

## Selberg Formulae for Gaussian integers

by

G. L. COLLEN (Broadway, N. S. W., Australia)

**Introduction.** A *Gaussian integer* is a complex number  $a + ib$  in which  $a$  and  $b$  are ordinary integers; a Gaussian prime  $\rho$  is a Gaussian integer with  $|\rho| > 1$  which is divisible only by  $\varepsilon$  or  $\varepsilon\rho$  where  $\varepsilon$  is 1,  $-1$ ,  $i$  or  $-i$  ( $\varepsilon\rho$  is called an associate of  $\rho$ ). In Hardy and Wright [5] it is shown that the only Gaussian primes are  $1 + i$ , and its associates, real primes of the form  $4n + 3$  and their associates and the factors  $a + ib$  of real primes of the form  $4n + 1$ . Chulanovskii [1] (see also [4]) obtained the following expression for the number of Gaussian primes  $\rho$  in an expanding domain  $D$  in terms of the number of Gaussian integers  $\nu$  in  $D$  and the radius  $R$  of  $D$ :

$$\sum_{\nu \in D} 1 = \frac{2}{\pi \log R} \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O\left(\frac{R^2}{\log^3 R \log \log R}\right)$$

as  $R \rightarrow \infty$ . The form of the domain  $D$  has been discussed by the author in an earlier paper [2]. Chulanovskii's result was obtained using elementary methods only. Kubilius [6] had previously obtained a better result (for homothetically expanding domains) using complex variable methods.

In this paper, we introduce simplified methods for finding a number of results akin to the celebrated Selberg Formula, which is the basis of all elementary proofs of the Prime Number Theorem and extensions of it. See, for example, Erdős [3] and Wirsing [8]. Unlike Chulanovskii, we first find such a formula for the Gaussian integers in a disc and then apply this to the more general problem of an arbitrary domain. The former may be obtained as a direct analogue of any of the methods used in the case of real integers; we use a method of Smith-White [7] in which only simple manipulations of the Möbius function  $\mu$  and its associated inversion formulae are used.

**Notation.** Unless stated otherwise, the words "integers" and "primes" in the following shall refer to Gaussian integers and Gaussian primes.

Lower case Greek letters (except  $\pi$  and  $\xi$ ) always denote integers, with  $\rho$  reserved for primes and  $\varepsilon$  for the integers 1,  $-1$ ,  $i$  or  $-i$ ;  $\xi$  is any complex number;  $O$  is a real constant.

Differing slightly from Chulanovskii, we shall understand  $D$  to be a domain in the complex plane, of radius

$$R = \sup_{\xi \in D} |\xi|,$$

such that the boundary consists of a bounded number of simple closed rectifiable curves, of total length  $l$  and such that the ratio  $l/R$  remains bounded. Without loss of generality, we shall further assume  $D$  to be symmetric with respect to rotation through  $90^\circ$ ; that is, if  $\xi \in D$  then also  $\varepsilon \xi \in D$ .

By  $D/\nu$  ( $\nu \neq 0$ ), we shall mean the domain defined by:  $\xi \in D/\nu$  if and only if  $\xi \nu \in D$ . Then  $D/\nu$  is also symmetric, and has radius  $R/|\nu|$  and boundary of length  $l/|\nu|$ . The domains  $D$  and  $D/\nu$  are geometrically similar.

We shall denote by  $\partial(D)$  the boundary of  $D$  and by  $\mathcal{A}(D)$  the area of  $D$ .

**Preliminary results.** We assume the result

$$(1) \quad \sum_{|\nu| < R} 1 = \pi R^2 + O(R),$$

from which

$$\sum_{\nu \in D} 1 = O(R^2).$$

Define the domain  $D_r$  by

$$D_r = \{\xi: |\xi - \xi'| < r \text{ for some } \xi' \in \partial(D)\}.$$

Then we show that

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\nu \in D_r} 1 \leq Br(l+r),$$

for some positive constant  $B$ .

Suppose that  $\partial(D)$  consists of a single closed curve. Let  $m$  satisfy  $0 < m \leq l$  and divide  $\partial(D)$  into  $[l/m] + 1$  sections, each having length at most  $m$ . If  $\xi \in D_r$ , there is some  $\xi' \in \partial(D)$  for which  $|\xi - \xi'| < r$ . Hence  $D_r$  is contained in the union of circles of radius  $r+m$  with centres at the division points of  $\partial(D)$  and so there is a positive constant  $B$  so that

$$\sum_{\nu \in D_r} 1 \leq \left( \left[ \frac{l}{m} \right] + 1 \right) \frac{B}{4} (r+m)^2.$$

If  $l \leq r$ , we take  $m = l$ , while if  $l > r$ , we take  $m = r$ , in each case obtaining (2). If  $\partial(D)$  consists of a bounded number of closed curves, we simply add the above results together for the separate pieces.

