

WOJCIECH SOSNOWSKI^{1,A}, VIOLETTA KOSESKA-TOSZEWA^{1,B}, & ANNA KISIEL^{2,C}

¹Institute of Slavic Studies, Polish Academy of Sciences, Warsaw, Poland

²KU Leuven, Leuven, Belgium

^AwpSosnow@uw.edu.pl ; ^Bamaz@inetia.pl ; ^Canna.kisiel@kuleuven.be

ON THE DICTIONARY OF SEMANTIC EQUIVALENTS IN POLISH, BULGARIAN AND RUSSIAN

Abstract

Leksykon odpowiedniości semantycznych w języku polskim, bułgarskim i rosyjskim [The Dictionary of Semantic Equivalents in Polish, Bulgarian and Russian] is the first Polish dictionary which compares semantic equivalents in the largest languages of each Slavic subgroup: The West Slavic group (Polish), the South Slavic group (Bulgarian) and the East Slavic group (Russian). The content of the dictionary reflects the social processes, changes and trends which have taken place over recent years. The dictionary consists of 5 volumes, with approximately 5 000 entries for each language. What sets it apart from other dictionaries is that it ventures beyond the standard vocabulary one might expect from a dictionary of this sort. *Leksykon...* also contains neologisms as well as realogisms — words which do not often have perfect equivalents in other languages because they are so deeply embedded in a nation's culture. Each entry in the dictionary offers state-of-the-art semantic and syntactic categorisers, developed by Polish experts in Slavic semantics and aspectology.

We consider the dictionary to be an innovation in lexicography, because its open structure enables more languages to be added in the future, including non-Slavic languages. Developed with the use of the most recent methodologies available, the dictionary will constitute a sound basis for lexicographic research in the future, in particular for the development of multilingual electronic dictionaries.

In the 21st century, we face two great challenges: to make academic research more interdisciplinary and to build an integrated multinational European community. We hope that our dictionary will help address these challenges by promoting multilingualism and facilitating intercultural communication. The primary language of the dictionary is Polish — the largest Slavic language in the European Union.

During the Polish presidency of the EU, a conference entitled *Multilingual Competences for Professional and Social Success in Europe* was held. It concluded with the following declaration: “Multilingualism is not only part of European heritage, but also a chance to develop a society which is open, respectful of cultural diversity and ready for cooperation”. However, the chief obstacles that prevents the EU from attaining the full integration of its economies and societies are language barriers. This dictionary will help overcome these barriers by promoting Slavic languages. The target audience of the dictionary are speakers of Polish, both in Poland and all around the world: experts in Slavic languages, scholars, lexicographers, encyclopaedia writers, students, etc.

Keywords: dictionary; multilingualism; semantic labels; syntactic labels; net model of time

1 Multilingualism

The dictionary described in this paper contains entries in three Slavic languages: Russian, Bulgarian and Polish. The main purpose of the dictionary is to show semantic equivalents between words and phrases in these languages. Each entry consists of three columns — one for each language. The equivalents are aligned horizontally, so that the equivalents of a source word in one language appear in the same line, as in the example below:

Table 1:

