  is a pairwise disjoint clopen cover of  $T$ , then  $S_j \in Z(M(s))$  for some integer  $j$ . To prove this let  $a \in F$  be such that  $0 < |a| < 1$  and let  $f = \sum a^n k_{CS_n}$ . Since  $f - h(f)k_T \in M(s)$ , then  $z(f - h(f)k_T) \in Z(M(s))$ . But  $z(f - h(f)k_T) = S_j$  for some  $j$ .

THEOREM 5. *Let  $F$  be a complete and discretely valued field whose residue class field  $k$  has nonmeasurable cardinal. Then  $M(s) \subset C(T, F)$  is the kernel of a homomorphism of  $C(T, F)$  into  $F$  if and only if  $s \in \hat{\nu}(T)$ .*

Proof. To prove this we must show that if  $g \notin M(s)$ , then for some  $a \in F$ ,  $g - ak_T \in M(s)$ . Let  $(a_\mu)_{\mu \in U}$  be a collection of representatives of  $k$  and choose a scalar  $b \in F$  such that  $|b| < 1$  is a generator of the value group  $|F^*|$  of  $F$ .

Since  $F = \bigcup_{-\infty}^0 b^j V$ , then  $T = \bigcup_{-\infty}^0 g^{-1}(b^j V)$  and, as  $Z(M(s))$  is closed under the formation of denumerable intersections, it follows that for some integer  $j$ ,  $g^{-1}(b^j V) \in Z(M(s))$ . We observe that  $b^j V = \bigcup_{\mu \in U} (b^j a_\mu + b^{j+1} V)$ . Since for any subset  $H$  of  $U$ ,  $S_H = \bigcup_{\mu \in H} (b^j a_\mu + b^{j+1} V)$  is a clopen subset of  $F$ , we see that the sets  $H \subset U$  such that  $g^{-1}(S_H) \in Z(M(s))$  are an ultrafilter of subsets of  $U$ . Since  $U$  has nonmeasurable cardinal, there exists  $u_0 \in U$  such that  $g^{-1}(b^j a_{u_0} + b^{j+1} V) \in Z(M(s))$ . Similarly, there exists  $u_1$  such that  $g^{-1}(b^j a_{u_0} + b^{j+1} a_{u_1} + b^{j+2} V) \in Z(M(s))$ . In this way we construct a nest  $S_n = b^j a_{u_0} + \dots + b^{j+n} a_{u_n} + b^{j+n+1} V$  of subsets of  $T$  such that  $\text{diam } S_n \rightarrow 0$  and  $g^{-1}(S_n) \in Z(M(s))$ . As  $F$  is a complete field,  $\bigcap S_n = \{a\}$  for some  $a \in F$  and it follows that  $g^{-1}(a) = \bigcap g^{-1}(S_n) \in Z(M(s))$ .

EXAMPLE 1. In [8] Michael showed that if an algebra  $A \subset C(X, R)$  is "closed under inverses" (if  $f \in A$  and  $f^{-1} \in C(X, R)$ , then  $f^{-1} \in A$ ) and  $A$  satisfies conditions (a) and (b) below, then the nontrivial homomorphisms of  $A$  into  $R$  are generated by the points of  $X$  as evaluation map homomorphisms.

(a) Given  $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$  such that  $\bigcap_{i=1}^n z(f_i) = \emptyset$ , then there exist  $g_1, \dots, g_n \in A$  such that  $\sum f_i g_i = k_T$ .

(b) There exist  $h_1, \dots, h_m \in A$  such that for any  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in R$ ,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^m z(h_i - a_i k_T)$  is compact.

The proof of ([8], p. 51) may be applied to the setting of this paper and it may be noted therefore that if  $A \subset C(T, F)$  is closed under inverses and  $A$  satisfies (a) and (b), then the nontrivial homomorphisms of  $A$  into  $F$  are generated by the points of  $T$ .

By Prop. 3, if  $A = C(T, F)$ , then  $A$  satisfies (a). If we take  $T = F$ , then  $C(F, F)$  satisfies (b). Thus  $F$  is an  $F$ - $Q$  (in the sense of [2]) space.

THEOREM 6. *If  $F$  is a complete discretely valued field whose residue class field has nonmeasurable cardinal, then  $F$  is a  $\hat{Q}$ -space.*

Proof. Apply Theorem 5 and Example 1.

It is known that a complete discretely valued field may be constructed whose residue class field  $k$  has arbitrary cardinality. From the results of this section, it can be shown that if the statement of Theorem 5 is true for all complete discretely valued fields, then all cardinals are non-measurable.

