

An additive problem with primes and almost-primes

by

T. P. PENEVA and D. I. TOLEV (Plovdiv)

1. Introduction. In 1937 I. M. Vinogradov [10] proved that for every sufficiently large odd integer N the equation

$$p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = N$$

has a solution in prime numbers p_1, p_2, p_3 .

Two years later van der Corput [9] used the method of Vinogradov and established that there exist infinitely many arithmetic progressions consisting of three different primes. A corresponding result for progressions of four or more primes has not been proved so far. In 1981, however, D. R. Heath-Brown [5] proved that there exist infinitely many arithmetic progressions of four different terms, three of which are primes and the fourth is P_2 (as usual, P_r denotes an integer with no more than r prime factors, counted according to multiplicity). One of the main points in [5] is a result of Bombieri–Vinogradov’s type for the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{x < p_2, p_3 \leq 2x \\ p_1 + p_3 = 2p_2 \\ p_2 - 2p_3 \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} u(p_1)u(p_2)u(p_3),$$

where d is squarefree, $(d, 6) = 1$; $u(n) = (\log n) / \log 3x$ for $n \geq 5$ and $u(n) = 0$ otherwise.

Recently Tolev [8] found an analogous result for the quantity

$$J_{k,l}(N) = \sum_{\substack{p_1 + p_2 + p_3 = N \\ p_1 \equiv l \pmod{k}}} \log p_1 \log p_2 \log p_3,$$

where N is a sufficiently large odd integer and $(l, k) = 1$. In [8] the Hardy–Littlewood circle method and the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem were applied, as well as some arguments belonging to H. Mikawa.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 11N36.

It would be interesting to prove that there exist infinitely many arithmetic progressions of three different primes such that for two of them, p_1 and p_2 , say, both the numbers $p_1 + 2$, $p_2 + 2$ are almost-primes. In the present paper we study this problem. Our main tool is a result of Bombieri–Vinogradov’s type which we establish using the method developed in [8].

Let x be a sufficiently large real number and k_1, k_2 be odd integers. Denote by $D_{k_1, k_2}(x)$ the number of solutions of the equation

$$(1) \quad p_1 + p_2 = 2p_3$$

in primes p_1, p_2, p_3 such that

$$(2) \quad x < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq 3x$$

and

$$p_1 + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k_1}, \quad p_2 + 2 \equiv 0 \pmod{k_2}.$$

Let us also define

$$\gamma(x) = \sum_{\substack{x < m_1, m_2, m_3 \leq 3x \\ m_1 + m_2 = 2m_3}} \frac{1}{\log m_1 \log m_2 \log m_3}, \quad \sigma_0 = 2 \prod_{p > 2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}\right).$$

We prove the following

THEOREM. *For each $A > 0$ there exists $B = B(A) > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq \sqrt{x}/(\log x)^B \\ (k_1 k_2, 2) = 1}} \left| D_{k_1, k_2}(x) - \frac{\sigma_0 \gamma(x)}{\varphi(k_1) \varphi(k_2)} \prod_{p | (k_1, k_2)} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \right| \ll \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}.$$

For squarefree odd k we define $J_k(x)$ as the number of solutions of the equation (1) in primes satisfying (2) and such that

$$(p_1 + 2)(p_2 + 2) \equiv 0 \pmod{k}.$$

The Theorem stated above implies

COROLLARY 1. *For each $A > 0$ there exists $B = B(A) > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{k \leq \sqrt{x}/(\log x)^B \\ (k, 2) = 1}} \mu^2(k) \left| J_k(x) - \sigma_0 \gamma(x) \prod_{p|k} \frac{2p-5}{(p-1)(p-2)} \right| \ll \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}.$$

REMARK. We shall prove the Theorem and Corollary 1 with $B = 16A + 100$.

Using Corollary 1 we get

COROLLARY 2. *There exist infinitely many triples p_1, p_2, p_3 of distinct primes such that $p_1 + p_2 = 2p_3$ and $(p_1 + 2)(p_2 + 2) = P_9$.*

