

A functional calculus for Rockland operators on nilpotent Lie groups

by

ANDRZEJ HULANICKI (Wrocław)

Abstract. Let G be a homogenous Lie group and let L be a positive Rockland operator. Let

$$Lf = \int_0^{\infty} \lambda dE(\lambda)f$$

be the spectral resolution of L on $L^2(G)$. It is shown that if $m \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$, then if

$$T_m f = \int_0^{\infty} m(\lambda) dE(\lambda)f,$$

then T_m is of the form $T_m f = f * M$, where $M \in \mathcal{S}'(G)$.

Let G be a nilpotent Lie group and let L be a hypoelliptic, positive, left-invariant differential operator on G which satisfies a subelliptic estimate:

For every left-invariant differential operator ∂ on G there exist an integer $\sigma(\partial)$ and a constant C such that

$$(0.1) \quad \|\partial u\|_{L^2(G)} \leq C \|(1+L)^{\sigma(\partial)} u\|_{L^2(G)} \text{ for } u \in \text{Dom}(\bar{L}^{\sigma(\partial)}) \text{ and, consequently,} \\ \text{for an integer } S \text{ and a constant } C \sup_{x \in G} |u(x)| \leq C \|(1+L)^S u\|_{L^2(G)} \\ \text{for } u \in \text{Dom}(\bar{L}^S).$$

Let $E(\lambda)$ be the spectral resolution of a positive self-adjoint extension of L , which in fact is unique and equal to the closure of L , and let

$$(0.2) \quad T^t f = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda} dE(\lambda)f, \quad f \in L^2(G),$$

be the semi-group of operators on $L^2(G)$ generated by $-\bar{L}$.

Following the program of E. M. Stein formulated in [10] we investigate operators

$$(0.3) \quad T_m f = \int_0^{\infty} m(\lambda) dE(\lambda)f,$$

where m is a bounded function \mathbb{R}^+ , on other spaces of functions on G under

suitable conditions on m . For instance, a Marcinkiewicz–Myhlin type theorem has been proved by E. M. Stein and the author in the case when L is the sublaplacian on a stratified nilpotent Lie group, cf. [2].

Also in [2] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein proved that for positive Rockland operators (see definition below) on graded nilpotent groups which by [3] are hypoelliptic and satisfy (0.1) the semi-group (0.2) is of the form

$$(0.4) \quad T^t f = f * \varphi_t, \quad \text{where } \varphi_t \in \mathcal{S}(G).$$

The aim of this paper is to show that for a positive hypoelliptic L which satisfies (0.1) and the semi-group (0.2) is of the form (0.4), $m \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ implies that the operator (0.3) is of the form

$$(0.5) \quad T_m f = f * M, \quad \text{where } M \in \mathcal{S}(G).$$

As a matter of fact, we get an evaluation of the number of derivatives and moments for m which guarantee that M has a given number of derivatives and moments.

The main method used in the paper is a C^k functional calculus for L^2 functions on a group of polynomial growth which decay at infinity as $(1 + |x|)^{-\alpha}$ for a fixed but rather large α , as in the previous papers (e.g. [4], [6], [2]) a C^k functional calculus for exponentially decaying functions has been used.

Some corollaries follow.

If the semi-group satisfies (0.4), then φ_t depend holomorphically on t , i.e. $\mathbb{R}^+ \ni t \rightarrow \varphi_t \in \mathcal{S}(G)$ extends to a holomorphic map $\{z: \operatorname{Re} z > 0\} \ni z \rightarrow \varphi_z \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, which suggests that for Rockland operators the functions φ_t should be real analytic, as they are if the group is \mathbb{R}^d .

Using present functional calculus one can obtain the following Marcinkiewicz–Myhlin multiplier theorem in the same way as it has been done for the sublaplacian in [2].

If L is a positive Rockland operator, there exists a number k such that if

$$\sup |\lambda^j m^{(j)}(\lambda)| < \infty \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, k,$$

then T_m (as defined by (0.3)) is of weak-type $(1, 1)$ and thus bounded on every $L^p(G)$, $1 < p < \infty$.

We should perhaps also mention that in analogy with [1] and [6] Riesz–Bochner and other summability methods for the expansions in eigenfunctions of Rockland operators are available.

1. Preliminaries. A simply connected nilpotent Lie group G is called *graded* if its (left-invariant) Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is endowed with a vector space decomposition

$$(1.1) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \bigoplus \sum V_j$$

such that $[V_i, V_j] \subset V_{i+j}$. Then \mathfrak{g} admits a family $\{\delta_r\}_{r>0}$ of dilations which are automorphisms of \mathfrak{g} :

$$\text{if } a = \min \{j: V_j \neq 0\} \quad \text{we define} \quad \delta_r X = r^{j/a} X \quad \text{if } X \in V_j.$$

Putting

$$\delta_r \exp X = \exp \delta_r X$$

we obtain a family of automorphisms — dilations — of G .

