

On topologically nilpotent algebras

by

J. K. MIZIOŁEK, T. MÜLDNER and A. REK (Warszawa)

Abstract. A B_0 -algebra A is called topologically nilpotent if, for each continuous pseudonorm $|\cdot|$ in A , there exists a neighbourhood of zero V such that

$$\limsup_n \sqrt[n]{|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n|} = 0.$$

Our main result states that a commutative B_0 -algebra A is topologically nilpotent if and only if there exists a power series having radius of convergence 0, operating in A . The second theorem states that a commutative B_0 -algebra is topologically nilpotent if and only if, it is isomorphic with the projective limit of a sequence of topologically nilpotent Banach algebras.

§ 1. Introduction. This paper is devoted to the characterization of topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebras. The class of topologically nilpotent Banach algebras was introduced by P. G. Dixon (in a letter to Professor W. Żelazko).

It is easy to see that all topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebras must be necessarily multiplicatively-convex.

The first main theorem states that a commutative B_0 -algebra A is topologically nilpotent if and only if there exists a power series having radius of convergence 0, operating in A (this result is also new for Banach algebras).

The second theorem states that a commutative B_0 -algebra is topologically nilpotent if and only if, it is isomorphic with the projective limit of a sequence of topologically nilpotent Banach algebras.

§ 2. Basic facts and examples.

2.1. DEFINITION. A B_0 -algebra A is called *topologically nilpotent* if, for each continuous pseudonorm $|\cdot|$ in A , there exists a neighbourhood of zero V such that

$$\limsup_n \sqrt[n]{|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n|} = 0.$$

2.2. THEOREM. If A is a topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebra then A is *m-convex*.

Proof. For any continuous pseudonorm $|\cdot|_i$ let V_i be a neighbourhood of zero such that

$$(2.2.1) \quad \sup_{y_i \in V_i} \sqrt[n]{|y_1 y_2 \dots y_n|_i} = \varepsilon_{i,n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Clearly we may assume that

$$V_i = \{y: |y|_{i+1} < 1\}.$$

Proof will be based upon the Lemma 13.10 [5]. Thus it will be sufficient to show that there exists a matrix C_{in} of positive reals $i, n = 1, 2, \dots$, such that

$$(2.2.2) \quad |x_1 x_2 \dots x_n|_i \leq C_{in} |x_1|_{i+1} \dots |x_n|_{i+1}$$

where $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$ and $p_i = \sup \sqrt[n]{C_{in}} < \infty$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in A$. Suppose that $|x_k|_{i+1} = 0$ for an integer $k, 1 \leq k \leq n$. From (2.2.1) it is easy to see that $|x_1 \dots x_n|_i = 0$, thus (2.2.2) holds. If $|x_k|_{i+1} \neq 0, k = 1, \dots, n$, we can put

$$y_k = \frac{x_k}{2|x_k|_{i+1}}, \quad \text{so } y_k \in V_i \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, n,$$

we have

$$\varepsilon_{in}^n \geq |y_1 y_2 \dots y_n|_i = \frac{|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n|_i}{2^n |x_1|_{i+1} \dots |x_n|_{i+1}},$$

so

$$|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n|_i = (2\varepsilon_{in})^n |x_1|_{i+1} \dots |x_n|_{i+1},$$

so (2.2.2) holds.

2.3. EXAMPLE. $A = C(0, 1)$ consists of continuous functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ with convolution multiplication

$$x \times y(\tau) = \int_0^\tau x(t) \cdot y(\tau - t) dt,$$

and supremum norm.

It is clearly a commutative, radical Banach algebra and from the fact, that for $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A, \|x_i\| \leq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_1 \times x_2 \times \dots \times x_n\| &\leq \|x_1\| e \times \dots \times \|x_n\| e \\ &\leq \|e \times \dots \times e\| \leq \frac{1}{(n-1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

where $e = e(t) \equiv 1$, it follows that A is topologically nilpotent. It is easy to see that $A_0 = \{x \in A: x(0) = 0\}$ is a subalgebra of A , and A_0 is also topologically nilpotent.

