

	Pagina
J. Blass, A. M. W. Glass, D. K. Manski, D. B. Meronk and R. P. Steiner, Constants for lower bounds for linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers I. The general case	1-13
— — — — — Constants for lower bounds for linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers II. The homogeneous rational case	15-22
F. Ramaroson, On the arithmetic of an elliptic curve over a \mathbb{Z}_p -extension	23-28
F. T. Howard, Congruences for the Stirling numbers and associated Stirling numbers	29-41
R. N. Buttsworth and K. R. Matthews, On some Markov matrices arising from the generalized Collatz mapping	43-57
T. Funakura, On Kronecker's limit formula for Dirichlet series with periodic coefficients	59-73
J. Cougnard et V. Fleckinger, Modèle de Legendre d'une courbe elliptique à multiplication complexe et monogénéité d'anneaux d'entiers II.	75-81
D. M. Kornhauser, On the smallest solution to the general binary quadratic diophantine equation	83-94
P. Kunth, Über die asymptotische Dichte gewisser Teilmengen der natürlichen Zahlen	95-106

La revue est consacrée à la Théorie des Nombres
The journal publishes papers on the Theory of Numbers
Die Zeitschrift veröffentlicht Arbeiten aus der Zahlentheorie
Журнал посвящен теории чисел

L'adresse de la Rédaction	Address of the Editorial Board	Die Adresse der Schriftleitung	Адрес редакции
------------------------------	-----------------------------------	-----------------------------------	----------------

ACTA ARITHMETICA
skr. poczt. 137, ul. Śniadeckich 8, 00-950 Warszawa, telex PL 816112

Les auteurs sont priés d'envoyer leurs manuscrits en deux exemplaires à l'adresse ci-dessus
The authors are requested to submit papers in two copies to the above address
Die Autoren sind gebeten um Zusendung von 2 Exemplaren jeder Arbeit an die obige Adresse
Рукописи статей редакция просит присылать в двух экземплярах по вышеуказанному адресу

© Copyright by Instytut Matematyczny PAN, Warszawa 1990

Published by PWN — Polish Scientific Publishers

ISBN 83-01-09575-X ISSN 0065-1036

PRINTED IN POLAND

Constants for lower bounds for linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers I The general case

by

JOSEF BLASS*, A. M. W. GLASS*, DAVID K. MANSKI**,
DAVID B. MERONK* and RAY P. STEINER* (Bowling Green, Ohio)

1. Introduction. In this note we further improve the lower bound for a non-homogeneous linear form in logarithms of non-zero algebraic numbers with algebraic coefficients. All the techniques are to be found in [W] and its companion [PW]; our sole contribution is to observe that the method of [W] which is essentially due to A. Baker [B1] yields better constants and to use the method to explicitly find the constants in [PW] for the general algebraic case. For an excellent account of the history of the subject, see [B2].

Our motivation is completely classical; i.e., to develop the theory to enable one to completely solve specific types of equations. The improvements that we obtain in the constants (by 2^{30} for the logarithms associated with the real quartic extension of the field of rational numbers having least discriminant, for example) ensure that Thue equations of small degree and coefficients over the ring of rational integers can be completely solved therein quite rapidly on a typical university computer (see [BGMS]). We therefore see this paper as providing the necessary results to facilitate practical solutions to number-theoretic problems associated with linear forms in logarithms of algebraic numbers with algebraic coefficients.

Specifically, let A be the field of algebraic numbers and let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_n \in A$ with $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ non-zero. Let

$$\Lambda = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \log \alpha_1 + \dots + \beta_n \log \alpha_n$$

and $D = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$, where $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \beta_0, \dots, \beta_n)$. Let $h(\alpha)$ be the "ab-

* Research supported in part by Bowling Green State University Faculty Research grants and a Challenge Grant from the Ohio Board of Regents.

** Research supported in part by a grant from the Bowling Green State University Graduate College.

We wish to acknowledge, with thanks, the warm encouragement and assistance given by Michel Waldschmidt for this work; without it, this paper would not have been possible.

solute logarithmic height² of α in the sense of Mahler and Weil (see [L]). Define

$$V_1 = \max \{h(\alpha_1), 1/D, |\log \alpha_1|/D\},$$

$$V_{j+1} = \max \{h(\alpha_{j+1}), V_j, |\log \alpha_{j+1}|/D\} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1).$$

Let

$$a_j = DV_j/|\log \alpha_j| \geq 1 \quad (1 \leq j \leq n) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{a} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{a_j}.$$

Let

$$V'_j = jV_j, \quad V_0^+ = \bar{V}_0 = 1, \quad V_j^+ = \max \{V_j, 1\}, \quad \bar{V}_j = \max \{V'_j, 1\} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Let

$$W \geq h(\beta_j) \quad (0 \leq j \leq n).$$

Let

$$\frac{1}{a_j} = \frac{1}{j} \sum_{i=1}^j \frac{1}{a_i} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n); \quad \text{so } a_j \geq 1 \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

Let q be a prime number.