Let Q be the positive number (the homogeneous dimension of G) defined by

$$f(\delta_r x) dx = r^{-Q} \int f(x) dx, \quad f \in L^1(G).$$

There exists a continuous function

$$G \ni x \rightarrow |x| \in \mathbb{R}^+$$

such that $|x| = 0$ iff $x = e$, $|x| = |x|^{-1}$, for a constant γ , $|xy| \leq \gamma(|x| + |y|)$ and $|\delta_r x| = r|x|$.

Let G be an arbitrary locally compact group and let U be a fixed symmetric compact neighbourhood of e . If G is a graded nilpotent Lie group we let

$$U = \{x \in G: |x| \leq 1\}.$$

We define a subadditive function

$$\tau(x) = \min \{n: x \in U^n\}.$$

The following lemma is used in [2].

LEMMA 1.1. *If G is a graded nilpotent group there exist positive constants a, b, c, C such that*

$$(1.2) \quad c\tau(x)^a \leq |x| \leq C\tau(x)^b \quad \text{for } |x| \geq 1.$$

Remark. It has been proved by Joe Jenkins [7] that a, b can be taken as equal to 1 if and only if G is stratified, i.e. if the smallest Lie subalgebra of \mathfrak{g} containing V_1 is equal to \mathfrak{g} .

We write

$$w(x) = 1 + \tau(x).$$

Then for every $\alpha \geq 0$ we have

$$(1.3) \quad w^\alpha(x) \geq 1, \quad w^\alpha(x) = w(x^{-1}), \quad w^\alpha(xy) \leq w^\alpha(x)w^\alpha(y)$$

and also

$$(1.4) \quad w^\alpha(xy) \leq C_\alpha (w^\alpha(x) + w^\alpha(y)).$$

Let $M(G)$ be the Banach *-algebra of Borel measures on G and let

$$M_\alpha = \{\mu \in M(G) : \int w^\alpha(x) d|\mu|(x) = \|\mu\|_{M_\alpha} < \infty\}.$$

In virtue of (1.3) M_α is a Banach *-algebra. We write

$$L_\alpha = \{f \in L^1(G) : \int |f(x)| w^\alpha(x) dx = \|f\|_{L_\alpha} < \infty\}.$$

L_α is, of course, a Banach *-subalgebra of M_α .

A locally compact group is called of *polynomial growth*, if for every compact subset U the Haar measure $|U^n|$ of U^n satisfies

$$(1.5) \quad |U^n| = O(n^R) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

By Lemma 1.1, if G is a graded nilpotent group, then G is of polynomial growth and (1.5) holds for an R such that

$$[aQ] \leq R \leq [bQ] + 1.$$

Also,

$$(1.6) \quad \text{if } G \text{ is of polynomial growth, then } w^{-R-2} \text{ is integrable.}$$

It has been proved by T. Pytlik [9] that if G is of polynomial growth, then L_α , $\alpha > 0$, is a symmetric Banach *-algebra. This implies that for every commutative Banach *-subalgebra A of L_α every multiplicative linear functional on A is bounded on the C^* -algebra generated by the operators

$$L^2(G) \ni \xi \rightarrow \xi * f \in L^2(G), \quad f \in A.$$

Let G be a nilpotent Lie group and let X_1, \dots, X_n be a basis of its Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . If G is graded, we assume that this basis is selected according to (1.1). For a multi-index $(i_1, \dots, i_n) = I$ we write

$$X^I = X_1^{i_1} \dots X_n^{i_n}.$$

Let $|I| = i_1 + \dots + i_n$.

For a nilpotent, simply connected group G , if

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(x) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} f(\exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_n X_n))$$

with $x = \exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_n X_n)$ and

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^I = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\right)^{i_1} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n}\right)^{i_n},$$

we have

$$(1.7) \quad X^I f(x) = \sum_{|J| \leq |I|} a_J(x) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)^J f(x),$$

$a_J(\exp(x_1 X_1 + \dots + x_n X_n))$ being polynomials in x_1, \dots, x_n . Consequently,

$$(1.8) \quad |a_J(x)| \leq C_J w(x)^N, \quad N = N(J)$$

(cf. e.g. [2]).

For a function u we define

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,k,p} = \left(\sum_{|I| \leq k} \int |X^I u(x)|^p w(x)^{\alpha} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of (1.6), (1.7), (1.8) and the ordinary Sobolev lemma.