It follows from (2) that

$$(3) \quad \sum_{\nu \in D/\nu} 1 = \frac{1}{|\nu|^2} \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O\left(\frac{R}{|\nu|}\right),$$

where  $1 \leq |\nu| \leq R$ . For clearly

$$\left| \mathcal{A}(D) - \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 \right| \leq \sum_{\nu \in D/\sqrt{2}} 1 = O(l + \sqrt{2})$$

so that

$$\mathcal{A}(D) = \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O(l + 1).$$

Then

$$\mathcal{A}\left(\frac{D}{\nu}\right) = \sum_{\nu \in D/\nu} 1 + O\left(\frac{l}{|\nu|} + 1\right)$$

while also

$$\mathcal{A}\left(\frac{D}{\nu}\right) = \frac{1}{|\nu|^2} \mathcal{A}(D).$$

These lead to

$$\sum_{\nu \in D/\nu} 1 = \frac{1}{|\nu|^2} \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O\left(\frac{l}{|\nu|} + 1\right),$$

since  $|\nu| \geq 1$ , which is a stronger result than (3). However, the equation (3), which we obtain by putting  $l = O(R)$  and requiring  $|\nu| \leq R$ , is more useful for our purposes.

The function corresponding to the ordinary Möbius function  $\mu$  is the function  $q$  defined for all  $a \neq 0$  by

$$q(a) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a = \varepsilon, \\ 0, & \text{if } \nu^2 |a| \text{ for some } \nu, |\nu| > 1, \\ (-1)^m, & \text{if } a = \varrho_1 \varrho_2 \dots \varrho_m, \text{ where no two of the primes} \\ & \varrho_1, \varrho_2, \dots, \varrho_m \text{ are associates.} \end{cases}$$

We may readily prove, as for real integers, that

$$(4) \quad \sum_{a|\nu} q(a) = \begin{cases} 4, & \text{if } \nu = \varepsilon, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{a|\nu} q(a) \log |a| = \begin{cases} -4 \log |\varrho|, & \text{if } \nu = \varepsilon \varrho^a \text{ where } a \text{ is a} \\ & \text{positive integer,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define

$$A(\nu) = \begin{cases} \log |\varrho|, & \text{if } \nu = \varepsilon \varrho^a \text{ where } a \text{ is a positive integer,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(5) \quad \Lambda(\nu) = -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{a|\nu} q(a) \log |a|,$$

$$(6) \quad \log |\nu| = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a|\nu} \Lambda(a),$$

$$(7) \quad \sum_{|\nu| < R} \Lambda(\nu) = O(R^2).$$

The following transformations of sums are used extensively in the sequel. For convenience of notation, we now specify that henceforth the origin is always excluded from our summations.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|a\nu| < R} f(a, \nu) &= \sum_{|a| < R} \sum_{|\nu| < R/|a|} f(a, \nu) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} \sum_{|a| < R/|\nu|} f(a, \nu) \\ &= \sum_{|a| < R} \sum_{a|\kappa} f\left(a, \frac{\kappa}{a}\right) = \sum_{|\kappa| < R} \sum_{\nu|\kappa} f\left(\frac{\kappa}{\nu}, \nu\right), \\ \sum_{a \in D} f(a, \nu) &= \sum_{|a| < R} \sum_{\nu \in D/a} f(a, \nu) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} \sum_{a \in D/\nu} f(a, \nu) \\ &= \sum_{\kappa \in D} \sum_{a|\kappa} f\left(a, \frac{\kappa}{a}\right) = \sum_{\kappa \in D} \sum_{\nu|\kappa} f\left(\frac{\kappa}{\nu}, \nu\right). \end{aligned}$$

We make considerable use of the following inversion formula:

$$G(R) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} F\left(\frac{R}{|\nu|}\right) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad 16F(R) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu) G\left(\frac{R}{|\nu|}\right).$$

Proof. Suppose  $G(R) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} F\left(\frac{R}{|\nu|}\right)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu) G\left(\frac{R}{|\nu|}\right) &= \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu) \sum_{|a| < R/|\nu|} F\left(\frac{R}{|a\nu|}\right) = \sum_{|a\nu| < R} q(\nu) F\left(\frac{R}{|a\nu|}\right) \\ &= \sum_{|\nu| < R} \sum_{\nu|\kappa} q(\nu) F\left(\frac{R}{|\kappa|}\right) = \sum_{|\kappa| < R} F\left(\frac{R}{|\kappa|}\right) \sum_{\nu|\kappa} q(\nu) \\ &= 4 \sum_{\substack{\kappa \\ \kappa \neq 0}} F\left(\frac{R}{|\kappa|}\right) = 16F(R), \end{aligned}$$

using (4). The converse is similarly proved.

If  $F$  and  $G$  are two functions satisfying this formula, we shall call them a *Möbius Pair* and denote this by  $\{F, G\}$ , an ordered pair.

Let the functions  $N$ ,  $V$ ,  $S$  and  $L$  be defined for  $R \geq 1$  by

$$N(R) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} 1, \quad N(1) = 0;$$

$$V(R) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{R^2}{|\nu|^2}, \quad V(1) = 0;$$

$$S(R) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} \Lambda(\nu), \quad S(1) = 0;$$

$$L(R) = 4 \sum_{|\nu| < R} \log |\nu|, \quad L(1) = 0.$$

According to (1) and (7),

$$N(R) = \pi R^2 + O(R), \quad S(R) = O(R^2).$$

We see that  $\{1, N\}$  and  $\{R^2, V\}$  are Möbius Pairs. Using (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|a| < R} S\left(\frac{R}{|a|}\right) &= \sum_{|a| < R} \sum_{|\nu| < R/|a|} \Lambda(\nu) = \sum_{|a\nu| < R} \Lambda(\nu) \\ &= \sum_{|\kappa| < R} \sum_{\nu|\kappa} \Lambda(\nu) = 4 \sum_{|\nu| < R} \log |\nu| = L(R), \end{aligned}$$

so  $\{S, L\}$  is also a Möbius Pair.

