

**Interpolation series for continuous functions  
on  $\pi$ -adic completions of  $\text{GF}(q, x)$ \***

by

CARL G. WAGNER (Knoxville, Tenn.)

**1. Introduction.** In 1944 Dieudonné [7] proved an analogue of the Weierstrass Approximation Theorem for continuous functions of a  $p$ -adic variable. In 1958 Mahler [8] sharpened this result by exhibiting a series expansion for continuous functions defined on the  $p$ -adic integers. He showed that every such function  $f$  is the uniform limit of an interpolation series

$$(1.1) \quad f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n \binom{t}{n}$$

where the coefficients  $A_n$  are uniquely determined by

$$(1.2) \quad A_n = \Delta^n f(0) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} f(n-k).$$

In the present paper we choose an irreducible element  $\pi$  from the polynomial ring  $\text{GF}[q, x]$  over the finite field  $\text{GF}(q)$  and use it to equip the function field  $\text{GF}(q, x)$  with a  $\pi$ -adic absolute value. We denote by  $F_\pi$  the completion of  $\text{GF}(q, x)$  for this absolute value and by  $I_\pi$  the valuation ring of  $F_\pi$ . The aforementioned theorem of Dieudonné may easily be seen to generalize to the case of a locally compact non-archimedean field. Hence, every continuous function  $f: K \rightarrow F_\pi$ , where  $K$  is a compact subset of  $F_\pi$ , is the uniform limit of some sequence of polynomials over  $F_\pi$ . Our aim in this paper is to prove some Mahler type theorems for such functions.

We mention that Amice [1] has already constructed a certain type of series approximation for continuous functions defined on locally compact non-archimedean fields. In the process, Amice characterized those sequences ("suites très bien réparties") in the domain of a continuous function with respect to which a Newton type interpolation procedure will yield

\* This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation, under Research Grant GP - 7855.

a uniformly convergent series approximation for that function. In particular, the nonnegative rational integers, ordered in the usual way, constitute such a sequence in the  $p$ -adic integers, and so Mahler's result appears as a special case of Amice's Interpolation Theorem [1].

In what follows, we exhibit a "suite très bien répartie" in  $I_\pi$ , denoted  $\{m_i\}$ , consisting of a special sequential ordering of  $\text{GF}[q, x]$ . Specializing Amice, we prove (Theorem 4.4) that for every continuous function  $f: I_\pi \rightarrow F_\pi$  there exists a unique sequence  $\{A_i\}$  in  $F_\pi$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i Q_i(t),$$

where  $Q_i(t)$  is the  $i$ th Newton interpolation polynomial for the interpolation sequence  $\{m_i\}$ , and (1.3) converges uniformly on  $I_\pi$ . We add that  $\{A_i\}$  is always a null sequence, i.e.,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i = 0$ . Moreover, the above result may be extended to continuous functions  $f: K \rightarrow F_\pi$ , where  $K$  is any compact subset of  $F_\pi$ , by employing a Urysohn type theorem for totally disconnected spaces due to Dieudonné [7].

We may regard the foregoing approach to constructing function field analogues of Mahler's result as deriving from the observation that the polynomials  $\binom{t}{n}$  are the Newton interpolation polynomials for the nonnegative rational integers. From this standpoint, the crucial problem, completely solved by Amice, is that of identifying those sequences in  $I_\pi$  for which the associated Newton polynomials yield interpolation series for continuous functions.

If, instead, one regards the sequence  $\left\{ \binom{t}{n} \right\}$  merely as an ordered basis of the  $Q_p$ -vector space  $Q_p[t]$ , then one is led to ask which ordered bases of the  $F_\pi$ -vector space  $F_\pi[t]$  yield interpolation series for continuous functions on  $I_\pi$ . In this connection, it is of interest to recall that the sequence  $\left\{ \binom{t}{n} \right\}$  has the further property of being an ordered basis of the  $Z$ -module of polynomials over  $Q$  which map  $Z$  into  $Z$  (and also of the  $Z_p$ -module of polynomials over  $Q_p$  that map  $Z_p$  into  $Z_p$ , where  $Z_p$  is the valuation ring of  $Q_p$ ).

The function field analogue of the latter property is that of being an ordered basis of the  $I_\pi$ -module of polynomials over  $F_\pi$  that map  $I_\pi$  into  $I_\pi$ . Let  $\{H_i(t)\}$  be such a basis. We prove (Theorem 4.5) that for every continuous function  $f: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$  there exists a unique null sequence  $\{B_i\}$  in  $I_\pi$  such that

$$(1.4) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i H_i(t),$$

where (1.4) converges uniformly on  $I_\pi$ .

The above theorem may be applied to a sequence of polynomials  $\{G_i(t)/g_i\}$  introduced in 1948 by Carlitz [4]. This leads to the following characterization (Theorem 5.1) of continuous linear operators on the  $\text{GF}(q)$ -vector space  $I_\pi$ : Let  $f: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$  be continuous. If the (unique) interpolation series for  $f$  constructed from the Carlitz polynomials is given by

$$(1.5) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i \frac{G_i(t)}{g_i},$$

then  $f$  is a linear operator on the  $\text{GF}(q)$ -vector space  $I_\pi$  if and only if  $A_i = 0$  for  $i \neq q^k$ , where  $k \geq 0$ .

The author wishes to thank Professor Carlitz for his guidance and encouragement during the preparation of this paper, and of the doctoral dissertation on which it is based.

**2. Preliminaries.** Let  $\text{GF}(q)$  be a finite field of cardinality  $q$ . Denote by  $\text{GF}[q, x]$  the ring of polynomials in an indeterminate  $x$  over  $\text{GF}(q)$ , and by  $\text{GF}(q, x)$  the quotient field of  $\text{GF}[q, x]$ . Let  $\pi \in \text{GF}[q, x]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree  $d$ . Then every nonzero  $a \in \text{GF}(q, x)$  may be written, in essentially unique fashion,

$$(2.1) \quad a = \pi^n \frac{m_1}{m_2},$$

where  $n$  is integral, and  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are polynomials prime to each other and to  $\pi$ .

