

*Tp Gabor Szegő  
on his seventieth birthday*

# An example in the theory of singular integrals

by

MARY WEISS and A. ZYGMUND (Chicago)

1. Let  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ ,  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ , etc. be points in the  $n$ -dimensional space  $E_n$ ,  $\Sigma$  — the unit sphere  $|x| = 1$ , and  $K(x)$ ,  $x \neq 0$ , a positively homogeneous kernel of degree  $-n$ , i. e.,  $K(\lambda x) = \lambda^{-n} K(x)$  for  $\lambda > 0$ . In particular,  $K(x) = |x|^{-n} \Omega(x')$ , where  $x' = x/|x|$  is the projection of  $x$  onto  $\Sigma$ . The function  $\Omega$  is sometimes called the *characteristic* of  $K$  (or of the singular integral (1.1) below).

It is by now a familiar fact that if a)  $|\Omega| \log^+ |\Omega|$  is integrable over  $\Sigma$  and b) the integral of  $\Omega$  over  $\Sigma$  is 0, then the convolution integral (singular integral)

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (K * f)(x) &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y| > \epsilon} f(x-y) K(y) dy \\ &= \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \int_{\Sigma} f(x - ty') \Omega(y') dy' \end{aligned}$$

exists almost everywhere for any function  $f$  in  $L^p(E_n)$ , provided  $p$  is strictly greater than 1. (There is a corresponding result for  $p = 1$ , but then condition a) must be considerably strengthened; we leave this case aside).

While the necessity of condition b) in the above-mentioned theorem is obvious, that of a) is much less clear, and it is the main purpose of this note to show that it cannot be weakened.

A precise formulation of the result is given below (see Theorem 1). Here we only observe that if the kernel  $K(x)$  is odd, that is,  $K(-x) = -K(x)$ , and if, as before,  $f \in L^p$ ,  $p > 1$ , then the limit (1.1) exists almost everywhere under the sole condition that  $\Omega$  is integrable over  $\Sigma$ ; condition b) will then be automatically satisfied. The result holds, and the proof remains unchanged, if  $\Omega$  is merely an odd mass distribution over  $\Sigma$ , i. e.,  $\Omega$  takes opposite values for sets antipodal on  $\Sigma$ . The integral (1.1) is then

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\epsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \int_{\Sigma} f(x - ty') \Omega(dy').$$

Let  $\tilde{f}(x)$  denote the value of the integral (1.1). Since the latter is a convolution, one could anticipate, after a suitable normalization of  $f$ , the formula

$$(1.3) \quad \hat{\tilde{f}} = \hat{f} \cdot \hat{K},$$

and it can be shown that it is actually so if  $f \in L^2$  and  $K$  satisfies conditions a) and b);  $\hat{K}(x)$  is defined as

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} K(y) e^{-i(x \cdot y)} dy.$$

The latter limit exists and equals

$$(1.4) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \Omega(y') \left\{ \log \frac{1}{|\cos \varphi|} - \frac{1}{2} \pi i \operatorname{sign} \cos \varphi \right\} dy',$$

where  $\cos \varphi = x' \cdot y'$ . In view of the exponential integrability of the logarithm, (1.4) is a bounded function of  $x$  if  $\Omega \log^+ |\Omega|$  is integrable. It is also not difficult to see that for any function  $\varphi(u)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ , non-negative, increasing and  $o(u \log u)$  for  $u \rightarrow \infty$  we can find an  $\Omega$  such that  $\varphi(|\Omega|)$  is integrable over  $\Sigma$ , the integral of  $\Omega$  over  $\Sigma$  is 0 and (1.4) is essentially unbounded as a function of  $x$ . It follows that (1.1) is then an unbounded operation in  $L^2$  and the limit, if it exists, is not necessarily in  $L^2$  (see [1]). We shall, however, prove the following stronger result:

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\varphi(u)$ ,  $u \geq 0$ , be a non-negative non-decreasing function of  $u$  which is  $o(u \log u)$  for  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there is an  $\Omega$  such that  $\varphi(|\Omega|)$  is integrable over  $\Sigma$  and a function  $f(x)$  which is continuous, tends to 0 at  $\infty$ , belongs to  $L(E_n)$  (and so also to every  $L^p$ ,  $p > 1$ ) and such that

$$(1.5) \quad \tilde{f}_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{|y| > \varepsilon} f(x-y) \frac{\Omega(y')}{|y|^n} dy'$$

satisfies

$$(1.6) \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\tilde{f}_\varepsilon(x)| = +\infty$$

for almost all  $x$ .