Applying the inversion formula, we have

$$(8) \quad 16 = \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu) N\left(\frac{R}{|\nu|}\right),$$

$$(9) \quad 16R^2 = \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu) V\left(\frac{R}{|\nu|}\right).$$

Riemann-Stieltjes integrals may be used to simplify the evaluation and estimation of sums, since a partial summation is then replaced by an integration by parts. Integrators such as  $N$ ,  $S$  and  $Q$ , defined for  $R \geq 1$  by

$$Q(R) = \sum_{|a| < R} q(a), \quad Q(1) = 0,$$

are all step functions, continuous from the left at their points of discontinuity. A change of dummy variable from  $u$  to  $v$  will always be via the substitution  $v = R/u$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4} L(R) &= \sum_{|\nu| < R} \log |\nu| = \int_1^R \log u dN(u) = [N(u) \log u]_1^R - \int_1^R \frac{N(u)}{u} du \\ &= \pi R^2 \log R + O(R \log R) - \int_1^R \frac{\pi u^2 + O(u)}{u} du \\ &= \pi R^2 \log R - \frac{1}{2} \pi R^2 + O(R \log R), \end{aligned}$$



so that

$$(10) \quad L(R) = 4\pi R^2 \log R - 2\pi R^2 + O(R \log R).$$

Likewise, we obtain

$$(11) \quad \sum_{|v| < R} \frac{1}{|v|^a} = \int_1^R \frac{dN(u)}{u^a} = \begin{cases} \frac{2\pi}{2-a} R^{2-a} + O(R^{1-a}), & a < 1, \\ 2\pi R + O(\log R), & a = 1, \\ \frac{2\pi}{2-a} R^{2-a} + O(1), & 1 < a < 2, \\ 2\pi \log R + C + O\left(\frac{1}{R}\right), & a = 2, \\ O(1), & a > 2. \end{cases}$$

In particular,

$$(12) \quad V(R) = 2\pi R^2 \log R + CR^2 + O(R).$$

Writing (8) as

$$16 = \int_1^R N\left(\frac{R}{u}\right) dQ(u),$$

we have

$$16 = \int_1^R \left( \pi \frac{R^2}{u^2} + O\left(\frac{R}{u}\right) \right) dQ(u) = \pi R^2 \int_1^R \frac{dQ(u)}{u^2} + O\left(R \int_1^R \frac{dN(u)}{u}\right)$$

so that, by (11),

$$(13) \quad \int_1^R \frac{dQ(u)}{u^2} = \sum_{|\alpha| < R} \frac{q(\alpha)}{|\alpha|^2} = O(1).$$

Since  $\{S, L\}$  is a Möbius Pair,

$$\begin{aligned} L(R) &= \int_1^R S\left(\frac{R}{u}\right) dN(u) = \left[ S\left(\frac{R}{u}\right) N(u) \right]_1^R - \int_1^R N(u) dS\left(\frac{R}{u}\right) \\ &= \int_1^R N\left(\frac{R}{v}\right) dS(v) = \pi R^2 \int_1^R \frac{dS(v)}{v^2} + O\left(R \int_1^R \frac{dS(v)}{v}\right) \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$(14) \quad \int_1^R \frac{dS(v)}{v} = \sum_{|v| < R} \frac{A(v)}{|v|} = O(R),$$

we obtain, substituting from (10),

$$(15) \quad \int_1^R \frac{dS(v)}{v^2} = \sum_{|v| < R} \frac{A(v)}{|v|^2} = 4 \log R + O(1).$$

The Selberg Formula for a disc. For any Möbius Pair  $\{F, G\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 16 F(R) \log R &= \sum_{|v| < R} q(v) G\left(\frac{R}{|v|}\right) \log R \\ &= \sum_{|v| < R} q(v) G\left(\frac{R}{|v|}\right) \log \frac{R}{|v|} + \sum_{|v| < R} q(v) G\left(\frac{R}{|v|}\right) \log |v|. \end{aligned}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|v| < R} q(v) G\left(\frac{R}{|v|}\right) \log |v| &= \sum_{|v| < R} q(v) \log |v| \sum_{|\alpha| < R/|v|} F\left(\frac{R}{|\alpha v|}\right) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha v| < R} q(v) \log |v| F\left(\frac{R}{|\alpha v|}\right) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| < R} F\left(\frac{R}{|\alpha|}\right) \sum_{v|\alpha} q(v) \log |v| = -4 \sum_{|\alpha| < R} F\left(\frac{R}{|\alpha|}\right) A(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

by (5), so

$$(16) \quad 16 F(R) \log R + 4 \sum_{|\alpha| < R} A(\alpha) F\left(\frac{R}{|\alpha|}\right) = \sum_{|v| < R} q(v) G\left(\frac{R}{|v|}\right) \log \frac{R}{|v|}.$$