Let $E_2 = \min \{e^{qDV_1}, 2qa\}$. Note that $E_2 \geq 2q$. Let

$$M = 2(2^6 q^2 n DV_{n-1}^+ E_2)^n.$$

Assume that

$$W \geq \max \{n \log(nq^2 2^7 DV_n^+), \log E_2, (q/nD) \log E_2\}.$$

We first prove:

PROPOSITION. If $[K(\alpha_1^{1/q}, \dots, \alpha_n^{1/q}):K] = q^n$, then $\Lambda = 0$ or

$$|\Lambda| > \exp(-C(n)q^{3n}(q-1)D^{n+2}V_1 \dots V_n W \log M/(\log E_2)^{n+1})$$

where $C(n) = (n^{2n+1}/n!)(3e^2)^n 2^{21}$.

Observe that $E_2 \geq E_1$ of [W] so the term to the n th power has been shrunk still further.

Let $\bar{E}_2 = \min \{e^{qDV_1}, 2qa\}$ and $\bar{M} = 2(2^6 q^2 n D \bar{V}_{n-1} \bar{E}_2)^n$. Let

$$x_n^* = \begin{cases} \log(2^{13} \bar{V}_1) & \text{if } n = D = 1, \\ n^2(n+1) \log(6n/\log D) + n(n+1) \log(n!) + \log n & \text{if } D \geq 2, \\ n^2(n+1) \log(9n) + n(n+1) \log(n!) + \log n & \text{if } D = 1 < n. \end{cases}$$

Let

$$W^* = \max \{nW + n^2(n+1) \log(D^3 \bar{V}_n) + x_n^*, \log E_2, (q/nD) \log \bar{E}_2\}$$

and assume that $W^* \geq \log(2q)$. As noted on page 282 of [W], the worst bound for Λ occurs when $\{\log \alpha_1, \dots, \log \alpha_n\}$ is linearly independent (over the rational integers) but not necessarily strongly independent with respect to q (i.e.,

$[K(\alpha_1^{1/q}, \dots, \alpha_n^{1/q}):K] < q^n$). As on page 281 of [W], using [W, Lemma 2.6], we may replace $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and β_0, \dots, β_n by $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n, \beta'_0, \dots, \beta'_n$ to get a strongly independent set provided we use W^* in place of W and V'_j in place of V_j ($1 \leq j \leq n$). Thus we obtain

THEOREM A. If $\Lambda \neq 0$, then

$$|\Lambda| > \exp \left\{ -\hat{C}_1(n) D^{n+2} \frac{V_1 \dots V_n}{(\log \bar{E}_2)^{n+1}} (\log \bar{M})(W + \hat{C}_2(n)) \right\}$$

where

$$\hat{C}_1(n) = \begin{cases} n^{2n+1} (24e^2)^n 2^{20} & \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ n^{2n+1} (24e^2)^n 2^{21} & \text{if } n = 1, 2 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{C}_2(n) = n(n+1) \log(D^3 \bar{V}_n) + x_n^*/n.$$

Observe that $\bar{E}_2 \geq E$ of [W] so the term to the n th power has been shrunk still further. An alternative form of the Proposition and Theorem A will be given at the end of Section 2; although it is somewhat more complicated to state, it often leads to smaller constants in practical cases.

Let

$$E^* = \max \{2^{5n+4} q^{n+1} n^{2n} D \bar{E}_2^n, \bar{E}_2^n\}$$

and if $V_1 \geq n/D$, let

$$\hat{E}_2 = \min \{e^{W^*}, e^{2qDV_1}, 4a\}.$$

THEOREM B. (i) If $\Lambda \neq 0$ and $n < 29$, then

$$|\Lambda| > \exp \left\{ -\frac{\hat{C}_1(n)}{n} D^{n+2} \frac{V_1 \dots V_n}{(\log \bar{E}_2)^{n+1}} (\log E^*)(W + \hat{C}_2(n)) \right\}$$

where $\hat{C}_1(n)$ and $\hat{C}_2(n)$ are as in Theorem A.

(ii) If $V_1 \geq n/D$ and $\Lambda \neq 0$, then

$$|\Lambda| > \exp \left\{ -\hat{C}_1(n) D^{n+2} \frac{V_1 \dots V_n}{(\log \bar{E}_2)^{n+1}} (\log \hat{E}_2)(W + \hat{C}_2(n)) \right\}$$

where $\hat{C}_1(n)$ and $\hat{C}_2(n)$ are as above.

Note that the assumptions in Theorem B(ii) could increase the value of $V_1 \dots V_n$ by n^n , which would make the result far worse. However, if V_1 turns out to exceed n/D in a particular problem, Theorem B(ii) would apply whatever the value of n . From Theorems A and B(i) we obtain

COROLLARY. If $\Lambda \neq 0$ and $n < 29$, then

$$|\Lambda| > \exp \left\{ -\hat{C}_1(n) D^{n+2} \frac{V_1 \dots V_n}{(\log \bar{E}_2)^{n+1}} (W + \hat{C}_2(n)) \min \{n \log(2^{6+1/n} n q^2 D \bar{V}_{n-1} \bar{E}_2), \max \{n \log \bar{E}_2, \log 2^{5+4/n} q^{1+1/n} n^2 D^{1/n} \bar{E}_2\}\} \right\}$$

where $\hat{C}_1(n)$ and $\hat{C}_2(n)$ are given in Theorem A.

