

References

- [1] R. Bonic, J. Frampton and A. Tromba, *Λ -manifolds*, J. Functional Analysis 3 (1969), pp. 310-320.
- [2] Z. Ciesielski, *On the isomorphism of the spaces H^a and m* , Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 8, No. 4 (1960), pp. 217-222.
- [3] K. deLeeuw, *Banach spaces of Lipschitz functions*, Studia Math. 21 (1961), pp. 55-56.
- [4] N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators*, Part I, Interscience, New York 1958.
- [5] J. Frampton and A. Tromba, *Spaces of Hölder continuous functions*, J. Functional Analysis 10 (1972), pp. 336-345.
- [6] G. Glaeser, *Étude de quelques algèbres Tayloriennes*, J. Analyse Math. 6 (1958), pp. 1-125.
- [7] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York 1967.
- [8] A. Milutin, *On spaces of continuous functions*, Dissertation, Moscow State University, 1952.
- [9] R. Palais, *Lusternik Schnirelmann category theory on Banach manifolds*, Topology 5 (1966), pp. 115-132.
- [10] A. Pełczyński, *Projections in certain Banach spaces*, Studia Math. 19 (1960), pp. 209-228.
- [11] D. R. Sherbert, *The structure of ideas and point derivations in Banach algebras of Lipschitz functions*, Transactions AMS, Vol. 111 (1964), pp. 240-272.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
SANTA CRUZ

Received June 29, 1974

(856)

An extension of a theorem of Rosenthal on operators acting from $l_\infty(\Gamma)$

by

L. DREWNOWSKI (Poznań)

Abstract. The theorem we prove in this paper, in a somewhat specialized form is as follows: Let Γ be an infinite set, T a continuous linear operator from $l_\infty(\Gamma)$ (or $c_0(\Gamma)$) into a topological vector space E , and suppose that the images by T of the unit vectors lie off some neighbourhood of the origin in E . Then there exists a subset Γ' of Γ with the same cardinality as Γ and such that $T|_{l_\infty(\Gamma')}$ (resp., $T|_{c_0(\Gamma')}$) is an isomorphism (= linear homeomorphism).

For E being a Banach space, this result is due to Rosenthal. For an arbitrary t.v.s. E and the standard c_0 and l_∞ spaces some results of the above form have been recently obtained by Kalton.

H. P. Rosenthal proved in [5] that

($R: \Gamma$) If an operator $T: l_\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow E$, E being a Banach space, is such that $T|_{c_0(\Gamma)}$ is an isomorphism, then there exists $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ with $\text{card } \Gamma' = \text{card } \Gamma$ such that $T|_{l_\infty(\Gamma')}$ is an isomorphism.

He established also an analogue of this for operators $T: c_0(\Gamma) \rightarrow E$ ([5], Theorem 3.4 and Remark 1 following it), and gave numerous interesting applications of those results to Banach space theory.

When $\Gamma = \mathbf{N}$, \mathbf{N} the set of positive integers, then the results of Rosenthal can be stated in the form:

($R: \mathbf{N}$) If T is an operator from l_∞ , or c_0 , into E , then either $T(e_n) \rightarrow 0$ or there exists an infinite subset M of \mathbf{N} such that $T|_{l_\infty(M)}$ (resp., $T|_{c_0(M)}$) is an isomorphism.

Recently, in connection with the theory of the so-called exhaustive operators, N. J. Kalton investigated operators T acting from c_0 , or l_∞ , to an arbitrary topological vector space E [1]. He obtained an exact analogue of ($R: \mathbf{N}$) for $T: c_0 \rightarrow E$ ([1], Theorem 2.3), and proved some special cases of ($R: \mathbf{N}$) for $T: l_\infty \rightarrow E$ ([1], Theorems 3.2, 3.3, 4.3). Kalton conjectured that the statement "If E contains no copy of l_∞ and $T: l_\infty \rightarrow E$ is a continuous operator, then $T(e_n) \rightarrow 0$ (and hence T is exhaustive)" should hold without any further restrictions on E .

In this paper we extend ($R: \Gamma$) (and hence also ($R: \mathbf{N}$)) to arbitrary topological vector spaces E . Moreover, our Theorem and its proof cover

both the cases of $l_\infty(I)$ and $c_0(I)$ simultaneously, and actually even more is proved. Our proof of $(R: I)$, though having in common with the proof of the basic Lemma 1.1 in [5] the use of cardinal and ordinal numbers and transfinite induction, seems to be much simpler and clearer. And, after some rather obvious changes, it becomes a considerably simpler proof of that lemma itself; see the Remark at the end of this paper. Note also that the only essential property of $l_\infty(I)$ we use is that $\|x+y\| \leq 1$ whenever $\|x\| \leq 1$, $\|y\| \leq 1$ and x, y have disjoint supports.