абонемѐнт, -а; -ы <i>rz. m.</i> 1. ‘prawo do regularnego korzystania z czegoś lub dokument potwierdzający to prawo, a także opłata za regularne korzystanie z czegoś’ <i>телефо́нный абоне́мент</i> <i>абоне́мент в бассе́йн</i> <i>конце́ртный абоне́мент</i> <i>вы́купить абоне́мент</i> 2. ‘miejsce w bibliotece, gdzie wypożycza się książki’ <i>рабо́тать в абоне́менте</i>	абонаме́нт (<i>sg. tantum</i>) <i>rz. m.</i> 1. ‘prawo do regularnego korzystania z czegoś lub dokument potwierdzający to prawo, a także opłata za regularne korzystanie z czegoś’ <i>телефо́нен абонаме́нт</i> <i>абонаме́нтна ка́рта за плу́вен бассе́йн</i> <i>абонаме́нт за (поре́дица) конце́рти</i> 2. brak znaczenia	abonament, -u; -y <i>rz. mnieszyw.</i> 1. ‘prawo do regularnego korzystania z czegoś lub dokument potwierdzający to prawo, a także opłata za regularne korzystanie z czegoś’ <i>abonament telefoniczny</i> <i>abonament na koncerty</i> <i>wykupić abonament</i> 2. brak znaczenia
абоне́нт, -а; -ы <i>rz. m.</i> 1. ‘ten, kto płaci za regularne korzystanie z czegoś’ <i>абоне́нт нахо́дится вне зо́ны де́йствия</i> <i>абоне́нт телефо́нной се́ти</i>	абона́т <i>rz. m.</i> 1. ‘ten, kto płaci za regularne korzystanie z czegoś’ <i>(В моме́нта) ня́ма вре́зка с абона́та.</i>	abonen t, -ta; -ci <i>rz. mos.</i> 1. ‘ten, kto płaci za regularne korzystanie z czegoś’ <i>abonent telewizyjny, telewizji</i> <i>abonent niedostępny</i>

1.1 Work on the dictionary was based on a number of various data sources: written and spoken texts, our own research experience as well as many inspiring papers and monographs, which are listed in the bibliography. The structure and the contents of the dictionary reflect the changes Slavic languages have undergone recently, e.g. the Russian word *апартамент* / *апартаменты*, which is currently used in both the plural and singular form, whereas previous dictionaries only listed the plural form as correct.

1.2 The dictionary consists of 5 volumes (or 10 sub-volumes). The first volume contains around 1 000 entries in one language (letters A – E in the Cyrillic alphabet).

1.3 Examples come from the National Corpus of Polish, the Polish-Bulgarian Parallel Corpus (Dimitrova, Koseska-Toszewa) and the Polish-Bulgarian-Russian Parallel Corpus (Koseska-Toszewa, Satoła-Staśkowiak, Sosnowski, & Kisiel). The dictionary does not contain all the lexis available in the languages. A decision had to be made over which lexemes to include, and this was done on the criterion of frequency.

2 Structure of entries

The principle that guided the development of the dictionary was that all the languages should enjoy equal status. Nevertheless, a decision needed to be taken as to which alphabet was to be used

to sort the entries. Neither the Cyrillic nor the Latin alphabet has any substantial advantages over the other, so it was an arbitrary choice. In the end, it was decided to sort the entries according to the Cyrillic script, in the order the words appear in Russian.

2.1 Different meanings of homonyms are indicated with Roman numerals:

Table 2:

её I forma <i>B., D.</i> zaimka osobowego <i>она</i> ‘wyraz wskazujący na obiekt (osobę, zwierzę, przedmiot, wydarzenie — rodzaju żeńskiego), o którym mówimy’ <i>Я её вчера не видел.</i>	(на) не́я forma zaimka osobowego <i>тя</i> ‘wyraz wskazujący na obiekt (osobę, zwierzę, przedmiot, wydarzenie — rodzaju żeńskiego), o którym mówimy’ <i>Вчера не́я не съм я виждал.</i> <i>Дай квитанцията на не́я, а парите скри́й в ша́фа!</i>	jej I forma <i>D.</i> zaimka osobowego <i>она</i> ‘wyraz wskazujący na obiekt (osobę, zwierzę, przedmiot, wydarzenie — rodzaju żeńskiego), o którym mówimy’ <i>Nie widziałem jej wczoraj.</i>
её II (<i>ndm</i>) zaimek dzierżawczy <i>r. ż.</i> 1. ‘to, o czym mowa, należy do czegoś (do jakiejś osoby, przedmiotu, zjawiska itd. — rodzaju żeńskiego)’ <i>её мать</i> 2. ‘używane przy zwracaniu się do osoby o wysokim stanowisku lub tytule’ <i>Её королевское величество</i>	не́ин, ѝ zaimek dzierżawczy <i>r. ż.</i> 1. ‘to, o czym mowa, należy do czegoś (do jakiejś osoby, przedmiotu, zjawiska itd. — rodzaju żeńskiego)’ <i>не́ината майка; майка ѝ</i> 2. ‘używane przy zwracaniu się do osoby o wysokim stanowisku lub tytule’ <i>Не́ино величество</i>	jej II (<i>ndm</i>) zaimek dzierżawczy <i>r. ż.</i> 1. ‘to, o czym mowa, należy do czegoś (do jakiejś osoby, przedmiotu, zjawiska itd. — rodzaju żeńskiego)’ <i>jej matka</i> 2. ‘używane przy zwracaniu się do osoby o wysokim stanowisku lub tytule’ <i>Jej Królewska Mość</i>
brak znaczenia	brak znaczenia	jej III <i>wykrzyknik</i> ‘wyraz wskazujący na nieoczekiwaność danej emocji’ <i>O jej, ale mnie wystraszyłeś!</i> <i>Jej, już nie mogę...!</i>