2. The proof of the Proposition. Our proof follows that of [W]. We will number our equations (and use ') throughout this section to mirror those of [W]; so, for example, (3.7) will refer to [W] but (3.7') to an equation in this section. We will assume throughout that

$$(3.2') \quad \begin{aligned} c_0 &\geq 2, & c'_0 &= (c_0 + 2^{-9})e^{1/256}, & c''_0 &= (c_0 + 2^{-8})e^{1/256}, \\ c''_0 c_4 c_3 &\leq 2^{22}, & c'_0 c_4 &\leq 2^{14}, & c_4 &\geq 2^4, \\ 2^3 &\leq c_3 \leq 2^{12}, & 2, 2^4/n &\leq c_2 \leq 2^7/e, \\ c_0 c_1 c_2^n c_3 c_4 &\geq 2^{23+v/(nq)^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.3) \quad v = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n = D = 1 \text{ and } q \in \{2, 3\}, \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ and } qD \in \{4, 5, 6\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad c_1 = 2.5$$

(e.g., $c_0 = 2^2$, $c_2 = 3e^2$, $c_3 = 2^9$, $c_4 = 2^9$ if $n \geq 3$).

The notation used is the same as in [W] unless otherwise stated. Indeed, rather than merely repeat the part of our argument that is the same as [W], we will only include here the parts where the arguments differ. The reader should therefore have a copy of [W] in front of him to refer to. The excruciating yet straightforward verifications of both [W] and this section can be found in [BGMMS1], although the interested reader should have no trouble supplying these routine omitted details.

Proof of Proposition. Let

$$U_1 = 2^{22+v} n^2 q^{n+1} D^2 \max \{W, V_n^+, W V_n^+ / \log E_2\}$$

and

$$U = c_0 c_1 c_2^n c_3 c_4 \frac{n^{2n+1}}{n!} q^{3n} (q-1) D^{n+2} \frac{V_1 \dots V_n W \log M}{(\log E_2)^{n+1}}.$$

As in [W, page 264], we let

$$\begin{aligned} S &= q[c_3 n D W / \log E_2], & T &= [U / c_1 c_3 q^n D W], \\ L_{-1} &= [W / (\log E_2)^{n+1}], & L_0 &= [U / c_1 c_4 q^n D (L_{-1} + 1) \log M], \\ L_j &= [U / c_1 c_2 n q^{n+1} D S V_j] \quad (1 \leq j \leq n). \end{aligned}$$

Note that our conditions imply $L_1 \geq \dots \geq L_n \geq 1$. We also assume that $\beta_n = -1$.

It is easy to see that

$$(3.4) \quad S \sum_{j=1}^n L_j V_j \leq \frac{U}{c_1 c_2 q^{n+1} D},$$

$$(3.5) \quad E_2 S \sum_{j=1}^n L_j |\log \alpha_j| \leq \frac{2U}{c_1 c_2 q^n}$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad c_0 \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) S \binom{T+n}{n} \leq \prod_{j=-1}^n (L_j + 1).$$

We now improve [W (3.7)] with $M = 2(2^6 n q^2 D V_{n-1}^+ E_2)^n$ in place of $(2^{13} n q^2 D V_{n-1}^+ E_2)^n$. First assume that $n, D \geq 2$. Since $(\log x)/x \leq 1/e$ if $x \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \log M &= \log 2 + n(6 \log 2 + \log n + 2 \log q + \log D + \log V_{n-1}^+) + n \log E_2 \\ &\leq \log 2 + n(6 \log 2 + (1/e)(n + 2q + D + V_{n-1}^+)) + n \log E_2 \\ &\leq \log 2 + n(6 \log 2 + (nq D V_{n-1}^+ / e)(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{8})) + n \log E_2 \\ &\leq \log 2 + n(6 \log 2 + (nq D V_{n-1}^+)(9/8e)) + n \log E_2 \\ &\leq 0.98n^2 q D V_{n-1}^+ + n \log E_2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\log E_2 \geq \log 4$,

$$\log M \leq 0.98n^2 q D V_{n-1}^+ + n \log E_2 \leq 0.84n^2 q D V_{n-1}^+ \log E_2.$$

If $n = 1$ or $D = 1$, then it can be deleted from the expression for M . Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \log M &\leq 1.78n^2 q V_{n-1}^+ + n \log E_2 \leq 1.54n^2 q V_{n-1}^+ \log E_2 & \text{if } n > 1 = D; \\ \log M &\leq 1.78qD + \log E_2 \leq 1.54qD \log E_2 & \text{if } D > 1 = n; \\ \log M &\leq 3.17q + \log E_2 \leq 2.79q \log E_2 & \text{if } n = D = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get

$$(3.7') \quad \log M \leq B n^2 q D V_{n-1}^+ + n \log E_1 \leq A n^2 q D V_{n-1}^+ \log E_2$$

where

$$A = \begin{cases} 0.84 & \text{if } n, D \geq 2, \\ 2.79 & \text{if } n = 1 = D, \\ 1.54 & \text{otherwise;} \end{cases} \quad B = \begin{cases} 0.98 & \text{if } n, D \geq 2, \\ 3.17 & \text{if } n = 1 = D, \\ 1.78 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is now straightforward to verify from the definitions that

$$(3.9) \quad T \leq e^{(1+2/n)W},$$

$$(3.10') \quad 4E_2 q L_n \leq M^{1+1/n},$$

$$(3.12') \quad (L_{-1}+1)\log M \leq \frac{U}{2^{22}q^n D} \left(\frac{1}{(\log 4)^n} + 1 \right) \left(B + \frac{1}{nqD} \right),$$

$$(3.13') \quad L_1 + L_n \leq 2L_1 \leq e^{(1+1/n)W},$$

$$(3.11') \quad (L_{-1}+1)(L_0+1) \dots (L_n+1)D^2 U \leq \exp(U/2^{22}q^n D)$$

and

$$(3.14') \quad 12q^n L_n E_2 S \leq (L_{-1}+1)M^{1+2/n}$$

using (3.7') and (3.2') and $\log E_2 \leq E_2/e$.