We give also an independent proof of $(R: N)$, stated below as Corollary 2, mainly because of its simplicity. (In connection with this, note that the well-known result of Phillips that there is no projection of l_∞ onto c_0 is a trivial consequence of $(R: N)$.) The precompactness argument used in the first part of this proof stems directly from [1].

In what follows, I denotes an infinite set, $l_\infty(I)$ the Banach space of all bounded scalar-valued functions on I under the sup norm, and X a fixed vector subspace of $l_\infty(I)$ such that

$$e_\gamma \in X \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in I,$$

where e_γ is the γ th unit vector of $l_\infty(I)$ (i.e., $e_\gamma(\alpha) = 1$ if $\alpha = \gamma$ and 0 otherwise), and

$$x_{\lambda} \in X \quad \text{whenever } x \in X \text{ and } \lambda \subset I,$$

where χ_λ is the characteristic function of λ .

If $\lambda \subset I$, then $X(\lambda)$ denotes the subspace $\{x_{\lambda}: x \in X\}$ of X , and $B(\lambda)$ the unit ball of $X(\lambda)$; $B = B(I)$.

The most important examples of such spaces X are $l_\infty(I)$ itself, its closed subspace $c_0(I) = \{x: \{\gamma \in I: |x(\gamma)| > \varepsilon\} \text{ is finite for every } \varepsilon > 0\}$, and its subspace $c_{00}(I) = \{x: s(x) \text{ is finite}\}$. Two other examples are the spaces $X = \{x: \text{card } s(x) \leq n\}$ and $X = \{x: \text{card } s(x) < n\}$, where n is a fixed infinite cardinal number $\leq \text{card } I$ and $s(x) = \{\gamma \in I: x(\gamma) \neq 0\}$.

Let us note that the spaces X in these examples have the property that if $\lambda \subset I$ is such that $\text{card } \lambda = \text{card } I$, then $X(\lambda)$ is isomorphic to X .

E will denote a Hausdorff topological vector space and \mathcal{U} a base of open balanced neighbourhoods of 0 in E . If $W \subset E$ and $n \in N$, then $W^{(n)}$ will denote the set $W + \dots + W$ (n copies of W).

THEOREM. Let $T: X \rightarrow E$ be a continuous linear operator. Suppose that for some neighbourhood U of 0 in E the set I'' of all $\gamma \in I$ such that

$$(+) \quad T(e_\gamma) \notin U$$

is infinite. Then there exists a subset I' of I'' with $\text{card } I' = \text{card } I''$ such that $T|_{X(I')}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. There will be no loss of generality if we assume that $I'' = I$. In our proof we will represent I as a set of ordinal numbers. If α is an

ordinal number, then P_α will denote the set of all ordinals less than α . Let $m = \text{card } I$, and let μ be the least ordinal number α with $\text{card } P_\alpha = m$. We may and will assume that

$$I = P_\mu.$$

For each $\alpha < \mu$ let $F_\alpha = \{\beta: \alpha \leq \beta < \mu\}$.

Let $V \in \mathcal{U}$ be such that

$$V + V \subset U;$$

then choose $r \in N$ for which

$$T(B) \subset rV,$$

and finally take $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$W^{(r)} \subset rV.$$

We shall first prove that

(I) There is a subset Δ of I with $\text{card } \Delta = m$ such that for every $\sigma \in \Delta$

$$(*) \quad T(e_\sigma + y) \notin W$$

if $y \in B(\Delta \cap F_{\sigma+1})$.

To prove this statement we need the following simple observation:

(1) If $\sigma < \mu$ and A_1, \dots, A_r are disjoint subsets of $F_{\sigma+1}$, then there is an i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, such that $(*)$ holds whenever $y \in B(A_i)$.

For otherwise we could find for each i an element $y_i \in B(A_i)$ such that $T(e_\sigma + y_i) \in W$. Then, writing $y = \sum_{i=1}^r y_i$, we would have $y \in B$ and

$$T(re_\sigma + y) \in W^{(r)} \subset rV.$$

Since $T(y) \in rV$, it follows immediately that $T(e_\sigma) \in U$, and this contradicts our assumption $(+)$.

Now let \mathcal{G} be a family of subsets of I such that $\text{card } \mathcal{G} > m$, $\text{card } G = m$ for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$, and $\text{card}(G_1 \cap G_2) < m$ if $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ and $G_1 \neq G_2$ (see [6] and [5]).

