

## Riemann–Hurwitz formula in basic $\mathbb{Z}_S$ -extensions

by

YI OUYANG (Hefei and Minneapolis, Minn.) and FEI XU (Hefei)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $p$  be a prime number and  $\mathbb{F}$  be a CM-field. Let  $\mathbb{F}_\infty$  be the cyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{F}$ . For every  $n$ , we have a unique subextension  $\mathbb{F}_n$  of degree  $p^n$  over  $\mathbb{F}$  in  $\mathbb{F}_\infty$ . We denote by  $\mathbb{F}^+$  the maximal real subfield of  $\mathbb{F}$ , and let  $h_n^-$  be the relative class number of  $\mathbb{F}_n/\mathbb{F}_n^+$ . Then we have a well known result:

$$\text{ord}_p(h_n^-) = \mu^- p^n + \lambda^- n + \nu^-,$$

$\mu^- \geq 0$ ,  $\lambda^- \geq 0$ , and  $\nu^-$  are integers, when  $n$  is sufficiently large.

Let  $\mathbb{E}$  be a CM-field and a  $p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{F}$ . Under the assumption  $\mu_{\mathbb{F}}^- = 0$ , Y. Kida ([5]) proved a striking analogue of the classical Riemann–Hurwitz genus formula from the theory of compact Riemann surfaces, by describing the behavior of  $\lambda^-$  in the  $p$ -extension. His result can be stated as follows:

**THEOREM 0** (see [8, Theorem 4.1]).  $\mu_{\mathbb{F}}^- = 0$  if and only if  $\mu_{\mathbb{E}}^- = 0$ , and when this is the case,

$$\lambda_{\mathbb{E}}^- - \delta_{\mathbb{E}} = [\mathbb{E}_\infty : \mathbb{F}_\infty](\lambda_{\mathbb{F}}^- - \delta_{\mathbb{F}}) + \sum_{\omega'} (e(\omega'/\nu') - 1) - \sum_{\omega} (e(\omega/\nu) - 1),$$

where the summation is taken over all places  $\omega'$  on  $\mathbb{E}_\infty$  (resp.  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{E}_\infty^+$ ) which do not lie above  $p$  and  $\nu' = \omega'|_{\mathbb{F}_\infty}$  (resp.  $\nu = \omega|_{\mathbb{F}_\infty^+}$ ),  $e(\omega/\nu)$  (resp.  $e(\omega'/\nu')$ ) is the ramification index of  $\omega$  (resp.  $\omega'$ ) over  $\nu$  (resp.  $\nu'$ ) and  $\delta_{\mathbb{E}} = 1$  or 0 (resp.  $\delta_{\mathbb{F}} = 1$  or 0) according as  $\mathbb{E}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{F}$ ) contains  $\zeta_p$  (or  $\zeta_4$  if  $p = 2$ ) or not.

There are several ways to prove this result. K. Iwasawa ([4]) showed us a proof by using Galois cohomology. W. Sinnott ([8]) gave a proof by using the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function and J. Satoh ([6]) obtained it by using the theory of  $\Gamma$ -transforms of rational functions. In this paper, we generalize the above result to basic  $\mathbb{Z}_S$ -extensions when  $\mathbb{E}$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  are abelian.

Let  $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_s\}$  be a finite set of primes,  $\mathbb{Z}_S = \prod_{l \in S} \mathbb{Z}_l$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_S$  be the  $\mathbb{Z}_S$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\mathbb{F}_S = \mathbb{F}\mathbb{Q}_S$  is called the *basic  $\mathbb{Z}_S$ -extension*

of  $\mathbb{F}$ . Let  $N = p_1^{n_1} \dots p_s^{n_s}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_N$  be the unique subextension of degree  $N$  of  $\mathbb{F}_S$ . Let  $h_N^-$  denote the relative class number of  $\mathbb{F}_N/\mathbb{F}_N^+$ . From a theorem of E. Friedman ([2]), when  $\mathbb{F}$  is an imaginary abelian number field, we have

$$\text{ord}_{p_i}(h_N^-) = \lambda^-(p_i, S)n_i + \nu^-(p_i, S),$$

where all  $n_i$  are sufficiently large and  $p_i \in S$ .