We will give the proof of the theorem for  $n = 2$  and show later that this implies the theorem for general  $n > 2$ . If  $n = 2$ , (1.5) can be written

$$(1.7) \quad \tilde{f}_\varepsilon(z) = \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z - te^{i\theta}) \Omega(\theta) d\theta,$$

where  $z = x + iy$  is now a complex number.

Before we pass to the proof suppose first that  $\Omega$  is a measure consisting of two point masses  $-1$  at the points  $\theta = 0, \pi$ , and two point masses  $+1$  at  $\theta = \pm \frac{1}{2}\pi$ . Then the last integral can be written

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{f(z+it) + f(z-it) - 2f(z)}{t} dt - \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{f(z+t) + f(z-t) - 2f(z)}{t} dt.$$

Suppose now that  $f$  is a function of the variable  $x$  only:  $f(z) = g(x)$ . Then the first integral disappears and the second becomes

$$(1.8) \quad \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \frac{g(x+t) + g(x-t) - 2g(x)}{t} dt.$$

Now it is well known that there exists a continuous and integrable function  $g(x)$  such that the last integral is unbounded for each  $x$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The corresponding function  $f(z)$ , which is independent of the variable  $y$ , is only locally integrable in  $E_2$ , but by means of this  $f$  it is easy to construct another  $f$ , continuous and in  $L(E_2)$ , such that (1.8) does not tend to any limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

The proof of Theorem 1 follows a similar line but its details are more involved. Section 7 contains a result completing Theorem 1.

**2. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a series of lemmas.**

**LEMMA 1.** Let  $\varphi(u)$ ,  $0 \leq u < \infty$ , be non-negative, non-decreasing and  $o(u \log u)$  for  $u \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there is a convex non-decreasing function  $\psi(u)$  satisfying  $\psi(u) \geq \varphi(u)$ ,  $\psi(u) = o(u \log u)$  ( $u \rightarrow \infty$ ).

The meaning of Lemma 1 is that in Theorem 1 it is enough to consider convex functions  $\varphi$ . We postpone the proof of the Lemma to Section 6.

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  be a sequence of positive integers satisfying  $n_{k+1}/n_k > q > 1$ . Let  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $\sum |a_k| < \infty$ . Finally, let  $d_1(\varepsilon), d_2(\varepsilon), \dots$  be a sequence of numbers depending continuously on the parameter  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ , such that the sequence is bounded for each fixed  $\varepsilon$ , and each  $d_k(\varepsilon)$  is bounded in  $\varepsilon$  for fixed  $k$ ; moreover  $\sum a_k^2 d_k^2(\varepsilon)$  tends to  $+\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Set

$$\lambda_\varepsilon(x) = \sum a_k d_k(\varepsilon) \cos n_k x.$$

Then

$$(2.1) \quad \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} |\lambda_\varepsilon(x)| = +\infty$$

for almost all  $x$ .

This lemma is a simple corollary of the following known result (see [2], p. 231, Ex. 27). Given a number  $q > 1$  and a set  $E$  of positive meas-

are contained in  $(0, 2\pi)$  there exist two positive numbers  $\lambda_q$  and  $\mu_q$  with the following property: for any function

$$f(x) = \sum_1 a_k \cos n_k x,$$

$n_{k+1}/n_k > q$ ,  $\sum a_k^2 < \infty$ ,  $|f(x)|$  exceeds  $\lambda_q (\sum a_k^2)^{1/2}$  in a subset of  $E$  of measure  $> \mu_q |E|$ , provided  $n_1$  is large enough:  $n_1 > n_0(q, E)$ . For suppose that (2.1) does not hold almost everywhere. We can then find a set  $E$ ,  $|E| > 0$ , and numbers  $M$ ,  $\varepsilon_0$  such that

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \sum a_k d_k(\varepsilon) \cos n_k x \right| < M \quad \text{for} \quad x \in E, 0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0.$$

Dropping a sufficiently large number of initial terms and using the fact that each  $d_k(\varepsilon)$  is bounded in  $\varepsilon$  we may assume that  $n_1$  in (2.2) is sufficiently large (this may require a change of  $M$ ) so that  $|\sum a_k d_k(\varepsilon) \cos n_k x|$  exceeds  $\lambda_q (\sum a_k^2 d_k^2(\varepsilon))^{1/2}$  in a subset of  $E$  of measure  $> \mu_q |E|$ . Since  $\sum a_k^2 d_k^2(\varepsilon)$  tends to  $\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , this contradicts (2.2) and proves the lemma.