We also correct [W (3.15)]:

$$(3.15') \quad T \log(L_1 + L_n e^{DW}) \leq (1+D+1/n)U/c_1 c_3 q^n D.$$

We next determine f_1 – f_7 appropriately. To ensure that (3.17) holds, using (3.9) and (3.14') we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \log |\Delta(z + \lambda_{-1}; L_{-1}+1; \lambda_0+1; t)| \\ & \leq TW \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \right) + \left(\frac{U}{c_1 c_4 q^n D \log M} + (L_{-1}+1) \right) \log 4e \left(\frac{M^{1+2/n}}{12} + 1 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq \lambda_{-1} \leq L_{-1}$, $0 \leq \lambda_0 \leq L_0$, $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $|z| \leq 2q^n L_n E_2 S$.

But $M^{1+2/n} \geq 2^{33} \geq (12)2^{29}$. Hence (3.17) is true if

$$f_1 = \frac{1+\frac{2}{n}}{c_1 c_3} + \frac{1+\frac{2}{n}}{c_1 c_4} + \frac{\left(1+\frac{2}{n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{(\log 4)^n} + 1 \right) \left(B + \frac{1}{nqD} \right)}{2^{22}}.$$

Using (3.12'), $E_2 \geq 2q$ and $W \geq 9n \log 2$ we obtain (3.18) of [W] by the same method provided

$$f_2 = \left(\frac{\log 3}{(\log 4)^{n+1}} + \frac{\log 3}{9n \log 2} \right) \frac{1}{c_1 c_3} + \frac{2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)}{c_1 c_4} + \frac{2 \left(\frac{1}{(\log 4)^n} + 1 \right) \left(B + \frac{1}{nqD} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} \right)}{2^{22}}.$$

Arguing as in [W, Lemma 3.2] we obtain

$$f_3 = \frac{1}{c_0 - 1} \left\{ f_1 + f_2 + \frac{1}{qc_1 c_2} + \frac{2+1/n}{c_1 c_3} + \frac{1+2/nq}{2^{22}} \right\} + \frac{1}{2^{24}n}$$

and, as in [W, page 268], we obtain (3.20) provided that

$$f_3' = f_3 + \frac{2D}{2^{22}n^2 q}.$$

The calculation in [W, Lemma 3.3] shows that we need only take

$$\frac{1}{qc_1 c_2} + q^{-n} \left(\frac{f_1}{D} + \frac{f_3'}{D} + \frac{1+1/n+D}{c_1 c_3 D} + \frac{1}{2^{22}D} \right) = f_4.$$

That $f_4 \leq 1/2$ follows easily once (3.1'a) is established (see below).

The calculation in [W, Lemma 3.4] shows that we can take

$$f_5 = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + \frac{1}{qc_1 c_2} + \frac{2+\frac{1}{n}}{c_1 c_3} + \frac{1+\frac{1}{n^2}q}{2^{22}}.$$

However, the calculation there for f_6 can be improved. In the calculation of the upper bound on $-\log |d_{J+1, \tau, s/q} \varphi_{J, \tau}(s/q)|$, the term $U/c_1 c_2$ comes from bounding above

$$Dq^n \sum_{j=1}^n L_j S q h(\alpha_j^{1/q}).$$

Since

$$h(\alpha_j^{1/q}) = \frac{1}{q} h(\alpha_j) \leq \frac{1}{q} V_j,$$

this term is bounded above by

$$Dq^n S \sum_{j=1}^n L_j V_j \leq \frac{U}{c_1 c_2 q} \quad \text{by (3.4).}$$

Hence the term $U/c_1 c_2$ can be replaced by the improvement $U/c_1 c_2 q$ in f_6 . Thus we obtain

$$f_6 = f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + \frac{1}{c_1 c_2 q} + \frac{2+\frac{1}{n}}{c_1 c_3} + \frac{1+\frac{1}{n^2}q}{2^{22}} = f_5.$$

To calculate f_7 we first observe that it is trivial to improve [CW, Lemma 2] to:

$$|f|_{2r} < 2|f|_R \left(\frac{4r}{R} \right)^{kt} + \frac{10}{3} \left(\frac{18r}{\delta k} \right)^{kt} \max \left\{ \frac{|f^{(\tau)}(x)|}{\tau!} : x \in E; 0 \leq \tau \leq t-1 \right\}$$

if $r < R/4$ since if $|z| = 2r$ and $\zeta \in \Gamma_x$, then $|\zeta - z| \geq 3r/4$ and $(k-1)! \geq (k/3)^k$ by induction.

