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## 1. Introduction

The 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol (3,3-DM-1B) and 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol (3,3-DM-2B) are the branched primary and secondary alcohols, respectively. These substances are isomers of neohexanol with the general chemical formula $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{OH}$. Their solid phase polymorphism has been identified by the differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and adiabatic calorimetry methods [1, 2]. For 3,3-DM-1B on cooling down to 13 K glass of isotropic liquid was formed and it softened
during heating at 156 K . At 196 K the crystallization of the supercooled liquid occurred and at 234 K melting of the stable crystal was observed. On cooling of $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ to liquid nitrogen temperatures a crystallization of the orientationally disordered plastic crystal C1 (ODIC) occurs at 260 K and it transforms into glass of plastic crystal at 210 K . On heating the ODIC phase C1 appeared at 210 K , and next solid-solid phase transitions took place at 245 K and 271 K , and at 275 K melting was observed [2]. At 20 K in $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ glass of isotropic liquid phase (on fast cooling) or crystal phase (on slow cooling) were identified by the inelastic incoherent neutron scattering (IINS) spectra obtained using different temperature treatment of the sample $[3,4]$ and only glassy crystal in $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$.

This paper presents the results of the observations of the vibrational modes in $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ and $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ with help of the inelastic incoherent neutron scattering method as well as of the mid infrared (MIR) absorption spectroscopy and the far infrared (FIR) spectroscopy (for $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ only). The IINS and FIR spectra measured at 20 K and MIR spectra measured at room temperature are interpreted in terms of the bands of the normal modes obtained by quantum chemical calculations.

## 2. Experimental details

The investigated samples have been purchased from the Aldrich Chemical Company. The IINS measurements of $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ and $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ have been carried out in the energy range up to $1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and the temperatures from 20 to 290 K using the inverted geometry time-of-flight (TOF) spectrometer NERA-PR at the IBR-2 flux pulsed reactor at JINR described in [5].

The FIR absorption measurements have been carried out with the DIGILAB FTS-14 spectrometer in the $40-500 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ frequency range; the resolution has been $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The temperature dependence of the FIR absorption spectra of $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ has been measured in the temperature range $25-290 \mathrm{~K}$.

The MIR spectra of $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ and $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ have been measured at room temperature in the liquid phase in the frequency range of $400-4000 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The measurements have been carried out with the Excalibur FTS 3000 spectrometer. The resolution of our spectra is close to $2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

## 3. Calculations of structure and dynamics of free molecules

The geometry of isolated molecule has been optimized in the first step by the semi-empirical PM3 method because its conformational structure is unknown. In the second step, the structure has been optimized using the Gaussian'03 program [6] by the density functional B3LYP (Becke 3-Lee-Yang-Parr correlations) [7, 8] with the basis set $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{* *}$. The structures of the lowest energy conformers of $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ and $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ calculated on the B3LYP/6-311G** level are displayed in Fig. 1. The parameters of geometry of both isomers studied are shown in detail in Table I. One can see that the results of PM3 method are close to these of density
functional theory (DFT) method. The optimized molecular structure of isolated molecule is dependent on the level of the calculation methods. The structure of the $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ and $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ molecules is characterized by $C_{1}$ symmetry confirmed by DFT calculations with the electronic energy $\left(E_{\mathrm{e}}\right)$ of -312.38 Hartree* and -312.39 Hartree, respectively.


Fig. 1. Geometry of the lowest energy conformers: 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol (a) and 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol (b). Numbering scheme of carbon atoms is given.

Additionally, the potential energy of the molecule as a function of reorientation angle of t-butyl group around $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ bond for an isolated molecule has been calculated by the semi-empirical PM3 method (see Fig. 2). The heights of three potential barriers for $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ are equal to $3.45 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 3.79 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and $3.36 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for angles $60^{\circ}, 180^{\circ}$, and $300^{\circ}$, respectively. For $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ the potential barriers of reorientation of t-butyl group around C2-C3 bond are higher - they are equal to $4.69 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 4.93 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, and $4.84 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the same angles.