2. Notations. Let x be a sufficiently large real number and A a positive constant. The constants in \mathcal{O} -terms and \ll -symbols are absolute or depend only on A . We shall denote by $m, n, d, d_1, d_2, a, q, k, k_1, k_2, l, r, h, f$ integers, by p, p_1, p_2, p_3 prime numbers and by y, z, t, α real numbers. As usual $\mu(n), \varphi(n)$ denote Möbius's function and Euler's function; $\tau_k(n)$ is the number of integer solutions of the equation $d_1 \dots d_k = n$; $\tau(n) = \tau_2(n)$. We denote by (m, n) and $[m, n]$ the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple of m and n , respectively. For real y, z , however, (y, z) denotes the open interval on the real line with endpoints y and z . The meaning is always clear from the context. Instead of $m \equiv n \pmod{k}$ we shall write for simplicity $m \equiv n(k)$. We shall also use the notation $e(t) = \exp(2\pi it)$. The letter c denotes some positive real number, not the same in all appearances. This convention allows us to write

$$(\log t)e^{-c\sqrt{\log t}} \ll e^{-c\sqrt{\log t}},$$

for example.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} H &= \frac{\sqrt{x}}{(\log x)^{16A+100}}, & Q &= (\log x)^{4A+20}, & \tau &= xQ^{-1}, \\ E_1 &= \bigcup_{q \leq Q} \bigcup_{\substack{a=0 \\ (a,q)=1}}^{q-1} \left(\frac{a}{q} - \frac{1}{q\tau}, \frac{a}{q} + \frac{1}{q\tau} \right), & E_2 &= \left(-\frac{1}{\tau}, 1 - \frac{1}{\tau} \right) \setminus E_1, \\ (3) \quad S_k(\alpha) &= \sum_{\substack{x < p \leq 3x \\ p \equiv -2(k)}} e(\alpha p), & S(\alpha) &= S_1(\alpha), & V(\alpha) &= \sum_{x < m \leq 3x} \frac{e(\alpha m)}{\log m}, \\ \mathcal{E} &= \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq H \\ (k_1 k_2, 2)=1}} \sum_{\substack{[k_1, k_2]=k \\ k_1 | M_1 \\ k_2 | M_2}} \left| D_{k_1, k_2}(x) - \frac{\sigma_0 \gamma(x)}{\varphi(k_1) \varphi(k_2)} \prod_{p | (k_1, k_2)} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \right|. \end{aligned}$$

3. Proof of the corollaries. Suppose that $\mu^2(k) = 1$ and M_1, M_2 are integers. The following identity holds:

$$(4) \quad \mu(k) \sum_{\substack{[k_1, k_2]=k \\ k_1 | M_1 \\ k_2 | M_2}} \mu(k_1) \mu(k_2) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k | M_1 M_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } k \nmid M_1 M_2. \end{cases}$$

A similar identity has been stated in [1, Lemma 8]. For convenience we present a short proof.

If $k \nmid M_1 M_2$ the equality (4) is obvious. Suppose that $k \mid M_1 M_2$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(k) \sum_{\substack{[k_1, k_2]=k \\ k_1 \mid M_1 \\ k_2 \mid M_2}} \mu(k_1)\mu(k_2) &= \mu(k) \sum_{\substack{k_1 \mid k \\ k_1 \mid M_1}} \sum_{\substack{d \mid k_1 \\ kd/k_1 \mid M_2}} \mu(k_1)\mu\left(\frac{kd}{k_1}\right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k_1 \mid (M_1, k) \\ M_2 k_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k}}} \sum_{d \mid (k_1, M_2 k_1/k)} \mu(d) = \sum_{\substack{k_1 \mid (M_1, k) \\ M_2 k_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{k} \\ (k_1, M_2 k_1/k)=1}} 1 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

since the only integer which satisfies the conditions imposed in the last sum is $k_1 = k/(k, M_2)$. This completes the proof of (4).

Using (4) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (5) \quad J_k(x) &= \sum_{\substack{x < p_1, p_2, p_3 \leq 3x \\ p_1 + p_2 = 2p_3}} \mu(k) \sum_{\substack{[k_1, k_2]=k \\ k_1 \mid (p_1 + 2) \\ k_2 \mid (p_2 + 2)}} \mu(k_1)\mu(k_2) \\ &= \mu(k) \sum_{[k_1, k_2]=k} \mu(k_1)\mu(k_2) D_{k_1, k_2}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $\mu^2(k) = \mu^2(l) = 1$ and $(k, 2) = (l, 2) = 1$. We define

$$t(l) = \prod_{p \mid l} \frac{p-1}{p-2}, \quad \varrho(l) = \frac{\mu(l)t(l)}{\varphi(l)}$$