Hence, as in the proof of [W, Lemma 3.5] we obtain

$$f_7 = \left(\frac{q-1}{2q} \right) \left(\frac{1}{c_1} - \frac{1}{c_1 c_3 q} - \frac{c_3}{2^{22} q n^2 D} \right)$$

$$- \left\{ \frac{f_1}{Dq} + \frac{f_3}{Dq} + \frac{1+\frac{1}{D}+\frac{1}{nD}}{c_1 c_3 q} + \frac{4}{c_1 c_2} + \frac{1}{2^{22} Dq} \left(2 + \frac{2D}{n^2} \right) \right\}.$$

(Note that there is a minor error in [W];

$$lt \log \left(\frac{18r}{\delta l} \right) \leq \left(\frac{q-1}{q} \right) q^k \left(\frac{ST}{2n} + SqL_n \right) \log \left(\frac{9q^2}{q-1} \right) < (0.3)U - \log 5.$$

To obtain the desired contradiction, as in [W] we require $f_7 \geq f_6 + 1/2^{24}n$. This is implied by (and is equivalent to if $q = 2$):

$$(3.1') \quad 1 \geq \frac{18}{c_2} + \frac{1}{c_3} \left(14.5 + \frac{4}{D} + \frac{12}{n} + \frac{6}{nD} + \frac{4 \log 3}{9n \log 2} + \frac{4 \log 3}{(\log 4)^{n+1}} \right) \\ + \frac{1}{c_4} \left(12 + \frac{16}{n} + \frac{2}{D} + \frac{4}{nD} \right) + \frac{c_1}{2^{22}} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{(\log 4)^n} + 1 \right) \left(B + \frac{1}{2nD} \right) \left(12 + \frac{16}{n} + \frac{2}{D} \left(1 + \frac{2}{n} \right) \right) \right. \\ \left. + 4 + \frac{2}{n} + \frac{8}{n^2} + \frac{1}{D} \left(4 + \frac{1}{2n} + \frac{c_3}{n^2} \right) \right\} + \frac{\left(4 + \frac{2}{D} \right)}{c_0 - 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2c_2} + \frac{3 + \frac{3}{n} + \frac{\log 3}{9n \log 2} + \frac{\log 3}{(\log 4)^{n+1}}}{c_3} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3 + \frac{4}{n}}{c_4} + \frac{c_1}{2^{22}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \left(3 + \frac{4}{n} \right) \left(B + \frac{1}{2nD} \right) \left(\frac{1}{(\log 4)^n} + 1 \right) \right) \right\}.$$

Since $D \geq 1$, the above inequality holds for all D if

$$(3.1'a) \quad 1 \geq \frac{18}{c_2} + \frac{1}{c_3} \left(18.5 + \frac{18}{n} + \frac{4 \log 3}{9n \log 2} + \frac{4 \log 3}{(\log 4)^{n+1}} \right) + \frac{1}{c_4} \left(14 + \frac{20}{n} \right) \\ + \frac{c_1}{2^{22}} \left(4 + \frac{2}{n} + \frac{8}{n^2} + \frac{c_3}{n^2} + \left(B + \frac{1}{2n} \right) \left(14 + \frac{20}{n} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\log 4)^n} \right) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{c_0 - 1} \left\{ \frac{3}{c_2} + \left(18 + \frac{18}{n} + \frac{6 \log 3}{9n \log 2} + \frac{6 \log 3}{(\log 4)^{n+1}} \right) \frac{1}{c_3} \right. \\ \left. + \left(18 + \frac{24}{n} \right) \frac{1}{c_4} + \frac{6c_1}{2^{22}} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n} + \left(3 + \frac{4}{n} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\log 4)^n} \right) \left(B + \frac{1}{2n} \right) \right) \right\}.$$

From this last inequality it is easy to see that $f_4 \leq 1/2$. Indeed (3.1'a) is almost the same as [W (3.1)].

To complete the proof of the Proposition, we proceed as in the proof of [W, Proposition 3.8] using

$$c_0 = \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ 4 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 3 & \text{if } n = 1, \end{cases} \quad c_2 = \begin{cases} 3e^2 & \text{if } n \geq 4, \\ 2^5 & \text{if } n = 1, 2, 3, \end{cases} \quad c_4 = \begin{cases} 2^7 & \text{if } n = 2, 3, \\ 2^8 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and

$$c_3 = \begin{cases} 533 & \text{if } n \geq 4, \\ 161 & \text{if } n = 3, \\ 295 & \text{if } n = 2, \\ 342 & \text{if } n = 1; \end{cases}$$

but the maximum is clearly attained by $W \max \{V_n^+, \log E_2\} / \log E_2$. This establishes the Proposition. ■

To prove Theorem A, we need only note that as in [W, §4], we must replace V_j by jV_j , W by W^* , E_2 by \bar{E}_2 and M by \bar{M} . However, for $n \geq 3$, we can show

$$(3.9') \quad T \leq e^{W^*/(n+1)}$$

and

$$(3.13') \quad 2L_1 \leq e^{W^*/(n+1)}.$$

Hence we obtain

$$(3.1'') \quad 1 \geq \frac{18}{c_2} + \frac{1}{c_3} \left(6.5 + \frac{8}{n+1} + \frac{4}{(n+1)D} + 4 \log 3 \left(\frac{1}{(\log 4)^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{5n(n+1)} \right) \right) \\ + \frac{1}{c_4} \left(12 + \frac{16}{n} + \frac{2}{D} + \frac{4}{nD} \right) \\ + \frac{c_1}{2^{22}} \left(4 + \frac{2}{n} + \frac{4}{D} + \frac{1}{2nD} + \frac{8}{n^2} + \frac{c_3}{n^2 D} \right. \\ \left. + \left(12 + \frac{16}{n} + \frac{2}{D} + \frac{4}{nD} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\log 4)^n} \right) \left(B + \frac{1}{2nD} \right) \right) \\ + \frac{\left(4 + \frac{2}{D} \right)}{c_0 - 1} \left\{ \frac{1}{2c_2} + \frac{1}{c_3} \left(1 + \frac{2}{n+1} + \log 3 \left(\frac{1}{(\log 4)^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{5n(n+1)} \right) \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3 + \frac{4}{n}}{c_4} + \frac{c_1}{2^{22}} \left(\left(3 + \frac{4}{n} \right) \left(B + \frac{1}{2n} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{(\log 4)^n} \right) + 1 + \frac{1}{n} \right) \right\}.$$