2.2 Consequently, if a verb has a single phonetic realisation of two different aspects, it is treated as homonymous:

Table 3:

аренд овать, -ую, -уешь I <i>vi. state, transitive</i> 1. ‘mieć coś w dzierżawie’ <i>арендовать землю у соседа</i> 2. ‘mieć coś oddane w dzierżawę’ <i>арендовать поле соседу</i>	да взема м под на́ем <i>fraza werbalna</i> lub да на́ема м не́що <i>fraza werbalna</i> 1. ‘mieć coś w dzierżawie’ <i>да на́емам земя / да вземам земя под на́ем</i> 2. ‘mieć coś oddane w dzierżawę’ <i>На́емам н́ва на сѣ́да си</i>	dzierżaw ić, -ię, -isz <i>vi. state, transitive</i> 1. ‘mieć coś w dzierżawie’ <i>dzierżawić ziemię od sąsiada</i> 2. ‘oddawać coś w dzierżawę’ <i>dzierżawić pole sąsiadowi</i>
--	--	---

аренд овать, -ую, -уешь II <i>vp. event, transitive</i>	да взема под наем <i>fraza</i> <i>verbalna</i> lub да наема нещо <i>fraza verbalna</i>	wydzierżaw ić, -ię, -isz <i>vp.</i> <i>event, transitive</i>
1. ‘wziąć coś w dzierżawę’ <i>арендовать у соседа луг</i>	1. ‘wziąć coś w dzierżawę’ <i>да взема земя под наем</i>	1. ‘wziąć coś w dzierżawę’ <i>wydzierżawić od sąsiada łąkę</i>
2. ‘oddać coś w dzierżawę’ <i>арендовать дом на каникулы</i>	2. ‘oddać coś w dzierżawę’ <i>Нае нѐва под наем</i>	2. ‘oddać coś w dzierżawę’ <i>wydzierżawić komuś dom na wakacje</i>

It would be undesirable for a verb to be treated both as perfective and imperfective, e.g. *арендовать vi., vp.*

For Russian and Bulgarian — which have variable word stress — the word stress was marked both in the lemma, as well as in the examples:

Table 4:

ед а́, -ѝ (<i>sg. tantum</i>) <i>rz. ż.</i>	хран а́, -и <i>rz. ż.</i>	jedzeni e, -a (<i>sg. tantum</i>) <i>rz. n.</i>
1. ‘to, co można jeść’ <i>вкусная, изысканная еда</i> <i>готовить еду́</i>	1. ‘to, co można jeść’ <i>вкусна храна́</i> <i>Приготвѝям храна́ за болния.</i>	1. ‘to, co można jeść’ <i>pyszne, wykwintne jedzenie</i> <i>przyrządzać, szykować,</i> <i>przygotowywać jedzenie</i>
2. ‘przyjmowanie posiłku’ <i>принимать лека́рство до еды́</i>	2. ‘przyjmowanie posiłku’ <i>Взѝмам това́ лека́рство преди́</i> <i>ядене.</i>	2. ‘przyjmowanie posiłku’ <i>wziąć lekarstwo przed jedzeniem</i>