Put

$$u_n(x) = \begin{cases} n\pi/2 \sin n\pi x & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq 1/n, \\ 0 & \text{for } 1/n \leq x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

One may verify $u_n(x)$ is an approximate identity in A_0 . This sequence is unbounded, which also follows from the following:

2.4. PROPOSITION. If A is a B_0 -algebra with a bounded approximate identity, then A is not topologically nilpotent.

Proof. For a given continuous pseudonorm $\|\cdot\|$ and a neighbourhood V of zero, we choose an $x_1 \in V$ such that $|x_1| = \alpha > 0$. If $\{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A}$ is a bounded approximate identity, then for a certain constant $C > 0$ we have:

$$(2.4.1) \quad \{e_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in A} \subset C \cdot V.$$

There exists a λ_2 such that:

$$|x_1 e_{\lambda_2} - x_1| \leq \alpha/4.$$

We put $x_2 = e_{\lambda_2}$, and pick a $\lambda_3 > \lambda_2$ such that

$$|x_1 x_2 e_{\lambda_3} - x_1 x_2| \leq \alpha/8,$$

and so on. We have

$$|x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1} x_n - x_1 x_2 \dots x_{n-1}| \leq \frac{\alpha}{2^{n+1}},$$

so

$$|x_1 - x_1 \dots x_n| \leq \alpha/2,$$

and

$$(2.4.2) \quad |x_1 x_2 \dots x_n| \geq \alpha/2.$$

In virtue of 2.4.1 and 2.4.2 we have

$$\sup_{y_i \in V} \sqrt[n]{|y_1 y_2 \dots y_n|} \geq \frac{1}{c} \cdot \sqrt[n]{|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n|} \geq \frac{1}{c} \sqrt[n]{\frac{\alpha}{2}},$$

hence A is not topologically nilpotent. ■

Obviously a topologically nilpotent algebra must be necessarily radical. The converse statement, however is not true, as is shown by the following:

2.5. EXAMPLE. $A = L(0, 1)$ consists of summable functions on the interval $[0, 1]$ with convolution multiplication and norm

$$\|x\| = \int_0^1 |x(t)| dt.$$

It is a commutative, radical Banach algebra (see [2] A.2.11), but it is easy to see that A has a bounded approximate identity (cf. [4]), so A is not a topologically nilpotent algebra.

Therefore a necessary (but not sufficient) condition for a B_0 -algebra to be topologically nilpotent is that the algebra in question be radical and have no a bounded approximate identity.

§ 3. Characterization of topologically nilpotent algebras. We assume A to be a commutative, complex B_0 -algebra. Our essential theorem will characterize topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebras, and its proof will be based upon the following lemma which is due to W. Żelazko, ([5], Proposition 13.12). For sake of completeness we reproduce here the proof.

3.1. LEMMA. *Let A be a commutative B_0 -algebra and U a convex subset of A . Put $V = \text{conv}(U \cup (-U))$ and*

$$Q_{\|\cdot\|, P}^n = \sqrt[n]{\sup_{x_i \in P} \|x_1 \dots x_n\|}, \quad \tilde{Q}_{\|\cdot\|, P}^n = \sqrt[n]{\sup_{x \in P} \|x^n\|};$$

then

$$(3.1.1) \quad \tilde{Q}_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n \leq \tilde{Q}_{\|\cdot\|, V}^n \leq Q_{\|\cdot\|, V}^n = Q_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n \leq M \tilde{Q}_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n,$$

where M is a constant > 0 .

Proof. It is clear that $\tilde{Q}_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n \leq \tilde{Q}_{\|\cdot\|, V}^n \leq Q_{\|\cdot\|, V}^n$. It remains to prove that $Q_{\|\cdot\|, V}^n = Q_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n$ and $Q_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n \leq M \tilde{Q}_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n$. To prove the first equality let us observe that

$$\sup_{x_i \in V} \|x_1 \dots x_n\| = \sup_{x_i \in U \cup (-U)} \|x_1 \dots x_n\|,$$

so it remains to show that if W is any set, then

$$\sup_{x_i \in W} \|x_1 \dots x_n\| = \sup_{x_i \in \text{conv } W} \|x_1 \dots x_n\|.$$

Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \text{conv } W$; so

$$x_i = \sum_{m=1}^{M_i} \alpha_{i,m} \bar{x}_{i,m}, \quad \bar{x}_{i,m} \in W, \quad 0 \leq \alpha_{i,m} \leq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m=1}^{M_i} \alpha_{i,m} = 1.$$