In a particular case where  $G(R) = O(R \log R)$ , we would have  $G(R) \log R = O(R\sqrt{R})$  and

$$\sum_{|v| < R} q(v) G\left(\frac{R}{|v|}\right) \log \frac{R}{|v|} = O\left(R^{3/2} \sum_{|v| < R} \frac{1}{|v|^{3/2}}\right) = O(R^2),$$

by (11), and in this case

$$(17) \quad 4F(R) \log R + \sum_{|\alpha| < R} A(\alpha) F\left(\frac{R}{|\alpha|}\right) = O(R^2).$$

Now consider in conjunction the Möbius Pairs  $\{1, N\}$ ,  $\{S, L\}$  and  $\{R^2, V\}$ . The "smallest possible" linear combination of  $N, L$  and  $V$  is the function  $G_0 = L - 2V + 2\left(1 + \frac{C}{\pi}\right)N$ ; in fact  $G_0(R) = O(R \log R)$ .

$\{F_0, G_0\}$ , where  $F_0(R) = S(R) - 2R^2 + 2\left(1 + \frac{C}{\pi}\right)$ , will be a Möbius Pair and (17) may be applied.



Substituting  $F_0$  for  $F$  in (17), we get

$$4S(R)\log R - 8R^2\log R + 8\left(1 + \frac{O}{\pi}\right)\log R + \sum_{|z| < R} A(z)S\left(\frac{R}{|z|}\right) - 2R^2 \sum_{|z| < R} \frac{A(z)}{|z|^2} + 2\left(1 + \frac{O}{\pi}\right) \sum_{|z| < R} A(z) = O(R^2).$$

Using (15) and simplifying, we get

$$(18) \quad \log R \sum_{|\nu| < R} A(\nu) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{|\nu| < R} A(\nu)A(\nu) = 4R^2\log R + O(R^2),$$

which is the required Selberg Formula for the integers in the disc  $|\xi| < R$ .

Substituting  $\{S, L\}$  for  $\{F, G\}$  in (16) and using (18), we obtain

$$(19) \quad \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu)\log \frac{R}{|\nu|} \sum_{|\nu| < R/|\nu|} \log |\nu| = 16R^2\log R + O(R^2).$$

It is the analogue in  $D$  of this result that is the basis of our method for finding a Selberg Formula for the integers in  $D$ .

Finally in this section, we prove that

$$(20) \quad \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{q(\nu)}{|\nu|^2} \log^2 \frac{R}{|\nu|} = \frac{16}{\pi} \log R + O(1).$$

From (9) and (12),

$$16R^2 = \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu)V\left(\frac{R}{|\nu|}\right) = 2\pi R^2 \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{q(\nu)}{|\nu|^2} \log \frac{R}{|\nu|} + CR^2 \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{q(\nu)}{|\nu|^2} + O\left(R \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{1}{|\nu|}\right)$$

so, by (11) and (13),

$$(21) \quad \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{q(\nu)}{|\nu|^2} \log \frac{R}{|\nu|} = O(1).$$

Substituting (10) into (19):

$$16R^2\log R + O(R^2) = \pi R^2 \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{q(\nu)}{|\nu|^2} \log^2 \frac{R}{|\nu|} - \frac{1}{2}\pi R^2 \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{q(\nu)}{|\nu|^2} \log \frac{R}{|\nu|} + O\left(R \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{1}{|\nu|} \log^2 \frac{R}{|\nu|}\right) = \pi R^2 \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{q(\nu)}{|\nu|^2} \log^2 \frac{R}{|\nu|} + O(R^2) + O\left(R^{3/2} \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{1}{|\nu|^{3/2}}\right)$$

and (20) follows, using (11) and (21).

The Selberg Formula for  $D$ . Set

$$\Gamma = \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu)\log \frac{R}{|\nu|} \sum_{\alpha \in D/\nu} \log |\alpha|.$$

On the one hand,

$$\Gamma = \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu)\log \frac{R}{|\nu|} \sum_{\alpha \in D/\nu} \left(\log \frac{R}{|\nu|} + \left(\log |\alpha| - \log \frac{R}{|\nu|}\right)\right) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu)\log^2 \frac{R}{|\nu|} \sum_{\alpha \in D/\nu} 1 + E,$$

where

$$E = \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu)\log \frac{R}{|\nu|} \sum_{\alpha \in D/\nu} \log \frac{|\alpha\nu|}{R}.$$