Define a function  $v_\pi: \text{GF}(q, x) - \{0\} \rightarrow Z$  by

$$(2.2) \quad v_\pi(a) = n,$$

where  $a$  is written as in (2.1). It follows that

$$(2.3) \quad v_\pi(a\beta) = v_\pi(a) + v_\pi(\beta) \quad (a\beta \neq 0)$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad v_\pi(a + \beta) \geq \min\{v_\pi(a), v_\pi(\beta)\} \quad (a, \beta, a + \beta \neq 0).$$

Fixing a real number  $b$  such that  $0 < b < 1$ , define the  $\pi$ -adic absolute value  $|\cdot|_\pi$  on  $\text{GF}(q, x)$  as follows:

$$(2.5) \quad |0|_\pi = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad |a|_\pi = b^{v_\pi(a)} \quad (a \neq 0).$$

By familiar methods  $\text{GF}(q, x)$  may be embedded as a dense subfield in an essentially unique complete field, denoted  $F_\pi$ . With respect to the

extended absolute value,  $F_\pi$  is a discrete non-archimedean field. Equipped with the metric  $d_\pi$ , defined by

$$(2.7) \quad d_\pi(\alpha, \beta) = |\alpha - \beta|_\pi,$$

$F_\pi$  is a metric field. In particular, polynomial functions over  $F_\pi$  are continuous.

Denote by  $I_\pi$  the valuation ring of  $F_\pi$ , i.e.,

$$I_\pi = \{\alpha \in F_\pi : |\alpha|_\pi \leq 1\}.$$

Then the valuation ideal

$$(\pi) = \{\alpha \in I_\pi : |\alpha|_\pi < 1\}$$

is maximal and the residue class field  $I_\pi/(\pi)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{GF}(q^d)$ , where  $d = \text{deg } \pi$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a complete set of representatives of  $I_\pi/(\pi)$  in  $I_\pi$ . Then every nonzero  $\alpha \in F_\pi$  may be uniquely represented as a  $\pi$ -series,

$$(2.8) \quad \alpha = \pi^n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i \pi^i,$$

where  $a_i \in \Gamma$ ,  $\pi \nmid a_0$  in  $I_\pi$ , and  $|\alpha| = b^n$  [6]. In particular,  $\Gamma$  may be taken to be the set of polynomials in  $\text{GF}[q, x]$  having degree less than  $d$ .

For  $\alpha \in F_\pi$  and  $k$  any integer, let

$$(2.9) \quad B_k(\alpha) = \{\beta \in F_\pi : |\beta - \alpha|_\pi \leq b^k\} = \{\beta \in F_\pi : |\beta - \alpha|_\pi < b^{k-1}\}.$$

Then the collection  $\{B_k(\alpha) : k \geq 0\}$  is a fundamental system of open-closed neighborhoods of  $\alpha$ ; hence  $F_\pi$  is totally disconnected.

Again, let  $\Gamma$  be a complete set of representatives of  $I_\pi/(\pi)$  in  $I_\pi$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $k$  be a positive integer such that  $b^k < \varepsilon$ . Let

$$(2.10) \quad \Delta = \{\alpha \in I_\pi : \alpha = a_0 + a_1\pi + \dots + a_{k-1}\pi^{k-1}\}$$

where  $a_i \in \Gamma$ . Then  $\Delta$  has  $q^{kd}$  elements and the collection

$$(2.11) \quad \{B_k(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta\}$$

is a pairwise disjoint open cover of  $I_\pi$ , all of the members of which have radius less than  $\varepsilon$ . It follows that  $I_\pi$  (and, therefore, every closed and bounded subset of  $F_\pi$ ) is compact. (In fact, the Heine-Borel Theorem holds in all locally compact non-archimedean fields, a result due to Schöbe [9].)

In the special case  $\pi = x$ , the complete field  $F_x$  may be identified with the field of formal power series over  $\text{GF}(q)$ , for by (2.8) every nonzero  $\alpha \in F_x$  may be written

$$(2.12) \quad \alpha = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i x^i,$$

where  $a_i \in \text{GF}(q)$ , all but a finite number of the  $a_i$  vanish for  $i < 0$ , and  $|\alpha|_x = b^n$ , for  $n$  the smallest integer such that  $a_n \neq 0$ .

There would, in fact, be no loss of generality in restricting the investigation we have in mind to the case of  $x$ -adic absolute values; for it is known that every locally compact Hausdorff field having nonzero characteristic is topologically isomorphic to a field of formal power series in one indeterminate over some finite field ([10], pp. 12-22). In the case of the fields  $F_\pi$  we may specialize this result as follows.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $F_\pi$  be the completion of  $\text{GF}(q, x)$  for the absolute value  $|\cdot|_\pi$ , where  $\pi$  is an irreducible polynomial of degree  $d$ . Then  $F_\pi$  is topologically isomorphic to a field of formal power series in one indeterminate over the finite field  $\text{GF}(q^d)$ .*

**Proof.** In view of representations (2.8) and (2.12), it suffices to show that  $\Gamma$ , a complete set of representatives of  $I_\pi/(\pi)$  in  $I_\pi$ , may be chosen in such a way that  $\Gamma$  is a subfield of  $I_\pi$ .

Let  $\alpha \in I_\pi$ . Since  $I_\pi/(\pi)$  is isomorphic to  $\text{GF}(q^d)$ , it follows that  $\pi \mid \alpha^{q^d} - \alpha$ , and hence that

$$\pi^{q^{(n-1)d}} \mid \alpha^{q^{nd}} - \alpha^{q^{(n-1)d}},$$

for all natural numbers  $n$ . Therefore, the series

$$(2.13) \quad \alpha + (\alpha^{q^d} - \alpha) + (\alpha^{q^{2d}} - \alpha^{q^d}) + \dots$$

converges, i.e.,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{q^{nd}}$  exists for all  $\alpha \in I_\pi$ .