**3. The algebra  $C(T, F)$  with compact-open topology.** In this section we examine the topological algebra  $C(T, F)$  (endowed with compact-open topology). Principal among the results obtained (Theorem 7) is a necessary and sufficient condition for  $C(T, F)$  to be  $F$ -bornological when  $F$  is a complete discretely valued whose residue class field  $k$  has nonmeasurable cardinal.

**DEFINITION 5.** Let  $F$  be complete and discretely valued and let  $(a_u)_{u \in U}$  be a complete set of representatives for the cosets of the residue class field of  $F$ . We may assume that  $U$  is totally ordered and that the representative determined by the first element of  $U$  is the scalar 0. Choose an element  $\pi \in F$  such that  $|\pi| < 1$  is a generator of the value group  $|F^*|$  of  $F$ . For any two elements,  $a$  and  $b$ , of  $F$  there is ([1]) an integer  $N$  and sequences  $(a_{u_i})_{i \geq N}$  and  $(a_{\lambda_i})_{i \geq N}$  of representatives such that

$$a = \sum_{i \geq N} a_{u_i} \pi^i \quad \text{and} \quad b = \sum_{i \geq N} a_{\lambda_i} \pi^i.$$

We define  $\sup(a, b)$  to be  $a$  if  $u_N > \lambda_N$  or if  $a_{u_i} = a_{\lambda_i}$  ( $i = N, \dots, j$ ) while  $u_{j+1} > \lambda_{j+1}$ . Under these circumstances we also say that  $\inf(a, b) = b$ . If  $a = b$  we take  $\sup(a, b) = \inf(a, b) = a$ .

We note that if  $|a| > |b|$ , then  $\sup(a, b) = a$  and  $\inf(a, b) = b$ . Propositions 5 and 6 concerning this notion of  $\sup$  and  $\inf$  follow easily.

**PROPOSITION 6.** If  $F$  is a complete discretely valued field and  $f, g \in C(T, F)$  then the functions defined by  $\sup(f(t), g(t))$  and  $\inf(f(t), g(t))$  are continuous.

**PROPOSITION 7.** Let  $F$  be a complete discretely valued field and let  $V$  be an absolutely  $F$ -convex subset of  $T$  with the following property: there is a positive number  $a$  and a compact subset  $K$  of  $T$  such that  $\sup|f(T)| \leq a$  or  $f$  vanishes in some neighborhood of  $K$  implies that  $f \in V$ . Then there is a positive number  $b$  such that  $\sup|f(K)| \leq b$  implies that  $f \in V$ .

**DEFINITION 6.** For  $f, g \in C(T, F)$  we say that  $f \leq g$  if  $\inf(f, g) = f$ . The interval  $[f, g]$  is the set  $\{h \in C(T, F) \mid f \leq h \leq g\}$ .

Note that  $\inf(f, g) = f$  if and only if  $\sup(f, g) = g$ . In addition,  $h \in [f, g]$  only when  $|f(t)| \leq |h(t)| \leq |g(t)|$  for each  $t \in T$ .

Specializing van Tiel's notions of „bornivorous“ and „bornological space“ to function algebras yields:

**DEFINITION 7.** An absolutely  $F$ -convex subset  $V$  of  $C(T, F)$  is an  $F$ -bornivore if it absorbs every bounded subset of  $C(T, F)$ . If all  $F$ -bornivores are neighborhoods of 0, then  $C(T, F)$  is  $F$ -bornological.

Note that intervals  $[f, g]$  are bounded in  $C(T, F)$  and are therefore absorbed by bornivores. We may now present our principal theorem.

**THEOREM 7.** Let  $F$  be a complete discretely valued field whose residue class field  $k$  has nonmeasurable cardinal. Then  $C(T, F)$  is  $F$ -bornological if and only if  $T$  is a  $\hat{Q}$ -space.

**Proof.** First assume that  $T$  is a  $\hat{Q}$ -space. To prove that  $C(T, F)$  is  $F$ -bornological, it suffices to show that if  $V$  is an absolutely  $F$ -convex set which absorbs all intervals  $[f, g]$ , then  $V$  is a neighborhood of 0. Consider then such an interval absorbing absolutely  $F$ -convex set. A closed-hence compact-subset  $K$  of  $\hat{\beta}(T)$  is a support set for  $V$  if when  $f$  vanishes on an open superset of  $K \cap T$ , it follows that  $f \in V$ . Clearly  $\hat{\beta}(T)$  itself is a support set for  $V$ . Since for any  $a \in F$  there is a scalar  $b \in F$  such that  $[0, ak_T] \subset bV$ , it follows that for some  $r > 0$ ,  $\sup|f(T)| \leq r$  implies that  $f \in V$ . If we show that there is a support set for  $V$  which lies in  $T$  then, by Prop. 7,  $V$  is a neighborhood of 0.

Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the collection of all support sets for  $V$ . It is readily shown that if  $L, K \in \mathfrak{S}$  and  $L \cap K = \emptyset$ , then  $C(T, F) = V$ . If  $L \cap K \neq \emptyset$  and  $L \cap K \subset S$  where  $S$  is a clopen subset of  $\hat{\beta}(T)$ , then  $L$  and  $K \cap OS$  are disjoint. Thus there is a clopen set  $U \subset \hat{\beta}(T)$  such that  $L \subset U$  while  $K \cap OS \subset CU$ . Let  $f \in C(T, F)$  be a function which vanishes on  $S \cap T$ . Since  $fk_{U \cap T}$  vanishes on  $(S \cup CU) \cap T$  and  $K \cap T \subset (S \cup CU) \cap T$ , it follows that  $fk_{U \cap T} \in V$ . Similarly  $fk_{CU \cap T} \in V$  and therefore  $f \in V$ . Thus it follows that  $L \cap K \in \mathfrak{S}$ .

It is clear that  $L = \bigcap \mathfrak{S}$  is a support set for  $V$ . It will now be shown that  $L \subset T$ . Let  $s \in \hat{\beta}(T) - T$ . Since  $T$  is a  $\hat{Q}$ -space, it follows that there is a sequence  $(W_n)$  of clopen neighborhoods of  $s$  in  $\hat{\beta}(T)$  such that  $\bigcap W_n \subset \hat{\beta}(T) - T$ . We may assume that  $W_{n+1} \subset W_n$  for all  $n$ . For each  $n$  suppose that  $f_n$  vanishes on  $(\hat{\beta}(T) - W_n) \cap T$  and  $f_n \notin V$ . Let  $\mu \in F^*$  be such that  $|\mu| < 1$  and consider  $g = \sup(\mu^n f)$ . Since  $\bigcup T - W_n = T$  and  $f_k$  vanishes on  $T - W_n$  for all  $k \geq n$ , it follows that  $g \in C(T, F)$ . Since  $[0, g] \subset aV$  for some  $a \in F$  and  $\mu^n f_n \in [0, g]$  for every  $n$ , then  $f_n \in V$  for every  $n$  such that  $|a|/|\mu|^n \leq 1$ . This, however, contradicts the way in which the  $f_n$  were chosen and it follows that  $\hat{\beta}(T) - W_n \in \mathfrak{S}$  for some  $n$ . Thus  $s \notin L$  and  $L \subset T$ .

To prove the converse suppose that  $T$  is not a  $\hat{Q}$ -space. Then there is some  $s \in \hat{\nu}(T) - T$ . By the results of Theorems 4 and 6, every function  $f \in C(T, F)$  can be extended to  $\hat{f} \in C(\hat{\nu}(T), F)$ . By the Tietze-Ellis extension theorem ([4]) or the results of [3], the mapping  $h(f) = \hat{f}(s)$  is a discontin-



uous homomorphism of  $C(T, F)$  into  $F$ . If it can be shown that  $h$  is bounded, it follows that  $C(T, F)$  is not bornological.

If  $X \subset C(T, F)$  is bounded and  $h(X)$  is unbounded, there must be a sequence  $(f_n)$  from  $X$  such that  $|f_n(s)| \rightarrow \infty$ . Letting  $W_n = \{s' \in \hat{\nu}(T) \mid |\hat{f}(s')| \geq |\hat{f}_n(s)| - 1\}$ ,  $s \in \bigcap W_n$  and since  $s \in \hat{\nu}(T)$ , it follows that  $\bigcap W_n \cap T \neq \emptyset$ . Thus there exists  $t \in \bigcap W_n \cap T$  and since  $|f_n(t)| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $X$  is not bounded and the proof is done.

DEFINITION 8. A closed set  $X \subset T$  is relatively  $F$ -precompact if all functions in  $C(T, F)$  are bounded on  $X$ .

PROPOSITION 8. A closed subset  $X$  of  $T$  is relatively  $F$ -precompact if and only if  $\text{cl}_{\hat{\beta}(T)} X \subset \hat{\nu}(T)$ .

Proof. Suppose first that there is a point  $s \in \hat{\nu}(T)$  such that  $s$  is in the closure of  $X$ . Let  $(W_n)$  be a descending denumerable sequence of neighborhoods of  $s$  such that  $\bigcap W_n \cap T = \emptyset$ . Choose  $a \in F$  such that  $|a| > 1$ . Let  $S_n = (W_n - W_{n+1}) \cap T$  and  $f = \sum a^n k_{S_n}$ . It is clear that  $f$  is unbounded on  $X$  and therefore  $X$  is not relatively  $F$ -precompact.