and

$$(6) \quad L(k) = \mu(k) \sum_{[k_1, k_2]=k} \frac{\mu(k_1)\mu(k_2)}{\varphi(k_1)\varphi(k_2)} t((k_1, k_2)).$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} L(k) &= \frac{\mu(k)}{t(k)} \sum_{[k_1, k_2]=k} \frac{\mu(k_1)\mu(k_2)t(k_1)t(k_2)}{\varphi(k_1)\varphi(k_2)} = \frac{\mu(k)}{t(k)} \sum_{[k_1, k_2]=k} \varrho(k_1)\varrho(k_2) \\ &= \frac{\mu(k)}{t(k)} \sum_{k_1 \mid k} \sum_{d \mid k_1} \varrho(k_1)\varrho\left(\frac{kd}{k_1}\right) = \frac{\mu(k)\varrho(k)}{t(k)} \sum_{k_1 \mid k} \sum_{d \mid k_1} \varrho(d) \\ &= \frac{\mu(k)\varrho(k)}{t(k)} \sum_{d \mid k} \varrho(d)\tau\left(\frac{k}{d}\right) = \frac{\mu(k)\varrho(k)\tau(k)}{t(k)} \sum_{d \mid k} \frac{\varrho(d)}{\tau(d)} \\ &= \frac{\mu(k)\varrho(k)\tau(k)}{t(k)} \prod_{p \mid k} \left(1 + \frac{\varrho(p)}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the definitions of $\varrho(l)$ and $t(l)$ we easily compute

$$(7) \quad L(k) = \prod_{p|k} \frac{2p-5}{(p-1)(p-2)}.$$

Now we apply (3), (5)–(7) and the Theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{k \leq H \\ (k,2)=1}} \mu^2(k) \left| J_k(x) - \sigma_0 \gamma(x) \prod_{p|k} \frac{2p-5}{(p-1)(p-2)} \right| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{k \leq H \\ (k,2)=1}} \mu^2(k) \\ & \quad \times \left| \mu(k) \sum_{[k_1, k_2]=k} \mu(k_1) \mu(k_2) \left(D_{k_1, k_2}(x) - \frac{\sigma_0 \gamma(x)}{\varphi(k_1) \varphi(k_2)} \prod_{p|(k_1, k_2)} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \right) \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq H \\ (k_1 k_2, 2)=1}} \left| D_{k_1, k_2}(x) - \frac{\sigma_0 \gamma(x)}{\varphi(k_1) \varphi(k_2)} \prod_{p|(k_1, k_2)} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \right| \ll \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 1 is proved.

Consider the sequence

$$\mathcal{A} = \{(p_1 + 2)(p_2 + 2) \mid x < p_1, p_2 \leq 3x, (p_1 + p_2)/2 \text{ prime}\}$$

and let \mathcal{B} be the set of odd primes. Define

$$X = \sigma_0 \gamma(x), \quad \omega(k) = k \prod_{p|k} \frac{2p-5}{(p-1)(p-2)}.$$

We apply Theorem 10.3 of [3] choosing $\kappa = 2$, $\alpha = 1/4$, $\mu = 4.1$, $\zeta = 0.4$. It is clear that we may get rid the extra factor $3^{\nu(d)}$ in the condition $R(\kappa, \alpha)$ using, for example, the Cauchy inequality. We obtain

$$|\{P_9 : P_9 \in \mathcal{A}\}| \gg \frac{x^2}{\log^5 x}.$$

Since the contribution of the terms for which $p_1 = p_2$ is at most $\mathcal{O}(x)$, the last estimate proves Corollary 2.

4. Proof of the Theorem. It is clear that

$$D_{k_1, k_2}(x) = \int_{-1/\tau}^{1-1/\tau} S_{k_1}(\alpha) S_{k_2}(\alpha) S(-2\alpha) d\alpha = D_{k_1, k_2}^{(1)}(x) + D_{k_1, k_2}^{(2)}(x),$$

where

$$D_{k_1, k_2}^{(i)}(x) = \int_{E_i} S_{k_1}(\alpha) S_{k_2}(\alpha) S(-2\alpha) d\alpha, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Consequently,

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{E} \leq \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2,$$

where

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{E}_1 = \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq H \\ (k_1 k_2, 2)=1}} \left| D_{k_1, k_2}^{(1)}(x) - \frac{\sigma_0 \gamma(x)}{\varphi(k_1) \varphi(k_2)} \prod_{p|(k_1, k_2)} \frac{p-1}{p-2} \right|,$$

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{E}_2 = \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq H \\ (k_1 k_2, 2)=1}} |D_{k_1, k_2}^{(2)}(x)|.$$

The proof of the Theorem follows from (3), (8)–(10) and from the inequalities

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \ll \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}, \quad \mathcal{E}_2 \ll \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}.$$

4.1. The estimate of \mathcal{E}_1 . We have

$$(11) \quad D_{k_1, k_2}^{(1)}(x) = \sum_{q \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{a=0 \\ (a, q)=1}}^{q-1} I(a, q),$$

where

$$(12) \quad I(a, q) = \int_{-1/(q\tau)}^{1/(q\tau)} S_{k_1}\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right) S_{k_2}\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right) S\left(-2\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right)\right) d\alpha.$$

If

$$(13) \quad q \leq Q, \quad (a, q) = 1, \quad |\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{q\tau}$$

then we have

$$(14) \quad S\left(-2\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right)\right) = \frac{h(q)}{\varphi(q)} V(-2\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(xe^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}),$$

where

$$h(q) = \sum_{\substack{m=1 \\ (m, q)=1}}^q e\left(\frac{2m}{q}\right) = \frac{\mu\left(\frac{q}{(q, 2)}\right)}{\varphi\left(\frac{q}{(q, 2)}\right)} \cdot \varphi(q)$$

(the proof is similar to that of [6, Lemma 3, X]).