2.3 Each meaning of a lemma is presented separately and given a new number. The meanings are ordered according to the frequency with which they occur in Russian. The fact that Russian was chosen does not indicate any superiority — a choice had to be made, due to the constraints of the linear structure of the dictionary. Also, it does not also mean that if a given meaning of a lemma does not occur in Russian, it is not included in the dictionary. The most important meanings in each language for each lemma are presented. If a meaning does not occur in a given language, it is indicated by the phrase *brak znaczenia* ‘the meaning does not occur’. This does not mean, however, that this particular meaning does not occur in the language at all. It only means that the meaning is not encoded in the word that is given as the equivalent of the Russian lemma. If the equivalents for all the **meanings** of all lemmas in each of the languages were to be included, it would inevitably lead to an infinite number of meanings and definitions, as well as to a potential circularity of description. For the sake of transparency and clarity, the option above was discarded and the formula described earlier was adopted.

2.4 The definitions in the dictionary are composed of simple words. Defining lemmas with their synonyms was avoided. Example phrases and sentences for each meaning of every lemma are provided. The examples come from monolingual national corpora, as well as multilingual parallel corpora. Examples were chosen carefully, so as to fulfil two main purposes: (a) to illustrate typical collocations of a word and (b) to illustrate its grammatical properties, e.g. the valence of a verb, the prepositional and case constraints of adjectives, or the position the word should appear in. The examples often include phrasemes (phrases that are fixed in a given culture but have not become a unit of language yet), sayings and idioms, e.g. *abonent czasowo niedostępny* for **abonent**, or *Apetyt rośnie w miarę jedzenia* for **apetyt**.

3 Types of labels

There are three types of labels in the dictionary:

3.1 Formal labels: part of speech, grammatical properties (gender, aspect, defective inflectional paradigms), and the subject area of the word.

zaimек [pronoun] **егѡ** I forma *B.*, *D.* zaimkѡw osobowych *он, оно*; **него** forma *B.*, *D.* zaimka osobowego *ono* osobowego *moѡ*; **jego** I forma *B.*, *D.* zaimka osobowego *on* i *D.* zaimka osobowego *ono*
прzymiotник [adjective] **бѣгл|ый** *прzymiotник*; **бѣгъл** *прzymiotник*; **побієзн|у** *прzymiotник*
прzymysłѡweк [adverb] **авансом** *прzymysłѡweк*; **авансово** *прzymysłѡweк*; **awansem** *прzymysłѡweк*
spѡjnik [conjunction] **ѣсли** *spѡjnik*; **акѡ** *spѡjnik*; **jeśli** *spѡjnik*
partykuła [particle] **авѡсь** *partykuła*; **данѡ** *partykuła*; **a nuŹ** *fraza part.*
wykrzyknik [interjection] **агá** *wykrzyknik*; **ахá** *wykrzyknik*; **aha** *wykrzyknik*
fraza rzeczownikowa [nominal phrase] **белорѡчк|а, -и; -и** *rz. pot. iron.*; **готовáнк|о, -овци** *rz. m. pot. iron.*; **paniczyк z бiáлыми рáчzkami | panienka z бiáлыми рáчzkami** *fraza rzeczownikowa*
fraza partykułowa [particle phrase] **авѡсь** *partykuła*; **данѡ** *partykuła*; **a nuŹ** *fraza part.*

vi. [perfective form of a verb] **взбегá|ть, -ю, -ешь** *vi. state, intransitive*; **изтѣчвам, -аш** *vi. state, intransitive*; **wbiegá|ć, -m, -sz** *vi. state, intransitive*
vp. [imperfective form of a verb] **вб|ить, вобѡю, вобѣешь** *vp. event, transitive*; **вб|ія, -еш** *vp. event, transitive*; **wbi|ć, -ję, -jesz** *vp. event, transitive*

l. poj. [singular] **апартамент|ы, -ов** (*pl. tantum*) (*rzadziej l. poj.*)
l. mn. [plural] **бѣдѣще** *rz. n. bez l. mn.*