Calculations by the DFT method performed by Gaussian'03 program [6] allow to establish the frequencies of normal modes, $\nu_{j}$, and displacements $A_{n}\left(\nu_{j}\right)$ in corresponding normal mode $j$ of a molecule of $n$ atoms, as well as the IR intensities of normal modes. Neutron scattering intensities $G(\nu)$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\nu)=\sum_{j} \sum_{n}\left[A_{n}\left(\nu_{j}\right)\right]^{2} \delta\left(\nu-\nu_{j}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been calculated using the $\alpha$-Climax program [9]. Next, using the program RES [10], neutron scattering intensities were convoluted with the resolution function of the NERA spectrometer in order to obtained calculated phonon density of states $G_{\text {cal }}(\nu)$. The calculated neutron scattering intensities $G_{\text {cal }}(\nu)$ for 3,3-DM-1B and 3,3-DM-2B in form of the Dirac delta at the calculated frequencies are presented in Figs. 3 and 4 together with their convolution with the NERA resolution function and compared with the experimental $G_{\exp }(\nu)$ spectra (in the

[^0]TABLE I
Optimized geometry of 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol and 3,3-dimethyl-2butanol. DFT - DFT/B3LYP/6-311G**.

| 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol |  |  | 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internal bond length $[\AA]$ of isolated molecule |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DFT | PM3 |  | DFT | PM3 |
| H-O | 0.95009 | 0.94931 | H-O | 0.95005 | 0.94931 |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | 1.46994 | 1.40182 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.47157 | 1.40182 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.52515 | 1.53084 | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 1.52591 | 1.53084 |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.52877 | 1.53748 | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 1.53093 | 1.53738 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.52830 | 1.52920 | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 1.52585 | 1.52920 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.52542 | 1.52759 | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 1.52504 | 11.52759 |
| $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.52477 | 1.52714 | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | 1.52902 | 11.52714 |
| Internal angles [degree] isolated molecule |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | DFT | PM3 |  | DFT | PM3 |
| H-O-C(1) | 109.501 | 110.966 | O-C(2) | 109.434 | 106.256 |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 111.192 | 113.105 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | 108.999 | 111.182 |
| O-C(2)-C(3)-C(4) | 107.860 | 108.565 | $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 109.983 | 108.208 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 108.512 | 109.652 | $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 111.045 | 111.623 |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 109.382 | 112.998 | $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | 108.459 | 108.548 |
| $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 108.233 | 109.160 | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | 113.536 | 112.627 |
| $\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 108.531 | 108.820 | $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | 109.147 | 109.342 |




Fig. 2. Energy of molecule as a function of reorientational angle around C2-C3 bond for 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol (a) and for 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol (b).
frequency range up to $800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). Calculated IR intensities are presented in Figs. 5 and 6 together with optical spectroscopy results.


Fig. 3. Comparison of the experimental IINS low-temperature phonon density of states $G_{\text {exp }}(\nu)$ of crystal phase of $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ and $G_{\text {cal }}(\nu)$ calculated by DFT method using the B3LYP/6-311G** level.


Fig. 4. Comparison of the experimental IINS low-temperature phonon density of states $G_{\exp }(\nu)$ of glassy crystal of $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ and $G_{\text {cal }}(\nu)$ calculated using the B3LYP/6$311 \mathrm{G}^{* *}$ level.


Fig. 5. Comparison of the experimental MIR and calculated spectra of 3,3-DM-1B.


Fig. 6. Experimental FIR and MIR spectra for 3,3-DM-2B in glassy crystal and liquid phase, respectively, compared with the spectra calculated by DFT method using the B3LYP/6-311G** basis set.

Using the gOpenMol program [11] visualization of vibrational motions of atoms in molecule and interpretation of each mode was performed.

## 4. Comparison of experimental and calculated spectra

In Table II the frequencies of normal vibrational modes obtained experimentally by IINS, MIR, and FIR methods are compared with calculated frequencies for $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-1 \mathrm{~B}$ and $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$. It occurs that $G_{\text {cal }}(\nu)$ spectra calculated in the one-phonon scattering approximation for the isolated molecule are in relatively good agreement with the $G_{\exp }(\nu)$ experimental ones above $c a .180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In a solid phase, vibrational bands interpreted as torsional modes around $\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3$ bond appear at the lower energy transfer on smooth background of lattice phonons. The bands responsible for librational modes of methyl groups and deformational vibrations of skeleton appear in the frequency range from about $180 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ while methyl and methylene wagging modes appear near $800 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