3. Let  $0 < h < \frac{1}{2}\pi$  and let  $\chi_h(\theta)$  be the characteristic function of the interval  $0 \leq \theta \leq h$  repeated periodically with period  $2\pi$ . Let

$$\chi'_h(\theta) = \frac{1}{h} \left\{ -\chi_h(\theta) + \chi_h\left(\theta + \frac{1}{2}\pi\right) - \chi_h(\theta + \pi) + \chi_h\left(\theta - \frac{1}{2}\pi\right) \right\}.$$

The function  $\Omega$  of Theorem 1 will be defined as  $\sum \delta_k \chi'_{h_k}(\theta)$ , where the numbers  $\delta_k$  are positive,  $\sum \delta_k < \infty$ , and  $\{h_k\}$  is a sequence of positive numbers tending to 0. It is clear that  $\Omega(\theta)$  is integrable and its integral over  $(0, 2\pi)$  is 0. We will show later that if the  $\delta_k$  and  $h_k$  are chosen suitably, then also  $\varphi(|\Omega(\theta)|)$  is integrable, where  $\varphi$  is the function of Theorem 1.

As before, we shall consider a continuous function  $f(z)$  which initially will depend on one variable only,  $f(z) = g(x)$ , and will be periodic of period  $2\pi$ . We set (see (1.7))

$$(3.1) \quad J_\varepsilon = J_\varepsilon(z; f, \chi'_h) = \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{dt}{t} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z - te^{i\theta}) \chi'_h(\theta) d\theta \\ = \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{dt}{t} \left\{ \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h [-g(x + t \cos \theta) - g(x - t \cos \theta) + \right. \\ \left. + g(x + t \sin \theta) + g(x - t \sin \theta)] d\theta \right\} d\theta.$$

The expression in curly brackets can be written

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h [g(x + t \sin \theta) + g(x - t \sin \theta) - 2g(x)] d\theta - \\ - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h [g(x + t \cos \theta) + g(x - t \cos \theta) - 2g(x)] d\theta,$$

and if we set

$$g(x) = \sum_1 a_k \cos 2n_k x \quad (\sum |a_k| < \infty, n_{k+1}/n_k \geq 2)$$

a simple computation shows that (3.1) is

$$(3.3) \quad \sum a_k \cos 2n_k x \left\{ \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{\sin^2(n_k t \cos \theta)}{t} dt d\theta - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{\sin^2(n_k t \sin \theta)}{t} dt d\theta \right\} \\ = \sum a_k \cos 2n_k x I(\varepsilon, n_k, h),$$

where

$$I(\varepsilon, n_k, h) = I_1(\varepsilon, n_k, h) - I_2(\varepsilon, n_k, h), \\ I_1 = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{\sin^2(n_k t \cos \theta)}{t} dt d\theta, \\ (3.4) \quad I_2 = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{\sin^2(n_k t \sin \theta)}{t} dt d\theta.$$

Our main task now is to find an estimate for  $I(\varepsilon, n_k, h)$ .

4. We write  $n$  for  $n_k$ , keep  $n$  and  $\varepsilon$  fixed and consider  $I = I(\varepsilon, n, h)$  as a function of  $h$ . We set  $v = n\varepsilon$  (thus  $v < n$ ). The  $O(1)$  in the lemma that follows are uniform in  $n, \varepsilon, h$ .

LEMMA 3. a) If  $v \leq 1$ , then

$$a_1) \quad I = \frac{1}{2} \log n + O(1) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < h \leq 1/n,$$

$$a_2) \quad I = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{h} + O(1) \quad \text{for} \quad 1/n \leq h \leq 1.$$

b) If  $v \geq 1$ , then

$$b_1) \quad I = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + O(1) \quad \text{for} \quad 0 < h \leq 1/n,$$

$$b_2) \quad I = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{vh} + O(1) \quad \text{for} \quad 1/n \leq h \leq 1/v,$$

$$b_3) \quad I = O(1) \quad \text{for} \quad 1/v \leq h \leq 1.$$

The proof of the lemma is based on the following equation:

$$(4.1) \quad \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{\sin^2 t a}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \log^+ a, \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right\} + O(1).$$

