

STRONG  $\mathcal{S}$ -GROUPS

BY

ULRICH ALBRECHT AND H. PAT GOETERS (AUBURN, ALABAMA)

**1. Introduction.** Virtually all classes of groups considered in the theory of torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank arise in an attempt to recover some of the properties of rank 1 torsion-free groups for groups of arbitrary (finite) rank. The motivation for this paper is the observation that quasi-isomorphic rank 1 groups are actually isomorphic. The failure of this property for torsion-free abelian groups of larger rank has led to the introduction of the classes of  $\mathcal{J}$ -groups and finitely faithful  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups by Arnold [3] and others: A  $\mathcal{J}$ -group is a torsion-free abelian group  $A$  of finite rank such that any torsion-free group which is quasi-isomorphic to  $A$  is actually isomorphic to  $A$ , while  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group if every subgroup  $B$  of finite index in  $A$  is of the form  $IA$  for some right ideal  $I$  of  $E(A)$ . The group  $A$  is *finitely faithful* if  $IA \neq A$  for all maximal right ideals  $I$  of  $E(A)$  which have finite index in  $E(A)$ . Every  $\mathcal{J}$ -group is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group.

Arnold showed in [3] that the finitely faithful  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups are precisely the torsion-free abelian groups  $A$  of finite rank for which  $r_p(E(A)) = [r_p(A)]^2$  for all primes where  $r_p(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}} A/pA$  denotes the  $p$ -rank of  $A$ . Furthermore, using a result of Warfield, Arnold showed that the finitely faithful  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups are the torsion-free groups of finite rank for which  $\text{Ext}(A, A)$  is torsion-free. In [6], it is shown that a finitely faithful  $\mathcal{S}$ -group  $A$  is a  $\mathcal{J}$ -group when  $A$  is reduced and satisfies  $r_p(A) \neq 2$  for any  $p$ , or  $A$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  such that  $E(A_j)$  is commutative for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , or  $A = B \oplus B$  for some group  $B$ . The present authors show in [2] that a finitely faithful group  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group if and only if  $S_A(G)$  is a pure subgroup for all torsion-free groups  $G$ , where  $S_A(G) = \sum \{\phi(A) \mid \phi \in \text{Hom}(A, G)\}$  is the  $A$ -socle of  $G$ . Equivalently, the  $A$ -socle of  $G$  is the largest subgroup of  $G$  which is an epimorphic image of a direct sum of copies of  $A$ .

It has become customary to study  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups only in conjunction with finite faithfulness partially due to the difficulties in handling the  $\mathcal{S}$ -group property alone, and in part because of the compatibility of the finitely faithful and  $\mathcal{S}$ -group conditions. We show in Section 3 of this paper that finite

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: Primary 20K20.

faithfulness is not necessary for the purity of  $A$ -socles. The purity condition gives rise to a new class of groups which properly contains the class of finitely faithful  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups: A torsion-free abelian group  $A$  of finite rank is a *strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group* if  $A^n$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group for all  $n < \omega$ . In Section 2 we give a characterization of the almost completely decomposable strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups, which allows us to construct an example of a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group which is flat as an  $E(A)$ -module, but not finitely faithful.

Section 3 gives further characterizations of strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups and strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups which are flat as modules over their endomorphism ring. In particular, we show that a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group  $A$  has the property that every reduced  $p$ -group is  $A$ -solvable whenever  $p$  is a prime with  $A \neq pA$ . If  $A$  is flat as an  $E(A)$ -module, then the converse holds as well. Finally, we show that a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group  $A$  is quotient divisible if and only if every reduced torsion group  $G$  with  $G[p] = 0$  if  $A = pA$  is  $A$ -solvable. Here,  $A$  is *quotient divisible* if  $A/F \cong D \oplus T$  for some divisible group  $D$  and some finite group  $T$  whenever  $F$  is a full free subgroup of  $A$ .