In this paper, using the relationship between  $\lambda^-(p_i, S)$  and the  $\lambda$ -invariant of the Dirichlet character of  $\mathbb{F}$ , we obtain the following main result.

**THEOREM 1.** *For fixed  $p \in S$ , let  $\mathbb{E}$  and  $\mathbb{F}$  be imaginary abelian number fields and  $\mathbb{E}$  be a  $p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{F}$ . We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_{\mathbb{E}}^-(p, S) - \delta_{\mathbb{E}} &= [\mathbb{E}_S : \mathbb{F}_S](\lambda_{\mathbb{F}}^-(p, S) - \delta_{\mathbb{F}}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\omega'} (e(\omega'/\nu') - 1) - \sum_{\omega} (e(\omega/\nu) - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where the summation is taken over all places  $\omega'$  on  $\mathbb{E}_S$  (resp.  $\omega$  on  $\mathbb{F}_S^+$ ) which do not lie above  $p$  and  $\nu' = \omega'|_{\mathbb{F}_S}$  (resp.  $\nu = \omega|_{\mathbb{F}_S^+}$ ), and  $e(\omega/\nu)$  (resp.  $e(\omega'/\nu')$ ) is the ramification index of  $\omega$  (resp.  $\omega'$ ) over  $\nu$  (resp.  $\nu'$ ) and  $\delta_{\mathbb{E}} = 1$  or  $0$  (resp.  $\delta_{\mathbb{F}} = 1$  or  $0$ ) according as  $\mathbb{E}$  (resp.  $\mathbb{F}$ ) contains  $\zeta_p$  (or  $\zeta_4$  if  $p = 2$ ) or not.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $p \in S$  be a fixed prime number and put

$$q = \begin{cases} 4, & p = 2, \\ p, & p \neq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let  $\omega_p$  be the Teichmüller character mod  $q$ . For every  $m \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $(m, p) = 1$  and  $m \neq \pm 1$ , we have

$$m = \omega_p(m)(1 + m_1 p^{n_m}),$$

with  $m_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $(m_1, p) = 1$  and  $n_m$  being a positive integer. We let  $\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}$  denote the basic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension on  $\mathbb{Q}$  and  $T = S - \{p\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be a ring of integers of a finite extension over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and let  $f(X) = a_0 + a_1 X + \dots \in \mathcal{O}[[X]]$  be a non-zero power series. We define

$$\mu(f) = \min\{\text{ord}_p a_i : i \geq 0\}, \quad \lambda(f) = \min\{i \geq 0 : \text{ord}_p a_i = \mu(f)\}.$$

Clearly we have  $\mu(fg) = \mu(f) + \mu(g)$  and  $\lambda(fg) = \lambda(f) + \lambda(g)$  if  $f, g$  are non-zero elements of  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$ . So  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$  can be defined in the quotient field of  $\mathcal{O}[[X]]$  in a natural way.

Let  $\mathbb{Z}_S^\times$  denote the unit group of  $\mathbb{Z}_S$ . So

$$\mathbb{Z}_S^\times = U_S \times V_S,$$

where  $V_S$  is the torsion part of  $\mathbb{Z}_S^\times$  and  $U_S = \prod_{l \in S} (1 + 2l\mathbb{Z}_l)$ . Let  $\langle \cdot \rangle_S$  and  $\omega_S$  denote the projections from  $\mathbb{Z}_S^\times$  to  $U_S$  and  $V_S$  respectively. When  $s = 1$ ,  $\omega_S$  is the Teichmüller character. Let  $\theta$  be an odd primitive Dirichlet character

with values in  $\mathbb{C}_p$ , where  $\mathbb{C}_p$  is a fixed completion of the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . Any primitive Dirichlet character whose conductor is divisible only by the primes in  $S$  can be regarded as a character of  $\mathbb{Z}_S^\times$ . Such a character is called *of the second kind for  $S$*  if it is trivial on  $V_S$ . For a character  $\Psi$  of the second kind for  $S$ , we have the decomposition  $\Psi = \Psi^{(p)}\Psi^{(T)}$ , where  $\Psi^{(p)}$  (resp.  $\Psi^{(T)}$ ) is of the second kind for  $p$  (resp.  $T$ ) (see [9]).