If $n = 3$, this is satisfied by $c_0 = 5$, $c_2 = 2^5$, $c_3 = 67$ and $c_4 = 2^7$; if $n \geq 4$, this is satisfied by $c_0 = 5$, $c_2 = 3e^2$, $c_3 = 218$ and $c_4 = 2^8$. This establishes Theorem A. ■

It should be noted that if $n \geq 28$ and we let $c_0 = 4$, $c_3 = 2^{10}$ and $c_4 = 2^{11}$, then by (3.1'') c_2 can be taken to be 19.8, assuming $q = 2$ (and $c_2 \rightarrow 19.16$ approximately as $n \rightarrow \infty$). Thus we can replace $2^{8n+20} n^{2n+1} / e^n$ by $(19.8)^n 2^{25} n^{2n+1}$ if $n \geq 28$. Let $E_3 = 2q$. Then (3.5) becomes

$$E_3 S \sum_{j=1}^n L_j |\log \alpha_j| \leq 2U/c_1 c_2 q^n a.$$

The proofs of the Proposition and Theorem A go through with the modifications that

(i) $E_2(\bar{E}_2)$ is replaced throughout by $2q$ in the Proposition (Theorem A), and

(ii) the first summand on the right-hand side of (3.1') and (3.1⁺) is replaced by $\frac{2+(16/a)}{c_2}$ for the Proposition and Theorem A respectively.

If, for example, $a = 16$ and $n \geq 4$, $\hat{C}_1(n)$ in the Proposition can be replaced by $\frac{n^{2n+1}}{n!} 5^n 2^{21}$.

The same considerations hold equally for Theorem B.

**Tables of computed values for $c = c_0'' c_1 c_2^n c_3 c_4$
where $q = 2$, $n \leq 10$ and $a = 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, 64$**

So if $n = 1$, $D = 1$ and $a = 1$, $c = 1.7 \times 10^7 = 1.7 \ 7$

$D = 1$						
n	$a = 1$	$a = 2$	$a = 4$	$a = 8$	$a = 16$	$a = 64$
1	1.7 7	1.7 7	1.7 7	1.7 7	1.7 7	
2	3.1 8	1.1 8	4.3 7	2.2 7	1.4 7	9.6 6
3	3.4 9	6.5 8	1.7 8	5.7 7	2.8 7	1.5 7
4	8.4 10	9.3 9	1.5 9	3.5 8	1.3 8	4.8 7
5	2.1 12	1.3 11	1.3 10	2.0 9	5.7 8	1.6 8
6	4.8 13	1.8 12	1.1 11	1.2 10	2.4 9	5.1 8
7	1.1 15	2.3 13	8.1 11	6.0 10	9.9 9	1.7 9
8	2.5 16	2.9 14	6.3 12	3.2 11	3.9 10	4.8 9
9	5.5 17	3.6 15	4.8 13	1.7 12	1.5 11	1.4 10
10	1.3 19	4.5 16	3.7 14	8.3 12	5.7 11	4.0 10

$D = 2, 3$						
n	$a = 1$	$a = 2$	$a = 4$	$a = 8$	$a = 16$	$a = 64$
1	1.1 7	8.4 6	8.4 6	8.4 6	8.4 6	
2	2.4 8	7.9 7	3.2 7	1.6 7	9.7 6	7.1 6
3	2.7 9	5.2 8	1.3 8	4.4 7	2.1 7	1.2 7
4	6.8 10	7.4 9	1.2 9	2.7 8	9.8 7	3.7 7

5	1.7 12	1.1 11	9.7 9	1.6 9	4.4 8	1.3 8
6	3.9 13	1.4 12	7.9 10	8.6 9	1.9 9	4.0 8
7	8.8 14	1.8 13	6.3 11	4.7 10	7.6 9	1.3 9
8	2.0 16	2.3 14	4.9 12	2.5 11	3.0 10	3.7 9
9	4.4 17	2.9 15	3.8 13	1.3 12	1.2 11	1.1 10
10	9.7 18	3.5 16	2.9 14	6.5 12	4.5 11	3.1 10

