DOI 10.2478/pjvs-2014-0029

Review

## Methods and difficulties in detection of *Clostridium botulinum* and its toxins

### T. Grenda, E. Kukier, K. Kwiatek

Department of Hygiene of Animal Feedingstuffs, National Veterinary Research Institute in Pulawy Partyzantów 57, 24-100 Puławy, Poland

#### Abstract

The aim of this work was to present selected data regarding traditional and modern methods for *C. botulinum* and its toxins detection. In this article, methods based on culturing techniques, mouse bioassay, immunological techniques, chromatography and PCR, PFGE, RFLP, AFLP are described. The mentioned techniques were evaluated considering their usefulness in the samples examination, genotyping of strains and the diagnostics of botulism.

Key words: Clostridium botulinum, BoNTs, molecular biology, genotyping.

#### Introduction

Botulism has been affecting human civilization from the earliest time. However, the first, accurately described incident of a food-borne botulism was documented as late as the 18th century, when the consumption of meat and blood sausages was a cause of many deaths in the Kingdom of Württemberg, in the South Western Germany (Erbguth 2008). Before this time, the botulism among humans and animals had not been clearly associated with the consumption of food or feed. The district medical doctor Justinus Kerner has published the first accurate and complete descriptions of the symptoms of food-borne botulism (Kerner 1820). Clostridium botulinum was isolated for the first time in 1895, when Belgian scientist Emile Pierre van Ermengem had considered human intoxication outbreak after the consumption of salted ham. The intoxication outbreak and the scientist's experiments on isolated bacterium named Bacillus botulinus, were described in 1897 (van Ermengem 1897). At the beginning of 20th century, the name of bacterium was changed into Clostridium botulinum (Erbguth 2008). Chronology of discovered toxotypes of this bacterium is indicated by subsequent letters of alphabet from A to G. Toxotype discovered by van Ermengem was marked as A and the last as G (Ciccarelli and Gimenez 1972). After 1970s a definition stating that all microorganisms which are able to produce botulinum toxins are classified to C. botulinum species was formulated (Cato et al. 1986). Nowadays, this definition does not apply. According to the history of discovering subsequent C. botulinum toxotypes it is worth to ask - why botulism cases were not always associated with the occurrence of this microorganism. The answer can be found in the further findings. In 1985, Hall et al. (1985) found a strain of C. barati that produced type F botulinum toxin. Aureli et al. (1986) isolated C. butyricum strains that produced toxin type E. There had been also observed similar biochemical features between C. botulinum and the other species of Clostridia which have no ability of botulinum toxins

Correspondence to: T. Grenda, e-mail: tomasz.grenda@piwet.pulawy.pl

production. Furthermore, a transfer of genes among A, B, E, F toxotypes was noticed and also that the toxigenicity of C. botulinum type C and D is determined by lisogenic process of specific bacteriophages. The problems mentioned above created a base of classification defined in 1990s according to which there are four metabolic groups of C. botulinum, and the C. botulinum - like strains (with similar phenotypic features, which have no ability of botulinum toxins production). It was observed that among strains which are able to produce botulinum toxins, high biochemical and genetic diversity exists. The first group consists of proteolytic C. botulinum strains able to produce A, B, and F toxins and non-toxigenic C. sporogenes. The second group includes A, B, E non-protelytic C. botulinum toxotypes. The third group consists of proteolytic and non-proteolytic C, D C. botulinum toxotypes and also C. novyi type A. Group IV includes C. botulinum type G (with regard to genetic and phenotypic differences between the other C. botulinum strains, also determined as C. argentinense in the literature), and also C. subterminale and C. hastiforme (Suen et al. 1988, Hatheway 1990, Hatheway 1995, Hyytiä-Trees 1999). Phenotypically, group I and II strains differ from each other significantly. Group I and III organisms seem to be more of terrestrial origin and are present in temperate climates, whereas group II strains, particularly type E, are frequently found in aquatic environments in the northern hemisphere. Differences in spore heat resistance and growth temperatures are responsible for the risks posed by C. botulinum groups I, II and III in the food and feed industry. Group I spores, which have a high heat resistance (Ito et al. 1967, Lynt et al. 1981), cause problems in canning and home preservation of vegetables and meat, whereas group II spores, with somewhat lower spore heat resistance (Lindström et al. 2003), are of a great concern in low processed packaged foods that have extended shelf lives at refrigerated temperatures (Peck et al. 1997). Group III is considered as a major causative botulism agent in animals and toxigenicity of these microorganisms is determined by specific bacteriophages (Saeed 2004).

#### Clostridium botulinum isolation

The diversity among *C. botulinum* strains and occurrence of *C. botulinum*-like strains cause problems with an isolation process. The growth of this species requires obligatory anaerobiosis. Different nutritional requirements for particular metabolic groups determine usage of proper culture method (Saeed 2004). The main factors which have influence on the *C*. botulinum isolation from examined sample are: incubation temperature, pH value, content of preservatives and presence of competitive microflora. The optimal redox potential for C. botulinum growth equal -350 mV, however BoNT production was also observed at +250 mV (Anon 1998). The pH value should be higher than 6.0. The enrichment stage of culturing process is conducted by using broths containing: meat extract, amino acids, various combinations of tryptone, peptone, glucose, yeast extract and ingredients decreasing redox potential like thioglycolates, sodium and potassium sulfide, L-cysteine or liver in pieces (Erbguth 2008). The most popular are enrichment broths, like: Wrzosek broth, Robertson broth, Tryptone Peptone Glucose Yeast Extract Broth (TPGY), Clostridial Medium (CM), Cooked Meat Medium (CMM), Reinforced Clostridial Medium (RCM), Fastidious Anaerobic Broth (FAB) (Saeed 2004, Lindstrom and Korkeala 2006). Before sampling, chosen broth is deoxygenated by heating at 100°C for 10-15 minutes. After sampling into two test tubes with broth, one of them is pasteurized for vegetative cells inactivation and stimulation of spore germination. Because of different resistance to pasteurization temperatures, among spores from particular metabolic groups, it is recommended to heat at 70°C for 15 min. The vegetative cells can be also inactivated by ethanol treatment (Koransky et al. 1978). There are known different solid media for C. botulinum isolation, however, their essential ingredient is egg yolk emulsion, which enable demonstration of lipolytic and lecithinolytic properties of strain by creating characteristic "pearl layer". The frequently used agar media are: Willis - Hobbs Agar, Fastidious Anaerobic Agar (FAA), Clostridium botulinum Isolation Agar (CBI) and Egg Yolk Agar (EYA) (Glasby and Hatheway 1985, Lindström and Korkeala 2006).