Let  $\theta$  be an odd primitive Dirichlet character with values in  $\mathbb{C}_p$ . Fix a generator  $u$  of  $U_p$ . When  $\theta\omega_p$  is not of the second kind for  $p$ , we define

$$\lambda(\theta) = \lambda(g_\theta(X - 1)),$$

where

$$g_\theta(X - 1) \in 2\mathcal{O}[[X - 1]] \quad \text{with} \quad g_\theta(u^s - 1) = L_p(s, \theta\omega_p)$$

and  $L_p(s, \theta\omega_p)$  is the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function associated with  $\theta\omega_p$ . When  $\theta\omega_p$  is of the second kind for  $p$ , we define  $\lambda(\theta) = -1$ . The following proposition is Theorem 1 of [6].

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $\theta$  be an odd primitive Dirichlet character,  $\tau$  be an even primitive Dirichlet character and  $\mathcal{O}$  be the integer ring of the field generated over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  by the values of  $\theta$  and  $\tau$ . Suppose*

- (1)  $\tau$  has a  $p$ -power order and its conductor  $l$  is a prime number,
- (2) for all  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\theta\tau(a) = \theta(a)\tau(a)$ .

Then

- (i) if  $\theta \neq \omega_p^{-1}$ , we have

$$\lambda(\theta\tau) = \begin{cases} \lambda(\theta) + p^{n_l}/q & \text{if } \theta(l) \equiv 1 \pmod{\wp}, \\ \lambda(\theta) & \text{if } \theta(l) \not\equiv 1 \pmod{\wp}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\wp$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathcal{O}$  above  $p$ ,

- (ii) if  $\theta = \omega_p^{-1}$ , we have

$$\lambda(\theta\tau) = \frac{p^{n_l}}{q} - 1.$$

**Remark 1.** This proposition can also be proved by using the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -function (see [8, §2]).

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $\theta$  be an odd primitive Dirichlet character of order prime to  $p$ ,  $\tau$  be an even primitive Dirichlet character of  $p$ -power order and  $\theta\tau(a) = \theta(a)\tau(a)$ . Suppose the conductor  $f(\tau)$  of  $\tau$  is prime to  $p$ . Write  $f(\tau) = \prod_l l^{k_l}$ , where  $k_l \geq 1$  and  $l$  are primes. Then*

- (i)  $k_l = 1$ , for all  $l$ ,
- (ii) if  $\theta \neq \omega_p^{-1}$ , then

$$\lambda(\theta\tau) = \lambda(\theta) + \sum_{\substack{l \\ \theta(l)=1}} \frac{p^{n_l}}{q},$$

if  $\theta = \omega_p^{-1}$ , then

$$\lambda(\theta\tau) = \left( \sum_l \frac{p^{n_l}}{q} \right) - 1.$$

**Proof.** (i) By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we have  $\tau = \prod_l \tau_l$ , where  $l^{k_l}$  is the conductor of  $\tau_l$  and  $\tau_l$  has  $p$ -power order.

If  $k_l \neq 1$ , consider the natural map

$$i : \mathbb{Z}/(l^{k_l}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/(l^{k_l-1}).$$

For any  $x \in \ker i$ ,  $x$  has  $l$ -power order. Thus  $\tau_l(x)$  is an  $l$ -power root of unity. Note  $\tau_l$  has  $p$ -power order and  $(p, l) = 1$ , and so we have  $\tau_l(x) = 1$ . This is a contradiction because  $l^{k_l}$  is the conductor of  $\tau_l$ .

(ii) When  $\theta \neq \omega_p^{-1}$ , the assertion follows from Proposition 1 and (i) since  $\theta\tau(l) \equiv 1 \pmod{\wp}$  if and only if  $\theta(l) = 1$ . If  $\theta = \omega_p^{-1}$ , then  $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  since  $\tau_l$  has  $p$ -power order. Therefore  $\theta(l) \equiv 1 \pmod{\wp}$  and we are done by Proposition 1. ■

**3. The number of splitting primes.** Let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a finite abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ . In this section, we compute the number of primes of  $\mathbf{k}_S$  above a prime number  $l$ , which is closely related to the characters of the Galois group. The *character group* of an abelian profinite group  $G$  is the set of continuous homomorphisms from  $G$  to the roots of unity in  $\mathbb{C}_p^\times$  with the induced topology. We denote this character group as  $G^\wedge$ .