$4 \leq D \leq 9$

n	$a = 1$	$a = 2$	$a = 4$	$a = 8$	$a = 16$	$a = 64$
1	8.6 6	4.8 6	4.2 6	4.2 6	4.2 6	
2	2.0 8	6.7 7	2.7 7	1.4 7	8.2 6	6.0 6
3	2.4 9	4.6 8	1.2 8	3.9 7	1.9 7	9.7 6
4	6.0 10	6.5 9	9.9 8	2.4 8	8.5 7	3.2 7
5	1.5 12	9.0 10	8.4 9	1.4 9	3.8 8	1.1 8
6	3.4 13	1.2 12	6.9 10	7.5 9	1.6 9	3.4 8
7	7.8 14	1.6 13	5.5 11	4.1 10	6.6 9	1.2 9
8	1.8 16	2.0 14	4.3 12	2.2 11	2.6 10	3.2 9
9	3.9 17	2.5 15	3.3 13	1.2 12	9.9 10	9.2 9
10	8.6 18	3.1 16	2.5 14	5.6 12	3.9 11	2.7 10

$D \geq 10$

n	$a = 1$	$a = 2$	$a = 4$	$a = 8$	$a = 16$	$a = 64$
1	7.8 6	4.4 6	4.2 6	4.2 6	4.2 6	
2	1.9 8	6.0 7	2.4 7	1.2 7	7.4 6	5.4 6
3	2.2 9	4.2 8	1.1 8	3.5 7	1.7 7	8.9 6
4	5.5 10	6.0 9	9.1 8	2.2 8	7.8 7	2.9 7
5	1.4 12	8.2 10	7.7 9	1.3 9	3.5 8	9.6 7
6	3.2 13	1.1 12	6.4 10	6.8 9	1.5 9	3.1 8
7	7.2 14	1.5 13	5.1 11	3.7 10	6.1 9	1.1 9
8	1.7 16	1.9 14	3.9 12	2.0 11	2.4 10	2.9 9
9	3.6 17	2.3 15	3.0 13	1.1 12	9.0 10	8.4 9
10	7.9 18	2.9 16	2.3 14	5.1 12	3.5 11	2.5 10

3. The proof of Theorem B. As in Section 1, the result follows from the strongly independent case. So let W and E_2 be as defined there. We assume that (3.2') and (3.3) hold but make no assumptions that $V_1 \geq n/D$ first of all. Then if we replace M by $E^* = \max \{2^{5n+4} q^{n+1} n^{2n} D \bar{E}_2^n, \bar{E}_2^{n^2}\}$, then a similar computation to (3.7') yields

$$(3.7'') \quad \log E^* \leq Bn^2 qD + n \log \bar{E}_2 \leq An^2 qD \log \bar{E}_2$$

where A and B are as in the previous section if $n, D \geq 2$; $A = 3.28$ and $B = 3.86$ if $n = D = 1$; and $A = 1.78$ and $B = 2.12$ otherwise. From this it follows easily that if $N_0 = 2^{5n+10} q^2 n^{2n+2}$, then

$$(3.10'') \quad qN_0 D E_2^{n+1} \leq E^{*1+1/n},$$

$$(3.12'') \quad (L_{-1} + 1) \log E^* \leq \left(B + \frac{1}{2nD}\right) \left(\frac{1}{(\log 4)^n} + 1\right) \frac{U}{2^{22} q^n D}$$

and

$$(3.14'') \quad 8N_0 q^n S E_2 \leq (L_{-1} + 1) E^{*1+2/n}.$$

Since $E^{*1+2/n} \geq 2^{39}$, f_1 – f_7 are defined as in the previous section. Thus we obtain (3.1'') and (3.1''a) which are identical to (3.1') and (3.1'a) respectively, and (3.1'') if $n \geq 3$. Moreover, the values and tables of the previous section hold even with the new A and B .

If we assume $V_1 \geq n/D$ and replace \bar{E}_2 by \hat{E}_2 where $\hat{E}_2 = \min \{e^{W^*}, e^{qDV_1/n}, 2qa\}$, then we obtain Theorem B as in [PW, §4c]. If, on the other hand, we only assume that $n \geq 2$, $c_2 \geq 2^4$ and $c_3, c_4 \geq 2^7$ (but not $V_1 \geq n/D$), we can modify the argument in [PW, §4c] provided that $n < 29$. We follow the notation of [PW, §4c] except that we take \bar{E}_2 as above,

$$J_0 = [\log N_0 / \log q] \quad \text{and} \quad J_1 = J_0 - [\log(20n^2) / \log q].$$

So

$$N_0 \geq q^{J_0} \geq 20n^2 q^{J_1} \geq 2^{5n+10} n^{2n+2}.$$

It easily follows that $T/\bar{L}_j > 31.935$ and $TS/\bar{L}_0 \geq 27.476$. Since $L_j^{(J_1)} \geq 20n^2$,

$$\prod_{j=1}^n (L_j^{(J_1)} + 1) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{20n^2}\right)^n \prod_{j=1}^n L_j^{(J_1)}.$$

For $i \in \{0, 1\}$

$$S_1 \left(\begin{matrix} T_1 + \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i \end{matrix} \right) \geq \frac{ST^{\sigma_i}}{\sigma_i! (n+1)^{\sigma_i+1}} q^{(1-\sigma_i)J_1} \left(1 - \frac{5}{21n^{2n} 2^{5n+11}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{n+2}{629n^4}\right)^{\sigma_i}$$

and

$$\left(\begin{matrix} T_1 + \sigma_i \\ \sigma_i \end{matrix} \right) \geq \frac{T^{\sigma_i} q^{-J_1 \sigma_i}}{\sigma_i! (n+1)^{\sigma_i}} \left(1 - \frac{n+2}{629n^4}\right)^{\sigma_i}.$$