Consider $S_k(a/q + \alpha)$ for a, q, α satisfying (13). We are not able to find an asymptotic formula for that sum for a particular large k (unless we use

some hypotheses which have not been proved yet). We shall find, however, an asymptotic formula with an error term which is small on average.

We have

$$(15) \quad S_k \left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha \right) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq q \\ (m, q) = 1 \\ m \equiv -2 \pmod{(k, q)}}} e \left(\frac{am}{q} \right) T(\alpha),$$

where

$$T(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{x < p \leq 3x \\ p \equiv -2 \pmod{k} \\ p \equiv m \pmod{q}}} e(\alpha p).$$

Using the elementary theory of congruences one may easily prove that if the integers k, m, q satisfy $(k, 2) = (m, q) = 1$ and $m \equiv -2 \pmod{(k, q)}$ then there exists an integer $f = f(k, m, q)$ such that $(f, [k, q]) = 1$ and such that for any integer n the congruence $n \equiv f \pmod{[k, q]}$ is equivalent to the system $n \equiv -2 \pmod{k}, n \equiv m \pmod{q}$. Hence we have

$$T(\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{x < p \leq 3x \\ p \equiv f \pmod{[k, q]}}} e(\alpha p).$$

We define

$$\Delta(t, h) = \max_{y \leq t} \max_{(l, h) = 1} \left| \sum_{\substack{p \leq y \\ p \equiv l \pmod{h}}} \log p - \frac{y}{\varphi(h)} \right|.$$

Using Abel's formula we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T(\alpha) &= - \int_x^{3x} \left(\sum_{\substack{x < p \leq t \\ p \equiv f \pmod{[k, q]}}} \log p \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{e(\alpha t)}{\log t} \right) dt + \left(\sum_{\substack{x < p \leq 3x \\ p \equiv f \pmod{[k, q]}}} \log p \right) \frac{e(3\alpha x)}{\log 3x} \\ &= - \int_x^{3x} \left(\frac{t-x}{\varphi([k, q])} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta(3x, [k, q])) \right) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{e(\alpha t)}{\log t} \right) dt \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{2x}{\varphi([k, q])} + \mathcal{O}(\Delta(3x, [k, q])) \right) \frac{e(3\alpha x)}{\log 3x} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi([k, q])} \left(- \int_x^{3x} (t-x) \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{e(\alpha t)}{\log t} \right) dt + 2x \frac{e(3\alpha x)}{\log 3x} \right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}((1 + |\alpha|x)\Delta(3x, [k, q])). \end{aligned}$$

We integrate by parts, then use (13) and the well-known formula

$$\int_x^{3x} \frac{e(\alpha t)}{\log t} dt = V(\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(1)$$

to get

$$T(\alpha) = \frac{V(\alpha)}{\varphi([k, q])} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{Q}{q} \Delta(3x, [k, q])\right).$$

We substitute this expression for $T(\alpha)$ in (15) and we find that under the condition (13) we have

$$(16) \quad S_k\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right) = \frac{c_k(a, q)}{\varphi([k, q])} V(\alpha) + \mathcal{O}(Q \Delta(3x, [k, q])),$$

where

$$(17) \quad c_k(a, q) = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq q \\ (m, q) = 1 \\ m \equiv -2 \pmod{(k, q)}}} e\left(\frac{am}{q}\right).$$

An explicit formula for the quantity $c_k(a, q)$ is found in [7, p. 218]. It implies that

$$(18) \quad |c_k(a, q)| \leq 1.$$

Furthermore, we shall use the trivial estimates

$$(19) \quad \left| S_k\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right) \right| \ll \frac{x}{k}, \quad |V(\alpha)| \ll \frac{x}{\log x}, \quad |h(q)| \ll 1.$$