Now we take  $\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}_S/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge$ . Then  $\ker \chi$  is a close subgroup with finite index of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}_S/\mathbb{Q})$  (an open subgroup) and  $\chi$  is essentially a usual Dirichlet character. Let  $\mathbf{k}^\chi$  be the subfield of  $\mathbf{k}_S$  fixed by  $\ker \chi$ . Then we define

$$\chi(l) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } l \text{ is ramified in } \mathbf{k}^\chi, \\ \chi(\text{Frob}_l) & \text{if } l \text{ is unramified in } \mathbf{k}^\chi. \end{cases}$$

Keeping the above notations, we have the following lemma:

**LEMMA 1.** *For any prime number  $l$ ,*

- (i) *there are finitely many primes in  $\mathbf{k}_S$  above  $l$ ,*
- (ii) *the number of primes above  $l$  in  $\mathbf{k}_S$  is equal to*

$$\#\{\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}_S/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge : \chi(l) = 1\}.$$

PROOF. (i) First consider  $S = \{p\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be a prime in  $\mathbf{k}$  above  $l$ . If  $l = p$ , the assertion is trivial by [10, Lemma 13.3]. If  $l \neq p$ , then  $\mathcal{Q}$  is unramified in  $\mathbf{k}_S/\mathbf{k}$ . Write

$$l = \omega_p(l)(1 + p^{n_1}l_1).$$

Then the number of primes of  $\mathbf{k}$  above  $\mathcal{Q}$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}_S/\mathbf{k})/\langle \overline{\text{Frob}}_{\mathcal{Q}} \rangle) \\ \leq \#(\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}/\mathbb{Q})/\langle \overline{\text{Frob}}_l \rangle) \cdot [\mathbf{k} : \mathbb{Q}] \leq p^{n_1}[\mathbf{k} : \mathbb{Q}] < \infty \end{aligned}$$

and the case  $s = 1$  is proved.

If  $s > 1$ , let  $D(\mathcal{Q})$  be the decomposition group of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Then  $D(\mathcal{Q})$  is a closed subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_S$  and has the form  $p_1^{t_1}\mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \times \dots \times p_s^{t_s}\mathbb{Z}_{p_s}$ ,  $0 \leq t_i \leq \infty$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ , where  $p_i^\infty\mathbb{Z}_{p_i} = 0$ . It is sufficient to prove that  $t_i < \infty$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Suppose  $t_i = \infty$ . Let  $\mathbf{k}^{(p_i)} \subseteq \mathbb{L}$  be a basic  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ -extension of  $\mathbf{k}$  and  $D^{(p_i)}(\mathcal{Q})$  be the decomposition group of  $\mathcal{Q}$  over  $\mathbf{k}^{(p_i)}$ . So we have

$$D^{(p_i)}(\mathcal{Q}) = D(\mathcal{Q})|_{\text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}^{(p_i)}/\mathbf{k})} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction to the case of  $s = 1$  and (i) is proved.

(ii) Let  $D(l)$  denote the decomposition group of a prime in  $\mathbf{k}_S$  above  $l$ . Then the number of primes in  $\mathbf{k}_S$  above  $l$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \#(\text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}_S/\mathbb{Q})/D(l)) &= \#((\text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}_S/\mathbb{Q})/D(l))^\wedge) \\ &= \#\{\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}_S/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge : \chi(l) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired result. ■

REMARK 2. Lemma 1 is not true for arbitrary  $\mathbb{Z}_S$ -extension (see [10, Ex. 13.2]).