Using (4.10) and (4.11) of [PW] together with the above and the equation

$$\frac{H(\mathcal{L}, L^{(J_1)})}{\prod_{j=1}^n L_j^{(J_1)}} \geq q^{J_1 r} \frac{H(\mathcal{L}, \tilde{L})}{\prod_{j=0}^n \tilde{L}_j}$$

of [PW, §4c] we get the desired contradiction to [PW, Lemma 3.6] provided that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(1 - \frac{n+2}{629n^4}\right)^{r+1} \left(1 - \frac{5}{21n^{2n} 2^{5n+11}}\right) (31.935n^2)^r (27.476)n^3 \\ & > \left(1 + \frac{1}{20n^2}\right)^n (n+1)^{r+2} \frac{(n+1)!}{(n-r)!} (r+1)! \end{aligned}$$

for $0 \leq r \leq n$ and

$$\left(1 - \frac{n+2}{629n^4}\right)^r (31.935n^2)^r > \left(1 + \frac{1}{20n^2}\right)^n (n+1)^{r+1} \frac{(n+1)!}{(n-r)!} r!$$

for $1 \leq r \leq n$.

These hold for $n < 29$ as was verified by computer but fail if $n = 29$. This completes the proof of Theorem B. ■

References

- [B1] A. Baker, *Contributions to the Theory of Diophantine Equations I*, Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London A263 (1968), 173–191; II, *ibid.*, 193–208.
- [B2] A. Baker, *The theory of linear forms in logarithms*, in *Transcendence Theory: advances and applications* (ed. A. Baker and D. W. Masser), Academic Press, 1977, pp. 1–27.
- [BGMMS1] J. Blass, A. M. W. Glass, D. Manski, D. B. Meronk and R. P. Steiner, *Constants for lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms: verifications*, Proc. Number Theory Sem. Université P. & M. Curie (ed. D. Bertrand), 88 (1988), II 1–31.
- [BGMMS2] J. Blass, A. M. W. Glass, D. K. Manski, D. B. Meronk and R. P. Steiner, *Constants for lower bounds for linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers II. The homogeneous rational case*, Acta Arith. 55 (1990), 15–22.
- [BGMS] J. Blass, A. M. W. Glass, D. B. Meronk and R. P. Steiner, *Practical solutions to Thue equations over the rational integers* (submitted).
- [CW] P. J. Cijssouw and M. Waldschmidt, *Linear forms and simultaneous approximations*, Compositio Math. 34 (1977), 173–197.
- [L] S. Lang, *Elliptic Curves, Diophantine Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, 1978.

- [M] A. A. Matveev, *A lower bound for linear forms in logarithms*, in *Transcendental Number Theory and its Applications*, Proc. Conf. Moscow Univ., Feb. 2-4, 1983 (Izd. Mosk. Univ. 1983).
- [PW] P. Philippon and M. Waldschmidt, *Lower bounds for linear forms in logarithms*, in *New Advances in Transcendence Theory* (ed. A. Baker), Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988, pp. 280-312.
- [W] M. Waldschmidt, *A lower bound for linear forms in logarithms*, Acta Arith. 37 (1980), 257-283.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS
BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY
Bowling Green, Ohio 43403-0221 U.S.A.

Received on 30.7.1987
and in revised form on 30.5.1988

(1739)

ACTA ARITHMETICA
LV (1990)

Constants for lower bounds for linear forms in the logarithms of algebraic numbers II The homogeneous rational case

by

JOSEF BLASS*, A. M. W. GLASS*, DAVID K. MANSKI**,
DAVID B. MERONK** and RAY P. STEINER* (Bowling Green, Ohio)

1. Introduction. In this note we compute the constant for the lower bound of a homogeneous linear form in logarithms of non-zero algebraic numbers with rational coefficients. The constant we obtain improves that in [Wa]. Actually, we will derive the result from the special case when the rational coefficients are integers and a certain strong independence holds. In this paper, unlike the previous one, we only address the strongly independent case; although reduction to the strongly independent case can be done as in the previous paper (see Corollary 2 below), there may be cases when a reduction to strong independence is possible without increasing the bounds quite so much (see, e.g., [BS]). We will again follow [Wa] but with the modification given in [LMPW]; the reader will need to consult both papers since we will only give those steps in the proof which are different from those of [Wa] and [LMPW] (for more details, see [BGMMS1]). We will not bother to determine the constants of [PW, §5] since they are far greater ($c_5 \geq 2^n(n+1)^{n+2}n!$; since $c_0 \geq 1$,

$$c_0 c_1 c_2^n c_3 c_4 n^n / n! \geq 2^{n^2+2n} (n+1)^{n^2+5n+4} (n!)^{n+1}.$$

Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ be non-zero algebraic numbers, $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ and $D = [K:\mathbb{Q}]$. Let

$$V_1 = \max \{h(\alpha_1), |\log \alpha_1|/D, 1/D\}$$

and

$$V_{j+1} = \max \{h(\alpha_{j+1}), |\log \alpha_{j+1}|/D, V_j\} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n-1),$$

* Research supported in part by Bowling Green State University Faculty Research grants and a Challenge Grant from the Ohio Board of Regents.

** Research supported in part by a grant from the Bowling Green State University Graduate College.

We all wish to acknowledge, with thanks, the warm encouragement and assistance given by Michel Waldschmidt for this work; without it, this paper would not have been possible.