The optimal growth temperature for all C. botulinum strains is not established, because of different demands of strains from particular metabolic groups. Regarding this fact, for the group I the optimal temperature ranges from 35°C to 40°C, for group II is established at about 25°C, for group III at about 40°C and for group IV circa 37°C (Saeed 2004). Some literature data revealed that the C. botulinum isolation could be possible also without enrichment stage and in this case - sample suspension is inoculated directly onto solid media. Such procedures were applied with CBI and EYA media for infant botulism diagnosis (Glasby and Hatheway 1985). However, taking into account presumptive contamination level of natural samples by this pathogen, which is most often low, sample enrichment is recommended (Dezfulian et al. 1981). The C. botulinum growth can be inhibited by competitive microflora, *e.g.* bacteria from genus *Clostridium, Bacillus* or *Streptococcus*.

### Clostridium botulinum and botulinum neurotoxins detection

The extreme potency of botulinum neurotoxin demands rigid requirements to ensure the safety of laboratory workers. Despite the potency of its neurotoxin, the non-invasive and non-contagious C. botulinum has been graded as a class II pathogen. The appropriate biosafety level 2 containment facilities and trained personnel are therefore a minimum requirement while dealing with C. botulinum. Additional contingencies should be considered whenever aerosol or droplet formation from toxic materials is expected. The definition of additional contingency measures should be based on a risk assessment of activities in each laboratory dealing with C. botulinum and its toxin. The efficacy of the pentavalent toxoid formerly used to immunize laboratory staff worldwide has been shown to be lower than expected. Therefore, a careful attention must be paid to safety of the laboratory staff (Lindström and Korkeala 2006).

Generally, detection of *C. botulinum* is based on proving the ability of suspicious strains to produce botulinum toxins (BoNTs). The highest number of methods is based on biological and immunological techniques for botulinum toxins detection following culturing of suspicious strains. In the latest years, chromatographic and molecular biology methods became much more popular.

#### **Biological tests**

Currently, mouse lethality assay is the reference method approved by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC) for BoNTs detection in botulism investigation (Cunniff 1995). The biological test is based on intraperitoneal mouse injection by supernatant obtained after broth culture centrifugation of strain suspected of belonging to C. botulinum species (C. botulinum-like strain) or sample extract and the observation of the symptoms. Concurrently, negative controls are conducted which enhance the assay specificity. At first, the antitoxin is intraperitonealy injected to a mouse, half an hour before a tested sample administration. The second negative control depends on thermal inactivation (generally, 80°C for 10 min) of BoNT assumed present in a sample. BoNT presence in the sample is confirmed when botulism symptoms occurred in mouse after tested supernatant injection and when animals used for negative controls are healthy. Death of mouse in a absence of neurological symptoms is not acceptable, because it may be caused by other microorganisms or chemicals present in the sample or by injection trauma (Wheeler et al. 2009).

Typical botulism symptoms sequence in mouse include ruffled fur, labored abdominal breathing, wasp shape, weakness of the limbs progressing to total paralysis, gasping for breath (opening of lower jaw) and/or death due to respiratory failure. The lethal dose of BoNT for 50% of tested mice ( $LD_{50}$ ) ranges from 5 pg to 10 pg, whilst the limit of detection BoNT by mouse lethality assay is estimated at 0,01 ng/ml of sample (Smith and Sugiyama 1988). The high sensitivity of biological test is limited in laboratory practice, requiring up to 6 days to obtain final results and is conducted only in facilities that have mouse available for a test. Additional drawbacks of the method include labour- and time-consumption and ethical dubiousness.

Nonlethal mouse assay is used to estimate BoNT/A power (Sesardic et al. 1996). It is based on local, subcutaneous injection of BoNT/A. The specificity and sensitivity are comparable to conventional mouse lethality assay. The test has not been validated for microbiological examination and epidemiological investigations.

Another method alternative to the reference method is a rat test in which a direct measure of neuromuscular signal transmission, the compound muscle action potential (CMAP), was used to quantify BoNT concentration. The potential is generated by the contraction of muscle fiber and changes in the resulting micro current upon BoNT treatment. The micro current generated by muscles is correlated with BoNTs concentration. In the literature, the use of this method for BoNT/A, C and E detection was described. The limit of detection for mentioned toxotypes is lower than 1 MLD<sub>50</sub>. These methods can estimate toxin quantity with significantly fewer animals required than the mouse lethality assay, however the main disadvantage of this technique is that toxin type must be known before examination (Torii et al. 2009).

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration recently approved a BOTOX<sup>®</sup> Cell-Based Potency Assay (CBPA) elaborated by Allergan Inc. for using as a replacement of mice bioassay (http://agn.client.share-holder.com/releasedetail.cfm?ReleaseID=587234). Cell-based assays measure BoNT receptor binding, translocation and enzymatic activity. A number of different neuronal and non-neuronal derived cell lines have been generated for use in BoNT assays. In the past: rat spinal cord cells, chick embryo neuronal cells, neuroblastoma cells N2A and BE(2) – M17 cells were elaborated (Eubanks et al. 2007).

Another in vitro test which could replace mouse bioassay is neuronal cell-based assay (NCB assays). These assays provide a model for BoNT detection which requires all steps of the cellular intoxication including cell surface binding, endocytosis, translocation of the light chain (LC) of botulinum toxin into cellular cytosol, and enzymatic activity of the LC on SNARE substrates. Recently described NCB assays exceed the sensitivity of mouse bioassay (Basavanna et al. 2013). Irrespective of the source of cells, all NCB assays require incubation of the cells with BoNTs for a defined time period, followed by the removal of the toxin and a quantitation of the endpoint for determining toxin activity. Among the most specific endpoints for BoNT activity is SNARE cleavage, and this can be used in any neuronal cell type. The using of NCB assays has some kind of limitation which, in comparison to in vivo tests, are: distribution, clearance, diffusion, transport, and other parameters. The most important application of NCB assays is determination of the potency of BoNTs, quantitative detection of neutralizing antibodies and use as research models. The NCB assays applications on foodstuffs or in field samples analysis require isolation and purification of BoNT (Pellet 2013).