From Lemma 1, we immediately have the following lemma:

LEMMA 2. Suppose  $\mathbf{k} \cap \mathbb{Q}_S = \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $p \in S$  with  $p \nmid [\mathbf{k} : \mathbb{Q}]$ ,  $T = S - \{p\}$  and  $l$  is a prime number different from  $p$ . Then the number of prime ideals above  $l$  in  $\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_S$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_T/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge : \chi(l) = 1\} \#\{\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge : \chi(l) = 1\} \\ = (p^{n_1}/q) \#\{\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_T/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge : \chi(l) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. By Lemma 1, it is sufficient to prove

$$\begin{aligned} \#\{\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_S/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge : \chi(l) = 1\} \\ = \#\{\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_T/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge : \chi(l) = 1\} \#\{\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge : \chi(l) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_S/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_T/\mathbb{Q}) \times \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}/\mathbb{Q}),$$

we have

$$\text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_S/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_T/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge \times \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge.$$

Therefore for any  $\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_S/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge$ , we have  $\chi = \chi_T \cdot \chi_p$ , with  $\chi_T \in \text{Gal}(\mathbf{k}\mathbb{Q}_T/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge$ ,  $\chi_p \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}^{(p)}/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge$  and  $\chi(l) = \chi_T(l)\chi_p(l)$ . Note  $\chi_p(l)$  is a  $p$ -power root of unity and  $\chi_T(l)$  is not, so we have

$$\chi(l) = 1 \Leftrightarrow \chi_T(l) = 1 \text{ and } \chi_p(l) = 1$$

and Lemma 2 is proved. ■

**4. Proof of Theorem 1.** First let  $\mathbf{k}$  be a finite abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  and we use the following notations associated with  $\mathbf{k}$ :

- $X_{\mathbf{k}}$  (resp.  $X_{\mathbf{k}}^-$ ): the set of all (resp. odd) Dirichlet characters associated with  $\mathbf{k}$ .
- $X_{\mathbf{k}}(l)$  (resp.  $X_{\mathbf{k}}^-(l)$ ): all the elements of  $X_{\mathbf{k}}$  (resp.  $X_{\mathbf{k}}^-$ ) whose conductors are divisible by a prime number  $l$ .
- $J_{\mathbf{k}}(l)$ : all the elements of  $X_{\mathbf{k}}$  whose conductors are prime to a prime number  $l$ .
- We write  $\chi_{\mathbf{k}}$  for an element of  $X_{\mathbf{k}}$  and  $\mathfrak{f}_{\mathbf{k}}$  as the conductor of  $\mathbf{k}$ . Let  $e$ ,  $f$  and  $g$  denote the usual meaning as the ramification index, the residue class degree, the number of splitting primes respectively. For a prime number  $l$ , by [10, Th. 3.7], we have

$$\#J_{\mathbf{k}}(l) = f_{\mathbf{k}}(l)g_{\mathbf{k}}(l) \quad \text{and} \quad \#(X_{\mathbf{k}}/J_{\mathbf{k}}(l)) = e_{\mathbf{k}}(l).$$

Now  $\mathbb{E}$ ,  $\mathbb{F}$  are the same as in Section 1. Let  $\mathbb{K}$  be the maximal  $p$ -extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{E}$ , and  $\mathbb{L}$  be the maximal extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$  in  $\mathbb{E}$  with  $p \nmid [\mathbb{L} : \mathbb{Q}]$ .  $\omega$  (resp.  $\omega'$ ) is a prime of  $\mathbb{E}_S^+$  (resp.  $\mathbb{E}_S$ ) which does not lie over the prime  $p$ ,  $\nu = \omega|_{\mathbb{F}_S^+}$  (resp.  $\nu' = \omega'|_{\mathbb{F}_S}$ ) and  $u = \omega|_{\mathbb{L}_S^+}$  (resp.  $u' = \omega|_{\mathbb{L}_S}$ ).

Suppose  $\omega|_{\mathbb{Q}} = l \neq p$ . Since the residue field at  $u$  or  $u'$  has no finite  $p$ -extensions, it is clear that  $f(\omega/u) = f(\omega'/u') = 1$ . Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} e_{\mathbb{K}}(l) &= e(\omega'/u'), & e_{\mathbb{K}^+}(l) &= e(\omega/u), \\ \#J_{\mathbb{K}} &= g(\omega'/u'), & \#J_{\mathbb{K}^+} &= g(\omega/u). \end{aligned}$$

We also note the following:

1) It is easy to check that if Theorem 1 holds for two of  $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{F}$ ,  $\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{E}/\mathbb{K}$ , it holds for the third. This allows us to reduce ourselves to the case where  $[\mathbb{F} : \mathbb{Q}]$  is not divisible by  $p$  for  $p > 2$ .