By (3) and (20),

$$\Gamma = \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu)\log^2 \frac{R}{|\nu|} \left(\frac{1}{|\nu|^2} \sum_{\alpha \in D} 1 + O\left(\frac{R}{|\nu|}\right)\right) + E = \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{q(\nu)}{|\nu|^2} \log^2 \frac{R}{|\nu|} \sum_{\alpha \in D} 1 + O\left(R^{3/2} \sum_{|\nu| < R} \frac{1}{|\nu|^{3/2}}\right) + E = \frac{16}{\pi} \log R \sum_{\alpha \in D} 1 + O(R^2) + E.$$

$$E = \sum_{\alpha \in D} q(\nu)\log \frac{R}{|\nu|} \log \frac{|\alpha\nu|}{R} = \sum_{\alpha \in D} \sum_{\nu|\alpha} q(\nu)\log \frac{R}{|\nu|} \log \frac{|\alpha|}{R} = \sum_{\alpha \in D} \log \frac{|\alpha|}{R} \sum_{\nu|\alpha} q(\nu)(\log R - \log |\nu|) = \log R \sum_{\alpha \in D} \log \frac{|\alpha|}{R} \sum_{\nu|\alpha} q(\nu) - \sum_{\alpha \in D} \log \frac{|\alpha|}{R} \sum_{\nu|\alpha} q(\nu)\log |\nu| = -16\log^2 R - 4 \sum_{\alpha \in D} A(\alpha)\log \frac{R}{|\alpha|} = O(\log^2 R) + O\left(\sum_{|\alpha| < R} A(\alpha)\log \frac{R}{|\alpha|}\right),$$

using (4) and (5). We have assumed here that  $1 \in D$ , but clearly this is not necessary. Now,

$$\sum_{|\alpha| < R} A(\alpha)\log \frac{R}{|\alpha|} = \int_1^R \log \frac{R}{u} dS(u) = \left[S(u)\log \frac{R}{u}\right]_1^R + \int_1^R \frac{S(u)}{u} du = O\left(\int_1^R u du\right) = O(R^2),$$

so  $E = O(R^2)$  and

$$(22) \quad \Gamma = \frac{16}{\pi} \log R \sum_{\kappa \in D} 1 + O(R^2).$$

On the other hand, notice that, by (6),

$$4 \sum_{\kappa \in D} \log |\kappa| = \sum_{\kappa \in D} \sum_{\nu | \kappa} \Lambda(\nu) = \sum_{\mu \in D} \Lambda(\mu) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} \Lambda(\nu) \sum_{\mu \in D/\nu} 1.$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} 4\Gamma &= \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu) \log \frac{R}{|\nu|} \sum_{|\kappa| < R/|\nu|} \Lambda(\kappa) \sum_{\mu \in D/\nu} 1 = \sum_{|\nu| < R} q(\nu) \log \frac{R}{|\nu|} \Lambda(\nu) \sum_{\mu \in D/\nu} 1 \\ &= \sum_{|\kappa| < R} \Lambda(\kappa) \sum_{|\nu| < R/|\kappa|} q(\nu) \log \frac{R}{|\nu|} \sum_{\mu \in D/\nu} 1 = \sum_{|\kappa| < R} \Lambda(\kappa) \sum_{\mu \in D/\kappa} q(\mu) \log \frac{R}{|\mu|} \\ &= \log R \sum_{|\kappa| < R} \Lambda(\kappa) \sum_{a \in D/\kappa} \sum_{\nu | a} q(\nu) - \sum_{|\kappa| < R} \Lambda(\kappa) \sum_{a \in D/\kappa} \sum_{\nu | a} q(\nu) \log |\nu| \\ &= 4 \log R \sum_{\substack{a, \kappa \in D \\ a\kappa = \epsilon}} \Lambda(\kappa) + 4 \sum_{|\kappa| < R} \Lambda(\kappa) \sum_{a \in D/\kappa} \Lambda(a) \\ &= 16 \log R \sum_{\kappa \in D} \Lambda(\kappa) + 4 \sum_{a \in D} \Lambda(a) \Lambda(a), \end{aligned}$$

using (4) and (5). Thus

$$(23) \quad \Gamma = 4 \log R \sum_{\kappa \in D} \Lambda(\kappa) + \sum_{a \in D} \Lambda(a) \Lambda(a).$$

From (22) and (23),

$$(24) \quad \log R \sum_{\kappa \in D} \Lambda(\kappa) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{a \in D} \Lambda(a) \Lambda(a) = \frac{4}{\pi} \log R \sum_{\kappa \in D} 1 + O(R^2),$$

which is the required Selberg Formula for the integers in  $D$ .

**Further formulae.** We next obtain some alternative forms and extensions of (24).

Firstly, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{a \in D} \Lambda(a) \Lambda(\kappa) &= \sum_{\substack{a \in D \\ |a| < \sqrt{R}}} \Lambda(a) \Lambda(\kappa) + \sum_{\substack{a \in D \\ |a| < \sqrt{R}}} \Lambda(a) \Lambda(\kappa) - \sum_{\substack{a \in D \\ |a| < \sqrt{R} \\ |\kappa| < \sqrt{R}}} \Lambda(a) \Lambda(\kappa) \\ &= 2 \sum_{|a| < \sqrt{R}} \Lambda(a) \sum_{\kappa \in D/a} \Lambda(\kappa) + O(R^2), \end{aligned}$$

(24) may be given equivalently as

$$(25) \quad \log R \sum_{\kappa \in D} \Lambda(\kappa) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|a| < \sqrt{R}} \Lambda(a) \sum_{\kappa \in D/a} \Lambda(\kappa) = \frac{4}{\pi} \log R \sum_{\kappa \in D} 1 + O(R^2).$$