Define a function  $w: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$  by

$$(2.14) \quad w(\alpha) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{q^{nd}}.$$

Then  $w$  is an endomorphism of the ring  $I_\pi$  with kernel  $(\pi)$ , and so  $w(I_\pi)$  is a subfield of  $I_\pi$  isomorphic to  $\text{GF}(q^d)$ . By (2.13) and (2.14), it follows that  $w(\alpha) \equiv \alpha \pmod{(\pi)}$ ; hence we may take  $\Gamma = w(I_\pi)$ , as desired.

To conclude this section, we recall that, in addition to the  $\pi$ -adic absolute values,  $\text{GF}(q, x)$  admits only one other non-trivial absolute value,  $|\cdot|_\infty$ , defined by

$$(2.15) \quad \left| \frac{m_1}{m_2} \right|_\infty = b^{\text{deg } m_2 - \text{deg } m_1},$$

for  $m_1, m_2$  nonzero elements of  $\text{GF}[q, x]$  and  $0 < b < 1$  ([6], pp. 45-47). The completion of  $\text{GF}(q, x)$  for  $|\cdot|_\infty$ , denoted by  $F_\infty$ , may be seen to consist of the set of all descending formal power series over  $\text{GF}(q)$ ,

$$(2.16) \quad \alpha = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_i x^{-i},$$

where  $a_i \in GF(q)$ , all but a finite number of these coefficients vanish for  $i < 0$ , and  $|a|_\infty = b^n$ ,  $n$  the smallest integer such that  $a_n \neq 0$ .

In what follows, we shall appeal to the obvious topological isomorphism between  $F_x$  and  $F_\infty$  to omit an explicit treatment of the problem of approximating continuous functions in  $F_\infty$ . There appears, however, to be no particular advantage in a similar appeal to Theorem 2.1, and so we shall state our results for the fields  $F_\pi$ .

**3. A special ordering of  $GF[q, x]$ .** Let  $\pi \in GF[q, x]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree  $d$ . We define a sequential ordering of  $GF[q, x]$  which has the property of being, in the terminology of Amice [1], "très bien répartie" in  $I_\pi$ . Let  $(a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{q^d-1})$  be a fixed ordering of the polynomials in  $GF[q, x]$  of degree  $< d$  such that  $a_0 = 0, a_1 = 1$ , and  $\deg a_i \leq \deg a_j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j$ . The special sequence  $\{m_n\}$ , running through  $GF[q, x]$ , is defined as follows. If

$$(3.1) \quad n = k_0 + k_1 q^d + \dots + k_s q^{sd} \quad (0 \leq k_i < q^d),$$

set

$$(3.2) \quad m_n = a_{k_0} + a_{k_1} \pi + \dots + a_{k_s} \pi^s.$$

**THEOREM 3.1.** For any integers  $s \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 1$ , the set

$$(3.3) \quad \{m_{i+sq^{kd}}: 0 \leq i < q^{kd}\}$$

is a complete residue system (mod  $\pi^k$ ).

*Proof.* As there is no "overlap" in the  $q^d$ -adic expansions (3.1) of  $i$  and  $sq^{kd}$ , it follows that

$$(3.4) \quad m_{i+sq^{kd}} = m_i + m_{sq^{kd}}.$$

The set  $\{m_i: 0 \leq i < q^{kd}\}$  is a complete residue system (mod  $\pi^k$ ), and this property is preserved under shifting by the additive constant  $m_{sq^{kd}}$ .

Let

$$(3.5) \quad S_n = \{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}\} \quad (n \geq 1),$$

and let

$$(3.6) \quad \varrho(a; k, n) = \text{card}(B_k(a) \cap S_n),$$

with  $a \in I_\pi, n, k \geq 1$ , and  $B_k(a)$  as in (2.9). Then the following theorem is a straightforward consequence of Theorem 3.1.

**THEOREM 3.2.** For every  $a \in I_\pi$ , and for all positive integers  $n$  and  $k$ ,

$$(3.7) \quad \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^{kd}} \right\rfloor \leq \varrho(a; k, n) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n-1}{q^{kd}} \right\rceil + 1.$$

Furthermore,

$$(3.8) \quad \varrho(m_n; k, n) = \left\lfloor \frac{n}{q^{kd}} \right\rfloor.$$

We now introduce an ordered basis of the  $F_\pi$ -vector space  $F_\pi[t]$ , consisting of the Newton interpolation polynomials for the interpolation sequence  $\{m_n\}$ , defined by (3.2). Set

$$(3.9) \quad P_0(t) = 1, \quad P_n(t) = (t - m_0)(t - m_1) \dots (t - m_{n-1}) \quad (n \geq 1),$$

and

$$(3.10) \quad Q_0(t) = 1, \quad Q_n(t) = P_n(t)/P_n(m_n) \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Since  $\deg Q_n(t) = n$ ,  $\{Q_n(t)\}$  is an ordered basis of the  $F_\pi$ -vector space  $F_\pi[t]$ . Hence, every polynomial  $g(t) \in F_\pi[t]$  of degree  $\leq n$  may be written uniquely as

$$(3.11) \quad g(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i Q_i(t).$$

To derive a formula for the coefficients  $A_i$ , let  $g_r(t)$  be the unique polynomial of degree  $\leq r$  for which  $g_r(m_j) = g(m_j)$  for  $0 \leq j \leq r$ . Then

$$(3.12) \quad g_r(t) = \sum_{j=0}^r A_j Q_j(t) = \sum_{j=0}^r \frac{P_{r+1}(t)g(m_j)}{(t - m_j)P'_{r+1}(m_j)},$$

where the second equality above is the result of Lagrange interpolation. It follows from (3.12) that

$$(3.13) \quad g_i(t) - g_{i-1}(t) = A_i Q_i(t) = \left( P_i(m_i) \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{g(m_j)}{P'_{i+1}(m_j)} \right) Q_i(t).$$

Hence

$$(3.14) \quad A_i = P_i(m_i) \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{g(m_j)}{P'_{i+1}(m_j)}.$$

The following two theorems imply that the sequence  $\{Q_n(t)\}$  is, in fact, an ordered basis of the  $I_\pi$ -module of polynomials over  $F_\pi$  that map  $I_\pi$  into itself. In the remainder of the paper the subscript  $\pi$  will be omitted from the symbols  $v_\pi$  and  $|\cdot|_\pi$ .