So we have

$$(3.1.2) \quad x_1 \dots x_n = \sum_{m_1} \dots \sum_{m_n} \alpha_{1,m_1} \dots \alpha_{n,m_n} \bar{x}_{1,m_1} \dots \bar{x}_{n,m_n},$$

$$\sum_m \alpha_{1,m_1} \dots \alpha_{n,m_n} = 1,$$

the terms being between 0 and 1. So

$$\|x_1 \dots x_n\| \leq \sum_m \alpha_{1,m_1} \dots \alpha_{n,m_n} \|\bar{x}_{1,m_1} \dots \bar{x}_{n,m_n}\|$$

$$\leq \sum_m \alpha_{1,m_1} \dots \alpha_{n,m_n} \cdot \sup_{x_i \in W} \|x_1 \dots x_n\| = \sup_{x_i \in W} \|x_1 \dots x_n\|.$$

So

$$\sup_{x_i \in \text{conv } W} \|x_1 \dots x_n\| \leq \sup_{x_i \in W} \|x_1 \dots x_n\|;$$

but having $W \subset \text{conv } W$ we obtain the equality. To prove $Q_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n \leq M \tilde{Q}_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n$ we shall consider the generalization of formula $xy = \frac{1}{2}[(x+y)^2 - x^2 - y^2]$, namely

$$x_1 \dots x_n = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k W_k^n(x_1 \dots x_n),$$

where

$$W_k^n = \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n} (x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k})^n.$$

Let us observe, that

$$\|x_1 \dots x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=1}^n \|W_k^n(x_1 \dots x_n)\|,$$

and since U is convex

$$\sup_{x_i \in U} \|W_k^n(x_1 \dots x_n)\| \leq \sum_{i_p < i_{p+1}} \sup_{x_i \in U} \|(x_{i_1} + \dots + x_{i_k})^n\| \leq \binom{n}{k} n^n \sup_{x \in U} \|x^n\|,$$

so

$$\sup_{x_i \in U} \|x_1 \dots x_n\| \leq \frac{(2n)^n}{n!} \sup_{x \in U} \|x^n\| \leq M^n \sup_{x \in U} \|x^n\|,$$

and $Q_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n \leq M \tilde{Q}_{\|\cdot\|, U}^n$.

3.2. THEOREM. *Let A be a commutative, complex B_0 -algebra, then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) A is topologically nilpotent;
- (ii) For each continuous pseudonorm $\|\cdot\|$ in A there exists a neighbourhood of zero V such that:

$$\limsup_n \sup_{x \in V} \|x^n\| = 0.$$

(iii) *There exists a power series with complex coefficients $\sum a_n \lambda^n$, having radius of convergence 0, operating in A i.e. $\sum a_n x^n$ is convergent for each $x \in A$.*

Proof. (i) \rightarrow (iii). Let $(\|\cdot\|_i)$ be a countable family of homogeneous pseudonorms giving a topology in A . In the presence of Theorem 2.2 we may assume that

$$(3.2.1) \quad |xy|_i \leq |x|_i |y|_i.$$

Let V_i be a neighbourhood of zero such that

$$(3.2.2) \quad \limsup_n \sup_{x_i \in V_i} \sqrt[n]{|x_1 x_2 \dots x_n|_i} = 0.$$

Clearly, we may assume that

$$(3.2.3) \quad V_i \subset \{x: |x|_i < 1/i\}.$$

Consider the sequence defined by the relation:

$$a_n = (\maxsup_{i \leq n} \sup_{x \in V_i} |x^n|_i)^{-1/2}.$$

Choose a positive integer N in such a way that for $n > N$ we have:

$$(3.2.4) \quad \sup_{x \in V_i} \sqrt[n]{|x^n|_i} \leq \varepsilon^2 \quad \text{for } i \leq 1/\varepsilon^2.$$

We get from 3.2.1, 3.2.3

$$(3.2.5) \quad \sup_{x \in V_i} \sqrt{|x^n|_i} \leq 1/i \leq \varepsilon^2 \quad \text{for } i \geq 1/\varepsilon^2.$$

In virtue of 3.2.4 and 3.2.5 we have:

$$(\sqrt[n]{a_n})^{-1} = \sqrt{\maxsup_{i \leq n} \sup_{x \in V_i} \sqrt[n]{|x^n|_i}} \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } n > N,$$

so $\sum a_n \lambda^n$ has a radius of convergence 0.