2) We can also assume  $\mathbb{E} \cap \mathbb{F}_S = \mathbb{F}$ ,  $\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{Q}_S = \mathbb{Q}$  and the conductor of  $\mathbb{E}$  is not divisible by  $qp$ , since any number field between  $\mathbb{E}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_S$  has the same  $\lambda(p, S)$ -invariant as that of  $\mathbb{E}$ .

3) By the above assumption, we have  $[\mathbb{E}_S : \mathbb{F}_S] = [\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}]$  and  $\mathbb{E} \cap \mathbb{Q}_S = \mathbb{Q}$ .

With the above notations, we have the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\omega'} (e(\omega'/\nu') - 1) - \sum_{\omega} (e(\omega/\nu) - 1) \\ &= \begin{cases} \sum_l p^{n_l-1} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}(l) \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{F}}\Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ odd}, \chi_{\mathbb{F}}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\} & \text{if } p > 2, \\ \sum_l 2^{n_l-2} \{\#X_{\mathbb{K}}^-(l) - [\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}] \#X_{\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{K}}^-(l)\} \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\}, & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where  $\omega'$  (resp.  $\omega$ ) runs over all the primes in  $\mathbb{E}_S$  (resp.  $\mathbb{E}_S^+$ ) which do not lie over  $p$ ,  $l$  runs over all the prime numbers different from  $p$  and  $\Psi^{(T)}$  is taken over the characters of  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_T/\mathbb{Q})$ .

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad & \sum_{\omega'} (e(\omega'/u') - 1) - \sum_{\omega} (e(\omega/u) - 1) \\ &= \sum_{u'} g(\omega'/u') (e(\omega'/u') - 1) - \sum_u g(\omega/u) (e(\omega/u) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

If  $p > 2$ , then  $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{L}$ ,  $\nu = u$ ,  $\nu' = u'$  and  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}^+$ . By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (*) &= \sum_{l \neq p} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}(l) \sum_{u' \cap \mathbb{Q} = l} 1 - \sum_{l \neq p} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}(l) \sum_{u \cap \mathbb{Q} = l} 1 \\ &= \sum_{l \neq p} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}(l) \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{F}}\Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{F}}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\} p^{n_l-1} \\ &\quad - \sum_{l \neq p} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}(l) \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{F}^+}\Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{F}^+}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\} p^{n_l-1} \\ &= \sum_{l \neq p} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}(l) p^{n_l-1} \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{F}}\Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ odd}, \chi_{\mathbb{F}}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

For  $p = 2$ , we have  $\mathbb{F} \supset \mathbb{L}$  and  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}^+$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad (*) &= \sum_{l \neq p} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}(l) \sum_{u' |_{\mathbb{Q}} = l} 1 - \sum_{l \neq p} \#X_{\mathbb{K}^+}(l) \sum_{u |_{\mathbb{Q}} = l} 1 \\ &= \sum_{l \neq p} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}^-(l) 2^{n_l-2} \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (2) \quad & \sum_{\nu'} (e(\nu'/u') - 1) - \sum_{\nu} (e(\nu/u) - 1) \\ &= \sum_{l \neq p} 2^{n_l-2} \#X_{\mathbb{K} \cap \mathbb{F}}^-(l) \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $[\mathbb{E}_S : \mathbb{F}_S] = [\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}]$  and  $f(\omega'/\nu') = 1$ , we have

$$e(\omega'/\nu')g(\omega'/\nu') = [\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}], \quad e(\omega'/u') = e(\omega'/\nu')e(\nu'/u').$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}] \sum_{\nu'} (e(\nu'/u') - 1) \\ &= \sum_{\nu'} g(\omega'/\nu') (e(\omega'/u') - e(\omega'/\nu')) = \sum_{\omega'} (e(\omega'/u') - e(\omega'/\nu')). \end{aligned}$$