**THEOREM 3.3.** For all  $t \in I_\pi, |Q_n(t)| \leq 1$ .

*Proof* (Amice [1]). In virtue of (2.6) it suffices to show that

$$(3.15) \quad v(P_n(t)) \geq v(P_n(m_n)).$$

By (3.7),

$$(3.16) \quad v(P_n(t)) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} v(t-m_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(\varrho(t; k, n) - \varrho(t; k+1, n)) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varrho(t; k, n) \geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n}{q^{kd}} \right].$$

But, by (3.8),

$$(3.17) \quad v(P_n(m_n)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varrho(m_n; k, n) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n}{q^{kd}} \right],$$

from which the desired result follows.

**THEOREM 3.4.** Let  $g(t) \in F_{\pi}[t]$ , and write

$$(3.18) \quad g(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i Q_i(t).$$

Then  $g$  maps  $I_{\pi}$  into itself if and only if  $A_i \in I_{\pi}$ , for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .

**Proof.** Sufficiency. By Theorem 3.3,  $|Q_i(t)| \leq 1$  if  $|t| \leq 1$ , so if  $|A_i| \leq 1$ ,  $|g(t)| \leq 1$ , since  $| \cdot |$  is non-archimedean.

Necessity. By (3.14), it suffices to show that, for all  $j \leq i$ ,

$$(3.19) \quad v(P_i(m_j)) \geq v(P'_{i+1}(m_j)).$$

By (3.17),

$$(3.20) \quad v(P_i(m_j)) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{i}{q^{kd}} \right].$$

We show that

$$(3.21) \quad v(P'_{i+1}(m_j)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{i}{q^{kd}} \right].$$

Since

$$(3.22) \quad P'_{i+1}(m_j) = (m_j - m_0) \dots (m_j - m_{j-1})(m_j - m_{j+1}) \dots (m_j - m_i),$$

inequality (3.21) is obvious for  $j = i$ , so assume that  $j < i$ . Denote by  $S(i, j)$  the set  $S_{i+1} - \{m_j\}$ . Then

$$(3.23) \quad v(P'_{i+1}(m_j)) = \sum_{\substack{r=0 \\ r \neq j}}^i v(m_j - m_r) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k(\text{card}(B_k(m_j) \cap S(i, j)) - \text{card}(B_{k+1}(m_j) \cap S(i, j))) \\ = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \text{card}(B_k(m_j) \cap S(i, j)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{i}{q^{kd}} \right],$$

as desired.

**4. Interpolation theorems.** We require a preliminary theorem, due to Amice [1], which specifies conditions under which certain finite subsets of  $\{Q_i(t)\}$  are locally constant (mod  $\pi$ ). As in the case of a previous theorem, we include, for completeness, a specialized version of the proof given by Amice.

**THEOREM 4.1.** Let  $\pi \in \text{GF}[q, x]$  be an irreducible polynomial of degree  $d$ , and let  $|\pi| = b$ . Then, for all  $k \geq 1$  and for all  $i$  such that  $0 \leq i \leq q^{kd} - 1$ , if  $t_1, t_2 \in I_{\pi}$  and  $|t_1 - t_2| \leq b^k$ , then

$$|Q_i(t_1) - Q_i(t_2)| \leq b.$$

**Proof.** It suffices to show that for all  $i, j$  with  $0 \leq i, j \leq q^{kd} - 1$ , if  $t \in B_k(m_j)$ , then  $|Q_i(t) - Q_i(m_j)| \leq b$ . The cases (1)  $j < i$  and (2)  $j \geq i$  are treated separately.

(1) If  $j < i$ , then  $|Q_i(t) - Q_i(m_j)| = |Q_i(t)|$ , and so it suffices to show that, for  $t \in B_k(m_j)$ ,

$$(4.1) \quad v(t - m_0) + \dots + v(t - m_{i-1}) > v(m_i - m_0) + \dots + v(m_i - m_{i-1}),$$

or, as in (3.16), that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varrho(t; r, i) > \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \varrho(m_i; r, i).$$

By Theorem 3.2,

$$(4.3) \quad \varrho(t; r, i) \geq \varrho(m_i; r, i) = \left[ \frac{i}{q^{rd}} \right].$$

When  $r = k$ , however, inequality (4.3) is strict, since

$$\varrho(t; k, i) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho(m_i; k, i) = 0.$$

(2) Let  $i \leq j$ . By hypothesis,  $|t - m_j| \leq b^k$ . For all  $r$  with  $0 \leq r \leq i - 1 < j$ ,  $m_r \not\equiv m_j \pmod{\pi^k}$ , and so

$$(4.4) \quad |t - m_j| \leq b|m_j - m_r|,$$

or

$$(4.5) \quad |(t - m_r) - (m_j - m_r)| \leq b|m_j - m_r|,$$

or

$$(4.6) \quad \left| \frac{t - m_r}{m_j - m_r} - 1 \right| \leq b.$$

Hence, for each  $r$ , there is an  $a_r \in I_{\pi}$  such that

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{t - m_r}{m_j - m_r} = 1 + \pi a_r,$$

and so, there is a  $\beta \in I_\pi$  such that

$$(4.8) \quad \prod_{r=0}^{i-1} \frac{t-m_r}{m_j-m_r} = \frac{Q_i(t)}{Q_i(m_j)} = 1 + \pi\beta.$$