## 2. The structure of almost completely decomposable $\mathcal{S}$ -groups.

Our first result shows that the requirement that  $A$  is a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group does not impose severe restrictions on the structure of  $A$ , in contrast to those observed in [3] for finitely faithful  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a torsion-free group of finite rank, and  $X$  a rank 1 group such that  $\text{type}(X) \leq IT(G)$ . Then  $A = X \oplus G$  is a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group which is flat as an  $E(A)$ -module.*

**Proof.** Ulmer's Theorem from [8] asserts that  $A$  is flat over its endomorphism ring if and only if  $A$  generates the kernel of any homomorphism between powers of  $A$ . Since  $S_A(U) = U$  for all pure subgroups  $U \subseteq A^n$ ,  $A$  is flat. If  $B$  is any group quasi-isomorphic to  $A$ , then  $IT(B) = \text{type}(X)$ , and so  $B = S_X(B) \subseteq S_A(B) \subseteq B$ , and  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group. Finally,  $A^n = X \oplus [X^{n-1} \oplus G^n]$  and  $IT(X^{n-1} \oplus G^n) = \text{type}(X)$  for all  $1 < n < \infty$ . By the first part of the proof,  $A^n$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group. ■

Note that in the case above,  $B = X \oplus H$  for some group  $H$  quasi-isomorphic to  $G$ . In particular,  $B$  is a  $\mathcal{J}$ -group if  $G$  is. As the next step in our characterization of almost completely decomposable strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups, we describe completely decomposable  $\mathcal{J}$ -groups.

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $\mathcal{J}$ -groups such that  $\text{Ext}(A, B)$  is torsion-free. Then  $A \oplus B$  is a  $\mathcal{J}$ -group.*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\text{Ext}(A, B)$  is torsion-free. If  $G$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $A \oplus B$ , then there is a quasi-split sequence  $0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow G \rightarrow B_1 \rightarrow 0$  where  $A_1$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $A$  and  $B_1$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $B$ . Since

$A$  and  $B$  are  $\mathcal{J}$ -groups, we have  $A_1 \cong A$  and  $B_1 \cong B$ . Since  $\text{Ext}(A, B)$  is torsion-free, the sequence splits. ■

Using the last result, we obtain the following one, which was originally shown in [7], but is restated here for the convenience of the reader since it will be used in Example 2.5.

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *Let  $A = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$  where each  $X_j$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of type  $\tau_j$ . Then  $A$  is a  $\mathcal{J}$ -group if and only if, for each  $i \neq j$ , either  $\tau_i \leq \tau_j$ , or  $\tau_j \leq \tau_i$ , or  $\pi(\tau_i) \cap \pi(\tau_j) = \emptyset$  where  $\pi(\tau) = \{p \mid \tau \text{ is finite at } p\}$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $A$  is a  $\mathcal{J}$ -group. If  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$  are incomparable and  $p \in \pi(\tau_i) \cap \pi(\tau_j)$ , consider the group  $G = X_i \oplus X_j + \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}(a_i, a_j)$  where  $a_i \in X_i$  and  $a_j \in X_j$  have  $p$ -height 0. It is well known [5] that  $G$  is an indecomposable group, quasi-isomorphic to  $X_i \oplus X_j$ . It follows that  $A$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $B = G \oplus \bigoplus_{k \neq i, j} X_k$ . But  $A$  and  $B$  are not isomorphic since the class of completely decomposable groups is closed with respect to direct summands.

Conversely, we induct on  $n$ , and assume without loss of generality that  $\tau_1$  is minimal among  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$ . Recall Warfield has shown that, for rank 1 groups  $X$  and  $Y$ , the group  $\text{Ext}(X, Y)$  is torsion-free if and only if  $\text{type}(X) \leq \text{type}(Y)$  or  $\pi(X) \cap \pi(Y) = \emptyset$  (cf. [9]). Then  $\text{Ext}(X_1, \bigoplus_{j=2}^n X_j)$  is torsion-free, and  $A$  is a  $\mathcal{J}$ -group by Lemma 2.2. ■

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $A = X_1 \oplus \dots \oplus X_n$  where each  $X_j$  is a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of type  $\tau_j$ . Then  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group if and only if, for all  $i \neq j$  such that  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$  are incomparable but  $\pi(\tau_i) \cap \pi(\tau_j) \neq \emptyset$ , there is  $k$  such that  $\tau_k \leq \tau_i \wedge \tau_j$ .*