TABLE II
Calculated frequencies for isolated molecules and experimental results of MIR and $G_{\exp }(\nu)$ for 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol and FIR, MIR and $G_{\exp }(\nu)$ for 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol. MIR - MIR $290 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{G}(\nu)-G_{\exp }(\nu) 20 \mathrm{~K}, \mathrm{IR}$ FIR 25 K and MIR 290 K , Exp. freq. - Experimental frequencies [ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ], Cal. freq. - Calculated frequencies $\left[\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right]$, Ass. - Assignment and potential energy distribution $((\%)>10 \%)$.


TABLE II (cont.)

| 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol |  |  |  |  | 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp. freq. |  | Cal. freq. |  | Ass. | Exp. freq. |  | Cal. freq. |  | Ass. |
| MIR | $\mathrm{G}(\nu)$ | DFT | PM3 |  | IR | $\mathrm{G}(\nu)$ | DFT | PM3 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 347 \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | 347.2 | 386.5 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 26 \%$ <br> $\delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 17 \%$ <br> $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1] 14 \%$ <br> $\delta[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2] 10 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 358 \\ & 371 \end{aligned}$ | 361 | 366.4 | 417.7 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \delta[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6] 41 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 17 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 16 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}] 12 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 425 | 419.4 | 448.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 25 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 24 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 22 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2] 16 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 392 | 391 | 386.8 | 443.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3] 36 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6] 12 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 11 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 438 | $\begin{aligned} & 440 \\ & 464 \end{aligned}$ | 436.6 | 481.3 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2] 31 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 23 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O}] 15 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 446.5 \\ & 488.9 \end{aligned}$ | 452 | 449.8 | 484.5 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6] 35 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 20 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3] 17 \%$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 500 \\ & 643 \end{aligned}$ | 507 | 503.4 | 537.5 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{c} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 16 \%$ <br> $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1] 16 \%$ <br> $\delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O}] 11 \%$ <br> $\delta[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 11 \%$ <br> $\delta[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 11 \%$ | 488.9 | 489 | 481.2 | 504.2 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}] 27 \%$ <br> $\delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{c} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 20 \%$ <br> $\delta[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3] 19 \%$ <br> $\delta[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 16 \%$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 756 \\ & 777 \end{aligned}$ | 766 | 754.5 | 780.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 29 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 28 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 563.2 \\ 635 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 567 \\ & 576 \\ & 668 \end{aligned}$ | 560.3 | 584.0 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 23 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{c} 2-\mathrm{O}] 11 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3] 11 \%$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline 842 \\ & 868 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 792 \\ & 818 \\ & 875 \end{aligned}$ | 793.3 | 886.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3] 28 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 24 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2] 18 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 13 \% \end{aligned}$ | 718 |  | 713.2 | 801.0 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3] 52 \%$ |
| 919 |  | 915.9 | 934.3 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 22 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 16.5 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 12 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 845 \\ & 913 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 853.1 \\ & 930.6 \end{aligned}$ | 920.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6] 22 \% \\ & \nu[\text { C3-C5 }] 20 \% \\ & \nu[\text { C3-C4 }] 12 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 933 |  | 931.1 | 938 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 26 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 15 \%$ $\nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2] 12 \%$ |  | 938 | 939.4 | 939.1 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 54 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 13 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 943 | 939.9 | 939.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 37 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 30 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 945.0 | 940.8 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 21 \%$ $\nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 17 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 14 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 21 \%$ |
|  | 949 | 960.3 | 946.1 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 36 \%$ |  |  |  | 950.0 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 23 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3] 10 \%$ |  |  |  |  | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 37 \%$ |
| 978 |  | 985.3 | 951 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 22 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 10 \%$ $\nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 10 \%$ |  |  | 967.2 | 969.0 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 35 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 12 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}] 10 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 996 | 996 | 1007.8 | 979.7 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 19 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 29 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 17 \%$ |  |  |  | 981.2 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 31 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 25 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 10 \%$ |
| 1040 | 1020 | 1056.8 | 1003.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 39 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 990 \\ 1008 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1011.8 \\ & 1031.1 \\ & 1057.7 \end{aligned}$ | 994.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 32 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 22 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 11 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 1064 |  | 1069.5 | 1035.2 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 23 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 16 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 11 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}] 10 \% \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 1115.0 | 1025 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 43 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 10 \% \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE II (cont.)