The same is true for  $\omega, u, \nu$ . By (1) and (2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\omega'} (e(\omega'/\nu') - 1) - \sum_{\omega} (e(\omega/\nu) - 1) \\ &= \sum_{l \neq p} 2^{n_l-2} \{ \#X_{\mathbb{K}}^-(l) - [\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}] \#X_{\mathbb{K} \cap \mathbb{F}}^-(l) \} \# \{ \chi_{\mathbb{L}} \Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{L}} \Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1 \}. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Now we begin our proof of the main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We know that for any imaginary abelian field  $\mathbf{k}$ ,  $\lambda(p, S)$  satisfies the following relation (cf. [9]):

$$\lambda_{\mathbf{k}}^-(p, S) = \delta_{\mathbf{k}} + \sum_{\theta} \sum_{\Psi^{(T)}} \lambda(\theta \Psi^{(T)}),$$

where the outer sum is taken over all odd characters of  $\mathbf{k}/\mathbb{Q}$  and the inner sum is taken over all  $\Psi^{(T)} \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_T/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge$  with  $\lambda(\theta \Psi^{(T)}) \neq 0$ , and  $\delta_{\mathbf{k}} = 1$  if and only if  $\omega_p$  is a character of  $\mathbf{k}/\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore

$$(**) \quad \lambda_{\mathbb{E}}^-(p, S) - \delta_{\mathbb{E}} = \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{E}} \text{ odd}} \sum_{\Psi^{(T)}} \lambda(\chi_{\mathbb{E}} \Psi^{(T)}) = \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}} \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{K}}} \sum_{\Psi^{(T)}} \lambda(\chi_{\mathbb{L}} \chi_{\mathbb{K}} \Psi^{(T)})$$

where  $\chi_{\mathbb{K}} \chi_{\mathbb{L}}$  is odd.

When  $p > 2$ , the conductor of  $\chi \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{Q})^\wedge$  is not divisible by  $p$  since  $f_{\mathbb{E}}$  is not divisible by  $p^2$  and  $[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]$  is a  $p$ -power. Note  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{F}$  and  $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}^+$  in this case. By Propositions 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (**) &= \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ odd}} \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{K}}} \sum_{\Psi^{(T)}} \left( \lambda(\chi_{\mathbb{F}} \Psi^{(T)}) + \sum_{\substack{l|f(\chi_{\mathbb{K}}) \\ \chi_{\mathbb{F}} \Psi^{(T)}(l)=1}} p^{n_l-1} \right) \\ &= [\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}] \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ odd}} \sum_{\Psi^{(T)}} \lambda(\chi_{\mathbb{F}} \Psi^{(T)}) + \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ odd}} \sum_{\Psi^{(T)}} \sum_{\substack{l \neq p \\ \chi_{\mathbb{F}} \Psi^{(T)}(l)=1}} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}(l) p^{n_l-1} \\ &= [\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}] (\lambda_{\mathbb{F}}^-(p, S) - \delta_{\mathbb{F}}) + \sum_{l \neq p} p^{n_l-1} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}(l) \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ odd}, \chi_{\mathbb{F}} \Psi^{(T)}(l)=1} 1 \\ &= [\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}] (\lambda_{\mathbb{F}}^-(p, S) - \delta_{\mathbb{F}}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{l \neq p} p^{n_l-1} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}(l) \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{F}}\Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{F}} \text{ odd}, \chi_{\mathbb{F}}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\} \\
& = [\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}](\lambda_{\mathbb{F}}^-(p, S) - \delta_{\mathbb{F}}) + \sum_{\omega'} (e(\omega'/\nu') - 1) - \sum_{\omega} (e(\omega/\nu) - 1).
\end{aligned}$$

If  $p = 2$ , then  $\mathbb{L} = \mathbb{L}^+$ ,  $\mathbb{L} \subset \mathbb{F}$  and the conductor of each character of  $\mathbb{K}$  is not divisible by 8. By [6, Th. 1],

$$\sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{K}} \text{ odd}} \lambda(\chi_{\mathbb{K}}) = \sum_{l \neq p} 2^{n_l-2} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}^-(l) - [\mathbb{K}^+ : \mathbb{Q}].$$

