

References

- [1] A. D. Alexandrov, *Additive set-functions in abstract spaces*, Matematicheskii Sbornik (Recueil Math.) 8 (1940), p. 307-342; 9 (1941), p. 563-621; 13 (1943), p. 169-243.
- [2] J. Czipser and L. Geher, *Extension of functions satisfying a Lipschitz condition*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar. 6 (1955), p. 213-220.
- [3] R. M. Dudley, *Lorentz-invariant Markov processes in relativistic phase space*, Arkiv för Matematik (to appear).
- [4] — *Weak convergence of probabilities on non-separable metric spaces and empirical measures on Euclidean spaces*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 10 (1966), p. 109-126.
- [5] L. Kantorovitch and G. Rubinstein, *On a space of completely additive functions* (in Russian), Vestnik Leningrad Univ. 13.7 (Ser. Mat. Astr. 2) (1958), p. 52-59.
- [6] J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, New York 1955.
- [7] E. Marczewski and R. Sikorski, *Measures in non-separable metric spaces*, Coll. Math. 1 (1948), p. 133-139.
- [8] Yu. V. Prokhorov, *Convergence of random processes and limit theorems in probability*, Theory of Probability and its Applications 1 (1956).
- [9] R. Ranga Rao, *Some theorems on weak convergence of measures and applications*, Annals of Mathematical Statistics 33 (1962), p. 659-680.
- [10] J. C. Shepherdson, *Inner models for set theory (II)*, Jour. Symb. Logic 17 (1952), p. 225-237.
- [11] S. Ulam, *Zur Masstheorie in der allgemeinen Mengenlehre*, Fund. Math. 16 (1930), p. 140-150.
- [12] — and J. C. Oxtoby, *On the existence of a measure invariant under a transformation*, Annals of Math. 40 (1939), p. 560.
- [13] V. S. Varadarajan, *Weak convergence of measures on separable metric spaces*, Sankhya 19 (1958), p. 15-22.
- [14] — *Measures on topological spaces* (in Russian), Matematicheskii Sbornik 55 (97) (1961), p. 35-100.
- [15] Donald S. Sherbert, *The structure of ideals and point derivations in Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 111 (1964), p. 240-272.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 22. 10. 1965

Interpolation of additive functionals

by

ROBERT KAUFMAN (Urbana, Ill.)

In this note a generalization of the theorem of Mazur and Orlicz ([1], p. 147) is presented; the proof of the latter was simplified by Sikorski [3] and Pták [2]. We state first our extension and its proof and then explain how the previous statement may be obtained as a special case.

We consider a semi-group S , composition in S being denoted by $x+y$, provided with a real functional ω subject to two conditions:

- (1) $\infty > \omega(s) \geq -\infty$ for $s \in S$,
- (2) $\omega(s) + \omega(t) \geq \omega(s+t)$ for $s, t \in S$.

In addition to ω there is given a real functional L on S , restricted as follows:

- (3) $\infty > L(s) \geq -\infty$, $s \in S$, $L \not\equiv -\infty$.

- (4) If $\{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$ is a finite sequence in S ,

$$\omega(s_1 + \dots + s_n) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n L(s_i).$$

This condition is abbreviated: $\omega \geq L$.

THEOREM. *There exists an additive functional ξ on S such that $\omega \geq \xi \geq L$.*

Proof. We begin with the observation that if $\omega = L$ in S , then ω is already additive. Let us exclude this and choose an element $a_0 \in S$ and a number r such that $\omega(a_0) > r > L(a_0)$.

We claim now that either A or B holds, among the next two statements:

A. $\omega(ma_0 + u_1 + \dots + u_n) \geq mr + \sum_{i=1}^n L(u_i)$, for any $m \geq 1$ and elements u_1, \dots, u_n in S .

B. $\omega(s) + m'r \geq \sum_{j=1}^{n'} L(t_j)$, whenever $m'a_0 + s = t_1 + \dots + t_{n'}$, $m' \geq 1$; $s \in S$; $t_1, \dots, t_{n'} \in S$.