#### **Immunological methods**

In comparison to the biological test, BoNTs detection with immunological methods is less labour- and time-consuming. Although, many of the earliest assays, such as radioimmunoassay (Boroff et al. 1973, Ashton et al. 1985), gel diffusion assay (Miller and Anderson 1971, Ferreira et al. 1981,), passive hemagglutination assay and the early applications of enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Notermans 1978, Dezfulian and Bartlett 1984) have poor sensitivity or specificity, the recent developments in signal amplification has enabled sensitivity equal to that of the mouse bioassay.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) is the most frequently used immunological test for the BoNT *in vitro* detection. Typical sandwich ELISA setup is based on binding BoNT by specific antibodies. If BoNT is present in the sample, antibody conjugated to a suitable reporter binds specifically to the immobilized toxin. The reporter enzyme converts a chromogenic substrate into a colored product which is spectroscopically quantified and signal is compared to a standard calibration curve and the toxin quantity is calculated. Essential drawback of standard ELISA is sensitivity, because it is from 10 to 100 times lower than mouse lethality assay (Ekong 2000). The sensitivity of traditional sandwich ELISA could be upgrading by using biotin – labeled antibodies (Scother et al. 2013).

The ELISA modification based on lanthanide chelate labels with unique fluorescence properties is time-resolved fluorescence method (TRF) and gives much more reliable results. The long fluorescence decay time allows measurement of fluorescence after the background fluorescence has fully subsided (Peruski et al. 2002). After the immunoreactions, the lanthanide label is dissociated and rapidly forms a new, highly fluorescent and stable chelate inside a protective micelle with the components of the enhancement solution. Such characteristics contributes to the high sensitivity and low backgrounds characteristic of such assays. The limit of detection achieved by this method ranges from 4 to 20 pg/ml.

Another ELISA modification makes use of enzymatic activity of BoNTs and their substrates (SNAP-25, synaptobrevin) (Halis et al. 1996). Exploitation of BoNT endopeptidase activity guarantees high specificity of the assay and decreases the possibility of cross-contamination by other bacterial toxins. Endopeptidase-ELISA has been described for A, B, D, E, and F types, however commercial assay is available only for BoNT A detection (Hallis et al. 1996, Ekong et al. 1997, Schimdt et al. 2001). The endopeptidase-ELISA has a potential to replace the reference method because it detects only biologically active BoNT and detection limit of the assay ranges from 0,6 – 4,5 ng/ml.

Electrochemilumiscence immunoassay (ECL) is a technique similar to ELISA (Phillips and Abbott 2008). It differs from ELISA by reporter antibody, which has an electrochemiluminiscence tag and becomes luminescent in the presence of an electric potential. Depending on the matrix and BoNT type, the detection limit of the assay slightly varies, however it is generally lower than ELISA sensitivity (Guglielmo-Viret et al. 2005). Additional advantages include easiness in use and fast sample preparation. The using of electrochemiluminescence assays has some limitations. Most of presented results show a cross-reactivity among particular BoNTs. Recently, Sachdeva et al. (2013) described using electrochemiluminescence assay for screening of Clostridium botulinum outbreak strains associated with type A botulism. They obtained limit of detection of 40 pg/ml without cross-reactivity effect. Bok et al. (2013) demonstrated a new method with intrinsic fluorescence signal amplification for highly sensitive detection of BoNT/A. They obtained limit of detection at the level of 21.3 µg/ml.

In recent years, the ALISSA (assay with a large immunosorbent surface area) tests which are modification of ELISA have been described (Bagramyan et al 2008). This assay is conducted in two steps. The first, an antibody-mediated step is a concentration of toxin onto a large bead surface. Subsequently, captured toxin molecules are subjected to a SNAPtide protease assay. The ALISSA enables detection of even 50  $\mu$ g toxin/ml, significantly lower than with using the mouse bioassay or ELISA (Bagramyan et al. 2008).

In the literature, multiplex detection antibody system was described, which has been elaborated to analyze multiple epitopes on single targets in one sample. In this kind of methods, monoclonal and also polyclonal antibodies for reducing of non-specific results are used. Luminex xMAP<sup>®</sup> technology, for which microsphere beads conjugated with antibodies are used, is based on this kind of methods. This antibody – bead complexes enables detection of multiple epitopes in single sample, *e.g.* such technology was utilized for detection of botulinum toxins, ricin, abrin and staphylococcal enterotoxins in spiked food samples (Garber et al. 2010).

For BoNTs detection, the lateral flow tests which are a immunochromatographic assays relying on antibody-BoNT complex detection resulting in color change of the strip, like commercial pregnancy tests, are also popular. Lateral flow tests are inexpensive, easy to use, generate a visual read-out with no equipment needed and are very fast (15 min). Unfortunately, their sensitivity is lower in comparison to ELISA and other modern immunological methods. Limits of detection range from 5 to 50 ng/ml (Sharma et al. 2005, Chiao et al. 2008). This kind of tests could be used for rapid screening. However, recently described results demonstrate possible application of lateral flow tests with monoclonal antibodies. The specific detection of BoNT/A and B with using F1 - 51 and BoB – 92 – 32 antibodies has been presented by Ching et al. (2012) They obtained limit of detection of 10 ng/ml for mentioned toxotypes.

#### Chromatography- and mass spectrometrybased methods

Recently, chromatography- and mass spectrometry- based methods are also becoming much more frequently used assays, where peptides present in a sample are preliminarily separated by liquid chromatography and then they are detected by mass spectrometry (Klaubert et al. 2009). The finding that light chain of BoNT is a zinc-protease that cleaves proteins of SNARE complex at a neuromuscular junction, was essential for the development of *in vitro* assays based on BoNTs enzymatic activity. For detection of bacterial toxins, which are proteins, usually matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization - time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) is used. The concentration of the protein in the sample is directly correlated with mass spectra and TOF analyzer measures time of flight of gas-phase ions from the ionization source to the detector, which is characteristic for each of the ions (Barr et al. 2004, Baar et al. 2005, Boyer et al. 2005). Imposing is also sensitivity of this technique which is capable of detecting 5 pg/ml of BoNT A, B, E and F (10-100-fold higher sensitivity than the mouse bioassay), relatively short time (16 hours) required for the examination and fact that only active BoNT is detected (Čapek and Dickerson 2010, Alam et al. 2012). Recently, Boyer et al. (2011) presented a method which could be used for a multiplex detection of toxin BoNT/A, B, C, D, E and F. The obtained level of detection was 20 times higher than mouse bioassay and was 0,05 LD<sub>50</sub>. Identification of amino acid sequences specific for each protein occurring in nature creates new opportunities for BoNT detection by modern MS methods.