It remains to be shown that $\sum a_n \lambda^n$ is operating in A . For given i we choose a positive $t_i \neq 0$ in such a way that

$$xt_i \in V_i.$$

We have the following estimation:

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt[n]{|a_n x^n|_j} &= \frac{\sqrt[n]{|x^n|_j}}{\sqrt{\maxsup_{i \leq n} \sup_{y \in V_i} \sqrt[n]{|y^n|_i}}} \leq \frac{1}{t_j} \frac{\sup_{y \in V_j} \sqrt[n]{|y^n|_j}}{\sqrt{\maxsup_{i \leq n} \sup_{y \in V_i} \sqrt[n]{|y^n|_i}}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{t_j} \sqrt{\sup_{y \in V_j} \sqrt[n]{|y^n|_j}} \quad \text{for } n > i. \end{aligned}$$

In the presence of 3.2.2, $\sum a_n \lambda^n$ is operating in A , which proves (iii).

(iii) \rightarrow (ii). Suppose that $\sum a_n \lambda^n$ is a power series having radius of convergence 0, operating in A (clearly we may assume that $a_n \neq 0$ for each n).

Setting

$$(3.2.6) \quad A_n^i = \{x \in A: |a_k x^k|_i \leq 1; \quad \text{for } k \geq n\};$$

we have that every A_n^i is closed and for fixed i

$$\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n^i = A;$$

so there is an $n(i)$ and a convex open set U_i such that

$$U_i \subset \text{Int} A_{n(i)}^i.$$

Let $V_i = \text{conv}(U_i \cup (-U_i))$. We get from 3.1.1, and 3.2.6 that

$$\sup_{x \in V_i} \sqrt[k]{|a_k x^k|_i} \leq M \quad \text{for each } k \geq n(i);$$

so

$$\sup_{x \in V_i} \sqrt[n]{|x^n|_i} \leq \frac{M}{\sqrt[n]{|a_n|}} \rightarrow 0,$$

and we have proved (ii).

(ii) \rightarrow (i) follows immediately from Lemma 3.1. ■

The following problem is open:

3.3. PROBLEM. Is the first conclusion of Theorem 3.2. ((ii) \rightarrow (i)) true for non-commutative B_0 -algebras.

§ 4. Some properties of topologically nilpotent algebras. The property of being topologically nilpotent algebra is invariant under the following operations on B_0 -algebras:

(a) Let A be a topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebra and I a closed ideal ideal in A , then A/I is topologically nilpotent.

(b) Every subalgebra of a topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebra is topologically nilpotent.

(c) The Cartesian product of a countable family of topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebras is topologically nilpotent.

The above properties follow immediately from the definition.

(d) The projective limit of a countable family of topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebras is topologically nilpotent.

This follows from (b) and (c).

(e) The complete Tensor product with the projective topology of a topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebra and an m -convex B_0 -algebra is topologically nilpotent.

Proof. Let A be a topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebra and let B be an m -convex, B_0 -algebra.

Consider a continuous pseudonorm p in A and a continuous submultiplicative pseudonorm q in B . The topology in $A \otimes B$ may be given by means of the family of pseudonorms form $p \otimes q$ (cf. [3]).

Choose a continuous pseudonorm p' in A such that

$$(4.1) \quad \limsup_n \sup_{p'(y_i) < 1} \sqrt[n]{p(y_1 \dots y_n)} = 0.$$

In order to complete the proof it will be sufficient to show that:

$$\limsup_{p' \otimes q(z^n) < 1} \sqrt[n]{p \otimes q(z^n)} = 0.$$

If $z \in A \tilde{\otimes} B$ and $p' \otimes q(z) < 1$; then

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \lambda_i x_i \otimes y_i, \quad \text{where } x_i \in A, y_i \in B,$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad \sum |\lambda_i| \leq 1, \quad p'(x_i) \leq 1, \quad q(y_i) \leq 1 \quad (\text{cf. [3] Theorem III 6.4}).$$

From (4.1) and (4.2) we have the following estimation:

$$\begin{aligned} p \otimes q(z^n) &= p \otimes q \left(\sum_{i_1 \dots i_n} \lambda_{i_1} \dots \lambda_{i_n} x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n} \otimes y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_n} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1 \dots i_n} |\lambda_{i_1}| \dots |\lambda_{i_n}| \cdot p(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_n}) \cdot q(y_{i_1} \dots y_{i_n}) \\ &\leq \sum_{i_1 \dots i_n} |\lambda_{i_1}| \dots |\lambda_{i_n}| \cdot \sup_{p'(y_i) < 1} p(y_1 \dots y_n) \leq \sup_{p'(y_i) < 1} p(y_1 \dots y_n). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\sup_{p' \otimes q(z) < 1} \sqrt[n]{p \otimes q(z^n)} \leq \sup_{p'(y_i) < 1} \sqrt[n]{p(y_1 \dots y_n)} \rightarrow 0.$$

and the desired result follows.