Its verification is simple. If  $a \leq 1$ , the left-hand side is  $O(1)$  (since the integrand is  $\leq t a^2$ ) and the formula is obvious. Suppose now that  $a > 1$ , consider the two formulas

$$(4.2) \quad \int_1^{\omega} \frac{\sin^2 s}{s} ds = \frac{1}{2} \log \omega + O(1), \quad \int_{\eta}^1 \frac{\sin^2 s}{s} ds = O(1) \quad (0 < \eta \leq 1 \leq \omega)$$

and write the integral (4.1) in the form  $\int_{\varepsilon a}^a s^{-1} \sin^2 s ds$ . If  $\varepsilon a \geq 1$ , i. e.,  $a \geq 1/\varepsilon$ , the first formula (4.2) shows that the last integral is  $\frac{1}{2} \log(1/\varepsilon) + O(1)$ . If  $\varepsilon a < 1$ , the two formulas (4.2) show that the integral is  $\frac{1}{2} \log a + O(1)$ . In either case we have (4.1).

From (4.1) we easily obtain

$$(4.3) \quad I_1 = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h d\theta \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{\sin^2(t n \cos \theta)}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ \log n, \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right\} + O(1),$$

$$(4.4) \quad I_2 = \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h d\theta \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{\sin^2(t n \sin \theta)}{t} dt = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^h \min \left\{ \log^+ n \theta, \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right\} d\theta + O(1).$$

In the remainder of this section  $A = B$  means  $A = B + O(1)$ .

Observe now that, by (4.3) and (4.4),

$$(4.5) \quad I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log n \quad \text{for} \quad \nu \leq 1,$$

$$(4.6) \quad I_1 = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \quad \text{for} \quad \nu \geq 1,$$

independently of  $h$ . Since, clearly,  $I_2 = 0$  for  $h \leq 1/n$ , equations a<sub>1</sub>) and b<sub>1</sub>) follow.

Suppose now that  $n h \geq 1$ , that is,  $1/n \leq h \leq 1$ . If  $h \leq 1/\nu$ , then, by (4.4),

$$(4.7) \quad I_2 = \frac{1}{2h} \int_{1/n}^h \log n \theta d\theta = \frac{1}{2} \log n h,$$

and if  $h \geq 1/\nu$ , then

$$(4.8) \quad I_2 = \frac{1}{2h} \left\{ \int_{1/n}^{1/\nu} \log n \theta d\theta + \int_{1/\nu}^h \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} d\theta \right\} = \frac{1}{2h} \left[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \left( h - \frac{1}{\nu} \right) \log \frac{1}{\nu} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon}.$$

Let us consider now separately the two cases  $\nu \leq 1$  and  $\nu \geq 1$ . If  $\nu \leq 1$ , the equation (4.7) is valid for  $1/n \leq h \leq 1$  and in conjunction with (4.5) gives  $I = I_1 - I_2 = \frac{1}{2} \log(1/h)$ , which is our formula a<sub>2</sub>). If, however,  $\nu \geq 1$ , (4.6) together with (4.7) show that  $I = \frac{1}{2} \log 1/(\nu h)$  for  $1/n \leq h \leq 1/\nu$ , and equation b<sub>2</sub>) follows. Finally, if  $1/\nu \leq h \leq 1$ , the equations (4.6) and (4.8) give  $I = 0$ , which is b<sub>3</sub>). This completes the proof of Lemma 3.

5. Let now  $f(z) = g(x)$  be a function of the variable  $x$  alone and let (cf. (1.7) and (3.1))

$$J_{\varepsilon}(z; f, \Omega) = \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{dt}{t} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z - te^{i\theta}) \Omega(\theta) d\theta \quad (0 < \varepsilon < 1).$$