#### PCR based methods

Another type of methods which enable a direct detection of C. botulinum (without toxin detection) are based on molecular biology. Application of the mentioned methods makes C. botulinum isolation omitting possible. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Southern blot hybridization are the methods most often described in the literature as regards to this species. Both techniques are characterized by high sensitivity and specificity. DNA of C. botulinum may be detected in sample directly (without enrichment process, in situ) or at different stages of culturing. There are known protocols based on nontoxic nonhemagglutinin gene (ntnh) detection coding nonhemagglutinin component of botulinum protoxins, common in all toxotypes of C. botulinum species (Raphael and Anreadis 2007). However, most of PCR protocols are based on bont genes detection, which determine active components of botulinum protoxin (BoNT) production specific for particular toxotypes (Aranda et al. 1997, Akbulut et al. 2005, Anon 2008). There are PCR procedures based on single bont gene detection (Sciacchitano and Hirshfield 1996, Yoon et al. 2005) and also on multiplex PCR detecting several toxotypes simultaneously (Szabo et al. 1994, Lindström et al. 2001, Akbulut et al. 2004). There are also described protocols based on Real-time PCR technique with using SybrGreen dyes and TaqMan molecular probes (Fach et al. 1995, Aranda et al. 1997, Braconier et al. 2001, Anniballi et al. 2012).

The sensitivity of PCR is highly influenced by the type of DNA matrix. The higher sensitivity is noticed when used DNA is obtained in the extraction and purification process, than after thermolysis of vegetative cells. Preparing DNA with extraction and purification is time-consuming, whilst thermolysis of cells suspension could be limited to 1 hour (Linström and Korkeala 2006).

A high sensitivity characterizes nested PCR test which is based on conducting reactions with using additional pair of primers. In the literature such kind of tests have been described for C. botulinum A, B, E and F toxotypes (Kakinuma et al. 1997, Fletcher et al. 2008). The usage of nested PCR enables to shorten time of enrichment in culturing process or detection of this pathogen in sample. The advantages of using this technique were described by Kakinuma et al. who gave an example of direct stool samples analyses from infant botulism cases with culturing process omitting (Kakinuma et al. 1997). Some ingredients of templates utilized in the detection process like fats, multimolecular proteins, immunoglobulins and natural microflora of samples might cause the inhibition of PCR (Al-Soud and Rådstöm 2001).

Generally, natural samples contamination level by *C. botulinum* is very low – it ranges from 10 to 1000 spores/kg, hence usually they are submitted to the enrichment process. The time of incubation is very important, when it is too short then it might be impossible to obtain sufficient amount of DNA for PCR analysis, which is linked with false negative results. Too long period might be result with cell lysis and degradation of DNA or appearing of thermoresistant spores. The optimal incubation time should range from 2 to 5 days (Saeed 2004, Lindström and Korkeala 2006).

The disadvantage of classic PCR is possibility of DNA detection originating from dead cells (Wolffs et al. 2005). This problem might be partly resolved by using enrichment process of sample. The other way for detection of viable cells might be RNA analysis by using RT-PCR (reverse transcription PCR). This reaction makes detection of gene expression possible, however obtaining RNA with proper quality is time-consuming. In literature such kind of tests was described for *C. botulinum* types A, B and E (McGrath et al. 2000, Lövenklev et al. 2004).

Nowadays, more frequently protocols based on Real-Time PCR with SybrGreen and molecular Taq-Man probes are described. These methods are characterized by a higher sensitivity than classic tests based on PCR technique. Through possibility of melting temperature analysis and using, besides primers, fluorescently labeled molecular probes, it is capable to obtain high specificity and the shorter time of analysis. The available detection level by using Real – Time PCR is relatively low in comparison to the conventional PCR. This technique enables DNA detection in the amount corresponding to a few or tens *C. botulinum* cells (mass of *C. botulinum* genom equale app. 4  $\mu$ g) (Raphael and Anreadis 2007, Grenda and Kwiatek 2010, Hill et al. 2010, Anniballi et al. 2012).

Development of molecular biology methods significantly improved C. botulinum detection and laboratory diagnostic of botulism. PCR methods enabled to shorten analysis time and the specific detection of the anaerobe DNA without isolation process. These methods are especially important for toxotype C and D, which are responsible for most animal botulism cases, and their toxicity is conditioned by infection by bacteriophage which contain toxicity genes of this anaerobe. Our laboratory practice confirmed that during passages of culture procedure, bacteriophage and its gene conditioning toxicity of C. botulinum was commonly lost, leading to false negative results. Usage of PCR-based methods enabled or strongly enhanced our efforts on botulism diagnosis in waterfowl (Grenda and Kwiatek 2009).

#### **Immuno-PCR methods**

In the literature also methods connecting PCR with immunological detection were described. In the immuno-PCR (I-PCR) the reporter antibody is a DNA-antibody conjugate with DNA used as an amplifiable tag. Amplification of DNA is performed either by normal PCR, which requires agarose gel electrophoresis detection of the PCR product, or more conveniently, by Real-Time quantitative PCR which is capable of direct DNA quantification using fluorescent dye labeling of the formed PCR product. Immuno-PCR technology greatly extends the sensitivity of immunoassays. This hybrid technology exhibited analyte detection from 100- to 1000-fold better than the ELISA method performed with the same antibodies. In general, immuno-PCR technology provides the basis for a new generation of sensitive immunoassays and may be useful in diagnosis of botulism and C. botulinum detection (Lindström and Korkeala 2006, Nakamura et al. 2013). The connection of immunological and PCR method could be used for detection of C and D strains and their toxins which have mosaic structure (BoNT/CD and DC). This would not be possible by using conventional PCR or immunological methods (Nakamura 2013).

# Genotypic characterization of *Clostridium botulinum*

*Clostridium botulinum* genotyping enables epidemiological investigations in the botulism cases. Such methods are very important tool for evaluating the similarity between strains in the range of species and make their characteristic and distinguishing of genetically similar groups possible.