§ 5. Connections between topologically nilpotent Banach and B_0 -algebras. We have shown that the projective limit of a countable family of topologically nilpotent Banach algebras is topologically nilpotent. Now we will show that the converse theorem also holds.

5.1. LEMMA. *Let U and V be convex neighbourhoods of zero in a commutative topological algebra A such that*

$$(5.1.1) \quad U^2 \subset U, \quad V \subset \frac{1}{2}U, \quad V^n \subset \varepsilon_n U;$$

where $\varepsilon_n \geq 0$ is a sequence convergent to zero.

Let

$$W = \text{conv}(V + VU).$$

Then

$$(5.1.2) \quad W^n \subset 2^n \varepsilon_{n-1} W,$$

$$(5.1.3) \quad W \subset U$$

and

$$(5.1.4) \quad W^2 \subset W.$$

Proof. Consider the following inclusions:

$$\text{conv}(V^n) = \text{conv}(V^{n-1}V) \subset \text{conv}(\varepsilon_{n-1}UV) = \varepsilon_{n-1} \text{conv}(UV),$$

$$\text{conv}(V^n U^k) \subset \varepsilon_{n-1} \text{conv}(V U^{k+1}) \subset \varepsilon_{n-1} \text{conv}(VU).$$

From the above inclusions and (5.1.1) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} (5.1.5) \quad (V + VU)^n &\subset \text{conv}(V^n) + \binom{n}{1} \text{conv}(V^{n-1}U) + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \text{conv}(V^n U^n) \\ &\subset \varepsilon_{n-1} \text{conv}(UV) + \binom{n}{1} \varepsilon_{n-1} \text{conv}(UV) + \dots + \binom{n}{n} \varepsilon_{n-1} \text{conv}(UV) \\ &\subset 2^n \varepsilon_{n-1} \text{conv}(UV) \subset 2^n \varepsilon_{n-1} W. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way as in (3.1.2) we get

$$W^n = [\text{conv}(V + VU)]^n \subset \text{conv}[(V + VU)^n],$$

so

$$W^n \subset 2^n \varepsilon_{n-1} W.$$

Moreover

$$W \subset \text{conv}(\frac{1}{2}U + \frac{1}{2}U^2) \subset \text{conv}(\frac{1}{2}U + \frac{1}{2}U) \subset U,$$

$$\begin{aligned} W^2 &\subset \text{conv}(V + VU)^2 \subset \text{conv}(V^2) + 2 \text{conv}(V^2 U) + \text{conv}(V^2 U^2) \\ &\subset \text{conv}(VU) \subset W, \end{aligned}$$

which proves the lemma. ■

5.2. THEOREM. *Let A be a commutative topologically nilpotent B_0 -algebra. Then A is the projective limit of a countable family of topologically nilpotent Banach algebras.*

Proof. Let $(\|\cdot\|_i)$ be a countable family of submultiplicative pseudonorms giving the topology in A .

For a fixed i choose an idempotent, convex neighbourhood of zero V such that

$$(5.2.1) \quad \sup_{x_j \in V} \sqrt[n]{\|x_1 \dots x_n\|_i} = \sqrt[n]{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow 0.$$

Put

$$(5.2.2) \quad U = \{x: \|x\|_i < 1\}.$$

Clearly we may assume that

$$(5.2.3) \quad V \subset \frac{1}{2}U.$$

We get from (5.2.1) and (5.2.2)

$$(5.2.4) \quad V^n \subset \varepsilon_n U.$$

Let $W = \text{conv}(U + UV)$ and denote the Minkowski functional of W by $\|\cdot\|_k$. Clearly $\|\cdot\|_k$ is a submultiplicative pseudonorm in A . From (5.1.3) it follows that the new system $(\|\cdot\|_i)$ is equivalent to the old one.