**PROOF.** The stated condition is equivalent to the following: For any two distinct minimal types  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$  among  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}$ , the set  $\pi(\tau_i) \cap \pi(\tau_j)$  is empty. Suppose the collection of  $\tau_i$ 's satisfies the stated condition. If  $B$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $A$ , then  $B = \bigoplus_{j=1}^m B(\mu_j)$  where  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  are the minimal types among  $\{\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n\}$ . This holds because  $B \doteq B(\mu_1) + \dots + B(\mu_m)$ , while the condition  $\pi(\mu_i) \cap \pi(\mu_j) = \emptyset$  guarantees equality and directness of the decomposition. Observe that  $B(\mu_j)$  and  $A(\mu_j)$  are quasi-isomorphic, and that  $A(\mu_j)$  has a direct summand of type  $\mu_j = IT(A(\mu_j))$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $A(\mu_j)$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group, and so  $S_A(B(\mu_j)) = B(\mu_j)$ , i.e.  $S_A(B) = B$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group. We may rewrite the given decomposition of  $A$  as  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_k$  where each  $A_j$  is a homogeneous completely decomposable group of type  $\tau_j$ , and  $\tau_i \neq \tau_j$  for  $i \neq j$ . Suppose that  $\tau_i$  and  $\tau_j$  are minimal types for which we can find  $p \in \pi(\tau_i) \cap \pi(\tau_j)$ . Choose rank 1 summands  $Y_i$  of  $A_i$  and  $Y_j$  of  $A_j$  containing elements  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  of  $p$ -height 0, and set  $B = A + \frac{1}{p}\mathbb{Z}(x_i, x_j, 0, \dots)$ . The element  $x = (x_i, x_j, 0, \dots)$  of  $B$  has type  $\tau_i \wedge \tau_j$ . Therefore,  $B(\tau_l) = A(\tau_l)$  for  $l = 1, \dots, k$ . Since  $A$  is

an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group,  $\frac{1}{p}x \in S_A(B)$ , and we can find maps  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m \in H_A(B)$  and elements  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in A$  such that  $\frac{1}{p}x = \sum_{t=1}^m \phi_t(a_t)$ . No generality is lost if we assume that each  $\phi_t$  maps  $A$  into  $A_i \oplus A_j$ . Any map  $\phi : A \rightarrow B$  can be expressed as  $\phi = \phi\eta_1 + \dots + \phi\eta_k$  where  $\eta_1, \dots, \eta_k$  are the idempotents of  $E(A)$  induced by the decomposition  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_k$ . Hence, we may assume that each of the  $\phi_t$  has support either in  $A_i$  or in  $A_j$ . If  $\phi_t(A_j) = 0$ , then  $\phi_t(A_i) \subseteq A_i$ , while  $\phi_t(A_i) = 0$  yields  $\phi_t(A_j) \subseteq A_j$ . Therefore, each  $\phi_t : A \rightarrow A$ , and  $\frac{1}{p}x \in A$ , a contradiction. ■

As a direct consequence of the last two propositions and Ulmer's Theorem we obtain:

EXAMPLE 2.5. (a) Let  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  be subgroups of  $\mathbb{Q}$  of incomparable types such that  $\pi(\tau_1) \cap \pi(\tau_2) \neq \emptyset$ , and choose a subgroup  $X_0$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that  $\text{type}(X_0) < \text{type}(X_1), \text{type}(X_2)$ . Then  $A = X_0 \oplus X_1 \oplus X_2$  is a flat strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group which is not a  $\mathcal{J}$ -group.

(b) Although the strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group  $A$  constructed in Lemma 2.1 has the additional property that every pure rank 1 subgroup of  $A$  is  $A$ -generated, there are completely decomposable strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups without this property. For instance, let  $\Pi_1$  and  $\Pi_2$  be non-empty, disjoint subsets of the set  $\Pi$  of all primes of  $\mathbb{Z}$ , such that  $\Pi = \Pi_1 \cup \Pi_2$ , and define two subgroups  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  of  $\mathbb{Q}$  by  $A_i = \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{p} \mid p \in \Pi_i]$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Since  $\pi(A_1) = \Pi_2$  and  $\pi(A_2) = \Pi_1$ , the group  $A = A_1 \oplus A_2$  is a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group which contains a pure subgroup  $U$  with  $A/U \cong \mathbb{Q}$ . Because  $\Pi_1 \cap \Pi_2 = \emptyset$ , one has  $U \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence,  $U$  is not generated by  $A$ .