Therefore,

$$(4.9) \quad \left| \frac{Q_i(t)}{Q_i(m_j)} - 1 \right| \leq b,$$

and, by Theorem 3.3,

$$(4.10) \quad |Q_i(t) - Q_i(m_j)| \leq b |Q_i(m_j)| \leq b.$$

The interpolation theorems announced in the Introduction are included in the following sequence of theorems.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *Let  $\pi, b$ , and  $d$  be as in Theorem 4.1. Let  $f: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$  be continuous. Then there is an integer  $k \geq 1$  and a continuous function  $h: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$  such that*

$$(4.11) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{q^{kd}-1} f(m_i) \chi_i(t) + \pi h(t),$$

where  $\chi_i$  is the characteristic function of the set  $B_k(m_i)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $I_\pi$  is compact,  $f$  is uniformly continuous. Hence, there is an integer  $k \geq 1$  such that, for all  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq q^{kd}-1$ , if  $t \in B_k(m_i)$ , then  $|f(t) - f(m_i)| \leq b$ . Thus, there is a continuous function  $h^i: B_k(m_i) \rightarrow I_\pi$  such that, for  $t \in B_k(m_i)$ ,

$$(4.12) \quad f(t) = f(m_i) + \pi h^i(t).$$

Since the sets  $B_k(m_i)$  are a pairwise disjoint open-closed cover of  $I_\pi$ , (4.11) may be gotten by setting  $h(t) = h^i(t)$  for  $t \in B_k(m_i)$ .

**THEOREM 4.3.** *Let  $f: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$  be continuous. Then there is an integer  $k \geq 1$ , a continuous function  $f_1: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$ , and a sequence  $\{a_i: 0 \leq i \leq q^{kd}-1\}$  in  $I_\pi$  such that*

$$(4.13) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{q^{kd}-1} a_i Q_i(t) + \pi f_1(t).$$

*Proof.* Using the uniform continuity of  $f$ , determine  $k$  as in Theorem 4.2. By Theorem 4.1, this  $k$  is also associated with the uniform continuity of the functions  $Q_i(t)$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq q^{kd}-1$ . Applying Theorem 4.2 to these functions, we get

$$(4.14) \quad Q_i(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{q^{kd}-1} Q_i(m_j) \chi_j(t) + \pi h_i(t).$$

Since  $Q_i(m_j) = 0$  when  $j < i$ , system (4.14) is triangular. Solving for the functions  $\chi_i(t)$  in terms of the  $Q_i(t)$  and the error functions  $h_i(t)$ , and substituting in (4.11), we get (4.13), where  $f_1(t)$  is expressed in terms of the error functions  $h_i(t)$ .

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $f: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$  be continuous. Then there is a unique sequence  $\{A_i\}$  in  $F_\pi$  such that*

$$(4.15) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i Q_i(t),$$

where (4.15) converges uniformly on  $I_\pi$ . Moreover, for all  $i$ ,  $|A_i| \leq 1$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i = 0$ .

*Proof.* By Theorem 4.3 there is an integer  $k_0 \geq 1$ , a sequence  $\{a_i^0: 0 \leq i \leq q^{k_0 d}-1\}$ , and a continuous function  $f_1: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$  such that

$$(4.16) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{q^{k_0 d}-1} a_i^0 Q_i(t) + \pi f_1(t).$$

Similarly, we may write

$$(4.17) \quad f_1(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{q^{k_1 d}-1} a_i^1 Q_i(t) + \pi f_2(t).$$

Iterating and substituting in (4.16) at each stage, we get

$$(4.18) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{M_{n-1}-1} (a_i^0 + \pi a_i^1 + \dots + \pi^{n-1} a_i^{n-1}) Q_i(t) + \pi f_n(t),$$

where

$$(4.19) \quad M_{n-1} = \max\{q^{k_0 d}, q^{k_1 d}, \dots, q^{k_{n-1} d}\}.$$

Define the sequence  $\{A_i\}$  by

$$(4.20) \quad A_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \pi^j a_i^j.$$

The series (4.20) converges to an element of  $I_\pi$ , for  $|a_i^j| \leq 1$ . Also

$$(4.21) \quad \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} A_i = 0,$$

for if  $i \geq M_{n-1}$ , then  $a_i^0 = a_i^1 = \dots = a_i^{n-1} = 0$ , and so  $|A_i| \leq b^n$ .

Let  $k \geq M_{n-1}-1$ . Then

$$(4.22) \quad \left| \sum_{i=0}^k A_i Q_i(t) - \sum_{i=0}^{M_{n-1}-1} (a_i^0 + \pi a_i^1 + \dots + \pi^{n-1} a_i^{n-1}) Q_i(t) \right| \\ \leq \max \left\{ \left| \sum_{i=M_{n-1}}^k A_i Q_i(t) \right|, \left| \sum_{i=0}^{M_{n-1}-1} (A_i - (a_i^0 + \dots + \pi^{n-1} a_i^{n-1})) Q_i(t) \right| \right\} \leq b^n,$$

and by (4.18)

$$(4.23) \quad \left| f(t) - \sum_{i=0}^k A_i Q_i(t) \right| \leq b^n.$$

Hence (4.15) converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $I_\pi$ . The coefficients  $A_i$  are uniquely determined by  $f$ , since for each  $n \geq 0$ , the finite sum

$$(4.24) \quad \sum_{i=0}^n A_i Q_i(t)$$

is the unique polynomial of degree  $\leq n$  which takes the same values as  $f$  on the set  $\{m_0, \dots, m_n\}$ . Hence, by (3.14),

$$(4.25) \quad A_i = P_i(m_i) \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{f(m_j)}{P'_{i+1}(m_j)}.$$

In the slightly more general case of a continuous function  $f: I_\pi \rightarrow F_\pi$ , the boundedness of  $f$  implies the existence of an integer  $k \geq 0$  such that  $\pi^k f: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$ . Hence

$$(4.26) \quad \pi^k f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( P_i(m_i) \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{\pi^k f(m_j)}{P'_{i+1}(m_j)} \right) Q_i(t),$$

and so

$$(4.27) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i Q_i(t),$$

where  $A_i$  is defined by (4.25).