Since  $\mathbb{K} \cap \mathbb{F}$  is an imaginary abelian extension of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , we can choose a primitive odd character  $\chi_0$  of  $\text{Gal}((\mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{K})/\mathbb{Q})$  with order 2. Then, for any  $\chi \in X_{\mathbb{K}}^-$ , we have  $\chi = \chi_0 \tilde{\chi}$  with  $\tilde{\chi} \in X_{\mathbb{K}^+}$ . By Propositions 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{K}} \text{ odd}} \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} \neq 1} \lambda(\chi_{\mathbb{K}}\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}) \\
& = \sum_{l \neq p} 2^{n_l-2} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}^-(l) \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} \neq 1 : \chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\} \\
& \quad + [\mathbb{K}^+ : \mathbb{Q}] \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} \neq 1} \lambda(\chi_0\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
(3) \quad (**) & = \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}} \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{K}} \text{ odd}} \sum_{\Psi^{(T)}} \lambda(\chi_{\mathbb{K}}\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}) \\
& = \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{K}} \text{ odd}} \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} \neq 1} \lambda(\chi_{\mathbb{K}}\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}) + \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{K}} \text{ odd}} \lambda(\chi_{\mathbb{K}}) \\
& = [\mathbb{K}^+ : \mathbb{Q}] \left( \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} \neq 1} \lambda(\chi_0\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}) - 1 \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{l \neq p} 2^{n_l-2} \#X_{\mathbb{K}}^-(l) \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we set  $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{F}$  in the above equality, then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
(4) \quad \lambda_{\mathbb{F}}^-(2, S) - \delta_{\mathbb{F}} & = [\mathbb{K}^+ \cap \mathbb{F} : \mathbb{Q}] \left( \sum_{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} \neq 1} \lambda(\chi_0\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}) - 1 \right) \\
& \quad + \sum_{l \neq p} 2^{n_l-2} \#X_{\mathbb{K} \cap \mathbb{F}}^-(l) \#\{\chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)} : \chi_{\mathbb{L}}\Psi^{(T)}(l) = 1\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By (3)– $[\mathbb{E}^+ : \mathbb{F}^+]$ (4) we obtain the desired result since  $[\mathbb{E}^+ : \mathbb{F}^+][\mathbb{K}^+ \cap \mathbb{F} : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{K}^+ : \mathbb{Q}]$  and  $[\mathbb{E} : \mathbb{F}] = [\mathbb{E}^+ : \mathbb{F}^+] = [\mathbb{E}_S : \mathbb{F}_S]$ . ■

**Acknowledgements.** Both authors would like to thank the referee for pointing out some mistakes and misprints both in English and in math-

ematics. The second author was supported by Alexander von Humboldt Foundation and National Natural Science Foundation of China.

### References

- [1] N. Childress,  *$\lambda$ -invariants and  $\Gamma$ -transforms*, Manuscripta Math. 64 (1989), 359–375.
- [2] E. Friedman, *Ideal class groups in basic  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_s}$  extensions of abelian number fields*, Invent. Math. 65 (1982), 425–440.
- [3] K. Iwasawa, *On  $\Gamma$ -extensions of algebraic number fields*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1959), 183–226.
- [4] —, *Riemann–Hurwitz formula and  $p$ -adic Galois representations for number fields*, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 33 (1981), 263–288.
- [5] Y. Kida,  *$l$ -extensions of CM-fields and cyclotomic invariants*, J. Number Theory 2 (1980), 519–528.
- [6] J. Satoh, *The Iwasawa  $\lambda_p$ -invariants of  $\Gamma$ -transforms of the generating functions of the Bernoulli numbers*, Japan. J. Math. 17 (1991), 165–174 .
- [7] W. Sinnott, *On the  $\mu$ -invariant of the  $\Gamma$ -transform of a rational function*, Invent. Math. 75 (1984), 273–282.
- [8] —, *On the  $p$ -adic  $L$ -functions and the Riemann–Hurwitz genus formula*, Compositio Math. 53 (1984), 3–17.
- [9] —,  *$\Gamma$ -transforms of rational function measures on  $\mathbb{Z}_S$* , Invent. Math. 89 (1987), 139–157.
- [10] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields*, Grad. Texts in Math. 83, Springer, 1982.

Department of Mathematics  
 University of Science and Technology of China  
 Hefei, Anhui 230026  
 People’s Republic of China  
 E-mail: xulm@sunlxob.nsc.ustc.edu.cn

Current address:  
 School of Mathematics  
 University of Minnesota  
 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455  
 U.S.A.  
 E-mail: youyang@math.umn.edu

*Received on 16.5.1995  
 and in revised form on 23.7.1996*

(2793)