

Concerning a conjecture of R. L. Graham

by

JACK LAMOREAUX and ANDREW POLLINGTON (Provo, Utah)

Graham [1] has conjectured that if $a_1 < \dots < a_n$ are positive integers then

$$\max_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)} \geq n.$$

Many special cases of this result are known (see Wong [3]). Simpson [2] has shown that no counter example can contain a prime in the sequence.

It is the purpose of this note to show

THEOREM. *If $a_1 < \dots < a_n$ and $\frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)} < n$ for all i, j then no a_i can be a power of a prime.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{g.c.d.}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = 1$, $a_k = p^m$ for some prime p , $1 \leq k \leq n$ and

$$(1) \quad \frac{a_i}{(a_i, a_j)} < n, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

Then the numbers a_1, \dots, a_n are all of the form

$$s_{ij} = ip^{m-j+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m+1.$$

Form the $(n-1) \times (m+1)$ matrix $S = (s_{ij})$.

Our proof will be complete if we can find a matrix T , a permutation of S , so that at most one distinct element from each row of T can lie in our sequence. Let σ denote the following function defined on $1, \dots, n-1$.

$$\sigma(i) = pi \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq i \leq \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p} \right\rfloor,$$

$$\sigma(i) = \frac{i+1}{p}, \quad \text{where } p^\alpha \parallel i+1, \quad \text{if} \quad \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p} \right\rfloor < i < n-1$$

$$= \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p} \right\rfloor + 1}{p^\alpha} \quad \text{where } p^\alpha \parallel \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p} \right\rfloor + 1.$$



It is easily seen that σ is in fact a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Put $T_{ij} = (\sigma^{j-1}(i)) p^{m+1-j}$; then $T = (T_{ij})$ is a permutation of S . Fix l ; then at most one number of the form T_{ij} can be in our sequence.

Put

$$k_i = \sigma^{i-1}(l).$$

LEMMA. If $i > j$ then either

$$k_i p^{m+1-i} = k_j p^{m+1-j}$$

or

$$\frac{k_j p^{m-j+1}}{(k_j p^{m-j+1}, k_i p^{m-i+1})} \geq n.$$

Proof. By the definition of σ , we may assume without loss of generality

$$k_j > \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p} \right\rfloor \quad \text{and} \quad (k_i, p) = 1.$$

Now

$$\frac{k_j p^{m-j+1}}{(k_j p^{m-j+1}, k_i p^{m-i+1})} = \frac{k_j p^{i-j}}{(k_j p^{i-j}, k_i)} = \frac{k_j p^{i-j}}{(k_i, k_j)}.$$

We distinguish two cases:

- (i) If $k_r = n-1$ for some $j \leq r < i$.
 (ii) Not (i).

We estimate (k_i, k_j) .

- (i) $k_j = n - r_1$ where $1 \leq r_1 \leq r - j + 1$,

$$k_i = \frac{\left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p} \right\rfloor + s}{p^a} \quad \text{where } 1 \leq s \leq i - r.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (k_i, k_j) &\leq (p^{a+1} k_i, k_j) \\ &= \left(ps + p \left\lfloor \frac{n-1}{p} \right\rfloor, n - r_1 \right) \\ &= (n + p^s - a, n - r_1) \quad \text{some } 1 \leq a \leq p \\ &\leq ps - a + r_1 \leq p(i - r) + (r - j) \\ &\leq p(i - j). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{k_j}{(k_i, k_j)} p^{i-j} > \frac{(n - (i - j)) p^{i-j}}{p(i - j)} \geq n - 1$$

since $(R_i, p) = 1$ for all $p, i - j$ except $p = i - j = 2$, by considering the derivative of $(n - x) p^{x-1}/x$. For the case $p = i - j = 2$ it is easily verified that $\frac{n_j p^{i-j}}{(k_i, k_j)} \geq n$.

$$(ii) \quad k_i = \frac{k_j + s}{p^a} \quad \text{where } 1 \leq s \leq i - j.$$

Then

$$(k_i, k_j) \leq (p^a k_i, k_j) \leq i - j.$$

Hence

$$\frac{k_j p^{i-j}}{(k_i, k_j)} \geq \frac{k_j p^{i-j}}{i - j} \geq k_j p > n - 1.$$

This completes the proof.

Added in proof. Recently M. Szegedy has settled the question of Graham for all sufficiently large n : M. Szegedy, *The solution of Graham's common divisor problem*, Hungarian Academy of Sciences. Preprint 27/1985.

References

- [1] R. L. Graham, *Problem 5749*, Amer. Math. Monthly 77 (1970), p. 775.
 [2] R. J. Simpson, *On a conjecture of R. L. Graham*, Acta Arith. 40 (1982), pp. 209-211.
 [3] W. W.-C. Wong, *On a number theoretic conjecture of Graham*, Ph.D. thesis, 1981, Columbia.

BRIGHAM YOUNG UNIVERSITY
 MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT
 TALMAGE MATH/COMP., BUILDING 292
 PROVO, UTAH 84602, U.S.A.

Received on 18.5.1984

(1427)