THEOREM 2.6. *An almost completely decomposable group  $A$  of finite rank is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group if and only if  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$ , where each  $A_i = X_i \oplus G_i$  for some rank 1 group  $X_i$  with  $\text{type}(X_i) \leq IT(G_i)$ , and if  $i \neq j$ , then  $\pi(X_i) \cap \pi(X_j) = \emptyset$ .*

PROOF. Suppose that  $A$  has the described form, and consider a group  $B$  quasi-isomorphic to  $A$ . Then  $B = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_n$  where each  $B_j$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $A_j$  since  $\pi(X_i) \cap \pi(X_j) = \emptyset$  and  $S_{A_j}(B) = S_{X_j}(B)$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $S_{A_j}(B_j) = B_j$ , and  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group.

Conversely, choose a non-zero integer  $m$  such that  $mA \subseteq C_1 \oplus \dots \oplus C_l \subseteq A$  where each  $C_j$  is a pure, homogeneous, completely decomposable subgroup of  $A$  of type  $\tau_j$  such that  $\tau_i \neq \tau_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . We show that  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l$  satisfy the conditions of Proposition 2.4. Suppose to the contrary that, without loss of generality,  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are minimal among  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_l$ , but there is  $p \in \pi(\tau_1) \cap \pi(\tau_2)$ .

Let  $e$  be the exponent of  $p$  in  $m$ , and consider

$$B = A + \frac{1}{p^{2e+1}}\mathbb{Z}(c_1, c_2, 0, \dots)$$

where  $c_i \in C_i$  has  $p$ -height 0 for  $i = 1, 2$ . Set  $x = (c_1, c_2, 0, \dots)$ , and observe that  $(1/p^{2e+1})x \in S_A(B)$  since  $A$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group. Hence, we can find  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_k \in H_A(B)$  and  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$  with  $(1/p^{2e+1})x = \sum_{j=1}^k \phi_j(a_j)$ . Let  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Since  $B(\tau_i) = A(\tau_i)$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , we have  $\phi_j(A_i) \subseteq \phi_j(A(\tau_i)) \subseteq B(\tau_i) = A(\tau_i)$ . Furthermore,  $\phi_j(A_t) = 0$  for  $t > 2$  since  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are minimal. So,  $\phi_j(mA) \subseteq \phi_j(A_1 \oplus A_2) \subseteq A(\tau_1) \oplus A(\tau_2) \subseteq A$ . This shows  $\phi_j(A) \subseteq (\frac{1}{m}A) \cap B$  where  $\frac{1}{m}A = \{u \in \mathbb{Q}A \mid mu \in A\}$ . Therefore,  $(m/p^{2e+1})x = \sum_{j=1}^k m\phi_j(a_j) \in A$ . But  $A/[C_1 \oplus \dots \oplus C_l]$  has  $p$ -component bounded by  $p^e$  in view of the choice of  $e$ . So,  $x$  has  $p$ -height at most  $e$  in  $A$ , while  $(m/p^{2e+1})x \in A$  implies that  $x$  has  $p$ -height at least  $e + 1$ , a contradiction. It follows that  $A = A_1 \oplus \dots \oplus A_n$  where  $A_j = A(\tau_j)$  and  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  are minimal among  $\text{type}(C_1), \dots, \text{type}(C_l)$ . If  $X_j$  is a pure rank 1 subgroup of  $A_j$  of type  $\tau_j$ , then  $A_j = X_j \oplus A'_j$ , and the remainder follows from Lemma 2.1. ■

While the question whether every  $\mathcal{S}$ -group is a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group remains open, we can give an affirmative answer for almost completely decomposable  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups.