LEMMA 1.2. For every $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and α, k there exist α', k' and a constant c such that

$$\|u\|_{\alpha,k,p} \leq c \|u\|_{\alpha',k',q}.$$

We define $\mathcal{S}_{\alpha,k,p}(G)$ as the space of functions f for which $\|f\|_{\alpha,k,p}$ is finite and

$$\mathcal{S}(G) = \bigcap_{\alpha,k} \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,k,p}(G).$$

In virtue of Lemma 1.2, $\mathcal{S}(G)$ does not depend on p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.

We also note

$$(1.9) \quad \text{If } \mu \in M_\alpha \text{ and } f \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,k,1}(G), \text{ then } f * \mu \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,k,1}(G).$$

By a Rockland operator on a graded Lie group G (cf. [2]) we mean a differential left-invariant operator L on G which is homogeneous with respect to the dilations and for every irreducible unitary representation π of G the operator π_L is injective on the space of C^∞ vectors. It has been proved by B. Helffer and J. Nourrigat [3] that such operators are hypoelliptic. Moreover, if L is positive, it satisfies (0.1).

Let L be a positive Rockland operator. As we have mentioned before, G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein proved in [2] that the semi-group generated by $-\bar{L}$ is of the form (0.4). Moreover, if L is homogeneous of degree D , then

$$\varphi_t(x) = t^{-Q/D} \varphi_1(\delta_{t^{-1/D}} x)$$

and consequently,

$$\{\varphi_t\}_{t>0} \text{ is an approximate identity in } \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,k,p}(G).$$

Finally we note that if L satisfies (0.1), then for every d and k there is a positive number $s(d, k)$ such that

$$(1.10) \quad \|(1+L)^k X^I u\|_{L^2(G)} \leq C \|(1+L)^{s(d,k)} u\|_{L^2(G)}$$

for all $|I| \leq d$.

2. Functional calculus. Let B be a Banach *-algebra. We say that a function F operates on an element f in B if the Gelfand transform of f with

respect to the smallest commutative Banach subalgebra A containing f is real and there exists a g in A such that $F \circ f = \hat{g}$. We then write

$$g = F \cdot f.$$

For f in B let

$$e(f) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(if)^j}{j!}.$$

Suppose that

$$\|e(nf)\|_B = O(|n|^l) \quad \text{as } |n| \rightarrow \infty$$

and let $|\hat{f}(\lambda)| < a$. Then for every $F \in C_c^k[-a, a]$ with $k > l+1$ and $F(0) = 0$, F operates on f and

$$(2.1) \quad F \cdot f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} F(n) e(nf), \quad \text{whence} \quad \|F \cdot f\|_B \leq C_F \|F\|_{C^k[-a, a]},$$

cf. e.g. [8] for the details.

In the present paper functional calculus is used in the following way.

Suppose for some $\alpha > 0$ and all $t > 0$ $\varphi_t = \varphi_t^* \in L_\alpha$ and, moreover, $\varphi_{s+t} = \varphi_s * \varphi_t$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|f * \varphi_t - \varphi_t\|_{L^2(G)} = 0$ for f in $L^2(G)$.

Let B be the smallest closed Banach *-subalgebra of L_α containing all φ_t , $t > 0$. Then B is a commutative, semi simple, symmetric Banach *-algebra and the Gelfand space of B can be identified with \mathbb{R}^+ in such a way that $\hat{\varphi}_t(\lambda) = e^{-t\lambda}$. Moreover, there is a spectral measure on $L^2(G)$ such that for M in B

$$f * M = \int_0^\infty \hat{M}(\lambda) dE(\lambda)f,$$

where \hat{M} is the Gelfand transform of M . Then, clearly, if a function F operates on $M \in B$, then

$$f * F \cdot M = \int_0^\infty F(\hat{M}(\lambda)) dE(\lambda)f.$$

THEOREM 2.1. Suppose G is of polynomial growth, let U and R be defined as in (0.5). Suppose $\varphi^* = \varphi \in L_\alpha \cap L^2(G)$ with $\alpha > \beta + R/2 + 1$, then

$$\|e(n\varphi)\|_{L_\beta} = O(|n|^{3(\beta+R/2)+4}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. First we note the following fact:

If $\alpha \geq \beta$ and $g \in L_\alpha$ with $\text{supp } g \subset G \setminus U^l$, then

$$(2.2) \quad \|g\|_{L_\beta} \leq l^{\beta-\alpha} \|g\|_{L_\alpha}.$$

In fact,

$$\|g\|_{L_\beta} = \int_{G \setminus U^l} |g| w^\beta = \int_{G \setminus U^l} |g| w^\alpha w^{\beta-\alpha} \leq \|g\|_{L_\alpha} l^{\beta-\alpha}.$$

Since L_α is a Banach algebra, $e(\varphi) = \psi \in L_\alpha$ and also $\psi \in L^2(G)$. Moreover, for $n \geq 0$ we have

$$e(n\varphi) = (e + \psi)^{*n} - e,$$

where e is the unit element in $M(G)$ — the delta measure at the unit element of G .