Fix a $\sigma < \mu$ and consider any r distinct members G_1, \dots, G_r of \mathcal{G} . For sufficiently large τ , $\sigma < \tau < \mu$, the sets $H_j \equiv G_j \cap F_\tau$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, are disjoint, each of them is of cardinality m and $\text{card}(G_j \setminus H_j) < m$. By (1) there is an i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, such that $(*)$ holds for all $y \in B(H_i)$.

Let \mathcal{G}_σ be the subfamily of \mathcal{G} consisting of all those $G \in \mathcal{G}$ for which there is $\tau > \sigma$, $\tau < \mu$, such that $(*)$ holds if $y \in B(G \cap F_\tau)$. It is clear from what was said just before that $\text{card}(\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_\sigma) < r$. Hence the family

$$\bigcap_{\sigma < \mu} \mathcal{G}_\sigma$$

is nonempty, so let H be any of its members. It is obvious that H has the following property:

- (2) For each $\sigma < \mu$ there exists $\tau \in H$, $\tau > \sigma$, such that $T(e_\sigma + y) \notin W$ if $y \in B(H \cap F_\tau)$.

From this we easily deduce the existence of an increasing transfinite sequence $(\eta(\alpha): \alpha < \mu)$ with terms in H such that

$$T(e_{\eta(\alpha)} + y) \notin W \quad \text{if} \quad y \in B\{\eta(\gamma): \alpha < \gamma < \mu\}.$$

Indeed, put $\eta(0) = \min H$ and suppose that for some $\alpha < \mu$ we have already determined all the terms $\eta(\gamma)$, where $\gamma < \alpha$, in such a way that $\eta(\gamma) \in H$, $\eta(\gamma_1) < \eta(\gamma_2)$ if $\gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \alpha$, and $T(e_{\eta(\gamma)} + y) \notin W$ for all $y \in B(H \cap F_{\eta(\gamma+1)})$ provided that $\gamma+1 < \alpha$.

Then choose τ in H so that $\eta(\gamma) < \tau$ for all $\gamma < \alpha$ and, in case α has the predecessor $\alpha-1$, so that τ satisfies the condition in (2) for $\sigma = \eta(\alpha-1)$. Then set $\eta(\alpha) = \tau$. This completes the inductive definition of $(\eta(\alpha): \alpha < \mu)$.

It is evident that the set $\Delta = \{\eta(\alpha): \alpha < \mu\}$ is as required in (I).

Since $\text{card } \Delta = m$, to simplify notation we may again identify Δ with P_μ . Under this convention, for each $\alpha < \mu$,

$$T(e_\alpha + y) \notin W \quad \text{if} \quad y \in B(F_{\alpha+1}).$$

Now take $V_1 \in \mathcal{U}$, then choose $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and finally $W_1 \in \mathcal{U}$ so that

$$V_1 + V_1 \subset W, \quad T(B) \subset sV_1, \quad W_1^{(s)} \subset sV_1.$$

We are going to prove now that

(II) There is a subset Γ' of Δ with $\text{card } \Gamma' = m$ such that for each

$$\alpha \in \Gamma' \quad (**) \quad T(x + e_\alpha + y) \notin W_1$$

if $x \in B(\Gamma' \cap P_\alpha)$ and $y \in B(F_{\alpha+1})$.

Similarly as before we easily check that

- (3) If $\alpha < \mu$ and A_1, \dots, A_s are disjoint subsets of P_α , then there is a k , $1 \leq k \leq s$, such that $(**)$ holds for $x \in B(A_k)$ and $y \in B(F_{\alpha+1})$.

Let

$$A_1^\sigma = \{0\}, \quad A_2^\sigma = \{1\}, \dots, A_{\sigma+1}^\sigma = \{\sigma\} \quad \text{and} \quad A_i^\sigma = \emptyset$$

$$\text{for} \quad \sigma+1 < i \leq s,$$

for $\sigma = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$.

Suppose that the s -tuples

$$A_1^\sigma, \dots, A_s^\sigma$$

have been already defined for all $\sigma < \tau$, where $s \leq \tau < \mu$, in such a way that

$$(a) \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^s A_i^\sigma = P_{\sigma+1} \quad \text{and} \quad A_i^\sigma \cap A_j^\sigma = \emptyset \quad \text{if} \quad i \neq j;$$

$$(b) \quad A_i^\sigma \subset A_i^{\sigma'} \quad \text{if} \quad \sigma' < \sigma, \quad i = 1, \dots, s;$$

$$(c) \quad \text{If } \alpha \in A_i^\sigma, \text{ then } (**) \text{ holds for all } x \in B(A_i^\sigma \cap P_\alpha), \text{ and } y \in B(F_{\alpha+1}).$$