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *Let  $A$  be an almost completely decomposable  $\mathcal{S}$ -group. Then  $A$  is a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group.*

**3. Strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups and  $A$ -solvability.** In this section we give several characterizations of strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -groups, and discuss their most important properties. For the convenience of the reader, we give a short summary of the notation used in discussion of endomorphism rings which goes back to [4]: Associated with every abelian group  $A$  is a pair  $(H_A, T_A)$  of adjoint functors between the category of abelian groups and the category of right  $E(A)$ -modules which are defined as  $H_A(G) = \text{Hom}(A, G)$  for an abelian group  $G$  and  $T_A(M) = M \otimes_{E(A)} A$  for a right  $E(A)$ -module  $M$ . The module structure on  $H_A(G)$  is induced by composition of maps. The natural maps  $\theta_G : T_A H_A(G) \rightarrow G$  for an abelian group  $G$  and  $\Phi_M : M \rightarrow H_A T_A(M)$  for a right  $E(A)$ -module  $M$  are defined by  $\theta_G(\alpha \otimes a) = \alpha(a)$  and  $[\Phi_M(m)](a) = m \otimes a$  for all  $\alpha \in H_A(G)$ ,  $m \in M$ , and  $a \in A$ . The  $A$ -generated abelian groups are the groups  $G$  for which  $\theta_G$  is onto, while the  $A$ -solvable abelian groups are those for which  $\theta_G$  is an isomorphism.

An exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha} C \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$  is (almost)  $A$ -balanced if the induced exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H_A(B) \xrightarrow{H_A(\alpha)} H_A(C) \xrightarrow{H_A(\beta)} H_A(G)$$

has the property that  $\text{coker } H_A(\beta) = 0$  ( $\text{coker } H_A(\beta)$  is torsion).

**THEOREM 3.1.** *The first three of the following conditions are equivalent for a torsion-free abelian group  $A$  of finite rank. Moreover, they imply the fourth, and the converse holds if  $A$  is flat as an  $E(A)$ -module.*

- (a)  $A$  is a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group.
- (b) If  $G$  is an  $A$ -generated torsion-free group, and  $H \doteq G$ , then  $H$  is  $A$ -generated.
- (c)  $S_A(G)$  is a pure subgroup of  $G$  whenever  $G$  is torsion-free.
- (d) If  $p$  is a prime with  $A \neq pA$ , then all reduced  $p$ -groups are  $A$ -solvable.

**Proof.** (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Let  $H$  be a subgroup of the torsion-free group  $G$  such that  $mG \subseteq H \subseteq G$  for some non-zero integer  $m$ . For every  $h \in H$ , we can find  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in H_A(H)$  such that  $mh \in \langle \phi_1(A), \dots, \phi_n(A) \rangle$ . To simplify our notation, we denote the latter subgroup of  $G$  by  $U$ , and set  $V = \langle U, h \rangle$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume  $V \subseteq \mathbb{Q}U$ . Since  $mV \subseteq U$ , we have  $V \subseteq \frac{1}{m}U \cong U$ . The maps  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n$  induce an epimorphism  $\delta : A^n \rightarrow U$  which extends to a map  $\delta' : \mathbb{Q}A^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}U$  such that  $\delta'(\frac{1}{m}A^n) = \frac{1}{m}U$ . The subgroup  $W = (\delta')^{-1}(V)$  of  $\frac{1}{m}A^n$  contains  $A^n$ . Since  $A^n$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group, we can find an ideal  $I$  of  $E(A^n)$  such that  $W \cong mW = IA^n$ . In particular,  $W$  is  $A$ -generated, and the same holds for  $V$  as an epimorphic image of  $W$ .

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (c). Let  $S_A(G)_*$  denote the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -purification of  $S_A(G)$  in the torsion-free group  $G$ . When  $x \in S_A(G)_*$ , the subgroup  $\langle S_A(G), x \rangle$  is quasi-equal to  $S_A(G)$  and hence  $A$ -generated by virtue of (b). Therefore,  $x \in S_A(G)$ , and (c) holds.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (a). If a subgroup  $U$  of  $A^n$  is quasi-equal to  $A^n$ , then  $S_A(U) \doteq U$ . Since  $U/S_A(U)$  is also torsion-free by (c), we see that  $U$  is  $A$ -generated. Thus,  $I = \text{Hom}(A^n, U)$  is a right ideal of  $E(A^n)$  with  $U = IA^n$ , and consequently,  $A^n$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group.