Suppose conversely that  $X$  is not relatively  $F$ -precompact. Let  $f \in C(T, F)$  be unbounded on  $X$  and  $S_n = \{t \in T \mid |f(t)| > n\}$ . Consider a set  $Y = \{t_n \in T \mid t_n \in S_n \cap X\}$  where with no loss of generality we may assume the relationship  $t_j \neq t_i$  if  $i < j$  holds. As  $\hat{\beta}(T)$  is compact, there exists  $s \in \hat{\beta}(T)$  such that  $s \in \text{cl}_{\hat{\beta}(T)} Y$ . Thus, of course,  $s \in \text{cl}_{\hat{\beta}(T)} X$ . However,  $s \in \text{cl}_{\hat{\beta}(T)} S_n$  for all integers  $n$  and therefore  $S_n$  belongs to the  $z$ -ultrafilter  $Z(M(s))$  for each integer  $n$ . Since  $\bigcap S_n = \emptyset$ , it follows that  $s \in \hat{\nu}(T)$ .

From the preceding result it can be seen that relative  $F$ -precompactness of  $X$  is independent of the field  $F$  and we may therefore refer to  $X$  as relatively precompact.

PROPOSITION 9. If  $T$  is a  $\hat{Q}$ -space, then every relatively precompact set is compact.

Proof. To prove this it must be shown that  $X$  is closed in  $\hat{\beta}(T)$ . However, since  $T = \hat{\nu}(T)$  and  $\text{cl}_{\hat{\beta}(T)} X \subset \hat{\nu}(T)$ , the proof is seen to be complete.

In [2], [3] it is shown that if  $F$  is complete and discretely valued, then  $C(T, F)$  is  $F$ -barreled if and only if every relatively precompact subset of  $T$  is compact. R. L. Ellis proved this result for spherically complete fields and never published it. By the result of Proposition 8 we see that the property of  $T$  which is necessary and sufficient for  $C(T, F)$  to be  $F$ -barreled ( $F$  a spherically complete field) is entirely dependent on  $T$  and its relationship to  $\hat{\beta}(T)$ . Thus we have the following result.

THEOREM 8. Let  $F$  and  $K$  be spherically complete fields. Then  $C(T, F)$  is  $F$ -barreled if and only if  $C(T, K)$  is  $K$ -barreled.

THEOREM 9. Let  $F$  be a complete discretely valued field whose residue class field has nonmeasurable cardinal. Then if  $C(T, F)$  is  $F$ -bornological, it follows that  $C(T, F)$  is  $F$ -barreled.

Proof. We apply Theorem 7, Proposition 9, and the comments following Proposition 9.

## References

- [1] G. Bachman, *Introduction to  $p$ -adic numbers and valuation theory*, New York 1964.
- [2] —, E. Beckenstein, L. Narici, *Function algebras over valued fields and measures* I, II, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Nov.-Dec. 1971, to appear.
- [3] E. Beckenstein, G. Bachman, L. Narici, *Function algebras over valued fields*, Pacific J. Math., to appear.
- [4] R. L. Ellis, *A nonarchimedean analog of the Tietze extension theorem*, Indag. Math. 29 (1967), pp. 332-333.
- [5] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Princeton, N. J. 1960.
- [6] E. Hewitt, *Rings of real-valued continuous functions* I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 64 (1948), pp. 54-99.
- [7] J. Kelley, *General topology*, Princeton, N. J. 1955.
- [8] E. A. Michael, *Locally multiplicatively-convex topological algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1952), Providence, Rhode Island.
- [9] L. Nachbin, *Topological vector spaces of continuous functions*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 40 (1964), pp. 471-474.
- [10] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein, G. Bachman, *Functional analysis and valuation theory*, New York 1971.
- [11] T. Shirota, *On locally convex spaces of continuous functions*, Proc. Japan Acad. 30 (1954), pp. 294-298.
- [12] J. van Tiel, *Espaces localement  $K$ -convexes*, Indag. Math. 27 (1965), pp. 249-289.

ST. JOHN'S UNIVERSITY  
NOTRE DAME COLLEGE  
STATEN ISLAND, NEW YORK  
POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE OF BROOKLYN  
BROOKLYN, NEW YORK  
ST. JOHN'S UNIVERSITY  
JAMAICA, NEW YORK

Received May 24, 1972

(536)