From (14), (16), (18), (19) and the well-known estimate $\varphi(n) \gg n(\log \log n)^{-1}$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} & S_{k_1}\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right) S_{k_2}\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right) S\left(-2\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right)\right) \\ &= S_{k_1}\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right) S_{k_2}\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right) \frac{h(q)}{\varphi(q)} V(-2\alpha) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^3}{k_1 k_2} e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}\right) \\ &= S_{k_1}\left(\frac{a}{q} + \alpha\right) \frac{h(q)}{\varphi(q)} \cdot \frac{c_{k_2}(a, q)}{\varphi([k_2, q])} V(\alpha) V(-2\alpha) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{Qx^2}{qk_1} \Delta(3x, [k_2, q])\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^3}{k_1 k_2} e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}\right) \\ &= \frac{h(q) c_{k_1}(a, q) c_{k_2}(a, q)}{\varphi(q) \varphi([k_1, q]) \varphi([k_2, q])} V^2(\alpha) V(-2\alpha) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^3}{k_1 k_2} e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}\right) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{Qx^2}{qk_2} \Delta(3x, [k_1, q])\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{Qx^2}{qk_1} \Delta(3x, [k_2, q])\right). \end{aligned}$$

For the integral $I(a, q)$ defined by (12), we find

$$(20) \quad I(a, q) = \frac{h(q)c_{k_1}(a, q)c_{k_2}(a, q)}{\varphi(q)\varphi([k_1, q])\varphi([k_2, q])} \int_{-1/(q\tau)}^{1/(q\tau)} V^2(\alpha)V(-2\alpha) d\alpha \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{xQ^2}{k_2q^2}\Delta(3x, [k_1, q])\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{xQ^2}{k_1q^2}\Delta(3x, [k_2, q])\right) \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{k_1k_2}e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}\right).$$

We also have

$$(21) \quad \int_{-1/(q\tau)}^{1/(q\tau)} V^2(\alpha)V(-2\alpha) d\alpha = \gamma(x) + \mathcal{O}(q^2\tau^2)$$

(the proof is analogous to that in [6, Lemma 4, X]). Using (18)–(21) we find that

$$(22) \quad I(a, q) = \frac{h(q)c_{k_1}(a, q)c_{k_2}(a, q)}{\varphi(q)\varphi([k_1, q])\varphi([k_2, q])}\gamma(x) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{q^2\tau^2}{\varphi(q)\varphi([k_1, q])\varphi([k_2, q])}\right) \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{xQ^2}{k_2q^2}\Delta(3x, [k_1, q])\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{xQ^2}{k_1q^2}\Delta(3x, [k_2, q])\right) \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{k_1k_2}e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}\right).$$

Set

$$(23) \quad b_{k_1, k_2}(q) = \sum_{\substack{a=0 \\ (a, q)=1}}^{q-1} c_{k_1}(a, q)c_{k_2}(a, q),$$

$$(24) \quad \lambda_{k_1, k_2}(q) = \frac{h(q)b_{k_1, k_2}(q)\varphi((k_1, q))\varphi((k_2, q))}{\varphi^3(q)}.$$

From (11), (22)–(24) and the well-known formula

$$\varphi([k, q])\varphi((k, q)) = \varphi(k)\varphi(q)$$

we get

$$(25) \quad D_{k_1, k_2}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{\gamma(x)}{\varphi(k_1)\varphi(k_2)} \sum_{q \leq Q} \lambda_{k_1, k_2}(q) + \mathcal{O}\left(\tau^2(\log x) \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{q^2}{[k_1, q][k_2, q]}\right) \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(xQ^2 \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{\Delta(3x, [k_1, q])}{k_2q}\right) \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(xQ^2 \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{\Delta(3x, [k_2, q])}{k_1q}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{k_1k_2}e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}\right).$$

Consider the function $b_{k_1, k_2}(q)$. From (18) and (23) we have

$$(26) \quad |b_{k_1, k_2}(q)| \leq \varphi(q).$$

It is not difficult to see that $b_{k_1, k_2}(q)$ is multiplicative with respect to q and that for prime p we have

$$(27) \quad b_{k_1, k_2}(p) = \begin{cases} p-1 & \text{if } p \nmid k_1, p \nmid k_2, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \mid k_1, p \nmid k_2, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \nmid k_1, p \mid k_2, \\ -1 & \text{if } p \mid k_1, p \mid k_2. \end{cases}$$

We also have $b_{k_1, k_2}(4) = 0$. Therefore the function $\lambda_{k_1, k_2}(q)$ defined by (24) is multiplicative with respect to q and $\lambda_{k_1, k_2}(p^l) = 0$ if $l \geq 2$. We apply Euler's identity (see [4, Theorem 286]) and also (19), (26), (27) and the definition of σ_0 . After some calculations we get

$$(28) \quad \sum_{q \leq Q} \lambda_{k_1, k_2}(q) = \sigma_0 \prod_{p \mid (k_1, k_2)} \frac{p-1}{p-2} + \mathcal{O}\left(\sum_{q > Q} \frac{(k_1, q)(k_2, q)}{\varphi^2(q)}\right).$$