| 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol |  |  |  |  | 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp. freq. |  | Cal. freq. |  | Ass. | Exp. freq. |  | Cal. freq. |  | Ass. |
| MIR | G( $\nu$ ) | DFT | PM3 |  | IR | $\mathrm{G}(\nu)$ | DFT | PM3 |  |
| 1079 | 1072 | 1090.3 | 1083.9 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1] 65 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1052 \\ & 1084 \\ & 1098 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | 1136.7 | 1059.2 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 52 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 17 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}] 12 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 1163 | 1099 | 1161.0 | 1102.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 25 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 21 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 19 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1202 |  | 1204.2 | 1087.5 | $\begin{gathered} \nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2] 43 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 17 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 1203 \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | 1202 | 1222.0 | 1150.6 | $\begin{gathered} \nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O} 6] 31 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{O} 6-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 26 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 17 \% \end{gathered}$ | 1223 | 1235 | 1249.4 | 1152.5 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 25 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 21 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}] 17 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 1247 |  | 1266.0 | 1207.3 | $\delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 23 \%$ $\nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 12 \%$ $\delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 21 \%$ | 1254 |  | 1269.9 | 1252.5 | $\begin{gathered} \nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3] 22 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}] 18 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 1256 | 1251 | 1272.1 | 1289.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 11 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1299 |  | 1311.6 | 1263.3 | $\begin{gathered} \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6] 25 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}] 16 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 12 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 1294 |  | 1324.7 | 1291.1 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3] 16 \%$ | 1340 | 1321 | 1384.5 | 1289.5 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 17 \%$ |
|  |  | 1346.1 | 1299.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2] 17 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{H}] 11 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1364 |  | 1405.8 | 1317.2 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}] 31 \%$ |
| 1365 |  | 1394.0 | 1331.7 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 20 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2] 15 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}] 12 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 1410.9 | 1348.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 58 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 14 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 1394 |  | 1401.8 | 1337 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}] 48 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 15 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 15 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1415.1 | 1352.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 37 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 32 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 1403.6 | 1353 | $\delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 71 \%$ |  |  | 1424.0 | 1353.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 40 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 22 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 10 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| 1425 |  | 1431.0 | 1355.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 36 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 25 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 12 \% \end{aligned}$ |  | 1358 | 1447.2 | 1355.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 29 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 18 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 1433.2 | 1358.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 19 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 12 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 12 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1491.8 | 1358.9 | $\delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 35 \%$ |
| 1473 |  | 1483.2 | 1358.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 65 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 14 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1502.2 | 1360.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 52 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 13 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 1484.7 | 1361.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 23 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 12 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1507.5 | 1362.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 37 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 19 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 11 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 1491.2 | 1364.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 31 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 20 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 12 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1511.0 | 1364.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 25 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 17 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 12 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 1493.0 | 1368.6 | $\begin{aligned} & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 23 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 31 \% \\ & \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 20 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1513.5 | 1370.6 | $\delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 14 \%$ |
|  |  | 1511.0 | 1377.7 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 15 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 14 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1373 |  | 1522.3 | 1375.2 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 13 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6] 10 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | 1512.6 | 1379 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{C} 3] 25 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4] 12 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1526.8 | 1380.0 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 29 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 10 \% \end{gathered}$ |

TABLE II (cont.)

