

**The property of weak type (p, p) for the
Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator and derivation of integrals**

by

BALDOMERO RUBIO (Princeton, N.J.)

Abstract. Connections between differentiation of integrals of functions in L^p spaces and the property of weak type (p, p) for the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator are established, being $1 < p < \infty$.

§ 1. In this paper the two following properties of a differentiation basis \mathfrak{R} in \mathbf{R}^n are considered, being $1 \leq p < \infty$,

(a) \mathfrak{R} differentiates $\int f$ for every $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

(b) The Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator associated with \mathfrak{R} is of weak type (p, p) .

We will prove that these properties are equivalent when \mathfrak{R} is a special basis invariant by translations, and when \mathfrak{R} is a general basis homothecy invariant.

The case $p = 1$ and \mathfrak{R} homothecy invariant was proved by M. de Guzmán and G. V. Welland [3], using a lemma of A. P. Calderón, and they proposed the generalization. A. M. Bruckner [1] shows also the interest of the problem.

This result is contained in my doctoral thesis at Madrid University, being M. de Guzmán my thesis adviser, to whom I wish to thank for his help.

§ 2. A differentiation basis \mathfrak{R} for a subset A of \mathbf{R}^n is defined giving for every $x \in A$ a collection $\mathfrak{R}(x)$ of open bounded sets such that there is at least one sequence $\{R_k\}$ in $\mathfrak{R}(x)$ which verifies $R_k \rightarrow x$ (i.e., for every neighborhood U of x is $R_k \subset U$ for k greater than some k_0).

Given a locally Lebesgue integrable function $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ we define the upper derivative $\bar{D}(f, x)$ of $\int f$ with respect to \mathfrak{R} at the point x by

$$\bar{D}(f, x) = \sup \left\{ \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|R_k|} \int_{R_k} f(y) dy \right\},$$

where the sup is taken over all the sequences $\{R_k\} \subset \mathfrak{R}(x)$ such that $R_k \rightarrow x$. In a similar way is defined the lower derivative $\underline{D}(f, x)$, setting

$\inf(\liminf)$ above. We say that \mathfrak{R} differentiates f if $\overline{D}(f, x) = \underline{D}(f, x) = f(x)$ almost everywhere.

A differentiation basis \mathfrak{R} in \mathbf{R}^n is *homothety invariant* if, for every homothety transformation h , $R \in \mathfrak{R}(x)$ implies that $hR \in \mathfrak{R}(hx)$. In a similar way is defined t at \mathfrak{R} is *invariant by translations*. Every translation will be considered as a special homothety transformation.

The Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M associated with \mathfrak{R} is defined by

$$Mf(x) = \sup_{R \in \mathfrak{R}(x)} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(y)| dy$$

for any f locally integrable. When \mathfrak{R} is invariant by translations, the set $\{x: Mf(x) > \lambda\}$ is open for every λ , and so Mf is measurable. In the following we will consider always bases which are invariant by translations.

The operator M is of *weak type* (p, p) , $1 \leq p < \infty$, if there exists $c > 0$ such that for every $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $\lambda > 0$ is verified

$$|\{x: Mf(x) > \lambda\}| \leq c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left| \frac{f(y)}{\lambda} \right|^p dy.$$

We will use the following Sawyer's version [2] of a theorem of E. M. Stein [4]:

Let (X, \mathcal{A}, m) be a space of finite measure, and $1 \leq p < \infty$. We consider a sequence $\{T_k\}$ of positive linear operators continuous in measure defined in $L^p(X)$, and suppose there exists a family F of transformations in X preserving the measure and commuting with every T_k such that, given $\varrho > 1$ and two subsets A, B of X with positive measure, there exists $t \in F$ which verifies

$$m(X)m(A \cap t^{-1}B) \leq \varrho m(A)m(B).$$

Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(a) For every $f \in L^p(X)$, $T^*f(x)$ is finite a.e. in x , being $T^*f(x) = \sup\{|T_k f(x)|: k = 1, 2, \dots\}$.

(b) There exists $c > 0$ such that

$$m\{x: T^*f(x) > \lambda\} \leq c \int_X \left| \frac{f(y)}{\lambda} \right|^p dy$$

for arbitrary $f \in L^p(X)$ and $\lambda > 0$.

§ 3. We can state now the following theorem.

THEOREM I. Let $\{R_k\}$ be a sequence of open bounded sets in \mathbf{R}^n with positive Lebesgue measure such that $R_k \rightarrow 0$ (the zero of \mathbf{R}^n). We consider the differentiation basis $\mathfrak{R}(x) = \{x + R_k: k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ in \mathbf{R}^n , and the associ-

ated Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator M . The two following conditions are equivalent, being $1 \leq p < \infty$,

(a) \mathfrak{R} differentiates f for every $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

(b) M is of weak type (p, p) .

Proof. It is necessary only to prove (a) \Rightarrow (b), because the proof of (b) \Rightarrow (a) is easy.