The most frequently used genotyping method considered as "gold standard" in molecular typing is macrorestriction analysis with using Pulse Field Gel Elecrophoresis (PFGE). This method is based on digestion of genomic DNA with specific restriction enzymes. After digestion characteristic DNA fragments are obtained. The number and size of them depend on the length of target sequences for used enzyme and on the percent of GC pairs. Rare-cutting endonucleases are used most frequently. The length of obtaining DNA fragments ranges from 0,5 kbp to 1000 kbp, which are subsequently separated electrophoretically in the variable electric field (pulse field). The separation is based on forced changing of DNA molecules directions. After electrophoretic separation, the patterns of entire genomic DNA are obtained which create image of bacterial chromosome structure. This method has a very high potential. The comparison of obtained patterns make similarity determination of strains submitted to the analysis possible (Dingwall et al. 1990, Lindstöm and Korkeala 2006). Despite high possibilities of strains differentiation this method is very labour-consuming and difficult to carry out. DNA susceptibility to the action of extracellular nucleases, which could disrupt genotyping with using of standard protocols, especially in the analysis of C. botulinum group II, is of a great importance. (Lin and Johnson 1995, Johnson et al. 2005, Nevas et al. 2005).

The other kind of method which enables genetic characterization of microorganisms is rybotyping. This technique is based on highly conservative genes analysis coding ribosomal RNA occurring in all bacterial organisms. Genes coding particular type of rRNA are separated thanks to polymorphic regions, which characterize high diversity of their sequences and length. These genes are a very good material for filogenetic analysis. Also, as in the case of PFGE, susceptibility of DNA to the action of extracellular nucleases, which cause some limitations in rybotyping use, is very important (Grimont and Grimont 1986, Skinner 2000). An automatic system RiboPrinter (Qualicon, Wilmington, DE), which has been also used for C. botulinum strains genotyping (Skinner 2000) is widely used in ribotyping analysis.

Another method which has been used for genotypic analysis of *C. botulinum* is amplified frag-

ment length polymorphism (AFLP). This method is based on 2 stages. First, digestion of genomic DNA with two types of restriction enzymes is conducted. Subsequently, ligation of the obtained fragments with adequately designed adaptors is performed. The ligation products are submitted to selective amplification with using homologic primers to the adaptor-ligand sequence. In literature the usage of AFLP technique with using Hind III and HpyCH4IV enzymes has been described. This method constitutes an excellent tool for filogenetic analysis and enables differentation between I and II mebotabolic group of *C. botulinum* (Vos et al. 1995, Lindström and Korkeala 2006).

For the genotypic analysis of *C. botulinum* also Rep-PCR technique is used, which enables finding of repetitive sequences in genomes. The using of this technique to the genotypic analysis of *C. botulinum* is limited. In the literature the usage of Rep-PCR for the toxotypes B and E belonging to II metabolic group was described. The using of this method for the characterization of I metabolic group was only reported in the case of toxotype F (Versalovic et al. 1991, Hyytia et al. 1999).

Recently, DNA microarrays technology and MLVA method (Multi - locus variable number tandem repeat analysis) are adopted for C. botulinum characterization. Microarray test was performed by Raphael et al. (2010). The authors created microarray with 62 different sequences based on known variable regions in the genome of C. botulinum ATCC 3502. This array was applied for differentiation of C. botulinum toxotype A strains. The MLVA method is based on amplification of a variable number of tandem repeat regions using PCR. Generally, the tandem repeat regions are enumerated by the size of the PCR amplicon for each locus. MLVA has been used increasingly as a molecular typing method for various bacterial species as alternative to PFGE (Fillo et al. 2011, Umeda et al. 2013).

The consumers' demands of preservatives-free and low-processed food create challenges for searching new methods for C. botulinum elimination. A great attention focused on food and feed microbiological safety is the reason for researches for application of PCR methods for C. botulinum detection in above - mentioned matrixes by the European Committee for Standardization (Anon 2008). Nowadays in Poland, animal botulism and (sometimes) human botulism are generally diagnosed by mouse lethality assay (reference method according to AOAC). Supplementarily in our laboratories, PCR methods are used, which enable detection of DNA specific for C. botulinum species and its six toxotypes: A, B, C, D, E and F (Grenda and Kwiatek 2009). Taking into account disadvantages of above mentioned methods for BoNT detection and animal or human safety in this aspects, also method based on enzymatic activity of BoNTs with use of MS is developed at the NVRI in Pulawy. According to the authors opinion, in the near future, the results of the mentioned research enable a proper control of *C. botulinum* and botulinum toxin occurrence in food and feed. This research will make also better laboratory diagnostic of botulism in humans and animals possible.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by projects number NN308563639 and NN308237138 from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

#### References

- Akbulut D, Grant KA, McLauchlin J (2005) Improvement in laboratory diagnosis of wound botulism and tetanus among injecting illicit-drug users by use of real-time PCR assays for neurotoxin gene fragments. J Clin Microbiol 43: 4342-4348.
- Alam SI, Kumar B, Kamboj DV (2012) Multiplex detection of protein toxins using MALDI – TOF – TOF tandem mass spectrometry: application in unambiguous toxin detection from bioaerosol. Anal Chem 84: 10500-10507.
- Al-Soud WA, Rådström P (2001) Purification and characterization of PCR-inhibitory components in blood cells. J Clin Microbiol 39: 485-493.
- Anniballi F, Auricchio B, Delibato E, Antonacci M, Medici D, Fenicia L (2012) Multiplex real – time PCR SYBR Green for detection and typing of group III *Clostridium botulinum*. Vet Microbiol 154: 332-338.
- Anon (1998) Botulism in the United States 1899-1996. Handbook for epidemiologists, clinicians, and laboratory workers, 1st ed., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta.
- Anon (2008) Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) for the detection of botulinum neurotoxin-producing *Clostridia*.
  Proceedings, In: Food analysis-Horizontal methods-Microbial contamination: CEN/TC 275/WG 6 DOC N368, Helsinki-Finland, pp 1-17.
- Aranda E, Rodriguez MM, Asensio MA, Cordoba JJ (**1997**) Detection of *Clostridium botulinum* types A, B, E and F in foods by PCR and DNA probe. Lett Appl Microbiol 25: 186-190.
- Ashton AC, Crowther JS, Dolly JO (**1985**) <u>A sensitive and</u> <u>useful radioimmunoassay for neurotoxin and its haema-</u> <u>gglutinin complex from</u> <u>Clostridium botulinum</u>. Toxicon 23: 235-246.
- Aureli P, Fenicia L, Pasolini B, Gianfranceschi M, McCroskey LM, Hatheway CL (1986) Two cases of type E botulism caused by neurotoxinogenic *Clostridium butyricum* in Italy. J Infect Dis 154: 207 211.
- Bagramyan K, Barash JR, Arnon SS, Kalkum M (2008) Attomolar detection of botulinum toxin type A in complex biological matrices. PloS One. doi: 10.1371/journal. pone.0002041.