Secondly, we show that

$$(26) \quad \sum_{\kappa \in D} \Lambda(\kappa) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in D \\ \sqrt{2} \leq |\nu|}} \frac{\Lambda(\kappa) \Lambda(\nu)}{\log |\kappa \nu|} = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\kappa \in D} 1 + O\left(\frac{R^2}{\log R}\right).$$

Introduce as an integrator the function  $S_1$ , defined for  $R \geq 1$  by

$$S_1(R) = \sum_{|\nu| < R} \Lambda(\nu) \Lambda(\nu), \quad S_1(1) = 0.$$

By (24),  $S_1(R) = O(R^2 \log R)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in D \\ \sqrt{2} \leq |\nu|}} \frac{\Lambda(\kappa) \Lambda(\nu)}{\log |\kappa \nu|} \log \frac{R}{|\kappa \nu|} &= \int_{\sqrt{2}}^R \frac{\log(R/u)}{\log u} dS_1(u) \\ &= \left[ S_1(u) \frac{\log(R/u)}{\log u} \right]_{\sqrt{2}}^R + \log R \int_{\sqrt{2}}^R \frac{S_1(u)}{u \log^2 u} du \\ &= O(\log R) + O\left(\log R \int_{\sqrt{2}}^R \frac{u}{\log u} du\right) = O(R^2), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\kappa \in D} \Lambda(\kappa) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in D \\ \sqrt{2} \leq |\nu|}} \frac{\Lambda(\kappa) \Lambda(\nu)}{\log |\kappa \nu|} &= \sum_{\kappa \in D} \Lambda(\kappa) + \frac{1}{4 \log R} \sum_{\nu \in D} \Lambda(\kappa) \Lambda(\nu) + \frac{1}{4 \log R} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in D \\ \sqrt{2} \leq |\nu|}} \frac{\Lambda(\kappa) \Lambda(\nu)}{\log |\kappa \nu|} \log \frac{R}{|\kappa \nu|} \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\kappa \in D} 1 + O\left(\frac{R^2}{\log R}\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{\log R} \sum_{\substack{\nu \in D \\ \sqrt{2} \leq |\nu|}} \frac{\Lambda(\kappa) \Lambda(\nu)}{\log |\kappa \nu|} \log \frac{R}{|\kappa \nu|}\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\kappa \in D} 1 + O\left(\frac{R^2}{\log R}\right), \end{aligned}$$

by (24). It will be convenient below to replace  $O(R^2/\log R)$  in (26) by  $O(R^2/\log 2R)$ .

Our next result is:

$$(27) \quad \sum_{|\nu| < \sqrt{R}} \Lambda(\nu) \sum_{\kappa \in D/\nu} \Lambda(\kappa) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |\nu| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(a) \Lambda(\nu)}{\log |\nu|} \sum_{\kappa \in D/\nu} \Lambda(\kappa) = \frac{8}{\pi} \log R \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O(R^2 \log \log R).$$



This is a special case of the following: Let  $h$  be a real-valued function defined on the positive real numbers, and suppose that the function  $H$ , given by

$$H(R) = \sum_{|\kappa| < R} h(|\kappa|) \quad (R > 1), \quad H(1) = 0,$$

satisfies the condition  $H(R) = O(R^2)$ . Then

$$(28) \quad \sum_{|\kappa| < \sqrt{R}} A(\nu) \sum_{\kappa \in D/\nu} h(|\kappa|) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |\alpha\nu| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{A(\alpha)A(\nu)}{\log|\alpha\nu|} \sum_{\kappa \in D/\alpha\nu} h(|\kappa|) \\ = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\sqrt{R} \leq |\kappa| < R} \frac{h(|\kappa|)}{|\kappa|^2} \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O(R^2 \log \log R).$$

To derive (27) from (28), we simply note that it is valid to put  $h(|\kappa|) = A(\kappa)$  and we use (15).

The proof of (28) requires the estimates

$$\sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |\kappa\nu| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{A(\kappa)A(\nu)}{\log|\kappa\nu|} = O(R),$$

easily proved with  $S_1$  as integrator,

$$\sum_{|\kappa| < R} \frac{h(|\kappa|)}{|\kappa|} = O(R),$$

easily proved with  $H$  as integrator, and

$$\sum_{|\kappa| < R} \frac{h(|\kappa|)}{|\kappa|^2 \log(2R/|\kappa|)} = O(\log \log R),$$

proved as follows:

$$\sum_{|\kappa| < R} \frac{h(|\kappa|)}{|\kappa|^2 \log(2R/|\kappa|)} = \int_1^R \frac{dH(u)}{u^2 \log(2R/u)} \\ = \left[ \frac{H(u)}{u^2 \log(2R/u)} \right]_1^R + \int_1^R H(u) \frac{2 \log(2R/u) - 1}{u^3 \log^2(2R/u)} du \\ = O(1) + O\left(\int_1^R \frac{du}{u \log(2R/u)}\right) + O\left(\int_1^R \frac{du}{u \log^2(2R/u)}\right) \\ = O(1) + O\left(\int_1^R \frac{dv}{v \log 2v}\right) + O\left(\int_1^R \frac{dv}{v \log^2 2v}\right) \\ = O(\log \log R).$$