(c) $\Rightarrow$ (d). Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $A \neq pA$ . As a first step, we show that every bounded  $p$ -group  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable. If  $p^m G = 0$ , then  $G$  is an epimorphic image of a direct sum of cyclic groups of order  $p^m$ . Since  $A/p^m A$  contains at least one element of order  $p^m$ , the group  $G$  is  $A$ -generated. So, there exists an  $A$ -balanced exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bigoplus_I A \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$  for some index-set  $I$ . Since  $p^m G = 0$ , we have  $p^m \bigoplus_I A \subseteq \alpha(U)$ . In particular,  $S_A(U)$  is quasi-equal to  $U$ . On the other hand,  $S_A(U)$  is pure in  $U$  by (c), so that  $U$  is  $A$ -generated. Consequently, the map  $\theta_U$  in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 T_A H_A(U) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\alpha)} & T_A H_A(\bigoplus_I A) & \xrightarrow{T_A H_A(\beta)} & T_A H_A(G) & \rightarrow & 0 \\
 \downarrow \theta_U & & \downarrow \theta_{\bigoplus_I A} & & \downarrow \theta_G & & \\
 0 \rightarrow & U & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \bigoplus_I A & \xrightarrow{\beta} & G & \rightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

is onto. By the Snake Lemma,  $\theta_G$  is an isomorphism.

Now assume that  $G$  is a reduced  $p$ -group. For every  $p$ -basic subgroup  $F$  of  $A$ , the group  $A/F$  is  $p$ -divisible. Therefore,  $\text{Hom}(A/F, G) = 0$ , and we have an embedding  $0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(A, G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(F, G)$ . Since  $F$  is finitely generated,  $\text{Hom}(A, G)$  is a  $p$ -group. If  $\phi_1, \dots, \phi_n \in H_A(G)$ , then there is  $k < \omega$  such that  $p^k \phi_1 = \dots = p^k \phi_n = 0$ . Therefore,  $\langle \phi_1(A), \dots, \phi_n(A) \rangle$  is bounded by  $p^k$ , and hence  $A$ -solvable by the results of the first paragraph. Hence, all finitely  $A$ -generated subgroups of  $G$  are  $A$ -solvable; the same holds for  $G$ .

(d) $\Rightarrow$ (a). Suppose that  $A$  is flat as an  $E(A)$ -module. Since the class of  $A$ -solvable groups is closed with respect to finite direct sums, every bounded group  $G$  such that  $A = pA$  implies  $G[p] = 0$  is  $A$ -solvable by (d). To show that  $A$  is a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group, we consider a subgroup  $U$  of  $A^n$  such that  $mA^n \subseteq U$  for some non-zero integer  $m$ . Without loss of generality,  $A \neq pA$  for all primes  $p \mid m$ . Therefore,  $A^n/U$  is  $A$ -solvable by the initial remarks. In view of the flatness of  $A$  as an  $E(A)$ -module,  $U$  is  $A$ -solvable since kernels of maps between  $A$ -solvable groups are  $A$ -solvable. But then  $I = \text{Hom}(A^n, U)$  is a right ideal of  $E(A^n)$  with  $U = IA^n$ . ■

However, even if  $A$  is a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group which is flat as an  $E(A)$ -module, not every reduced torsion group  $G$  such that  $G[p] = 0$  whenever  $A = pA$  needs to be  $A$ -solvable, as the following result shows. It is easy to see that a torsion-free group  $A$  of finite rank is quotient divisible if and only if, for every full subgroup  $U$  of  $A$ , the group  $(A/U)_p$  is divisible for all but finitely many primes.