- Barr JR, Moura H, Boyer AE, Woolfitt AR, Kalb SR, Pavlopoulos A, McWilliams LG, Schmidt JG, Martinez RA, Ashley DL (2005) <u>Botulinum neurotoxin detection and differentiation by mass spectrometry</u>. Emerg Infect Dis 11: 1578-1583.
- Basavanna U, Muruvanda T, Brown EW, Sharma SK (2013) Development of a cell – based functional assay for the detection of *Clostridium botulinum* neurotoxin types A and E. Int J of Microbiol. doi:10.1155/2013/593219.
- Bok S, Korampally V, Darr CM, Folk WR, Polo Parada L, Gangopadhyay K, Gangopadhyay S (2013) Femtogram level detection of *Clostridium botulinum* neurotoxin type A by sandwich immunoassay using nanoporous substrate and ultra bright fluorescent suprananoparticles. Biosens Bioelectron 41: 409 416.
- Boroff DA, Shu-Chen G (**1973**) <u>Radioimmunoa</u>ssay <u>for type</u> <u>A toxin of *Clostridium botulinum*</u>. Appl Microbiol 25: 545-549.
- Boyer AE, Gallegos-Candela M, Lins RC, Kuklenyik Z, Woolfitt A, Moura H, Kalb S, Quinn CP, Barr JR (**2011**) <u>Quantitative mass spectrometry for bacterial protein</u> <u>toxins-a sensitive, specific, high-throughput tool for de-</u> <u>tection and diagnosis.</u> Molecules 16: 2391-2413.
- Boyer AE, Moura H, Woolfitt AR, Kalb SR, McWilliams LG, Pavlopoulos A, Schmidt JG, Ashley DL, Barr JR (2005) From the mouse to the mass spectrometer: detection and differentiation of the endoproteinase activities of botulinum neurotoxins A-G by mass spectrometry. Anal Chem 77: 3916-3924.
- Braconnier A, Broussolle V, Perelle S, Fach P, Nguyen-The C, Carlin F (**2001**) Screening for *Clostridium botulinum* type A, B, and E in cooked chilled foods containing vegetables and raw material using polymerase chain reaction and molecular probes. J Food Prot 64: 201-207.
- Čapek P, Dickerson TJ (**2010**) Sensing the deadliest toxin: technologies for botulinum neurotoxin detection. Toxins (Basel) 2: 24-53.
- Cato EP, George WL, Finegold SM (1986) Genus Clostridium. In: Sneath PHA, Mair NS, Sharpe ME, Holt JG (ed) Bergey's Manual of Systematic Bacteriology, 2nd ed., Wiliams and Wilkins, Baltimore, pp 1141-1200.
- Chiao DJ, Wey JJ, Shyu RH, Tang SS (2008) Monoclonal antibody-based lateral flow assay for detection of botulinum neurotoxin type A. Hybridoma (Larchmt). 27: 31-35.
- Ching KH, Lin A, McGarvey JA, Stanker LH, Hnasko R (2012) <u>Rapid and selective detection of botulinum</u> <u>neurotoxin serotype-A and -B with a single immunoch-</u> <u>romatographic test strip.</u> J Immunol Methods 380: 23-29.
- Ciccarelli AS, Gimenez DF (**1972**) Another type of *Clostridium botulinum*. Zentralbl Bakteriol Orig 215: 221 224.
- Cunniff P (**1995**) Official Methods of Analysis of AOAC International, 16th ed., AOAC Intern Inc, Washington, pp 46-48.
- Dezfulian M, Bartlett JG (**1984**) Detection of *Clostridium botulinum* type A toxin by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay with antibodies produced in immunologically tolerant animals. J Clin Microbiol 19: 645-648.
- Dezfulian M, McCroskey LM, Hatheway CL, Dowell VR, Jr (1981) Selective medium for isolation of *Clostridium botulinum* from human faeces. J Clin Microbiol 13: 526-531.

- Dingwall A, Shapiro L, Ely B (**1990**) <u>Analysis of bacterial</u> <u>genome organization and replication using pulsed-field</u> <u>gel electrophoresis</u>. Methods 1: 160-168.
- Ekong T (**2000**) <u>Immunological detection of botulinum</u> <u>neurotoxi</u>ns. Anaerobe 6: 125-127.
- Ekong TA, Feavers IM, Sesardic D (1997) Recombinant SNAP-25 is an effective substrate for *Clostridium botulinum* type A toxin endopeptidase activity *in vitro*. Microbiology 143: 3337-3347.
- Erbguth FJ (**2008**) From poison to remendy: the chequered history of botulinum toxin. J Neural Transm 115: 559-565.
- Eubanks LM, Hixon MS, Jin W, Hong S, Clancy CM, Tepp WH, Baldwin MR, Malizio CJ, Goodnough MC, Barbieri JT, Johnson EA, Boger DL, Dickerson TJ, Janda KD (2007) An in vitro and in vivo disconnect uncovered through high – throughput identification of botulinum neurotoxin A antagonists. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104: 2602-2607.
- Fach P, Gibert M, Griffais R, Guillou JP, Popoff MR (1995) PCR and gene probe identification of botulinum neurotoxin A-, B-, E-, F-, and G-producing *Clostridium* spp. and evaluation in food samples. Appl Environ Microbiol 61: 389-392.
- Ferreira JL, Hamdy MK, Zapatka FA, Hebert WO (**1981**) <u>Immunodiffusion method for detection of type A</u> <u>Clostridium botulinu</u>m. Appl Environ Microbiol 42: 1057-1061.
- Fillo S, Giordani F, Anniballi F, Gorge O, Ramisse V, Vergnaud G, Riehm JM, Scholz HC, Splettstoesser WD, Kieboom J, Olsen JS, Fenicia L, Lista F (2011) *Clostridium botulinum* group I strain genotyping by 15 – locus multilocus variable – number tandem – repeat analysis. J Clin Microbiol 49: 4252-4263.
- Fletcher GC, Youssef JF, Lu G (**2008**) Selecting methods for determining the presence of BoNT genes in New Zealand marine sediments. Crop and Food Research Confidential Report, 1st ed., New Zealand Institute for Crop and Food Research Limited, New Zealand.
- Garber EA, Venkateswaran KV, O'Brien TW (**2010**) Simultaneus multiplex detection and confirmation of the proteinaceous toxins abrin, ricin, botulinum toxins, and Staphyloccocus enterotoxins A, B, and C in food. J Agric Food Chem 58: 6600-6607.
- Glasby C, Hatheway CL (**1985**) <u>Isolation and enumeration</u> of <u>Clostridium botulinum</u> by direct inoculation of infant fecal specimens on egg yolk agar and <u>Clostridium</u> <u>botulinum</u> isolation media. J Clin Microbiol 21: 264-266.
- Grenda T, Kwiatek K (**2009**) Application of molecular-biology methods to the diagnosis of botulism in mallard ducks. Bull Vet Inst Pulawy 53: 365-368.
- Grenda T, Kwiatek K (**2010**) In house validation of real-time PCR method for detection of *Clostridium botulinum* in food and feed matrixes. Bull Vet Inst Pulawy 54: 557-562.
- Grimont F, Grimont PA (**1986**) Ribosomal ribonucleic acid gene restriction patterns as potential taxonomic tools. Ann Inst Pasteur Microbiol 137B: 165-175.
- Guglielmo-Viret V, Attree O, Blanco-Gros V, Thullier P (2005) Comparison of electrochemiluminescence assay and ELISA for the detection of *Clostridium botulinum* type B neurotoxin. J Immunol Methods 301: 164-172.
- Hall JD, McCroskey LM, Pincomb BJ, Hatheway CL (1985) <u>Isolation of an organism resembling *Clostridium barati*</u>