| 3,3-dimethyl-1-butanol |  |  |  |  | 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanol |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Exp. freq. |  | Cal. freq. |  | Ass. | Exp. freq. |  | Cal. freq. |  | Ass. |
| MIR | $\mathrm{G}(\nu)$ | DFT | PM3 |  | IR | $\mathrm{G}(\nu)$ | DFT | PM3 |  |
|  |  | 1518.4 | 1390.3 | $\begin{aligned} & \nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{O}] 15 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1] 11 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5] 10 \% \end{aligned}$ | 1392 | $\begin{aligned} & 1417 \\ & 1479 \end{aligned}$ | 1539.4 | 1397.8 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}] 27 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2] 11 \% \\ \nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3] 11 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 1521 | 1524.6 | 1404 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 23 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 11 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1460 \\ & 1480 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1432.4 | $\begin{gathered} \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 27 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 23 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 12 \% \\ \delta[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 10 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| 2869 |  | 2987.4 | 2784.9 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 95 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2654 \\ & 2712 \\ & 2873 \\ & 2909 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2953.7 \\ & 3034.1 \end{aligned}$ | 2716.5 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 99 \%$ |
| 2908 |  | 2991.6 | 2846.4 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 99 \%$ |  |  | 3038.3 | 2944.4 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 66 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 16 \%$ |
|  |  | 3013.8 | 2914.8 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 96 \%$ |  |  | 3041.4 | 2956.5 | $\begin{aligned} & \nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 76 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 15 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 3017.3 | 2919.4 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{H}] 99 \%$ |  |  | 3047.8 | 2969.6 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 98 \%$ |
| 2957 |  | 3025.0 | 2944.3 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 95 \%$ | 2950 |  | 3097.2 | 2972.1 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 93 \%$ |
|  |  | 3042.2 | 2971.2 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 91 \%$ |  |  | 3103.4 | 2975.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \nu[\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 23 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 32 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 26 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 3075.9 | 2974.2 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 89 \%$ |  |  | 3106.6 | 2976.8 | $\begin{aligned} & \nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 57 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 37 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 3078.0 | 2975.2 | $\begin{aligned} & \nu[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 44 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 31 \% \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3107.4 | 2977.3 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 91 \%$ |
|  |  | 3079.7 | 2975.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \nu[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 48 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 44 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 3116.3 | 2977.9 | $\begin{aligned} & \nu[\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 58 \% \\ & \nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 33 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | 3084.1 | 2978.6 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 60 \%$ |  |  | 3136.4 | 3049.9 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 98 \%$ |
|  |  | 3085.3 | 3049.4 | $\nu[\mathrm{c} 5-\mathrm{H}] 99 \%$ |  |  | 3140.8 | 3052.9 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{H}] 96 \%$ |
|  |  | 3090.6 | 3058.5 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 6-\mathrm{H}] 85 \%$ |  |  | 3142.9 | 3058.2 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 5-\mathrm{H}] 89 \%$ |
|  |  | 3094.9 | 3060.2 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 87 \%$ |  |  |  | 3060.2 | $\nu[\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H}] 90 \%$ |
| 3339 |  | 3823.4 | 3747.4 | $\nu[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}] 100 \%$ | 3392 |  | 3820.4 | 3764.0 | $\nu[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}] 100 \%$ |

For 3,3-DM-1B using the B3LYP/6-311G** (PM3) method of calculations the torsional out-of-plane $\chi[\mathrm{C} 1-\mathrm{C} 2]$ and $\chi[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3]$ modes were localized at $80.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $111.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(80.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ and $\left.113 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, while in the $G_{\exp }(\nu)$ experimental spectra they were observed at $82 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $116 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The librational modes of methyl groups are visible in $G_{\exp }(\nu)$ at $135 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 179 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 186 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $204 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 232 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $273 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Deformational in-plane $\delta[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}]$ modes were predicted by DFT (PM3) in the range from $331.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $503.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(340.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ to $\left.537.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and observed in the IINS spectra in frequencies from $347 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $507 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The deformational in-plane [C-C-H] modes of methyl groups were calculated by DFT (PM3) method between $915.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1266 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(934.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ to $\left.1207.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and were identified with bands between $919 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1247 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in MIR spectra.

The deformational $[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}]$ mode was found by DFT (PM3) in the range from $1346 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $1511 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(1299.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ to $\left.1377.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and by MIR method in the range from $1365 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $1473 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Stretching $\nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2], \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4], \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5]$, and $\nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 6]$ modes calculated by DFT (PM3) method at $793.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(886.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ correspond to three bands around $820 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in IINS spectra and two bands between $842 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $868 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in MIR spectra. The symmetric and asymmetric stretching $\nu[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}]$ modes of methyl groups calculated between $2987.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $3094.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(2784.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ and $3060.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), when the DFT (PM3) method was used, were found in range from $2869 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $2957 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the MIR spectra.