**COROLLARY 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group of finite rank. Every reduced torsion group  $G$  such that  $A = pA$  implies  $G[p] = 0$  is  $A$ -solvable if and only if  $A$  is quotient divisible.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $A$  is a quotient divisible strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group. We know by Theorem 3.1 that every reduced  $p$ -group is  $A$ -solvable. Consider a reduced torsion group  $G$  such that  $A = pA$  implies  $G[p] = 0$ , and write  $G = \bigoplus_p G_p$  where  $G_p$  denotes the  $p$ -primary component of  $G$ . By [1], we know that a direct sum of  $A$ -solvable groups  $\{U_i \mid i \in I\}$  is  $A$ -solvable if and only if  $\{U_i \mid i \in I\}$  is  $A$ -small, i.e., for every map  $\alpha \in H_A(\bigoplus_{i \in I} U_i)$ , there is a finite subset  $I'$  of  $I$  with  $\alpha(A) \subseteq \bigoplus_{i \in I'} U_i$ . Thus, it suffices to show that  $\{G_p \mid p \text{ is a prime with } A \neq pA\}$  is an  $A$ -small family to ensure that  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable. For a morphism  $\alpha : A \rightarrow G$ , we choose a free subgroup  $F$  of  $\ker \alpha$  such that  $A/F$  is torsion. Since  $A$  is quotient divisible,  $(A/F)_p$  is divisible for all but finitely many primes  $p$ . We write  $(A/F)_p = U_p/F$  for some subgroup  $U_p$  of  $A$  containing  $F$ , and choose a cofinite subgroup  $V_p$  of  $U_p$  containing  $F$  such that  $V_p/F$  is the divisible subgroup of  $(A/F)_p$ . Since  $A$  is quotient divisible, we have  $V_p = U_p$  for almost all primes, and  $A/\langle V_p \mid A \neq pA \rangle$  is finite. Since  $G$  is reduced,  $V_p \subseteq \ker \alpha$  for all primes, and

so  $A/\ker \alpha$  is finite. Thus, there are finitely many primes  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  such that  $\alpha(A) \subseteq G_{p_1} \oplus \dots \oplus G_{p_n}$ , and  $\{G_p \mid p \text{ is a prime with } A \neq pA\}$  is  $A$ -small.

Conversely, suppose that all the described torsion groups are  $A$ -solvable, and choose a full free subgroup  $F$  of  $A$ . Suppose that  $A/F$  is not divisible for infinitely many primes. Then there are subgroups  $V$  and  $W$  of  $A$  containing  $F$  such that  $V/F$  is divisible,  $W/F$  is reduced and infinite, and  $A/F = V/F \oplus W/F$ . Observe that  $(W/F)_p$  is finite for all primes  $p$ . By our hypothesis,  $W/F$  is  $A$ -solvable since the fact that it is  $A$ -generated guarantees that  $A = pA$  implies  $W/F[p] = 0$ . However, since  $W/F$  is an epimorphic image of  $A$ , the family  $\{(W/F)_p \mid p \text{ a prime}\}$  is not  $A$ -small, which is not possible. ■

**THEOREM 3.3.** *The following are equivalent for a self-small abelian group  $A$  which is flat as an  $E(A)$ -module, and a group  $B$  quasi-isomorphic to  $A$ .*

(a)  $S_A(B) = B$  and  $S_B(A) = A$ .

(b) *The class of torsion-free  $A$ -solvable groups coincides with the class of torsion-free  $B$ -solvable groups.*

**Proof.** It remains to show that (a) implies (b). Choose maps  $\sigma : A \rightarrow B$  and  $\tau : B \rightarrow A$  such that  $\sigma\tau = m1_B$  and  $\tau\sigma = m1_A$  for some non-zero integer  $m$ . For a torsion-free  $B$ -solvable group  $G$ , we choose a  $B$ -balanced exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{\alpha} \bigoplus_I B \xrightarrow{\beta} G \rightarrow 0$  such that  $S_B(U) = U$ . Since  $S_A(B) = B$ , every  $B$ -generated group is  $A$ -generated. Furthermore, since  $A$  is flat as an  $E(A)$ -module, the direct sum of a collection of  $A$ -generated subgroups of  $A$  is  $A$ -solvable. In particular, this holds for  $\bigoplus_I B$ ; the group  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable once we have established that the above sequence is almost  $A$ -balanced.