In the case of a continuous function  $f: B_k(0) \rightarrow F_\pi$ , where  $k < 0$ , define  $g: I_\pi \rightarrow F_\pi$  by  $g(t) = f(\pi^k t)$ . Then by (4.21) and (4.27), we have, for all  $t \in I_\pi$ ,

$$(4.28) \quad f(\pi^k t) = g(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( P_i(m_i) \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{f(\pi^k m_j)}{P'_{i+1}(m_j)} \right) Q_i(t).$$

Hence, for all  $t \in B_k(0)$ ,

$$(4.29) \quad f(t) = f(\pi^k(\pi^{-k}t)) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( P_i(m_i) \sum_{j=0}^i \frac{f(\pi^k m_j)}{P'_{i+1}(m_j)} \right) Q_i(\pi^{-k}t).$$

It follows that every continuous function  $f: K \rightarrow F_\pi$ , where  $K$  is a compact subset of  $F_\pi$ , has a series expansion of the form (4.29), for  $K \subseteq B_k(0)$  for some  $k \leq 0$  and, by a theorem of Dieudonné ([7], p. 82), any such  $f$  has a continuous extension to  $B_k(0)$ .

**THEOREM 4.5.** Let  $\{H_i(t)\}$  be an ordered basis of the  $I_\pi$ -module of polynomials over  $F_\pi$  that map  $I_\pi$  into itself. Let  $f: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$  be continuous. Then there exists a unique null sequence  $\{B_i\}$  in  $I_\pi$  such that

$$(4.30) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i H_i(t),$$

where (4.30) converges uniformly on  $I_\pi$ .

Proof. By Theorem 4.4,

$$(4.31) \quad f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j Q_j(t),$$

where  $A_j \in I_\pi$  and  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A_j = 0$ . By Theorem 3.3, for all  $j \geq 0$ ,  $Q_j(t)$  may be written uniquely as

$$(4.32) \quad Q_j(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n_j} D_i^j H_i(t),$$

where  $D_i^j \in I_\pi$ . Set

$$(4.33) \quad B_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j D_i^j.$$

Since  $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} A_j = 0$  and  $|D_i^j| \leq 1$ , (4.33) converges to an element of  $I_\pi$ . Moreover,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} B_i = 0$ , for, given any integer  $k \geq 0$ , let  $r$  be such that  $|A_j| \leq b^k$  if  $j \geq r$ . Let  $i > \max\{n_0, \dots, n_{r-1}\}$ . Then  $D_i^j = 0$  if  $j < r$ , and so  $|B_i| \leq b^k$ .

If  $k \geq 0$ , let  $r$  be such that  $|A_j| \leq b^k$  for  $j \geq r$  and

$$(4.34) \quad \left| \sum_{j=0}^s A_j Q_j(t) - f(t) \right| \leq b^k$$

for  $s \geq r$ . If  $n \geq \max\{n_0, \dots, n_r\}$ , then

$$(4.35) \quad \left| \sum_{i=0}^n B_i H_i(t) - \sum_{j=0}^r A_j Q_j(t) \right| = \left| \sum_{u=r+1}^{\infty} A_u \sum_{v=0}^n D_v^u H_v(t) \right| \leq b^k.$$

Then (4.34) and (4.35) yield (4.30).

Moreover,  $\{B_i\}$ , as defined in (4.33), is the only null sequence in  $I_\pi$  for which (4.30) holds. For suppose that

$$(4.36) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i H_i(t),$$

where  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} C_i = 0$ . For all  $i \geq 0$ , write

$$(4.37) \quad H_i(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n_i} E_j^i Q_j(t),$$

where  $E_j^i \in I_n$ . A repetition of the preceding argument yields

$$(4.38) \quad f(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} Q_j(t) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i E_j^i.$$

By Theorem 4.4, however,

$$(4.39) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i E_j^i = A_j \quad (j \geq 0),$$

where  $A_j$  is defined by (4.25). Since  $\{C_i\}$  and  $\{A_j\}$  are null sequences, the equations (4.39) may be written matrixally,

$$(4.40) \quad MC = A,$$

where  $C$  and  $A$  are the infinite column vectors  $[C_0, C_1, \dots]^T$  and  $[A_0, A_1, \dots]^T$  and  $M$  is the column-finite matrix  $[m_{rs}]$ , where

$$(4.41) \quad m_{rs} = E_r^s \quad (r, s \geq 0),$$

and  $E_r^s$  is defined by (4.39).

Using (4.32) and (4.37) the matrix  $M$  may be seen to possess the two-sided inverse  $Q = [q_{rs}]$ , where

$$(4.42) \quad q_{rs} = D_r^s \quad (r, s \geq 0),$$

and  $D_r^s$  is defined by (4.32). Hence the relation (4.40) determines  $C$  uniquely, and

$$(4.43) \quad C_i = B_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} A_j D_i^j.$$

We stress that Theorem 4.5 asserts the uniqueness of the coefficients  $B_i$  on the assumption that  $\{B_i\}$  is null. The unqualified uniqueness of these coefficients (which we have been able to prove only in special cases) is equivalent to the assertion that a series

$$(4.44) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} C_i H_i(t)$$

converges uniformly on  $I_n$  only if  $\{C_i\}$  is null.

**5. Applications.** Define the sequence of polynomials  $\psi_r(t)$  over  $\text{GF}[q, x]$  by

$$(5.1) \quad \psi_r(t) = \prod_{\deg m < r} (t - m), \quad \psi_0(t) = t,$$

where the product in (5.1) extends over all polynomials  $m \in \text{GF}[q, x]$  (including 0) having degree  $< r$ . It follows [3] that

$$(5.2) \quad \psi_r(t) = \sum_{i=0}^r (-1)^{r-i} \begin{bmatrix} r \\ i \end{bmatrix} t^{q^i},$$

where

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{bmatrix} r \\ i \end{bmatrix} = \frac{F_r}{F_i L_{r-i}^{q^i}}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{F_r}{L_r}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r \end{bmatrix} = 1,$$

and

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{aligned} F_r &= [r][r-1]^q \dots [1]^{q^{r-1}}, & F_0 &= 1, \\ L_r &= [r][r-1] \dots [1], & L_0 &= 1, \\ [r] &= x^{q^r} - x. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $K$  be any extension field of  $\text{GF}(q, x)$ . By (5.2), the functions associated to the polynomials  $\psi_r(t)$  are linear operators on the  $\text{GF}(q)$ -vector space  $K$ . Furthermore,  $\psi_r(x^r) = \psi_r(m) = F_r$ , for  $m$  monic of degree  $r$ , so that  $F_r$  is the product of all monic polynomials in  $\text{GF}[q, x]$  of degree  $r$ . On the other hand,  $L_r$  may be seen to be the l.c.m. of all polynomials in  $\text{GF}[q, x]$  of degree  $r$  [2].