Then,

$$\sum_{|\kappa| < \sqrt{R}} A(\nu) \sum_{\kappa \in D/\nu} h(|\kappa|) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |\alpha\nu| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{A(\alpha)A(\nu)}{\log|\alpha\nu|} \sum_{\kappa \in D/\alpha\nu} h(|\kappa|) \\ = \sum_{|\kappa| < R} h(|\kappa|) \left( \sum_{\nu \in D/\kappa} A(\nu) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\nu \in D/\kappa \\ \sqrt{2} \leq |\alpha\nu| < \sqrt{R}}} \frac{A(\alpha)A(\nu)}{\log|\alpha\nu|} \right) \\ = \sum_{\sqrt{R} \leq |\kappa| < R} h(|\kappa|) \left( \sum_{\nu \in D/\kappa} A(\nu) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{\alpha\nu \in D/\kappa \\ \sqrt{2} \leq |\alpha\nu|}} \frac{A(\alpha)A(\nu)}{\log|\alpha\nu|} \right) + \\ + O\left( \sum_{|\kappa| < \sqrt{R}} h(|\kappa|) \left( \sum_{|\nu| < \sqrt{R}} A(\nu) + \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |\alpha\nu| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{A(\alpha)A(\nu)}{\log|\alpha\nu|} \right) \right) \\ = \sum_{\sqrt{R} \leq |\kappa| < R} h(|\kappa|) \left( \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\nu \in D/\kappa} 1 + O\left(\frac{R^2}{|\kappa|^2 \log(2R/|\kappa|)}\right) \right) + O(R^2) \\ = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\sqrt{R} \leq |\kappa| < R} h(|\kappa|) \left( \frac{1}{|\kappa|^2} \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O\left(\frac{R}{|\kappa|}\right) \right) + \\ + O\left(R^2 \sum_{|\kappa| < R} \frac{h(|\kappa|)}{|\kappa|^2 \log(2R/|\kappa|)}\right) + O(R^2) \\ = \frac{4}{\pi} \sum_{\sqrt{R} \leq |\kappa| < R} \frac{h(|\kappa|)}{|\kappa|^2} \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O(R^2 \log \log R),$$

in which we have also used (26) and (3).

Subtracting a quarter of (27) from (25) gives us a formula of Selberg-type which is basic to Chulanovskii's method:

$$(29) \quad \log R \sum_{\kappa \in D} A(\kappa) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{|\kappa| < \sqrt{R}} A(\nu) \sum_{\kappa \in D/\nu} A(\kappa) - \\ - \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |\alpha\nu| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{A(\alpha)A(\nu)}{\log|\alpha\nu|} \sum_{\kappa \in D/\alpha\nu} A(\kappa) \\ = \frac{2}{\pi} \log R \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O(R^2 \log \log R).$$

Finally, in this section, we show that

$$(30) \quad \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |a\upsilon| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(a)\Lambda(\upsilon)}{\log |a\upsilon|} \sum_{\kappa \in D/a\upsilon} 1 = 8 \log R \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O(R^2 \log \log R).$$

Putting  $h(|z|) = 1$  in (28) and rearranging, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |a\upsilon| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(a)\Lambda(\upsilon)}{\log |a\upsilon|} \sum_{\kappa \in D/a\upsilon} 1 \\ &= \frac{16}{\pi} \sum_{\sqrt{R} \leq |z| < R} \frac{1}{|z|^2} \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 - 4 \sum_{|p| < \sqrt{R}} \Lambda(\upsilon) \sum_{\kappa \in D/\upsilon} 1 + O(R^2 \log \log R) \\ &= 16 \log R \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 - 4 \sum_{|p| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(\upsilon)}{|p|^2} \sum_{\kappa \in D} 1 + O\left(R \sum_{|p| < R} \frac{\Lambda(\upsilon)}{|p|}\right) + O(R^2 \log \log R) \\ &= 8 \log R \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + O(R^2 \log \log R), \end{aligned}$$

using (11), (3), (15) and (14).

The remainder term  $\mathcal{G}(D)$ . Chulanovskii estimated the number of primes in  $D$  by obtaining a satisfactory estimate for the remainder term  $\mathcal{G}(D)$ , defined by

$$(31) \quad \sum_{\nu \in D} \Lambda(\nu) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + \mathcal{G}(D).$$

We conclude by deriving a fundamental inequality satisfied by  $\mathcal{G}(D)$ . This is a consequence of substituting from (31) into (29).