Then,  $M = \text{im } H_A(\beta)$  is a submodule of  $H_A(G)$  such that  $H_A(G)/M$  is torsion as an abelian group. By a standard argument, we deduce that the evaluation map  $\theta : T_A(M) \rightarrow G$  is an isomorphism. If  $\iota : M \rightarrow H_A(G)$  is the inclusion map, then  $\theta_G T_A(\iota) = \theta$ . For  $x \in \ker \theta_G$  we can find a non-zero integer  $k$  and  $y \in T_A(M)$  such that  $kx = T_A(\iota)(y)$ . But then  $\theta(y) = 0$  yields  $y = 0$ . Since  $T_A H_A(G)$  is torsion-free because  $A$  is flat, we have  $x = 0$ , and  $G$  is  $A$ -solvable.

If  $\phi : A \rightarrow G$ , then  $\phi\tau : B \rightarrow G$ , and there is  $\lambda : B \rightarrow \bigoplus_I B$  with  $\phi\tau = \beta\lambda$ . Hence,  $\beta\lambda\sigma = m\phi$  and the given sequence is almost  $A$ -balanced. Hence, every torsion-free  $B$ -solvable group is  $A$ -solvable.

The converse holds by symmetry once we have shown that  $B$  is  $E(B)$ -flat. To show this, we consider an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow U \rightarrow B^n \rightarrow B$ . The flatness of  $B$  follows directly from Ulmer's Theorem once we have shown that  $S_B(U) = U$ . Since  $B$  is  $A$ -solvable, and  $A$  is flat as an  $E(A)$ -module, we obtain  $S_A(U) = U$ . As before,  $U$  is  $B$ -generated since  $S_B(A) = A$ . ■



COROLLARY 3.4. *The following are equivalent for a torsion-free abelian group of finite rank which is flat as an  $E(A)$ -module.*

(a)  *$A$  is a strong  $\mathcal{S}$ -group.*

(b) *If  $B$  is quasi-isomorphic to  $A^n$  for some  $0 < n < \omega$ , then the class of torsion-free  $B$ -solvable groups coincides with the class of torsion-free  $A$ -solvable groups.*

Proof. (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). Since  $A^n$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group, the same holds for  $B$ , and  $S_A(B) = B$  and  $S_B(A^n) = A^n$ . By Theorem 3.3, the class of torsion-free  $B$ -solvable groups coincides with the class of torsion-free  $A^n$ -solvable groups, which is the class of torsion-free  $A$ -solvable groups.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a). If  $B \doteq A^n$  for some  $n$ , then  $B$  is  $A$ -solvable by (b), and  $B = H_A(B)A = H_{A^n}(B)A^n$ . This shows that  $A^n$  is an  $\mathcal{S}$ -group. ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] U. Albrecht, *The construction of  $A$ -solvable abelian groups*, Czechoslovak Math. J. 44 (119) (1994), 413–430.
- [2] U. Albrecht and H. P. Goeters, *Pure subgroups of  $A$ -projective groups*, Acta Math. Hungar. 65 (1994), 217–227.
- [3] D. M. Arnold, *Endomorphism rings and subgroups of finite rank torsion-free abelian groups*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 12 (1982), 241–256.
- [4] D. M. Arnold and L. Lady, *Endomorphism rings and direct sums of torsion free abelian groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 211 (1975), 225–237.
- [5] R. A. Beaumont and R. S. Pierce, *Torsion-free groups of rank 2*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1961).
- [6] T. G. Faticoni and H. P. Goeters, *On torsion-free Ext*, Comm. Algebra 16 (1988), 1853–1876.
- [7] H. P. Goeters and W. Ullery, *Homomorphic images of completely decomposable finite rank torsion-free groups*, J. Algebra 104 (1991), 1–11.
- [8] F. Ulmer, *A flatness criterion in Grothendieck categories*, Invent. Math. 19 (1973), 331–336.
- [9] R. B. Warfield, *Extensions of torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank*, Arch. Math. (Basel) 23 (1972), 145–150.

Department of Mathematics  
 Auburn University  
 Auburn, Alabama 36849-5310  
 U.S.A.  
 E-mail: albreuf@mail.auburn.edu

*Received 9 February 1998;  
 revised 3 September 1998*