Now we fix n and replacing φ by $-\varphi$, if necessary, we assume that $n > 0$.

Let

$$(2.3) \quad f = \psi \mathbf{1}_{U^{n^2}}, \quad g = \psi \mathbf{1}_{G \setminus U^{n^2}}.$$

Hence, since $\alpha \geq 1$, by (2.2), we have

$$(2.4) \quad \|e + \psi - e + f\|_{L^1(G)} = \|g\|_{L^1(G)} \leq \|g\|_{L_\alpha} n^{-2} \leq \|\psi\|_{L_\alpha} n^{-2}.$$

For a measure μ in $M(G)$ let

$$\lambda(\mu) = \sup \{ \|\mu * \xi\|_{L^2(G)} : \|\xi\|_{L^2(G)} = 1 \}.$$

Consequently, since

$$e + \psi = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\varphi)^{*j}}{j!}$$

and $\varphi = \varphi^*$, by the spectral theorem, $\lambda(e + \psi) = 1$, whence, by (2.4) we have

$$(2.5) \quad \lambda(e + f) \leq 1 + \|\psi\|_{L_\alpha} n^{-2}.$$

We write

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e + \psi\|_{M_\beta}^{*n} &= \|((e + f) + g)^{*n}\|_{M_\beta} \\ &\leq \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{a,b} \| (e + f)^{a_1} * g^{b_1} * \dots * (e + f)^{a_n} * g^{b_n} \|_{M_\beta}, \end{aligned}$$

where the inner summation extends over all sequences $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ of zeros and ones such that $a_1 + \dots + a_n = m$, $b_1 + \dots + b_n = n - m$.

Now we fix two such sequences a and b and we estimate

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(e + f)^{a_1} * g^{b_1} * \dots * (e + f)^{a_n} * g^{b_n}\|_{M_\beta} \\ \leq \int \dots \int \|(e + f)^{a_1} s_1 * \dots * (e + f)^{a_n} s_n\|_{M_\beta} |g^{b_1}(s_1)| \dots |g^{b_n}(s_n)| ds_1 \dots ds_n \\ \leq \int_{q=0}^\infty \int_{\Omega(q)} \|(e + f)^{a_1} s_1 * \dots * (e + f)^{a_n} s_n\|_{M_\beta} |g^{b_1}(s_1)| \dots |g^{b_n}(s_n)| ds_1 \dots ds_n, \end{aligned}$$

where for a measure μ and $M \subset G$ we write $\mu_s(M) = \mu(Ms)$ and

$$\Omega(q) = \{(s_1, \dots, s_n) \in G \times \dots \times G : \max_j \tau(s_j^{b_j}) = q\}.$$

For a (s_1, \dots, s_n) in $\Omega(q)$ we have

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \| (e+f)^{a_1} s_1^{b_1} * \dots * (e+f)^{a_n} s_n^{b_n} \|_{M_\beta} \\ & \leq \begin{cases} \sum_{a_j=1} \| (e+f)^{a_1} s_1^{b_1} * \dots * (e+f)^{a_{j-1}} s_{j-1}^{b_{j-1}} * f s_j^{b_j} \dots s_n^{b_n} \|_{L_\beta} & \text{if } a \neq 0, \\ \| s_1 \dots s_n \|_{M_\beta} \leq (1+nq)^\beta & \text{if } a = 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

But, by (2.3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{supp}(e+f)^{a_1} s_1^{b_1} * \dots * (e+f)^{a_{j-1}} s_{j-1}^{b_{j-1}} * f s_j^{b_j} \dots s_n^{b_n} \\ & \subset U^{a_1 n^2} U^q \dots U^{a_{j-1} n^2} U^q \dots U^q \subset U^{n^3 + nq}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $\xi \in L^2(G)$ and $\text{supp } \xi \subset U^m$, then

$$\| \xi \|_{L_\beta} = \int_{U^m} |\xi| w^\beta \leq (1+m)^\beta |U^m|^{1/2} \| \xi \|_{L^2(G)}.$$

Consequently, by (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (e+f)^{a_1} s_1^{b_1} * \dots * (e+f)^{a_{j-1}} s_{j-1}^{b_{j-1}} * f s_j^{b_j} \dots s_n^{b_n} \|_{L_\beta} \\ & \leq (1+n^3+nq)^\beta \lambda(e+f)^{a_1+\dots+a_{j-1}} (n^3+nq)^{r/2} \| f \|_{L^2(G)} \\ & \leq (1+\| \psi \|_{L_\alpha} n^{-2})^n \| \psi \|_{L^2(G)} (1+n^3+nq)^{\beta+R/2} \end{aligned}$$