which produces type F botulinal toxin from an infant with botulism. J Clin Microbiol 21: 654-655.

- Hallis B, James BA, Shone CC (**1996**) Development of novel assays for botulinum type A and B neurotoxins based on their endopeptidase activities. J Clin Microbiol 34: 1934-1938.
- Hatheway CL (**1990**) <u>Toxigenic clostridia</u>. Clin Microbiol Rev 3: 66-98.
- Hatheway CL (1995) Botulism: The present status of the disease. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 195: 55-75.
- Hill BJ, Skerry JC, Smith TJ, Arnon SS, Douek DC (**2010**) <u>Universal and specific quantitative detection of</u> <u>botulinum neurotoxin genes. BMC Microbiol 10: 267.</u>
- Hyytia E, Björkroth J, Hielm S, Korkeala H (1999) Characterisation of *Clostridium botulinum* groups I and II by randomly amplified polymorphic DNA analysis and repetitive element sequence-based PCR. Int J Food Microbiol 48: 179-189.
- Hyytiä-Trees E (**1999**) Prevalence, molecular epidemiology and growth of *Clostridium botulinum* type E in fish and fishery products. Doctoral Thesis. University of Helsinki, Helsinki.
- Ito KA, Seslar DJ, Mercer WA, Meyer KF (1967) The thermal and chlorine resistance of *Clostridium botulinum* types A, B, and E spores. In: Ingram M, Roberts TA (eds) Botulism. Chapman and Hall, United Kingdom, pp 108-122.
- Johnson EA, Tepp WH, Bradshaw M, Gilbert RJ, Cook PE, McIntosh EDG (2005) <u>Characterization of Clostridium</u> <u>botulinum strains associated with an infant botulism case</u> in the United Kingdom. J Clin Microbiol 43: 2602 -2607.
- Kakinuma H, Maruyama H, Yamakawa K, Nakamura S, Takahashi H (**1997**) <u>Application of nested polymerase</u> <u>chain reaction for the rapid diagnosis of infant botulism</u> <u>type B</u>. Acta Paediatr Jpn 39: 346-348.
- Kerner J (**1820**) Neue Beobachtungen über die in Württemberg so haufig vorfallenden todlichen Vergiftungen durch den Genuss geräucherter Würste. Osiander, Tübingen.
- Klaubert B, Vujtovic-Ockenga N, Wermter R, Schad K, von Meyer L (2009) Determination of botulinum toxins after peptic sample pre-treatment by multidimensional nanoscale liquid chromatography and nano-electrospray ion-trap mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr B 877: 1084-1092.
- Koransky JR, Allen SD, Dowell VR Jr (**1978**) Use of ethanol for selective isolation of sporeforming microorganisms. Appl Environ Microbiol 35: 762-765.
- Lin WJ, Johnson EA (**1995**) <u>Genome analys</u>is of <u>Clostridium</u> <u>botulinum</u> type <u>A</u> by pulsed-field gel <u>electrophor</u>esis. Appl Environ Microbiol 61: 4441-4447.
- Lindström M, Keto R, Markkula A, Nevas M, Hielm S, Korkeala H (**2001**) Multiplex PCR assay for detection and identification of *Clostridium botulinum* types A, B, E, and F in food and fecal material. Appl Environ Microbiol 67: 5694-5699.
- Lindström M, Korkeala H (**2006**) <u>Laboratory diagnostics of</u> <u>botulism</u>. Clin Microbiol Rev 19: 298-314.
- Lindström M, Nevas M, Hielm S, L\u00e5hteenm\u00e4ki L, Peck MW, Korkeala H (2003) Thermal inactivation of nonproteolytic *Clostridium botulinum* type E spores in model fish media and in vacuum-packaged hot-smoked fish products. Appl Environ Microbiol 69: 4029-4036.
- Lovenklev M, Holst E, Borch E, Rådström P (2004) Relative neurotoxin gene expression in *Clostridium botulinum*

type B, determined using quantitative reverse transcription-PCR. Appl Environ Microbiol 70: 2919-2927.