Suppose that

$$g(x) = \sum_1^{\infty} a_k \cos 2n_k x,$$

where  $\sum |a_k| < \infty$  and  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  are positive integers satisfying the condition  $n_{k+1}/n_k \geq 2$ , and let

$$\Omega(\theta) = \sum_1^{\infty} \delta_r \chi'_r(\theta),$$

where the  $\delta_r$  are positive,  $\sum \delta_r = 1$  and  $\frac{1}{4}\pi > h_1 > h_2 > \dots, h_r \rightarrow 0$ . Then, using (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3),

$$J_{\varepsilon}(z; f, \Omega) = \sum_r \delta_r \int_{\varepsilon}^1 \frac{dt}{t} \int_0^{2\pi} f(z - te^{i\theta}) \chi'_{h_r}(\theta) d\theta \\ = \sum_r \delta_r \left\{ \sum_k a_k \cos 2n_k x I(\varepsilon, n_k, h_r) \right\} \\ = \sum_k a_k \cos 2n_k x \left\{ \sum_r \delta_r I(\varepsilon, n_k, h_r) \right\}.$$

The change in the order of summation is justified since, as we easily see from Lemma 3,  $I(\varepsilon, n, h)$  is bounded in  $n$  and  $h$  for  $\varepsilon$  fixed (it is majorized by  $\frac{1}{2} \log(1/\varepsilon) + O(1)$ ).

Using Lemma 3 we also see that

$$\sum_r \delta_r I(\varepsilon, n_k, h_r) = \frac{1}{2} d_k(\varepsilon) + O(1),$$

where the  $O$  is bounded in  $\varepsilon$  and  $k$ , and

$$d_k(\varepsilon) = \left( \sum_{h_r \leq 1/n_k} \delta_r \right) \log n_k + \sum_{h_r > 1/n_k} \delta_r \log \frac{1}{h_r}, \quad \text{if} \quad n_k \leq 1/\varepsilon,$$

$$d_k(\varepsilon) = \left( \sum_{h_r \leq 1/n_k} \delta_r \right) \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \sum_{1/n_k < h_r \leq 1/\varepsilon n_k} \delta_r \log \left( \frac{1}{n_k \varepsilon h_r} \right), \quad \text{if} \quad n_k \geq 1/\varepsilon.$$

Assuming that the sequences  $\{a_k\}$ ,  $\{n_k\}$ ,  $\{\delta_r\}$ ,  $\{h_r\}$  have the properties already listed we will show that we can select them in such a way that

$$(5.1) \quad \sum a_k^2 d_k^2(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty \quad (\varepsilon \rightarrow 0),$$

$$(5.2) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(|\Omega(\theta)|) d\theta < \infty,$$

where  $\varphi$  is the function of Theorem 1 (and is convex). Since, as we can easily verify, the sequence  $\{d_k(\varepsilon)\}$  is bounded for each fixed  $\varepsilon$ , and each  $d_k(\varepsilon)$  is a bounded function of  $\varepsilon$ , an application of Lemma 2 will give us

$$(5.3) \quad \limsup |J_\varepsilon(z; f, \Omega)| = +\infty$$

for almost all  $x$  or, what is the same thing, for almost all  $z$ .

Choose for  $\{a_k\}$  any sequence such that  $a_k \neq 0$ ,  $\sum |a_k| < \infty$ . Take for  $\{\delta_r\}$  and  $\{h_r\}$  sequences such that

$$(5.4) \quad \sum \delta_r h_r \varphi(1/h_r) < \infty, \quad \sum \delta_r \log \frac{1}{h_r} = +\infty.$$

This is feasible since, by hypothesis,

$$\varphi\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) / \frac{1}{h} \log \frac{1}{h} = h \varphi\left(\frac{1}{h}\right) / \log \frac{1}{h} \rightarrow 0 \quad (h \rightarrow 0).$$

Let now  $\{n_k\}$  increase so rapidly that

$$\sum_{h_r > 1/n_k} \delta_r \log \frac{1}{h_r} > \frac{1}{|a_k|}.$$

Then  $d_k(\varepsilon) > 1/|a_k|$  for  $n_k < 1/\varepsilon$  and hence

$$\sum a_k^2 d_k^2(\varepsilon) \geq \sum_{n_k < 1/\varepsilon} 1 \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , which is (5.1).

To prove (5.2) we recall the definition of  $\chi'_h$  and the assumptions that  $\varphi$  is convex and  $\sum \delta_r = 1$ . Then, using Jensen's inequality, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(|\Omega(\theta)|) d\theta &= 4 \int_0^{h_1} \varphi\left(\sum \delta_r \frac{1}{h_r} \chi_{h_r}(\theta)\right) d\theta \\ &\leq 4 \sum \delta_r \int_0^{h_1} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{h_r} \chi_{h_r}(\theta)\right) d\theta = 4 \sum \delta_r \int_0^{h_r} \varphi\left(\frac{1}{h_r}\right) d\theta \\ &= 4 \sum \delta_r h_r \varphi\left(\frac{1}{h_r}\right) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and (5.2) is established.