Let

$$C_i = \bigcup_{\sigma < \tau} A_i^\sigma, \quad i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^s C_i = P_\tau$ and $C_i \cap C_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. By (3) there is a k , $1 \leq k \leq s$, such that

$$T(x + e_\tau + y) \notin W_1$$

if $x \in B(C_k)$ and $y \in B(F_{\tau+1})$. Then we define

$$A_i^\tau = \begin{cases} C_i & \text{for } i \neq k, \\ C_k \cup \{\tau\} & \text{for } i = k; \quad i = 1, \dots, s. \end{cases}$$

This completes the inductive definition of a transfinite sequence of s -tuples $A_1^\sigma, \dots, A_s^\sigma$ ($\sigma < \mu$) such that (a), (b), (c) hold for all $\sigma < \mu$.

Let

$$\Delta_i = \bigcup_{\sigma < \mu} A_i^\sigma, \quad i = 1, \dots, s.$$

Then $\Delta = \Delta_1 \cup \dots \cup \Delta_s$, hence for some k , $1 \leq k \leq s$, $\text{card } \Delta_k = m$. Write $\Gamma' = \Delta_k$. Then, for each $\alpha \in \Gamma'$, $(**)$ holds for all $x \in B(\Gamma' \cap P_\alpha)$ and $y \in B(\Gamma' \cap F_{\alpha+1})$. Hence, since W_1 is balanced, if $z \in B(\Gamma')$ is such that $\|z(\alpha)\| = 1$ for some $\alpha \in \Gamma'$, then $T(z) \notin W_1$. It follows easily that $T(z) \notin W_1$ for each $z \in X(\Gamma')$ with $\|z\|_\infty = 1$. This implies that $T|X(\Gamma')$ is an isomorphism.

COROLLARY 1. Let $T: l_\infty(\Gamma) \rightarrow E$ be a continuous linear operator such that $T|c_0(\Gamma)$ is an isomorphism. Then there exists a subset Γ' of Γ with $\text{card } \Gamma' = \text{card } \Gamma$ such that $T|l_\infty(\Gamma')$ is an isomorphism.

The next corollary is a particular case ($\Gamma = \mathbb{N}$) of the Theorem. However, since its independent proof though somewhat similar is considerably simpler than the proof of the Theorem, we find it worth presenting here.

COROLLARY 2. Let X be either l_∞ or c_0 , and let $T: X \rightarrow E$ be a continuous linear operator. Then exactly one of the following two possibilities holds:

$$(i) \quad T(e_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

or

(ii) there exists an infinite subset M of \mathbb{N} such that $T|X(M)$ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Suppose (i) does not hold. Then $F = \{T(e_n): n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is not precompact.

In fact, if (i) is false, there is $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that

$$T(e_n) \notin U$$

for infinitely many n , and we may suppose that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let V, r, W be chosen as in the proof of the Theorem. Then, assuming that F is pre-

compact, we can find a finite subset F_0 of F such that

$$F \subset F_0 + W.$$

Hence for some m the set $T(e_m) + W$ contains infinitely many members of F , say $T(e_{n_1}), T(e_{n_2}), \dots$ ($n_1 < n_2 < \dots$). Then

$$T\left(\sum_{i=1}^r e_{n_i}\right) \in rT(e_m) + W^{(r)} \subset rT(e_m) + rV$$

and hence

$$rT(e_m) \in T(B) + rV \subset rU,$$

so that $T(e_m) \in U$. A contradiction, for we have assumed that $F \cap U = \emptyset$.

Now, since F is not precompact, there is $U \in \mathcal{U}$ (possibly different from the U we had above) such that for any compact set K in E the set $K + U$ does not contain F . In particular, for any $n \geq 0$ there is $m > n$ such that $T(e_m) \notin T(B_n) + U$, where $B_n = B(\{1, \dots, n\})$ for $n \geq 1$ and $= \{0\}$ for $n = 0$.