Following Carlitz [4], we define  $g_k \in \text{GF}[q, x]$ , and polynomials  $G_k(t)$ ,  $G_k^*(t)$  over  $\text{GF}[q, x]$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer, and write

$$(5.5) \quad k = e_0 + e_1 q + \dots + e_s q^s \quad (0 \leq e_i < q).$$

Define  $g_k$  by

$$(5.6) \quad g_k = F_1^{e_1} \dots F_s^{e_s}, \quad g_0 = 1,$$

and  $G_k(t)$  and  $G_k^*(t)$  by

$$(5.7) \quad G_k(t) = \psi_0^{e_0}(t) \dots \psi_s^{e_s}(t), \quad G_0(t) = 1$$

and

$$(5.8) \quad G_k^*(t) = \prod_{i=0}^s G_{e_i q^i}^*(t),$$

where

$$(5.9) \quad G_{e_i q^i}^*(t) = \begin{cases} \psi_i^{e_i}(t) & \text{for } 0 \leq e_i < q-1, \\ \psi_i^{e_i}(t) - F_i^{e_i} & \text{for } e_i = q-1. \end{cases}$$

Let  $K$  be any extension field of  $\text{GF}(q, x)$ . Since  $\deg G_n(t) = \deg G_n^*(t) = n$ , the sequences  $\{G_n(t)/g_n\}$  and  $\{G_n^*(t)/g_n\}$  are ordered bases of the  $K$ -vector space  $K[t]$ . Indeed, for any  $f(t) \in K[t]$  of degree  $\leq n$ , we have [4] the unique representations

$$(5.10) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i \frac{G_i(t)}{g_i}$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n A_i^* \frac{G_i^*(t)}{g_i},$$

where  $A_i$  is uniquely determined by choosing any  $r$  such that  $i < q^r$ , and setting

$$(5.12) \quad A_i = (-1)^r \sum_{\deg m < r} \frac{G_{q^r-1-i}^*(m)}{g_{q^r-1-i}} f(m) \quad (m \in \text{GF}[q, x]),$$

and  $A_i^*$  is uniquely determined by choosing any  $r$  such that  $n < q^r$ , and setting

$$(5.13) \quad A_i^* = (-1)^r \sum_{\deg m < r} \frac{G_{q^r-1-i}^*(m)}{g_{q^r-1-i}} f(m) \quad (m \in \text{GF}[q, x]).$$

Note the difference between the defining conditions for  $r$  in (5.12) and (5.13).

An important property of the polynomials  $G_i(t)/g_i$  and  $G_i^*(t)/g_i$  is the fact that for all  $m \in \text{GF}[q, x]$ ,  $G_i(m)/g_i \in \text{GF}[q, x]$  and  $G_i^*(m)/g_i \in \text{GF}[q, x]$  [4]. With (5.12) and (5.13), this implies that  $\{G_i(t)/g_i\}$  and  $\{G_i^*(t)/g_i\}$  are, in fact, ordered bases of the  $\text{GF}[q, x]$ -module of polynomials over  $\text{GF}(q, x)$  that map  $\text{GF}[q, x]$  into itself.

Moreover, since  $\text{GF}[q, x]$  is dense in  $I_\pi$  and the polynomials  $G_i(t)/g_i$  and  $G_i^*(t)/g_i$  are, by an earlier observation, continuous functions, it follows that  $a \in I_\pi$  implies that  $G_i(a)/g_i$  and  $G_i^*(a)/g_i \in I_\pi$ . With (5.12) and (5.13) this implies that  $\{G_i(t)/g_i\}$  and  $\{G_i^*(t)/g_i\}$  are ordered bases of the  $I_\pi$ -module of polynomials over  $F_\pi$  that map  $I_\pi$  into itself.

Hence, by Theorem 4.5, for every continuous function  $f: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$ , there exist null sequences  $\{B_i\}$  and  $\{B_i^*\}$  in  $I_\pi$  such that

$$(5.14) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i \frac{G_i(t)}{g_i}$$

and

$$(5.15) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} B_i^* \frac{G_i^*(t)}{g_i},$$

where (5.14) and (5.15) converge uniformly on  $I_\pi$ .

The coefficients  $B_i$  in (5.14) are uniquely determined by  $f$ . For if  $n$  is any positive integer, the finite sum

$$(5.16) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{q^n-1} B_i \frac{G_i(t)}{g_i}$$

is the unique polynomial of degree  $\leq q^n - 1$  which takes the same values as  $f$  on the set of all polynomials in  $\text{GF}[q, x]$  of degree  $< n$ . Hence, by (5.12),

$$(5.17) \quad B_i = (-1)^r \sum_{\deg m < r} \frac{G_{q^r-1-i}^*(m)}{g_{q^r-1-i}} f(m) \quad (i < q^r).$$

The question of the unconditional uniqueness of the coefficients  $B_i^*$  remains open.

Interpolation series of the type which appears in (5.14) may be used to characterize continuous linear operators on the  $\text{GF}(q)$ -vector space  $I_\pi$ .

**THEOREM 5.1.** *Let  $f: I_\pi \rightarrow I_\pi$  be continuous. If the (unique) interpolation series for  $f$  constructed from the Carlitz polynomials is given by*

$$(5.18) \quad f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i \frac{G_i(t)}{g_i},$$

then  $f$  is a linear operator on the  $\text{GF}(q)$ -vector space  $I_\pi$  if and only if  $A_i = 0$  for  $i \neq q^k$ , where  $k \geq 0$ .