6. We shall now complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Changing the notation slightly, let us denote by  $f^*(z)$  the function (depending on  $x$  only) for which we proved (5.3). Let  $Q$  be any square in  $E_2$  with sides parallel to the axes, and let  $\lambda_Q(z)$  be a function of the class  $C'$ , positive in the interior of  $Q$  and 0 outside  $Q$ . Let  $f_Q(z) = f^*(z) \lambda_Q(z)$ . It is not difficult to see that  $J_\varepsilon(z, f_Q, \Omega) - \lambda_Q(z) J_\varepsilon(z, f^*, \Omega)$  tends to a finite limit in the interior of  $Q$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , so that  $\limsup |J_\varepsilon(z, f_Q, \Omega)| = +\infty$  almost everywhere in  $Q$ . Decomposing the plane into a union of congruent but non-overlapping squares  $Q_m$  ( $m = 1, 2, \dots$ ) and taking for  $\lambda_{Q_m}$  translates of one another, we easily see that if  $\eta_m > 0$ ,  $\sum \eta_m < \infty$ , the function  $f = \sum \eta_m f_{Q_m}(z)$  has all the properties formulated in Theorem 1,

We shall now prove Lemma 1. Let  $\omega(u) = u \log u$  for  $u \geq 2$ ,  $\omega_n(u) = \omega(u)/n$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). Let  $2 \leq u_1 < u_2 < u_3 < \dots$  be any sequence of numbers increasing so rapidly that  $\omega_n(u_n) < \omega_{n+1}(u_{n+1})$ ,  $\omega'_n(u_n) < \omega'_{n+1}(u_{n+1})$  ( $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ). In each of the intervals  $(u_n, u_{n+1})$  we construct an increasing convex function (e. g., a polygonal line) situated between the curves  $v = \omega_n(u)$  and  $v = \omega_{n+1}(u)$ , tangent to the former at the point  $u = u_n$  and having the same ordinate as the latter at  $u = u_{n+1}$ . The totality of these convex curves augmented by the segment  $v = \omega_1(u_1)$ ,  $0 \leq u \leq u_1$ , constitutes a single convex and non-decreasing (strictly increasing for  $u \geq u_1$ ) curve  $v = \psi(u)$ . Clearly,  $\psi(u) = o(u \log u)$  for  $u \rightarrow \infty$ .

Suppose that  $\{u_n\}$  has, in addition, the following properties:  $\varphi(u) \leq \omega_{n+1}(u)$  for  $u \geq u_n$ . Then, obviously,  $\varphi(u) \leq \psi(u)$  in each of the intervals  $(u_n, u_{n+1})$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . In the interval  $(0, u_1)$  we have  $\varphi(u) \leq \varphi(u_1) \leq \omega_2(u_1) < \omega_1(u_1) = \psi(u)$ . Hence  $\varphi(u) \leq \psi(u)$  for  $u \geq 0$  and Lemma 1 is established. This also completes the proof of Theorem 1 in the case  $n = 2$ .

The result for  $n = 2$  is easily extensible to higher values of  $n$ . The case  $n = 3$  is typical and we confine our attention to it.

Suppose that a function  $f(x, y, z)$  of 3 real variables is continuous and integrable in  $E_3$ , and suppose that it is a function of 2 variables only,  $f = f(x, y)$ , in a cube  $Q$ . Suppose also that the characteristic  $\Omega$ , defined on the surface  $\Sigma$  of the unit sphere, is a function of latitude  $\theta$  only, so that  $K = \Omega(\theta)/r^3$ . If  $\varphi$  is the function of Theorem 1, then the integrability of  $\varphi(|\Omega|)$  over  $\Sigma$  is equivalent to the integrability of  $\varphi(|\Omega(\theta)|)$  over  $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ . It is easy to see that at each point  $(x, y, z)$  interior to  $Q$  the existence of the 3-dimensional convolution of  $f(x, y, z)$  and  $K = \Omega/r^3$  is equivalent to the existence of the two-dimensional integral

$$\iint f(x - \xi, y - \eta) \Omega(\theta) r^{-2} d\xi d\eta$$

near  $\xi = \eta = 0$ . A routine argument completes the proof.