and so, by (2.8), for a constant C depending on G and ψ only

$$\| (e+f)^{a_1} s_1^{b_1} * \dots * (e+f)^{a_n} s_n^{b_n} \|_{M_\beta} \leq C(1+q)^{\beta+R/2} (2+n)^{3(\beta+R/2)+1}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\Omega(q) \subset \bigcup_{b_j=1} \{ (s_1, \dots, s_n) : s_j^{b_j} \in U^q \setminus U^{q-1} \},$$

by (2.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega(q)} |g^{b_1}(s_1)| \dots |g^{b_n}(s_n)| ds_1 \dots ds_n \\ & \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } b = 0 \text{ and } q > 1, \\ 1, & \text{if } b = 0 \text{ and } q = 1, \\ (n-m) \| g \|_{L^1(G)}^{n-m-1} \| g \|_{L_\alpha} (q-1)^{-\alpha}, & \text{if } b \neq 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $\alpha > \beta + R/2 + 1$, for $b \neq 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int \dots \int \| (e+f)^{a_1} s_1^{b_1} * \dots * (e+f)^{a_n} s_n^{b_n} \|_{M_\beta} |g^{b_1}(s_1)| \dots |g^{b_n}(s_n)| ds_1 \dots ds_n \\ & \leq C \| \psi \|_{L_\alpha} \| g \|_{L^1(G)}^{n-m-1} (2+n)^{3(\beta+R/2)+1} (n-m) \sum_{q=1}^j (1+q)^{\beta+R/2} (q-1)^{-\alpha} \\ & \leq C' \| g \|_{L^1(G)}^{n-m-1} n^{3(\beta+R/2)+2}, \end{aligned}$$

where C' is independent of n, a and b .

Hence, by (2.6),

$$\| (e+\psi)^{*n} \|_{M_\beta} \leq C' n^{3(\beta+R/2)+2} \sum_{m=1}^n \binom{n}{m} \| g \|_{L^1(G)}^{n-m-1}.$$

But, by (2.2),

$$\| g \|_{L^1(G)} \leq \| \psi \|_{L_\alpha} n^{-2},$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (e+\psi)^{*n} \|_{M_\beta} \leq C' n^{3(\beta+R/2)+2} \| \psi \|_{L_\alpha}^1 n^2 \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} (\| \psi \|_{L_\alpha} n^{-2})^{n-m} \\ & \leq C'' n^{3(\beta+R/2)+4} (1+\| \psi \|_{L_\alpha} n^{-2})^n, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

COROLLARY 2.2. Suppose $\varphi = \varphi^* \in L_\alpha \cap L^2(G) \subset L_\beta$, $\lambda(\varphi) < a$, $\alpha > \beta + R/2 + 1$, $F \in C_c^k(-a, a)$ with $k > 3(\beta + R/2 + 2)$ and $F(0) = 0$, then F operates on φ in L and there exists a measure μ in M_β such that

$$F \cdot \varphi = \varphi * \mu.$$

Proof. We write $\psi = e(\varphi)$ and

$$(e+\psi)^{*n} - e = \psi * [e + (e+\psi) + \dots + (e+\psi)^{*n-1}].$$

Of course,

$$\psi = \varphi * v, \quad \text{where} \quad v = i \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(i\varphi)^{*j}}{(j+1)!} \in M_\alpha \subset M_\beta.$$

Hence, if

$$\mu_n = v * [e + (e+\psi) + \dots + (e+\psi)^{*n-1}],$$

by Theorem 2.1,

$$\| \mu_n \|_{M_\beta} \leq C n^{3(\beta+R/2)+5},$$

whence, by assumption on F and (2.1), we obtain the result with

$$\mu = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{F}(n) \mu_n.$$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.2 and (1.8).

COROLLARY 2.3. If $\varphi = \varphi^* \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,d,1}(G) \cap L^2(G)$ with $\lambda(\varphi) < a$, $\alpha > \beta + R/2 + 1$ and $F \in C_c^k(-a, a)$ with $k > 3(\beta + R/2 + 2)$ and $F(0) = 0$, then F operates on φ in L_β and $F \cdot \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\beta,d,1}$ and the map

$$C^k[-a, a] \ni F \rightarrow F \cdot \varphi \in \mathcal{S}_{\beta,d,1} \quad \text{is continuous.}$$

On a nilpotent Lie group G (or more generally a Lie group of polynomial growth) let L be a hypoelliptic, left invariant differential operator which satisfies (0.1). Moreover, let the semi-group T^t defined by (0.2) satisfy (0.4).

For a function $m \in C^k(\mathbf{R}^+)$ we write

$$\|m\|_{k,k'} = \sup \{(1+\lambda)^k |m^{(j)}(\lambda)| : j = 0, \dots, k, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}^+\}$$

and by $\mathcal{S}_{k,k'}(\mathbf{R}^+)$ we denote the space of functions m for which $\|m\|_{k,k'}$ is finite.