Without loss of generality we may assume that

$$(*) \quad T(e_{n+1}) \notin T(B_n) + U, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

Let V, r, W be chosen as in the proof of the Theorem. We shall define inductively a decreasing sequence (A_i) of infinite subsets of N such that the sequence

$$m_i = \inf A_i$$

is strictly increasing and

$$(**) \quad T(x + e_{m_i} + y) \notin W$$

whenever $x \in B(\{m_1, \dots, m_{i-1}\})$ (or $x = 0$ if $i = 1$) and $y \in B(A_{i+1})$; $i = 1, 2, \dots$

Set $A_1 = N$ and suppose that the infinite subsets $A_1 \supset \dots \supset A_k$ of N have been already chosen so that $1 = m_1 < \dots < m_k$ and $(**)$ holds for $i = 1, \dots, k-1$; $k \geq 2$. Then consider any decomposition of $A_k \setminus \{n_k\}$ into r infinite and mutually disjoint sets C_1, \dots, C_r . As in the proof of the Theorem we easily deduce from $(*)$ that there is j , $1 \leq j \leq r$, such that $T(x + e_{m_k} + y) \notin W$ if $x \in B(\{m_1, \dots, m_{k-1}\})$ and $y \in B(C_j)$. Then we define $A_{k+1} = C_j$. The set $M = \{m_1, m_2, \dots\}$ is as required in Corollary 2.

Remark. The proof of the Theorem can easily be modified so that it will become a considerably simplified proof of Rosenthal's basic Lemma 1.1 in [5]. We shall briefly indicate how this can be done. Without loss of generality we can formulate this lemma as follows:

(L) Let $\{m_\alpha: \alpha \in \Gamma\}$ be a family of finitely additive positive measures on the power set of Γ such that $\sup\{m_\alpha(\Gamma): \alpha \in \Gamma\} = a < \infty$. Then, for all

$\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$ with $\text{card } \Gamma' = \text{card } \Gamma = m$ such that

$$m_\alpha(\Gamma' \setminus \{\alpha\}) < \varepsilon \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Gamma'.$$

Choose $r \in N$ so that $a < r(\varepsilon/2)$. Then observe that if $\alpha \in \Gamma$ and A_1, \dots, A_r are disjoint subsets of Γ , there is i such that $m_\alpha(A_i) < \varepsilon/2$. Given $\sigma < \mu$, consider the subfamily \mathcal{G}_σ of \mathcal{G} consisting of all those $G \in \mathcal{G}$ for which there is $\tau > \sigma$ such that $m_\alpha(G \cap F_\tau) < \varepsilon/2$. Then $\text{card}(\mathcal{G} \setminus \mathcal{G}_\sigma) < r$. Take any H in $\bigcup_{\sigma < \mu} \mathcal{G}_\sigma$. Then, via a transfinite sequence with terms in H , we obtain a subset Δ of H with $\text{card } \Delta = m$ such that $m_\alpha(\Delta \cap F_{\alpha+1}) < \varepsilon/2$ for each $\alpha \in \Delta$. We identify Δ with P_μ so that we have $m_\alpha(F_{\alpha+1}) < \varepsilon/2$ for each $\alpha < \mu$. Then we continue quite similarly as in the part (II) of the proof of the Theorem (take $s = r$). (In particular, condition (c) should be replaced by the following one: If $\alpha \in A_i^r$, then $m_\alpha(A_i^r \cap P_\alpha) < \varepsilon/2$.) This gives us $\Gamma' \subset \Delta$ with $\text{card } \Gamma' = m$ such that $m_\alpha(\Gamma' \cap P_\alpha) < \varepsilon/2$ for all $\alpha \in \Gamma'$, and Γ' is as required in (L).

Added May 4, 1975. The referee has kindly pointed out a recent paper by J. Kupka [2], where a much simpler proof of Rosenthal's Lemma is given.

Let us also mention that a variation of the argument used here has been recently applied by I. Labuda ([3], [4]) to obtain some interesting results on finitely additive vector measures and some relevant classes of topological vector spaces.

Added in proof. On combining the techniques of this paper with those of [2], the author has found in the meantime an extremely simple proof of the Theorem, see *Un théorème sur les opérateurs de $l_\infty(\Gamma)$* , C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Sér. A, 281 (1975), pp. 967-969.

References

- [1] N. J. Kalton, *Exhaustive operators and vector measures*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. 19 (1974), pp. 291-300.
- [2] J. Kupka, *A short proof and generalization of a measure theoretic disjointization lemma*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974), pp. 70-72.
- [3] I. Labuda, *Sur les mesures exhaustives et certaines classes d'espaces vectoriels topologiques considérés par W. Orlicz et L. Schwartz*, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, Sér. A, 280 (1975), pp. 987-989.
- [4] — *Exhaustive measures in arbitrary topological vector spaces*, Studia Math. 58 (1976), pp. 239-248.
- [5] H. P. Rosenthal, *On relatively disjoint families of measures, with some applications to Banach space theory*, Studia Math. 37 (1970), pp. 13-36.
- [6] W. Sierpiński, *Cardinal and ordinal numbers*, Warszawa 1965.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS,
A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY
POZNAN, POLAND

Received October 16, 1974

(900)