- Lynt RK, Kautter DA, Solomon HM (**1981**) Heat resistance of proteolytic *Clostridium botulinum* type F in phosphate buffer and crabmeat. J Food Sci 47: 204.
- McGrath S, Dooley JS, Haylock RW (**2000**) Quantification of *Clostridium botulinum* toxin gene expression by competitive reverse transcription-PCR. Appl Environ Microbiol 66: 1423-1428.
- Miller CA, Anderson AW (**1971**) Rapid detection and quantitative estimation of type A botulinum toxin by electroimmunodiffusion. Infect Immun 4: 126-129.
- Nakamura K, Kohda T, Seto Y, Mukamoto M, Kozaki S (2013) Improved detection methods by genetic and immunological techniques for botulinum C/D and D/C mosaic neurotoxins. Vet Microbiol 162: 881-890.
- Nevas M, Lindström M, Hielm S, Björkroth KJ, Peck MW, Korkeala H (2005) Diversity of proteolytic *Clostridium botulinum* strains, determined by a pulsed-field gel electrophoresis approach. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 1311-1317.
- Notermans S, Dufrenne J, Schothorst M (**1978**) Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection of *Clostridium* botulinum toxin type A. Jpn J Med Sci Biol 31: 81-85.
- Peck MW (1997) <u>Clostridium botulinum and the safety of</u> refrigerated processed foods of extended durability. Trends Food Sci Technol 8: 186-192.
- Pellett S (2013) Progress in cell based assay for botulinum neurotoxin detection. Curr Top Microbiol Immunol 364: 257-285.
- Peruski AH, Johnson LH 3rd, Peruski LF Jr. (2002) Rapid and sensitive detection of biological warfare agents using time-resolved fluorescence assays. J Immunol Methods 263: 35-41.
- Phillips RW, Abbott D (2008) High-throughput enzyme-linked immunoabsorbant assay (ELISA) electrochemiluminescent detection of botulinum toxins in foods for food safety and defence purposes. Food Addit Contam Part A Chem Anal Control Expo Risk Assess 25: 1084-1088.
- Raphael BH, Andreadis JD (2007) Real-time PCR detection of the nontoxic nonhemagglutinin gene as a rapid screening method for bacterial isolates harboring the botulinum neurotoxin (A-G) gene complex. J Microbiol Methods 71: 343-346.
- Raphael BH, Joseph LA, McCroskey LM, Lxquez C, Maslanka SE (2010) Detection and differentiation of *Clostridium botulinum* type A strains using a focused DNA microarray. Mol Cell Probes 24: 146-153.
- Sachdeva A, Singh AK, Sharma SK (2013) An electrochemiluminescence assay for the detection of bio threat agents in selected food matrices and in the screening of *Clostridium botulinum* outbreak strains associated with type A botulism. J Sci Food Agric doi: 10.1002/jsfa.6310.
- Saeed EMA (2004) Studies on isolation and identification of *Clostridium botulinum* investigating field samples specially from equine grass sickness cases. Doctoral Thesis, Goettingen University, Goettingen.
- Schimdt JJ, Stafford RG, Millard CB (**2001**) High-throughput assays for botulinum neurotoxin proteolytic activity: serotypes A, B, D, and F. Anal Biochem 296: 130-137.

- Sciacchitano CJ, Hirshfield IN (**1996**) <u>Molecular detection</u> of <u>Clostridium botulinum</u> type <u>E neurotoxin gene in</u> <u>smoked fish by polymerase chain reaction and capillary</u> electrophoresis. J AOAC Int 79: 861-865.
- Scotcher MC, Cheng LW, Ching K, McGarvey J, Hnasko R, Stanker L (2013) Development and characterization of six monoclonal antibodies to hemagglutinin-70 of *Clostridium botulinum* and their application in a sandwich ELISA. Monoclon Antib Immunodiagn Immunother 32: 6-15.
- Sesardic D, McLellan K, Ekong TA, Das RG (**1996**) <u>Refinement and validation of an alternative bioassay for potency testing of therapeutic botulinum type A toxin.</u> Pharmacol Toxicol 78: 283-288.
- Sharma SK, Eblen BS, Bull RL, Burr DH, Whiting RC (2005) Evaluation of lateral-flow <u>Clostridium botulinum</u> <u>neurotoxin detection kits for food analysis</u>. Appl Environ Microbiol 71: 3935-3941.
- Skinner GE, Gendel SM, Fingerhut GA, Solomon HA, Ulaszek J (2000) Differentiation between types and strains of *Clostridium botulinum* by riboprinting. J Food Prot 63: 1347-1352.
- Smith LDS, Sugiyama H (**1988**) Botulism. The organism, its toxins, the disease. 2 nd ed., Charles C Thomas, Spring-field III.
- Suen JC, Hatheway CL, Steigerwalt AG, Brenner DJ (1988) Clostridium argentinense sp. nov.: A Genetically Homogeneous Group Composed of All Strains of Clostridium botulinum Toxin Type G and Some Nontoxigenic Strains Previously Identified as Clostridium subterminale or Clostridium hastiforme. Int J Syst Bacteriol 38: 375-381.
- Szabo EA, Pemberton JM, Gibson AM, Eyles MJ, Desmarchelier PM (1994) Polymerase chain reaction for detection of *Clostridium botulinum* types A, B and E in food, soil and infant faeces. J Appl Bacteriol 76: 539-545.
- Torii Y, Goto Y, Takahashi M, Ishida S, Harakawa T, Sakamoto T, Kaji R, Kozaki S, Ginnaga A (**2009**) Quantitative determination of biological activity of botulinum toxins utilizing compound muscle action potentials (CMAP), and comparison of neuromuscular transmission blockage and muscle flaccidity among toxins. Toxicon 55: 407-414.
- Umeda K, Wada T, Kohda T, Kozaki S (**2013**) Multi locus variable number tandem repeat analysis for *Clostridium botulinum* type B isolates in Japan: Comparison with other isolates and genotyping methods. Infect Genet and Evol 16: 298 304.
- van Baar BL, Hulst AG, Jong de AL, Wils ER (**2004**) Characterisation of botulinum toxins type C, D, E, and F by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation and electrospray mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr A 1035: 97-114.
- van Ermengem EP (**1897**) Über einen neuen anaeroben Bacillus und seine Beziehung zum Botulismus. Zeitschrift fur Hygiene und Infektionskrankheiten 26: 1-56.
- Versalovic J, Koeuth T, Lupski JR (1991) <u>Distribution of</u> repetitive <u>DNA sequences in eubacteria and application</u> to <u>fingerprinting of bacterial genomes</u>. Nucleic Acids Res 19: 6823-6831.
- Vos P, Hogers R, Bleeker M, Reijans M, van de Lee T, Hornes M, Frijters A, Pot J, Peleman J, Kuiper M,

205

Zabeau M (**1995**) AFLP: a new technique for DNA fingerprinting. Nucleic Acids Res 23: 4407-4414.

- Wheeler C, Inami G, Mohle-Boetani J, Vugia D (**2009**) <u>Sensitivity of mouse bioassay in clinical wound botulism</u>. Clin Infect Dis 48: 1669-1673.
- Wolffs P, Norling B, Rådström P (2005) Risk assessment of false-positive quantitative real-time PCR results in food,

due to detection of DNA originating from dead cells. J Microbiol Methods 60: 315-323.

Yoon SY, Chung GT, Kang DH, Ryu C, Yoo CK, Seong WK (**2005**) Application of real-time PCR for quantitative detection of *Clostridium botulinum* type A toxin gene in food. Microbiol Immunol 49: 505-511.