Let, finally, T_m be the operator defined by (0.3) for a bounded function m on \mathbf{R}^+ and let S and $s(d, k)$ be the numbers of (0.1) and (1.10).

Then the following theorem holds.

THEOREM 2.4. *Given β and d . If $k \geq 3(\beta + R/2 + 2)$ and $k' \geq 2 + 3(\beta + R/2 + 2)(s(2d, S) + S)$, then $m \in \mathcal{S}_{k,k'}(\mathbf{R}^+)$ implies that $T_m f = f * M$, where $M \in \mathcal{S}_{\beta,d,1}(G)$ and $\|M\|_{\beta,d,1} \leq C \|m\|_{k,k'}$, where C is independent of m in $\mathcal{S}_{k,k'}(\mathbf{R}^+)$.*

First we prove

LEMMA 2.5. *Let*

$$(2.9) \quad K(x) = \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \varphi_t(x) dt.$$

Then for every $\alpha \geq 0$

$$(2.10) \quad \int |K(x)| w^\alpha(x) dx < \infty$$

and, if $l \geq s(2d, S) + S$,

$$(2.11) \quad K^{*l} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha,d,1} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \geq 0.$$

Proof. It is easy to verify (cf. e.g. [5], Lemma 5.1) that if a function $\varphi: \mathbf{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$ satisfies

$$(2.12) \quad \varphi(s+t) \leq C(\varphi(s) + \varphi(t)), \quad \varphi(s+t) \leq \varphi(s)\varphi(t),$$

$$\sup \{\varphi(t) : t \in (0, 1]\} < \infty,$$

then for constants C' and k

$$\varphi(t) \leq C'(1+t)^k.$$

To verify (2.10) we note that by (1.3) and (1.4) the function

$$\varphi(t) = \langle |\varphi_t|, w^\alpha \rangle$$

satisfies (2.12). Hence

$$\int |K(x)| w^\alpha(x) dx \leq \int_0^\infty e^{-t} \langle |\varphi_t|, w^\alpha \rangle dt \leq C' \int_0^\infty e^{-t} (1+t)^k dt < \infty$$

and (2.10) follows.

To prove (2.11) we note first that by (2.9), in virtue of (0.2) and (0.4)

$$(1+L)u * K = u \quad \text{for } u \in C_c^\infty(G).$$

From this it follows that

$$(2.13) \quad X^l K^{*l} \in L^2(G) \quad \text{for } |l| \leq d \quad \text{and } l \geq s(d, S).$$

In fact, by (0.1) and (1.10), since $u * X^l K^{*l} = X^l(u * K^{*l})$,

$$|\langle u^*, X^l K^{*l} \rangle| = |u * X^l K^{*l}(e)| \leq C \|(1+L)^S(u * X^l K^{*l})\|_{L^2(G)} \\ \leq C' \|(1+L)^{s(d,S)}(u * K^{*l})\|_{L^2(G)} \leq C'' \|u^*\|_{L^2(G)}.$$

In particular, $K^{*S} \in L^2(G)$ and so

$$(2.14) \quad X^l K^{*l} \in L^\infty(G) \quad \text{for } l \geq s(d, S) + S.$$

We have to show that

$$(2.15) \quad \int |X^l K^{*l}(x)| w^\alpha(x) dx < \infty \quad \text{for } l \geq s(2d, S) + S \quad \text{and } |l| \leq d.$$

Let f be a fixed function in $C_c^\infty(G)$ such that $f(x) = f(x^{-1}) \geq 0$ and $\int f(x) w^{-4\alpha}(x) dx = 1$. Let

$$w'(x) = w^{4\alpha} * f(x).$$

Then, by (1.3),

$$(2.16) \quad w'(x) \geq w^{4\alpha}(x) \int w^{-4\alpha}(y) f(y) dy = w^{4\alpha}(x), \\ w'(x) \leq w^{4\alpha}(x) \int w^{4\alpha}(y) f(y) dy = C w^{4\alpha}(x).$$

Also for $X \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$(2.17) \quad |Xw'(x)| \leq |w^{4\alpha} * Xf| \leq w^{4\alpha}(x) \int w^{4\alpha}(y) |Xf(y)| dy = C_X w^{4\alpha}(x).$$

Now we proceed by induction on $|l|$. For $|l| = 0$ (2.15) is simply (2.10). Suppose $X^l = X_J X^J$ with $|J| < d$. We may also assume that α is so big that

$$\int w^{-2\alpha}(x) dx < \infty.$$

We have

$$\langle |X^l K^{*l}|, w^\alpha \rangle = \langle |X^l K^{*l}| w^{-3\alpha}, w^{4\alpha} \rangle \\ \leq \langle (X^l K^{*l})^2, w^{4\alpha} \rangle^{1/2} \left(\int w^{-2\alpha}(x) dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