sg. tantum [singularia tantum] **бель|ѣ, -я** (*sg. tantum*) *rz. n.*; **бельѡ** (*sg. tantum*) *rz. n.*; **bielizn|а, -у** (*sg. tantum*) *rz. Ź.*
pl. tantum [pluralia tantum] **алимент|ы, -ов** (*pl. tantum*) *rz. m.*; **издрѣжка, -и** *rz. Ź.*; **alimen- t|y, -ów** (*pl. tantum*) *rz. mnieŹyw.*

rz. m. [masculine noun] **бѣрег, -а**, *Msc. o -e i na -у; -á* *rz. m.*
rz. mnieŹyw. [masculine inanimate noun] **brzeg, -u; -i** *rz. mnieŹyw.*
rz. mos. [masculine personal noun] **аферзys|та, -ty; -ci** *rz. mos.*
rz. mŹyw. [masculine animate noun] **goł|áб, -ѣbia; -ѣbie** *rz. mŹyw.*
rz. n. [neuter noun] **таралѣж|че, -та** *rz. n.*
rz. Ź. [feminine noun] **девѡйка** *rz. Ź.*

D. [genetive] **дѣвушк|а**, *D. l. mn. -шек* *rz. Ź.*
C. [dative] **dzięki** *przyimek z C.*
B. [accusative] **душ|á, -й**, *B. -у; l. mn. -и* *rz. Ź.*
N. [ablative] **nią** forma *N.* zaimka osobowego *ona* po przyimku *z*
Msc. [locative] **аэропѡрт, -а**, *Msc. в -ѹ; -ы* *rz. m.*
Voc. [vocative] **бог, -а**, *Voc. Бѡже; -и, -ѡв* *rz. m.*

bot. [botany] **ежевѣк|а, -и** (*sg. tantum*) *rz. Ź. bot.*; **кѣпѣн|а, -и** *rz. Ź. bot.*; **jeŹyn|а, -у; -у** *rz. Ź. bot.*
zool. [zoology] **áист, -а; -ы** *rz. m. zool.*; **щѣркел, -и**; (*два*) **щѣркела** *rz. m. zool.*; **bocian, -а; -у** *rz. mŹyw. zool.*
ekon. [economy] **бѣрж|а, -и; -и** *rz. Ź. ekon.*; **бѡрс|а** *rz. Ź. ekon.*; **gield|а, -у; -у** *rz. Ź. ekon.*
teatr. [theatre] **акт, -а; -ы** *rz. m. teatr.*; **акт, -ове**; (*два*) **áкта** *rz. m. teatr.*; **akt, -u; -у** *rz. mnieŹyw. teatr.*
mors. [sailing] **бак II, -а; -и** *rz. m. mors., Źegl.*; **бак, -ове** *rz. m. mors., Źegl.*; **bak, -u; -а** (*w zn. 3.*); **-i** *rz. mnieŹyw. mors., Źegl.*

inform. [computer technology] **ба́за да́нных** fraza rzeczownikowa *ż. inform.*; **ба́за да́нни** fraza rzeczownikowa *ż. inform.*; **baza danych** fraza rzeczownikowa *ż. inform.*

prawn. [law] **акт, -а; -ы** rz. m.; **акт, -ове**; (два) **акта** rz. m.; **акт, -у; -у** rz. mn. *ż. m.*

med. [medicine] **белѣм|о, -а; -а** rz. n.; **перде на око̀то** fraza rzeczownikowa; **bielm|o, -a; -o** rz. n.

etn. [ethnography] **ау́л, -а; -ы** rz. m. *etn.*; **ау́л, -и**; (два) **аула** rz. m. *etn.*; **auł, -u; -y** rz. mn. *etn.*

3.2 Semantic labels indicate the stylistic features of words, as well as the meaning of verbs described in accordance with the net theory of time and aspect and the semantic metalanguage developed in Koseska-Toszeza (2006). The net theory stipulates that the form of a verb is either its perfective or imperfective variant, whereas the meaning is a *state* or a *number of states and events that concludes with a state* or an *event*, or a *number of events and states that concludes with an event*. The definitions of the terms *event*, *state* and *configuration of events / states* are taken from the net model of time and aspect: an *event* has no temporal duration — it only begins, ends or interrupts states, whereas a *state* is extended in time and thus has temporal duration¹. The Petri net theory — crucial for the description of time and aspect — is also a theory with a direct approach to the semantics of natural languages (see Petri, 1962; Mazurkiewicz, 1986; Koseska & Mazurkiewicz, 1988, 1994).