In virtue of (5.1.2)

$$\sup_{\|x\|_i < 1} \sqrt[n]{\|x^n\|_k} \leq 2 \sqrt[n]{\varepsilon_{n-1}} \rightarrow 0;$$

so each $\tilde{A}_i = \overline{A/\ker \|\cdot\|_i}$ is a topologically nilpotent Banach algebra. From ([5], Theorem 10.10) it follows that A is the projective limit of \tilde{A}_i . ■

5.3. COROLLARY. *An m -convex B_0 -algebra A is topologically nilpotent if and only if there exists a system of pseudonorms giving the topology in A such that every \tilde{A}_i is a topologically nilpotent Banach algebra.*

5.4. Remark. For a given system of pseudonorms in A , \tilde{A}_i need not be topologically nilpotent. Indeed, take the Cartesian product $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \tilde{A}_i$, where $\tilde{A}_i = C(0, 1)$ from Example 2.3.

Put

$$\|x\|_i = \|x_1\| + \dots + \|x_i\| + \int_0^1 |x_{i+1}(t)| dt \quad \text{for } x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in A.$$

One may verify, that for each i , $\overline{A/\ker \|\cdot\|_i}$ is not a topologically nilpotent algebra, but A is a topologically nilpotent algebra.

Acknowledgment. We would like to thank Professor W. Żelazko for his encouragement during the preparation of this manuscript.

References

- [1] E. Michael, *Locally multiplicatively — convex topological algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1952).
- [2] C. E. Rickart, *General theory of Banach algebras*, Princeton 1960.
- [3] H. Schaefer, *Topological vector spaces*, New York 1966.
- [4] W. Żelazko, *Algebra Banacha*, Warszawa 1968.
- [5] — *Metric generalizations of Banach algebras*, Dissert. Math. (Rozprawy Matematyczne) 47 (1965).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW

Received April 20, 1971

(335)

Diagonal nuclear operators

by

L. CRONE, D. J. FLEMING and P. JESSUP (Potsdam, N.Y.)

Abstract. Let E and F be Banach spaces with total biorthogonal sequences (x_n, f_n) and (y_n, g_n) respectively. An operator $T: E \rightarrow F$ is called diagonal if $g_i(Tx_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. The diagonal of a linear operator T is the scalar sequence $(g_i(Tx_i))$. A sequence space representation $\mathcal{S}(E, F)$ for the diagonals of the nuclear operators is given and a necessary and sufficient condition is obtained for $\mathcal{S}(E, F)$ to be the diagonal nuclear operators. In particular this is the case when (x_n, f_n) is an unconditional shrinking basis for E and (y_n, g_n) is an unconditional basis for F . As another application of this result, it is shown that if the coordinate vectors form an unconditional basis for the BK -space E then the vectors from E give precisely the diagonal nuclear operators from l_1 into E .

1. Introduction. Let E and F be Banach spaces with total biorthogonal sequences (x_n, f_n) and (y_n, g_n) respectively. If T is a linear operator from E to F then by the diagonal of T we mean the sequence $\delta(T) = (g_i(Tx_i))_{i=1}^{\infty}$. An operator T from E to F is called diagonal if $g_i(Tx_j) = 0$ for $i \neq j$. The purpose of this paper is to determine the diagonal nuclear operators between certain Banach spaces. In Section 3 we present a simple proof that the diagonal nuclear operators on a space with an unconditional basis are l_1 and we obtain a sequence space representation for the diagonals of the nuclear operators in the case where (x_n, f_n) and (y_n, g_n) are complete biorthogonal sequences. This sequence space $\mathcal{S}(E, F)$ is a generalization of the series space studied by Ruckle in [4]. In Section 4 we show that if E' or F has the approximation property then a necessary and sufficient condition for $\mathcal{S}(E, F)$ to be the diagonal nuclear operators is that the diagonal of every continuous linear operator from E' to F' be well defined as a linear operator from E' to F' . In particular if E has an unconditional shrinking basis and F has an unconditional basis then the diagonal nuclear operators are determined.

After completing this work, the authors became aware of the results of Ruckle in [5]. There is overlap between Ruckle's work and the results that appear in our preliminary section.

2. Notation and terminology. If (x_n, f_n) is a total biorthogonal sequence for the Banach space E then E can be identified with the linear