But, by (2.16) and (2.17),

$$\langle (X^l K^{*l})^2, w^{4\alpha} \rangle \leq \langle (X^l K^{*l})^2, w' \rangle = \langle X^l K^{*l}, (X_J X^J K^{*l}) w' \rangle \\ \leq |\langle X^l K^{*l}, X_J (X^J K^{*l}) w' \rangle| + |\langle X^l K^{*l}, X^J K^{*l} X_J w' \rangle| \\ \leq C \langle |X_J X^l K^{*l}|, |X^J K^{*l}| w' \rangle + C_{X_J} \langle |X^l K^{*l}|, |X^J K^{*l}| w' \rangle \\ \leq C \langle |X_J X^l K^{*l}|, |X^J K^{*l}| w^{4\alpha} \rangle + C C_{X_J} \langle |X^l K^{*l}|, |X^J K^{*l}| w^{4\alpha} \rangle.$$

Since $1 + |l| \leq 2d$, by (2.14), both $X_j X^l K^{*l}$ and $X^l K^{*l}$ belong to $L^1(G)$ and, by inductive hypothesis, $|X^j K^{*l}|_{W^{4\alpha}}$ belongs to $L^1(G)$. Thus (2.15) follows and the proof of Lemma 2.5 is complete.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Let

$$F(\xi) = \begin{cases} m(\xi^{-1/l} - 1) & \text{for } 0 < \xi \leq 1, \\ 0 & \text{for } -\pi \leq \xi \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that F can be extended to a function in $C_c^k[-\pi, \pi]$ if and only if

$$m \in C^k(\mathbb{R}^+) \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} (1 + \lambda)^{1+jl} m^{(j)} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 0, \dots, k.$$

By Lemma 2.5, if $l \geq s(2d, S) + S$, then $K^{*l} \in \mathcal{S}_{\alpha, d, 1}(G)$ for all $\alpha \geq 0$, and, by Corollary 2.3, if $k > 3(\beta + R/2 + 2)$, then F operates on K^{*l} and

$$M = F \cdot K^{*l} \in \mathcal{S}_{\beta, d, 1}(G).$$

In other words, if $k' \geq 2 + 3(\beta + R/2 + 2)(s(2d, S) + S)$, then $m \in \mathcal{S}_{k, k'}(\mathbb{R}^+)$ implies that $M \in \mathcal{S}_{\beta, d, 1}(G)$. But an easy calculation shows that

$$\tilde{M}(\lambda) = F((1 + \lambda)^{-l}) = m(\lambda),$$

which completes the proof.

Another application of the functional calculus is the following

THEOREM 2.6. Suppose on a Lie group of polynomial growth

$$T^s f = f * \varphi_s, \quad \varphi_s = \varphi_s^* \in \mathcal{S}(G)$$

is a semi-group of operators strongly continuous on $L^2(G)$. Then the mapping

$$\mathbb{R}^+ \ni t \rightarrow \varphi_t \in \mathcal{S}(G)$$

extends to a holomorphic map

$$\{z: \operatorname{Re} z > 0\} \ni z \rightarrow \varphi_z \in \mathcal{S}(G).$$

Proof. For a fixed β we let \mathcal{A} to be the commutative *-subalgebra of L_β generated by φ_t , $t > 0$. As we know, the Gelfand space of \mathcal{A} is \mathbb{R}^+ and $\hat{\varphi}_t(\lambda) = e^{-t\lambda}$.

For a fixed number $a > 0$ we let

$$\mathcal{C}_a = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: \operatorname{Re} z > a\}.$$

Let $k > 3(\beta + R/2 + 2)$ and let

$$m = [k/a] + 1.$$

For z in \mathcal{C}_a we select a function $F_z \in C_c^k[-\pi, \pi]$ in such a way that

$$F_z(x) = x^{mz} \quad \text{for } 0 < x \leq 1$$

and the map

$$(2.18) \quad \tilde{C}_a \ni z \rightarrow F_z \in C^k[-\pi, \pi] \quad \text{is continuous.}$$

Since $\varphi_{1/m} \in \mathcal{S}(G)$, by Corollary 2.3, $F_z \cdot \varphi_{1/m} \in \mathcal{S}_{\beta, l, 1}(G)$ for all l and, moreover, by (2.18), the map

$$(2.19) \quad \mathcal{C}_a \ni z \rightarrow F_z \cdot \varphi_{1/m} \in \mathcal{S}_{\beta, l, 1} \subset L_\beta \quad \text{is continuous.}$$

We put

$$\varphi_z = F_z \cdot \varphi_{1/m}$$

and we see that

$$\hat{\varphi}_z(\lambda) = e^{-z\lambda}$$

which shows that φ_z does not depend on the selection of m and the map $z \rightarrow \varphi_z$ is an extension of $t \rightarrow \varphi_t$, $t > a$.