We can suppose diameter $R_k < 1$ for every k . Let X be the unit interval $[0, 1]^n$. For every $j \in \mathbf{Z}^n$, $j + X$ will be identified with X and so, if diameter $E < 1$, there is a natural bijection between E and a subset E' of X . For $x \in X$ and every k we write $T_k(x) = (x + R_k)'$, and consider the differentiation basis \mathfrak{F} in X defined by $\mathfrak{F}(x) = \{T_k(x): k = 1, 2, \dots\}$ and the operator T_k in $L^p(X)$ such that

$$T_k f(x) = \frac{1}{|R_k|} \int_{T_k(x)} f(y) dy.$$

It can be observed that the bases \mathfrak{R} and \mathfrak{F} have the same differentiation properties in the interior points of X , because in such an x we have $T_k(x) = x + R_k$ for large k . This means that $T^*f(x) < \infty$ a.e. in X , for every $f \in L^p(X)$, being $T^*f(x) = \sup\{|T_k f(x)|: k = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Now the Stein theorem is used to obtain the existence of a number $c > 0$ such that

$$|\{x \in X: T^*f(x) > \lambda\}| \leq c \int_X \left| \frac{f(y)}{\lambda} \right|^p dy$$

for every $f \in L^p(X)$ and $\lambda > 0$. It is easy to prove that all the conditions to apply this theorem are satisfied. The required transformations in X are the translations of X considered as a torus. Every T_k is a positive linear operator which is continuous in $L^p(X)$ and commutes with the translations in X . Furthermore, given A, B in X with positive measure, if we suppose $|A \cap tB| > \varrho |A||B|$ for every translation t , since $|A \cap tB| = (\chi_A * \chi_{-B})(t)$, we have

$$\int_X (\chi_A * \chi_{-B})(t) dt > \varrho |A||B|$$

and so one obtains a contradiction, because by the Fubini theorem the first member above is $|A||B|$.

Now for each $u_i \in \{0, 1\}^n$ we consider the interval $X_i = u_i + X$, and put $X_{ij} = 2j + X_i$ for $j \in \mathbf{Z}^n$. It is possible to do in every X_{ij} the same that we did in X , and the corresponding operator is denoted by T_{ij}^* . The constant obtained to apply the Stein theorem does not depend on i, j .

Let f be a non-negative function in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$; f_i and f_{ij} are the corresponding restrictions to $\bigcup_j X_{ij}$ and X_{ij} , respectively. It is easy to prove

that $Mf_{ij}(x) \leq T_{ij}^* f_{ij}(x)$ a.e. in X_{ij} , and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: Mf(x) > \lambda\}| &\leq \sum_i |\{x \in \bigcup_j X_{ij}: Mf_i(x) > \lambda 2^{-n}\}| \\ &\leq \sum_{i,j} |\{x \in X_{ij}: T_{ij}^* f_{ij}(x) > \lambda 2^{-n}\}| \\ &\leq 2^{np} c \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\frac{f(y)}{\lambda} \right)^p dy. \end{aligned}$$

§ 4. For general homothety invariant bases we have an analogous theorem.

THEOREM II. Let \mathfrak{R} be a homothety invariant differentiation basis in \mathbf{R}^n , and M the associated Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. The two following conditions are equivalent, being $1 \leq p < \infty$,

- (a) \mathfrak{R} differentiates $\int f$ for every $f \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.
- (b) M is of weak type (p, p) .

Proof. As before we only have to prove (a) \Rightarrow (b). Because \mathfrak{R} is homothety invariant, it is easy to prove that, M_δ being the maximal operator associated with the basis $\mathfrak{R}_\delta(x) = \{R \in \mathfrak{R}(x): \text{diameter } R < \delta\}$, M is of weak type (p, p) if and only if M_δ is so for some $\delta > 0$.

In order to prove (a) \Rightarrow (b) we will prove that otherwise we obtain a contradiction with Theorem I. We suppose that (a) and not (b) are true. Then the maximal operator M_k associated with $\mathfrak{R}_{1/k}$ is not of weak type (p, p) for every k , and we can choose one sequence $\{f_k\}$ of non-negative functions in $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and one sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ of positive numbers such that, being $E_k = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: M_k f_k(x) > \lambda_k\}$;

$$|E_k| > k \lambda_k^{-p} \|f_k\|_p^p$$

holds. If g_k verifies $\lambda_k g_k = k f_k$, we have $E_k = \{x: M_k g_k(x) > k\}$ and $|E_k| > k^{1-p} \|g_k\|_p^p$. We take a compact $F_k \subset E_k$ such that $|F_k|$ is also greater than $k^{1-p} \|g_k\|_p^p$. Given $x \in F_k$, there exists $R \in \mathfrak{R}(0)$ such that the diameter $R < k^{-1}$ and

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_{x+R} g_k(y) dy > k.$$

There is also a sphere $B(x)$ with center in x such that for every z in $B(x)$

$$\frac{1}{|R|} \int_{x+R} g_k(y) dy > k$$

holds, with the same R as before. We select a finite number of such spheres to cover F_k . Let $R_{k1}, R_{k2}, \dots, R_{kh_k}$ be the members of $\mathfrak{R}_{1/k}(0)$ associated with them.

Now we consider the sequence R_j defined by

$$R_{11}, \dots, R_{1h_1}, R_{21}, \dots, R_{2h_2}, R_{31}, \dots$$

It is clear that $R_j \rightarrow 0$, and so we can define a differentiation basis \mathfrak{R}' in \mathbf{R}^n setting $\mathfrak{R}'(x) = \{x + R_j: j = 1, 2, \dots\}$. By Theorem I the maximal operator M' associated with \mathfrak{R}' is of weak type (p, p) . But this is impossible, because given $c > 0$ we have for $k > c$

$$|\{x \in \mathbf{R}^n: M' g_k(x) > k\}| \geq |F_k| > c k^{-p} \|g_k\|_p^p.$$

References

- [1] A. M. Bruckner, *Differentiation of integrals*, Amer. Math. Monthly 78 (1971) (Slaught Memorial Papers, no. 12).
- [2] A. M. Garsia, *Topics in almost everywhere convergence*, Chicago, Markham P.V. Co., 1970.
- [3] M. de Guzmán and G. V. Welland, *On the differentiation of integrals*, Revista de la Unión Matemática Argentina 25 (1971), pp. 253-276.
- [4] E. M. Stein, *On limits of sequences of operators*, Ann. of Math. 74 (1961), pp. 140-170.

Received February 21, 1975

(959)