**Proof.** Sufficiency. If  $A_i = 0$  for  $i \neq q^k$ , where  $k \geq 0$ , (5.18) becomes

$$(5.19) \quad f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_{q^k} \frac{\psi_k(t)}{F_k}.$$

Since, by (5.2), the partial sums of (5.19) are linear operators, it follows immediately that  $f$  is a linear operator.

**Necessity.** We require the following identities [4]:

$$(5.20) \quad G_i(\lambda t) = \lambda^i G_i(t) \quad (\lambda \in \text{GF}(q)),$$

$$(5.21) \quad G_i(t_1 + t_2) = \sum_{j=0}^i \binom{i}{j} G_j(t_1) G_{i-j}(t_2).$$

Let  $\lambda \in \text{GF}(q)$  be a primitive root of unity. Then (5.18), (5.20), and  $f(\lambda t) = \lambda f(t)$ , yield

$$(5.22) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda A_i \frac{G_i(t)}{g_i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \lambda^i A_i \frac{G_i(t)}{g_i},$$

and so  $A_i = 0$ , unless  $i \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ .

From (5.18), (5.21), and  $f(t_1 + t_2) = f(t_1) + f(t_2)$ , we infer that

$$(5.23) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i \frac{G_i(t_1)}{g_i} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A_i \frac{G_i(t_2)}{g_i} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{G_i(t_1)}{g_i} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_i}{g_j} \binom{j}{i} A_j G_{j-i}(t_2).$$

Equating coefficients of  $G_0(t_1)$ , we see that  $A_0 = 0$ . Equating coefficients of  $G_i(t_1)/g_i$  for  $i > 0$ , and subtracting  $A_i$ , we get

$$(5.24) \quad \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{g_i}{g_j} \binom{j}{i} A_j G_{j-i}(t_2) = 0.$$

Hence, for all  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i < j$ ,

$$(5.25) \quad \binom{i}{j} A_j = 0.$$

It follows that  $A_j = 0$  unless  $j = p^t$ , where  $p$  is the characteristic of  $\text{GF}(q)$ . Since  $p^t \equiv 1 \pmod{q-1}$ , we must have  $p^t = q^k$ , where  $k \geq 0$ .

#### References

- [1] Y. Amice, *Interpolation  $p$ -adique*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 92 (1964), pp. 117–180.
- [2] L. Carlitz, *On polynomials in a Galois Field*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1932), pp. 736–744.
- [3] — *On certain functions connected with polynomials in a Galois Field*, Duke Math. J. 1 (1935), pp. 137–168.
- [4] — *A set of polynomials*, Duke Math. J. 6 (1940), pp. 486–504.
- [5] — *Finite sums and interpolation formulas over  $\text{GF}[p^n, x]$* , Duke Math. J. 15 (1948), pp. 1001–1012.
- [6] J. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, *Algebraic Number Theory*, Washington, D.C., 1957.
- [7] J. Dieudonné, *Sur les fonctions continues  $p$ -adiques*, Bull. Sci. Math. 68 (1944), pp. 79–95.
- [8] K. Mahler, *An interpolation series for a continuous function of a  $p$ -adic variable*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 199 (1958), pp. 23–34.
- [9] W. Schöbe, *Beiträge Zur Funktion theorie in nichtarchimedisch bewerteten Körpern*, Munster 1930.
- [10] A. Weil, *Basic Number Theory*, New York 1967.

DUKE UNIVERSITY  
 THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE

Received on 27. 2. 1970

## On the changes of sign of a certain class of error functions

by

J. H. PROSCHAN (New York, N. Y.)

**§ 1. Introduction.** Since its introduction by Euler in the eighteenth century,  $\varphi(n)$  and its behavior have been of great interest in number theory [1]. During the next century G. L. Dirichlet [2] proved that  $\sum_1^N \varphi(n) \sim 3N^2/\pi^2$ , and F. Mertens ([6]; [4], p.268) showed the error to be  $O(N \log N)$ ; this has only recently been improved, to  $O(N \log^{2/3} N (\log \log N)^{4/3})$ , by A. Walfisz [11]. The average order of  $\varphi(n)$  is thus  $6n/\pi^2$ , and it is well known ([4], p. 267) that  $\limsup \varphi(n)/n = 1$  and that  $n^{\delta-1} \varphi(n) \rightarrow \infty$  for all positive  $\delta$ ; there is also the theorem due to Landau [5] that  $\sum_1^N (1/\varphi(n)) \sim (315 \zeta(3)/2\pi^4) \log N$ .

These results all support the assertion that  $\varphi(n)$  behaves asymptotically very much like  $n$ . It is then reasonable to look at  $\sum_1^N n - \frac{1}{2}N^2$  and  $\sum_1^N 1 - N$  (which are  $\frac{1}{2}N$  and 0) for qualitative information about the errors  $E(N) = \sum_1^N \varphi(n) - 3N^2/\pi^2$  and  $H(N) = \sum_1^N \varphi(n)/n - 6N/\pi^2$ , on which basis one would expect  $E(x) \nearrow +\infty$  and  $H(x)$  very small. Sylvester ([9], [10]) conjectured that  $E(x) > 0$  for all  $x$ . Between 1930 and 1950 it was shown that in each of these respects  $\varphi(n)$  differs radically from  $n$ . Pillai and Chowla [7] proved that the average order of  $H(n)$  is  $3/\pi^2$  and that of  $E(n)$  is  $3n/2\pi^2$ , which comes up to expectation; but they also proved that  $E(x) = \Omega(x \log \log \log x)$ . It follows that  $H(x) = \Omega(\log \log \log x)$  refuting the conjecture that  $H(x)$  is small. Subsequently M. L. N. Sarma [8] showed that  $H(820)$  is negative; in 1950 P. Erdős and H. N. Shapiro [3] proved that  $H(x) = \Omega_{\pm}(\log \log \log \log x)$ .

The purpose of this paper is to show that this behavior is not peculiar to  $\varphi(n)$ , but is shared by a large class of functions  $f(n) = n \sum_{c|n} \mu(c) p(c)/c$ , where  $p(n)$  satisfies certain admissibility conditions given below. The method is based on an extension of that used by Erdős