Under (31), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{|p| < \sqrt{R}} \Lambda(\upsilon) \sum_{\kappa \in D/p} \Lambda(\kappa) \\ &= \sum_{|p| < \sqrt{R}} \Lambda(\upsilon) \left( \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\kappa \in D/p} 1 + \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{|p| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(\upsilon)}{|p|^2} \sum_{\kappa \in D} 1 + O\left(R \sum_{|p| < R} \frac{\Lambda(\upsilon)}{|p|}\right) + \sum_{|p| < \sqrt{R}} \Lambda(\upsilon) \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} \log R \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + \sum_{|p| < \sqrt{R}} \Lambda(\upsilon) \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) + O(R^2), \end{aligned}$$

by (3), (14) and (15); and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |a\upsilon| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(a)\Lambda(\upsilon)}{\log |a\upsilon|} \sum_{\kappa \in D/a\upsilon} \Lambda(\kappa) \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |a\upsilon| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(a)\Lambda(\upsilon)}{\log |a\upsilon|} \sum_{\kappa \in D/a\upsilon} 1 + \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |a\upsilon| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(a)\Lambda(\upsilon)}{\log |a\upsilon|} \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{D}{a\upsilon}\right) \\ &= \frac{16}{\pi} \log R \sum_{\nu \in D} 1 + \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |a\upsilon| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(a)\Lambda(\upsilon)}{\log |a\upsilon|} \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{D}{a\upsilon}\right) + O(R^2 \log \log R), \end{aligned}$$

by (30). Hence, carrying out the substitution,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{G}(D) \log R + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{|p| < \sqrt{R}} \Lambda(\upsilon) \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) - \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |a\upsilon| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(a)\Lambda(\upsilon)}{\log |a\upsilon|} \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{D}{a\upsilon}\right) \\ &= O(R^2 \log \log R). \end{aligned}$$

The terms in  $\sum_{\nu \in D} 1$  cancel out, accounting for the method of formation of (29). The required inequality is

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{G}(D)| &\leq \frac{1}{4 \log R} \sum_{|p| < \sqrt{R}} \Lambda(\upsilon) \left| \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{D}{p}\right) \right| + \\ &+ \frac{1}{16 \log R} \sum_{\sqrt{2} \leq |a\upsilon| < \sqrt{R}} \frac{\Lambda(a)\Lambda(\upsilon)}{\log |a\upsilon|} \left| \mathcal{G}\left(\frac{D}{a\upsilon}\right) \right| + O\left(\frac{R^2 \log \log R}{\log R}\right). \end{aligned}$$

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to acknowledge the assistance given him by Professor W. B. Smith-White and Dr. J. M. Mack, of the University of Sydney.

#### References

- [1] I. V. Chulanovskii, *An elementary proof of the law of distribution of primes of a Gaussian field* (in Russian), *Vestnik LGU* 13 (1956), pp. 43-62.
- [2] G. L. Cohen, *Boundary conditions for expanding domains*, *Acta Arith.* 26 (1975), pp. 213-216.
- [3] P. Erdős, *On a new method in elementary number theory which leads to an elementary proof of the prime number theorem*, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 35 (1949), pp. 374-384.
- [4] A. O. Gelfond and Yu. V. Linnik, *Elementary Methods in Analytic Number Theory*, Allen and Unwin 1965.
- [5] G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers*, fourth edition, Oxford 1962.

- [6] I. P. Kubilius, *The distribution of primes of a Gaussian field in sectors and contours* (in Russian), Učen. Zap. LGU, ser. matem., 19 (1950), pp. 40–52.
- [7] W. B. Smith-White, *The elementary proof of the prime number theorem*, University of Sydney, Australia (1967). Unpublished.
- [8] E. Wirsing, *Elementare Beweise des Primzahlsatzes mit Restglied, II*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 215 (1964), pp. 1–18.

N. S. W. INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
Broadway, Australia

Received on 10. 8. 1973

(456)

## Absolutely continuous distribution functions of additive functions $f(p) = (\log p)^{-a}$ , $a > 0$

by

G. JOGESHI BABU\* (Calcutta)

**Introduction.** The following question was raised by Erdős in a private communication to the author. Suppose  $f$  is a real-valued additive arithmetic function such that, for all primes  $p$ ,

$$(1) \quad f(p) = (\log p)^{-a}$$

for some  $a > 0$ . For which values of  $a > 0$  does  $f$  have an absolutely continuous distribution? In the same communication Erdős pointed out that  $f$  has absolutely continuous distribution for  $a = 1$ .

In this paper it is shown that  $f$  has an absolutely continuous distribution if  $0 < a < 2$ .

**Notations and definitions.** An arithmetic function  $f$  is said to be *additive* if

$$f(mn) = f(m) + f(n)$$

whenever  $(m, n) = 1$ .  $f$  is called *strongly additive* if, in addition,  $f$  satisfies  $f(p^k) = f(p)$  for all primes  $p$  and for all positive integers  $k$ .

A real-valued arithmetic function  $h$  is said to *have a distribution* if there exists a distribution function  $G$  such that the density of  $\{m \geq 1: h(m) \leq c\}$  exists and equals  $G(c)$ , whenever  $c$  is a continuity point of  $G$ .

Throughout this paper we let  $p$  denote a prime number.

### The result.

**THEOREM.** Let  $f$  be a real-valued additive arithmetic function satisfying for all primes  $p$ ,

$$f(p) = (\log p)^{-a}$$

for some  $0 < a < 2$ . Then  $f$  has an absolutely continuous distribution.

**Proof.** That  $f$  has a distribution follows from Erdős–Wintner theorem (see [2]). From the results of [1] it follows that the distribution of  $f$  is absolutely continuous if and only if the distribution of the corresponding

\* The author is currently a Visiting Member at the TIFR, Bombay.