By (2.19), for every C^1 curve γ in \mathcal{C}_a we have

$$\int_\gamma \varphi_z dz \in \mathcal{S}_{\beta, l, 1}(G) \subset L_\beta$$

and for every $\lambda \geq 0$

$$\int_\gamma \hat{\varphi}_z(\lambda) dz = 0, \quad \text{whence} \quad \int_\gamma \varphi_z dz = 0$$

which shows that $\mathcal{C}_a \ni z \rightarrow \varphi_z \in \mathcal{S}_{\beta, l, 1}(G)$ is holomorphic. Since a, l, β are arbitrary, the proof is complete.

References

- [1] J. Długośz, *Almost everywhere convergence of Riesz means of Laguerre expansions*, to appear.
- [2] G. B. Folland and E. M. Stein, *Hardy spaces on homogeneous groups*, Princeton University Press, 1982.
- [3] B. Helffer et J. Nourrigat, *Caractérisation des opérateurs hypoelliptiques homogènes invariants à gauche sur un groupe de Lie gradué*, Comm. in Partial Differential Equations, 4 (8) (1978), 899–958.
- [4] A. Hulanicki, *Commutative subalgebra of $L^1(G)$ associated with a subelliptic operator on a Lie group*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 81 (1975), 121–124.
- [5] —, *A class of convolution semi-groups of measures on a Lie group*, Lecture Notes in Math. 828 (1980), 82–101.
- [6] — and Joe W. Jenkins, *Almost everywhere summability on nilmanifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 278 (1983), 703–715.
- [7] Joe W. Jenkins, *Dilations and gauges on nilpotent Lie groups*, Colloq. Math. 41 (1979), 91–101.
- [8] Y. Katznelson, *An introduction to harmonic analysis*, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1968.

- [9] T. Pytlik, *On the spectral radius of elements in group algebras*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 21 (1973), 899–902.
- [10] E. M. Stein, *Topics in harmonic analysis related to the Littlewood–Paley theory*, Ann. of Math. Studies, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton 1970.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
WROCLAW, POLAND

Received June 21, 1983

(1772)

An example of a continuum of pairwise non-isomorphic spaces of C^∞ -functions

by

MICHAEL TIDTEN (Wuppertal, FRG)

Abstract. There is given a family (K_τ) of compact sets K_τ in the Euclidean plane with τ ranging in a real interval such that the Whitney spaces $\mathcal{E}(K_\tau)$ are pairwise non-isomorphic. A successful distinction of the topological structures which is sufficient for this result is managed by a certain topological property involving an increasing monotone function on \mathbb{R}_+ . After Zaharyuta had presented a continuum of pairwise non-isomorphic spaces of analytic functions the open question for an analogous example in the frame of C^∞ -functions is clarified by a positive answer.

In papers [2], [3], [8] and [9], e.g., linear topological invariants or special properties of locally convex spaces were used to distinguish the topological structures of (F) -spaces. Zaharyuta presented in his paper [9] a continuum of pairwise non-isomorphic spaces of analytic functions, i.e. a family $\{G_\tau\}$ of domains G_τ such that τ ranges in $[0, 1]$, e.g., and $\mathcal{O}(G_\sigma)$ is not isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(G_\tau)$ for different σ, τ . The existence of such a continuum of spaces of C^∞ -functions, however, is an open problem till now.

This paper gives an affirmative answer to this question by presenting a family $\{K_\tau\}$ $\tau \in [a, b]$ of compact sets K_τ in \mathbb{R}^2 which describes a continuum of this kind consisting of Whitney spaces $\mathcal{E}(K_\tau)$. The method applied in the paper is different from Zaharyuta's one and — due to an idea of D. Vogt — makes use of certain properties of (F) -spaces called (DN_φ) here (cf. [1], [6]).

The sets K_τ are given by the graphs of monotonically increasing (real) analytic functions Φ_τ on \mathbb{R}_+ such that the family $\{\Phi_\tau\}$ is monotone in τ . The parameter τ plays an essential role only in the boundary behaviour of Φ_τ near the point 0. Larger values of τ cause extremely faster convergence of $\Phi_\tau(x)$ to 0 with $x \rightarrow 0+$. All the K_τ have not the extension property, i.e. there exists no extension operator from $\mathcal{E}(K_\tau)$ to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ (see [4], Beispiel 2). This is a necessary consequence if the K_τ shall have interior points. Since if $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ has at least one interior point and has the extension property, then $\mathcal{E}(K)$ is isomorphic to the space s of rapidly decreasing functions (see [7], Satz 4.1).

DEFINITION 1 (cf. [6]). Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a monotonically increasing function. An (F) -space E is said to have the *property* (DN_φ) if the following