In fact, if both A and B fail to be true for the instances given, multiplying the first by $m' \geq 1$ and the second by $m \geq 1$ we have, as $r > -\infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & m' \sum_{i=1}^n L(u_i) + m \sum_{j=1}^{n'} L(t_j) \\ & > m' \omega(ma_0 + u_1 + \dots + u_n) + m\omega(s) \geq \omega(m'ma_0 + m'u_1 + \dots + m'u_n + ms), \\ & \quad (\text{by (2)}) = \omega(mt_1 + \dots + mt_{n'} + m'u_1 + \dots + m'u_n). \end{aligned}$$

This is in contradiction to (4): $\omega \geq L$, so that either A or B must always hold.

Let us suppose first that A holds. Define $L'(s) = L(s)$ if $s \in S$, $s \neq a_0$, and $L'(a_0) = r$. Then $\omega \geq L' \geq L$. For, in (4), if $s_i \neq a_0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $L(s_i) = L'(s_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $s_i = a_0$ for only $1 \leq i \leq m < n$,

$$\omega(ma_0 + s_{m+1} + \dots + s_n) \geq mr + \sum_{i=m+1}^n L(s_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n L'(s_i).$$

The only remaining inequality to be proved is that $\omega(ma_0) \geq mr$ for any $m \geq 1$. For any b such that $L(b) > -\infty$, and $n \geq 1$, $n\omega(ma_0) + \omega(b) \geq \omega(mna_0 + b) \geq nmr + L(b)$. As $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain in the limit $\omega(ma_0) \geq rm$. The conclusion we emphasize is that if A holds, L is not a maximal element in the class $\{L'\}$ of functionals L' such that $\omega \geq L'$.

If B holds a similar conclusion can be obtained for ω . In what follows the convention is adopted that $0 \cdot s + t \equiv t$. The method for constructing a functional $\omega' \leq \omega$, $\omega'(a_0) \leq r$, subject to (1) and (2) is to consider at once all the restraints ω' must satisfy. These are the equations:

$$(E) \quad na_0 + s = mt, \quad n \geq 0, m \geq 1, s, t \in S.$$

Define

$$\omega'(t) = \inf \frac{1}{m} (nr + \omega(s)),$$

the infimum over all equations (E) involving t . Clearly $\omega'(t) \leq \omega(t)$; for every n , $(n+1)\omega'(a_0) \leq nr + \omega(a_0)$. To show that ω' satisfies the hypothesis (2) suppose

$$(E') \quad n'a_0 + s^* = m't^*.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (nm' + mn')a_0 + [ms^* + m's] = mm'(t + t^*); \\ & \omega'(t + t^*) \leq \left(\frac{n}{m} + \frac{n'}{m'} \right) r + \frac{1}{mm'} \omega(ms^* + m's) \\ & \leq \frac{n}{m} r + \frac{1}{m} \omega(s) + \frac{n'}{m'} r + \frac{1}{m'} \omega(s^*). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (2) holds.

Condition (4), $\omega' \geq L$, is verified as follows. If $na_0 + s = m(s_1 + \dots + s_{m'})$, and B holds,

$$\omega(s) + nr \geq m \sum_{j=1}^{m'} L(s_j), \quad \frac{1}{m} (\omega(s) + nr) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{m'} L(s_j)$$

and finally

$$\omega'(s_1 + \dots + s_{m'}) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{m'} L(s_j).$$

Conclusion: if B holds, ω is not a minimal element in the family $\{\omega'\}$ of functions ω' such that $\omega' \geq L$.

Let us now apply Hausdorff's principle to the pairs of functionals (ω', L') such that $\omega \geq \omega' \geq L' \geq L$, the partial order being $(\omega', L') \leq (\omega'', L'')$ if $\omega'' \leq \omega'$ and $L'' \geq L'$. We readily obtain a maximal element (ω_0, L_0) ; by what has gone before we must have $\omega_0 = L_0$ so that $\xi = \omega_0$ is additive. This completes the proof.