For $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ the torsional out-of-plane $\chi[\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 3]$ modes were found at $83.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ by B3LYP $/ 6-311 \mathrm{G}^{* *}$ method and at $90.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ by PM3 method. It corresponds to the mode observed in $G_{\exp }(\nu)$ at $97.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and in FIR at $95.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The librational modes of methyl groups are visible at $130 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 170 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, $215 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $256 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in IINS spectra and at $122.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}, 195 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$, and $265 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in FIR spectra.

The vibrational modes predicted by DFT (PM3) in the range from $282.8 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $560.3 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(283.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ to $\left.584 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ were ascribed to the deformational in-plane $\delta[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}]$ modes observed from $287 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $567 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the $G_{\exp }(\nu)$ spectra and from $286 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $563.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in the FIR spectra. The deformational in-plane $\delta[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}]$ modes were identified in calculated DFT (PM3) spectra between $939.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1249.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ (from $939.1 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $1152.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). They were observed between $990 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1202 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in MIR spectra and between $938 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $1230 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in IINS spectra, i.e. above the frequency range chosen for presentation. The deformational $\delta[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}]$ modes were found by DFT (PM3) method in the range from $1405 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $1539.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(1348.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ to $1432.4 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ) and from $1364 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $1480 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ by MIR method. In IINS spectra the corresponding band was found in the range from $1321 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ to $1600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

Frequencies of the stretching modes: $\nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 2]$ and $\nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 4], \nu[\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{C} 5]$, $\nu$ [C3-C6] calculated by DFT are equal to $713.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $853 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. Those calculated by PM3 are equal to $801 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $920.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. In MIR spectra they were observed at $718 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $845 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and additionally at $913 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. The symmetric and asymmetric stretching $\nu[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}]$ modes of methyl groups appeared between $2953.7 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ and $3142.9 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(2716.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right.$ and $\left.3060.2 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ in spectra calculated by DFT (PM3) method and from $2654 \mathrm{~cm}-1$ to $2950 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ in MIR spectra.

In IINS method a contribution of the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}$ mode to the density of states function is small when compared to contribution of modes connected to thirteen other hydrogen atoms in the molecule. In MIR spectra of $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-1 \mathrm{~B}(3,3-\mathrm{DM}-$ 2B) shown in Fig. 5 (Fig. 6) the following characteristic vibrations of hydroxyl group are well pronounced: torsional out-of-plane $\chi[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}]$ modes at $646 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ( $635 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ), stretching $\nu[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}]$ mode at $1040 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(1084 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$, deformational
in-plane $[\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}]$ mode at $1365 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(1392 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$ and stretching $\nu[\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{H}]$ mode which appeared near $3350 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\left(3392 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}\right)$. The corresponding frequency values calculated by both methods used are presented in Table II. The hydroxyl group modes were observed to be overlapped by weak $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ group vibrations. It is important to note that frequency of modes in the experimental MIR spectra and those calculated by DFT method and also by PM3 method are similar.

## 5. Final remarks

This work presents results of DFT (B3LYP/6-311G**) calculations for 3,3-DM-1B and $3,3-\mathrm{DM}-2 \mathrm{~B}$ isomers of neohexanol. They were undertaken to reproduce the vibrational density of states $G_{\exp }(\nu)$ obtained using IINS method and the experimental FIR and MIR spectra. Calculated frequencies of stretching $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ modes as well as of deformational $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ modes agree well with those found in the experimental MIR spectra of liquid phase in both substances. The main features of $G_{\exp }(\nu)$, i.e. torsional out-of-plane modes of t-butyl group and methyl groups around $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bond and deformational $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ modes, detected in the frequency range up to $600 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ were satisfactorily identified by $G_{\text {cal }}(\nu)$ calculated in terms of isolated molecule approximation. Threefold symmetry potential for rotations of t-butyl group around C-C axis was confirmed in both substances studied. DFT calculations for dimer and higher order molecular clusters are in preparation, in order to investigate influence of hydrogen bonds on hydroxyl groups dynamics and other vibrational modes of free molecule.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{*} 1$ Hartree $=4.35974417(75) \times 10^{-18} \mathrm{~J}=27.2113845(23) \mathrm{eV}=2 \mathrm{Ry}=627.509$ $391 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