From (25), (28) and the trivial estimate

$$\gamma(x) \ll \frac{x^2}{\log^3 x}$$

we obtain

$$(29) \quad D_{k_1, k_2}^{(1)}(x) = \frac{\sigma_0 \gamma(x)}{\varphi(k_1) \varphi(k_2)} \prod_{p \mid (k_1, k_2)} \frac{p-1}{p-2} + \mathcal{O}\left(x^2 \sum_{q > Q} \frac{(k_1, q)(k_2, q) \log q}{k_1 k_2 q^2}\right) \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(x Q^2 \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{\Delta(3x, [k_1, q])}{k_2 q}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(x Q^2 \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{\Delta(3x, [k_2, q])}{k_1 q}\right) \\ + \mathcal{O}\left(\tau^2 (\log x) \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{q^2}{[k_1, q][k_2, q]}\right) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x^2}{k_1 k_2} e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}}\right).$$

Using (9) and (29) we find

$$(30) \quad \mathcal{E}_1 \ll x Q^2 \Sigma_1 + \tau^2 (\log x) \Sigma_2 + x^2 \Sigma_3 + x^2 e^{-c\sqrt{\log x}},$$

where

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \leq H} \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{\Delta(3x, [k_2, q])}{k_1 q}, \quad \Sigma_2 = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \leq H} \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{q^2}{[k_1, q][k_2, q]}, \\ \Sigma_3 = \sum_{k_1, k_2 \leq H} \sum_{q > Q} \frac{(k_1, q)(k_2, q) \log q}{k_1 k_2 q^2}.$$

Consider Σ_1 . We have

$$\Sigma_1 \ll (\log x) \sum_{k \leq H} \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{\Delta(3x, [k, q])}{q} = (\log x) \sum_{h \leq HQ} \Delta(3x, h) \eta(h),$$

where

$$\eta(h) = \sum_{\substack{k \leq H \\ [k, q] = h}} \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{1}{q} = \sum_{d \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{k \leq H \\ [k, q] = h \\ (k, q) = d}} \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{1}{q} \leq \sum_{d \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{q \leq Q \\ q \equiv 0 \pmod{d}}} \frac{1}{q} \ll \log^2 x.$$

Hence

$$\Sigma_1 \ll (\log^3 x) \sum_{h \leq HQ} \Delta(3x, h).$$

Now we use the definitions of H , Q and the Bombieri–Vinogradov theorem (see [2, Chapter 28], for example) and we find

$$(31) \quad \Sigma_1 \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{12A+72}}.$$

We now treat Σ_2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_2 &= \sum_{d_1, d_2 \leq Q} d_1 d_2 \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq H \\ (k_1, q) = d_1 \\ (k_2, q) = d_2}} \sum_{q \leq Q} \frac{1}{k_1 k_2} \\ &\leq Q \sum_{d_1, d_2 \leq Q} \frac{d_1 d_2}{[d_1, d_2]} \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq H \\ k_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{d_1} \\ k_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{d_2}}} \frac{1}{k_1 k_2} \ll Q (\log^2 x) \Sigma^*, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(32) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma^* &= \sum_{d_1, d_2 \leq Q} \frac{1}{[d_1, d_2]} = \sum_{d \leq Q} \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq Q \\ (d_1, d_2) = d}} \frac{d}{d_1 d_2} \\ &\leq \sum_{d \leq Q} \frac{1}{d} \sum_{d_1 \leq Q/d} \frac{1}{d_1} \sum_{d_2 \leq Q/d} \frac{1}{d_2} \ll \log^3 x. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(33) \quad \Sigma_2 \ll Q \log^5 x.$$