The theorem of Mazur and Orlicz may be derived from the present one as follows. We are given an abstract set Z , a real function c on Z , and a mapping f of Z into S such that

$$(5) \quad \omega(f(z_1) + f(z_2) + \dots + f(z_n)) \geq \sum_{i=1}^n c(z_i),$$

for any finite sequence $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ in Z . Define

$$(6) \quad L(s) = \sup \{c(z) : z \in Z, f(z) = s\},$$

if $s \in f(Z)$, and $L(s) = -\infty$ if $s \notin f(Z)$. Then conditions (1) and (5) yield conditions (3) and (4) for the functionals ω and L on S . Thus there is an additive functional ξ on S such that $\omega \geq \xi \geq L$, whence $\omega(f(z)) \geq \xi(f(z)) \geq L(f(z)) \geq c(z)$, for z in Z .

If, in addition, S is a real vector space and ω satisfies the condition

$$(7) \quad \omega(as) = a\omega(s), \quad s \in S, a \geq 0,$$

it is required that ξ be homogeneous. If s is fixed and $F(a) = \xi(as)$, a real, then F is an additive transformation of the real numbers which is bounded in a neighborhood of $a = 0$. As is well known F must then be homogeneous, so that $\xi(as) = F(a) = aF(1) = a\xi(s)$.

To deduce the well-known Hahn-Banach Theorem, we suppose that Z is a linear subspace of S , that f is the identity mapping of Z into S , and that c is a linear functional on Z . We find then a linear functional ξ on S such that $\omega \geq \xi$ and $\xi \geq c$ on Z . But then $-\xi \geq -c$ and $\xi = c$.

The Hahn-Banach Theorem itself may be generalized to semi-groups; we hope to announce this elsewhere.

References

- [1] S. Mazur and W. Orlicz, *Sur les espaces métriques linéaires (II)*, Studia Math. 13 (1953), p. 137-179.
 [2] V. Pták, *On a theorem of Mazur and Orlicz*, ibidem 15 (1956), p. 365-366.
 [3] R. Sikorski, *On a theorem of Mazur and Orlicz*, ibidem 13 (1953), p. 180-182.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 11. 1965

Cross-continuity vs. continuity

by

F. GRÜNBAUM and E. H. ZARANTONELLO (Córdoba)

A mapping T of the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} (real or complex but not necessarily separable) into itself is said to be δ -continuous or δ -cross-continuous if there are constants C and C^\perp such that for any couple of distinct points x_1 and x_2 in its domain

$$(1) \quad \|Tx_1 - Tx_2\| \leq C\delta(\|x_1 - x_2\|),$$

$$(2) \quad \|(Tx_1 - Tx_2)^\perp\| \leq C^\perp \delta(\|x_1 - x_2\|)$$

respectively, where $\delta(t)$ is a non-decreasing, non-negative, sub-additive function defined on the open positive half line ("triangular function"), and where

$$(Tx_1 - Tx_2)^\perp = Tx_1 - Tx_2 - \frac{(Tx_1 - Tx_2, x_1 - x_2)}{\|x_1 - x_2\|^2}(x_1 - x_2).$$

For $\delta(t) = t^\nu$, $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, δ -continuity coincides with the usual Hölder condition of exponent ν , also called *Lipschitz condition* if $\nu = 1$, and δ -cross-continuity yields the notion of cross-Hölder condition of exponent ν (or cross-Lipschitz condition if $\nu = 1$) introduced by one of the authors in a recent study of non-linear operators in Hilbert space [3], where the idea was immediately put to use without any further inquiry into its meaning. Clearly, since $(Tx_1 - Tx_2)^\perp$ is the component of $Tx_1 - Tx_2$ orthogonal to $x_1 - x_2$, a Hölder condition implies a cross-Hölder condition of the same exponent. At the beginning the apparent absence of counter-examples led to the conjecture that perhaps the converse of this was also true and the new notion altogether superfluous. Counter-examples such as $x \log(1/\|x\|)$ — which is cross Lipschitzian but not Lipschitzian — arrived to later showed the conjecture false but were insufficient to establish for which ν 's any ν -cross-Hölder mapping is ν -Hölder, or more generally still, for which δ 's δ -cross-continuity and δ -continuity are equivalent, if ever. This broader question is our main concern in this article, to which we give the following somewhat unexpected answer (boundary behaviour being ignored): There is equivalence if and only if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\delta(t)} \int_t^1 \delta(t/u) du < \infty.$$