7. One may ask what can be the "degree of continuity" of the function  $f$  in Theorem 1. The theorem that follows gives some information on that score, though not a complete answer. We will return to this question on another occasion.

THEOREM 2. Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two positive numbers of sum less than 1. Then there are a function  $f(x)$  integrable over  $E_n$ , tending to 0 at  $\infty$ , having modulus of continuity

$$\omega(\delta) = O\left\{\frac{1}{(\log 1/\delta)^\alpha}\right\}$$

and a function  $\Omega(x')$  of the class  $L(\log^+ L)^\beta$  over  $\Sigma$ ,

$$\int_{\Sigma} \Omega d\sigma = 0,$$

such that the integral  $f * r^{-n} \Omega(x')$  diverges almost everywhere.

The proof of this theorem runs parallel to that of Theorem 1 and we may be brief. It is enough to consider the case  $n = 2$ . We need the following lemma which is certainly known though it is difficult to give exact reference.

LEMMA 4. Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers, and let the sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  of real numbers and the function  $w(\delta)$  decreasing monotonically to 0 with  $\delta$  have the following properties:

- (i)  $a_k = O(w(1/n_{k+1}))$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{N+1}^{\infty} |a_k| = O(a_N)$ ,
- (iii)  $\sum_1^N n_k |a_k| = O(n_N |a_N|)$ .

Then the modulus of continuity  $\omega(\delta)$  of the function  $f(x) = \sum a_k \cos n_k x$  is  $O(w(\delta))$ .

Let  $0 < \delta \leq 1/n_1$  and let  $N$  be such that  $\delta n_N \leq 1 < \delta n_{N+1}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x+\delta) - f(x)| &\leq \sum |a_k| \left| 2 \sin n_k \left( x + \frac{1}{2} \delta \right) \sin \frac{1}{2} n_k \delta \right| \\ &\leq \delta \sum_1^N |a_k| n_k + \sum_{N+1}^{\infty} |a_k| = P + Q, \end{aligned}$$

say, and

$$P \leq \frac{1}{n_N} O(a_N n_N) = O(|a_N|) = O\left(w\left(\frac{1}{n_{N+1}}\right)\right) = O(w(\delta)),$$

$$Q = O(|a_N|) = O(w(\delta)).$$

Hence  $\omega(\delta) = O(w(\delta))$  and the lemma is established.

Let now

$$w(\delta) = \left(\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{-\alpha}, \quad n_k = 2^{2^k}, \quad a_k = 2^{-k\alpha}.$$

It is easy to see that the hypotheses (i), (ii), (iii) of Lemma 4 are satisfied so that the function  $f(x) = \sum a_k \cos 2n_k x$  has modulus of continuity  $O\left\{\left(\log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)^{-\alpha}\right\}$ .

Let us also set

$$\delta_\nu = \frac{1}{\nu^{1+\beta} \log^2 \nu}, \quad h_\nu = 2^{-\nu} \quad (\nu = 2, 3, \dots).$$

Then (see (5.4) and (5.5))

$$\sum \delta_\nu \left(\log \frac{1}{h_\nu}\right)^\beta = O \sum \nu^{-1} (\log \nu)^{-2} < \infty,$$

so that the function  $\Omega$  of Section 5 is in the class  $L(\log^+ L)^\beta$ .

Finally, let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,  $n_k < 1/\varepsilon$ . Then the function  $d_k(\varepsilon)$ , considered in Section 5, satisfies the inequality

$$d_k(\varepsilon) \geq (\log n_k) \sum_{h_\nu \leq 1/n_k} \delta_\nu = 2^k (\log 2) \sum_{\nu=2^k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu^{1+\beta} \log^2 \nu} \geq c \frac{2^k}{2^{\beta k} k^2}.$$

It follows that

$$a_k d_k(\varepsilon) \geq c (2^k)^{1-\alpha-\beta} k^{-2},$$

and hence  $\sum a_k^2 d_k^2(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$  if  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ . The rest of the proof is the same as in Theorem 1.

## References

- [1] A. P. Calderón and A. Zygmund, *On singular integrals*, American J. of Math. 78 (1956), p. 289-309.
- [2] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series*, 2nd ed., vol. I and II, Cambridge 1959.

DE PAUL UNIVERSITY,  
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

Reçu par la Rédaction le 12. 11. 1964