To complete the estimate of \mathcal{E}_1 we have to consider Σ_3 . Obviously

$$(34) \quad \Sigma_3 = \sum_{d_1, d_2 \leq H} d_1 d_2 \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq H \\ (q, k_1) = d_1 \\ (q, k_2) = d_2}} \sum_{q > Q} \frac{\log q}{k_1 k_2 q^2} = \Sigma_4 + \Sigma_5,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_4 &= \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq H \\ [d_1, d_2] > Q}} d_1 d_2 \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq H \\ (q, k_1) = d_1 \\ (q, k_2) = d_2}} \sum_{q > Q} \frac{\log q}{k_1 k_2 q^2}, \\ \Sigma_5 &= \sum_{[d_1, d_2] \leq Q} d_1 d_2 \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq H \\ (q, k_1) = d_1 \\ (q, k_2) = d_2}} \sum_{q > Q} \frac{\log q}{k_1 k_2 q^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (35) \quad \Sigma_4 &\ll \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq H \\ [d_1, d_2] > Q}} d_1 d_2 \sum_{\substack{k_1, k_2 \leq H \\ k_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{d_1} \\ k_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{d_2}}} \frac{1}{k_1 k_2} \sum_{q > Q/[d_1, d_2]} \frac{\log(q[d_1, d_2])}{q^2 [d_1, d_2]^2} \\ &\ll (\log x) \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_2 \leq H \\ [d_1, d_2] > Q}} \frac{1}{[d_1, d_2]^2} \sum_{k_1 \leq H/d_1} \frac{1}{k_1} \sum_{k_2 \leq H/d_2} \frac{1}{k_2} \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 + \log q)}{q^2} \\ &\ll (\log^3 x) \sum_{h > Q} \frac{1}{h^2} \sum_{[d_1, d_2] = h} 1 \ll (\log^3 x) \sum_{h > Q} \frac{\tau_3(h)}{h^2} \ll \frac{\log^4 x}{Q}. \end{aligned}$$

For the sum Σ_5 we find

$$\Sigma_5 \ll (\log^3 x) \sum_{[d_1, d_2] \leq Q} \frac{1}{[d_1, d_2]^2} \sum_{q > Q/[d_1, d_2]} \frac{\log q}{q^2} \ll \frac{\log^4 x}{Q} \cdot \Sigma^*$$

where Σ^* is defined by (32). Consequently,

$$(36) \quad \Sigma_5 \ll \frac{\log^7 x}{Q}.$$

Finally, combining (30), (31), (33)–(36) and using the definitions of Q and τ we get

$$\mathcal{E}_1 \ll \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}.$$

4.2. The estimate of \mathcal{E}_2 . It is clear that

$$\mathcal{E}_2 \leq \sum_{k_1, k_2 \leq H} \left| \int_{E_2} S_{k_1}(\alpha) S_{k_2}(\alpha) S(-2\alpha) d\alpha \right|.$$

Using the definition of $S_{k_2}(\alpha)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{E}_2 &\leq \sum_{k_1, k_2 \leq H} \sum_{\substack{x < p \leq 3x \\ p \equiv -2 \pmod{k_2}}} \left| \int_{E_2} S_{k_1}(\alpha) S(-2\alpha) e(\alpha p) d\alpha \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k_1, k_2 \leq H} \sum_{\substack{(x+2)/k_2 < r \leq (3x+2)/k_2 \\ r k_2 = n}} \left| \int_{E_2} S_{k_1}(\alpha) S(-2\alpha) e(-2\alpha) e(\alpha r k_2) d\alpha \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k_1 \leq H} \sum_{n \leq 3x+2} \left(\sum_{k_2 \leq H} \sum_{\substack{(x+2)/k_2 < r \leq (3x+2)/k_2 \\ r k_2 = n}} 1 \right) \\
 &\quad \times \left| \int_{E_2} S_{k_1}(\alpha) S(-2\alpha) e(-2\alpha) e(\alpha n) d\alpha \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{k \leq H} \sum_{n \leq 3x+2} \tau(n) \left| \int_{E_2} S_k(\alpha) S(-2\alpha) e(-2\alpha) e(\alpha n) d\alpha \right|.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Cauchy's inequality we get

$$\mathcal{E}_2 \leq \left(\sum_{k \leq H} \sum_{n \leq 3x+2} \frac{\tau^2(n)}{k} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{k \leq H} k \sum_{n \leq 3x+2} \left| \int_{-1/\tau}^{1-1/\tau} f(\alpha) e(\alpha n) d\alpha \right|^2 \right)^{1/2},$$

where

$$f(\alpha) = \begin{cases} S_k(\alpha) S(-2\alpha) e(-2\alpha) & \text{if } \alpha \in E_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in E_1. \end{cases}$$

We now apply Bessel's inequality to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 (37) \quad \mathcal{E}_2 &\ll x^{1/2} (\log^2 x) \left(\sum_{k \leq H} k \int_{E_2} |S_k(\alpha) S(2\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \\
 &\leq x^{1/2} (\log^2 x) \left(\sum_{k \leq H} k \sum_{\substack{x < p_1, p_2 \leq 3x \\ p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv -2 \pmod{k}}} \left| \int_{E_2} |S(2\alpha)|^2 e((p_1 - p_2)\alpha) d\alpha \right| \right)^{1/2} \\
 &= x^{1/2} (\log^2 x) \Sigma^{1/2}, \quad \text{say.}
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (38) \quad \Sigma &= \sum_{k \leq H} k \sum_{\substack{|r| \leq 2x \\ r \equiv 0 \pmod{k}}} \left(\sum_{\substack{x < p_1, p_2 \leq 3x \\ p_1 \equiv p_2 \equiv -2 \pmod{k} \\ p_1 - p_2 = r}} 1 \right) \left| \int_{E_2} |S(2\alpha)|^2 e(\alpha r) d\alpha \right| \\
 &\ll x \sum_{k \leq H} \sum_{\substack{|r| \leq 2x \\ r \equiv 0 \pmod{k}}} \left| \int_{E_2} |S(2\alpha)|^2 e(\alpha r) d\alpha \right| \\
 &= x(\Sigma' + \Sigma''),
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Sigma' = \sum_{k \leq H} \left(\int_{E_2} |S(2\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right),$$

$$\Sigma'' = \sum_{k \leq H} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |r| \leq 2x \\ r \equiv 0 \pmod{k}}} \left| \int_{E_2} |S(2\alpha)|^2 e(\alpha r) d\alpha \right|.$$

Obviously

$$(39) \quad \Sigma' \leq H \int_0^1 |S(2\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \ll \frac{Hx}{\log x}.$$

By the Cauchy inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma'' &\ll \sum_{k \leq H} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq r \leq 2x \\ r \equiv 0 \pmod{k}}} \left| \int_{E_2} |S(2\alpha)|^2 e(r\alpha) d\alpha \right| \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq r \leq 2x} \left(\sum_{\substack{k \leq H \\ k|r}} 1 \right) \left| \int_{E_2} |S(2\alpha)|^2 e(r\alpha) d\alpha \right| \\ &\ll \sum_{1 \leq r \leq 2x} \tau(r) \left| \int_{E_2} |S(2\alpha)|^2 e(r\alpha) d\alpha \right| \\ &\ll \left(\sum_{1 \leq r \leq 2x} \tau^2(r) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{1 \leq r \leq 2x} \left| \int_{-1/\tau}^{1-1/\tau} g(\alpha) e(r\alpha) d\alpha \right|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$g(\alpha) = \begin{cases} |S(2\alpha)|^2 & \text{if } \alpha \in E_2, \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \in E_1. \end{cases}$$

We again apply the Bessel inequality to obtain

$$(40) \quad \begin{aligned} \Sigma'' &\ll x^{1/2} (\log x)^{3/2} \left(\int_{E_2} |S(2\alpha)|^4 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll x^{1/2} (\log x)^{3/2} \sup_{\alpha \in E_2} |S(2\alpha)| \left(\int_0^1 |S(2\alpha)|^2 d\alpha \right)^{1/2} \\ &\ll x (\log x) \sup_{\alpha \in E_2} |S(2\alpha)|. \end{aligned}$$

Using the definitions of Q , τ and E_2 we can prove in the same way as in [6, Theorem 3, X] that

$$(41) \quad \sup_{\alpha \in E_2} |S(2\alpha)| \ll \frac{x}{(\log x)^{2A+7}}.$$

From (37)–(41) we obtain

$$\mathcal{E}_2 \ll \frac{x^2}{(\log x)^A}.$$

The Theorem is proved.

Finally, the authors would like to thank the Ministry of Science and Education of Bulgaria for financial support under grant MM–430.

References

- [1] J. Brüdern and E. Fouvry, *Lagrange's Four Squares Theorem with almost prime variables*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 454 (1994), 59–96.
- [2] H. Davenport, *Multiplicative Number Theory* (revised by H. Montgomery), 2nd ed., Springer, 1980.
- [3] H. Halberstam and H.-E. Richert, *Sieve Methods*, Academic Press, London, 1974.
- [4] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, 5th ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1979.
- [5] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Three primes and an almost-prime in arithmetic progression*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 23 (1981), 396–414.
- [6] A. A. Karatsuba, *Principles of Analytic Number Theory*, Nauka, Moscow, 1983 (in Russian).
- [7] H. Maier and C. Pomerance, *Unusually large gaps between consecutive primes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 322 (1990), 201–237.
- [8] D. I. Tolev, *On the number of representations of an odd integer as a sum of three primes, one of which belongs to an arithmetic progression*, Proc. Steklov Math. Inst., to appear.
- [9] J. G. van der Corput, *Über Summen von Primzahlen und Primzahlquadraten*, Math. Ann. 116 (1939), 1–50.
- [10] I. M. Vinogradov, *Representation of an odd number as a sum of three primes*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 15 (1937), 169–172 (in Russian).

Department of Mathematics
Plovdiv University “P. Hilendarski”
“Tsar Asen” 24
Plovdiv 4000, Bulgaria
E-mail: tpeneva@ulcc.uni-plovdiv.bg
dtolev@ulcc.uni-plovdiv.bg

Received on 24.3.1997

(3150)