3.3 Petri nets consist of a finite number of objects that symbolise states and events, which are connected by succession relations. These proceed either from an event to a state (when an event gives rise to a state) or from a state to an event (when a state concludes with an event). A succession relation does not have to proceed in a linear order; some objects in a Petri net might not be comparable in terms of linearity, when none of the objects precedes another object. A *state* is a feature of an object in the real world. In the discrete approach to process description, the paradigm of a state is its *persistence*. Every state persists for some time. Two successive states are divided by an event, which gives rise to a new state and concludes the previous state. In general, an *event* concludes one state and/or gives rise to another. We can conceive of an event as a point on a temporal axis, because being a boundary between two states, it does not have temporal duration (it does not persist in time). To give an example, the four seasons are states, whereas equinoxes and solstices are events — the spring equinox (an event) is a boundary between winter (a state) and spring (a state) (see Petri, 1963; Mazurkiewicz, 1986).

3.4 The differentiation between states and events is a crucial feature of Petri nets. Every event either gives rise to or concludes a state; two successive states must be divided by an event that concludes the first and gives rise to the second. Analogically, a state always comes between two successive events, even if it is a state of the following sort: “one event occurred but another event has not happened yet”. Perfective verb forms have two typical meanings: an event (*event_1*) or a number of states and events that conclude with an event (*event_2*). Consequently, an imperfective verb form carries one of the two meanings: a state (*state_1*) or a number of states and events that conclude with a state (*state_2*). We can illustrate the meanings of states and events with the aspectual and temporal relation, that is when a verb form conveys a given tense in a sentence (our dictionary provides sentences in Bulgarian, Polish and Russian). As far as infinitives are concerned, our dictionary only indicates whether it is an event or a state.

3.5 The semantic category of time is a category that sets states and events against the state of discourse with the use of precedence and succession relations (Koseska-Toszeza, 2006). Since the model is finite, we cannot treat states as sets of events and, consequently, reduce the model to

¹For more information on the application of Petri nets in the description of natural languages see: Mazurkiewicz (1986); Koseska-Toszeza and Mazurkiewicz (1988); Koseska-Toszeza (2006); Koseska-Toszeza and Mazurkiewicz (2010).

events only, as proposed by Reinchenbach (1967). If we try to interpret a state as a set of events, we come up against a number of problems: Which events should we choose? All of them or just a limited number? If it is a limited number, what criteria should we adopt to choose them? On the other hand, if we try to reduce the model only to states, we will be unable to interpret such phenomena as „zderzenie”, „otwarcie”, „odsłonięcie”, „przebudzenie” and so on:

state: гадá|ть, -ю, -ешь *vi. state, intransitive*; предска́зв|ам, -аш *vi. state, intransitive*; wró|żyć, -ę; -ysz *vi. state, intransitive*

state transitive: аттест|овáть, -ýю, -ýешь I *vi. state, transitive*; атесті́ра|м, -ш, -ø I *vi. state, transitive*; opini|ować, -uję, -ujesz *vi. state, transitive*

event transitive: аттест|овáть, -ýю, -ýешь II *vp. event, transitive*; атесті́ра|м, -ш, -ø II *vp. event, transitive*; заopini|ować, -uję, -ujesz *vp. event, transitive*

4 Other semantic labels

przen. [metaphorical] дожд|ь, -я *rz. m. 2. przen.* ‘bardzo duża ilość czegoś’ На цу́ену па́дает дождь цвeтoв.; дъжд *rz. m. 2. przen.* ‘bardzo duża ilość czegoś’ дъжд от цвeтѣя, метеopитен дъжд; deszcz, -u; -e *rz. m.; 2. przen.* ‘bardzo duża ilość czegoś’ deszcz złota, prezentów, meteorytów

pot. [informal] ба́б|ки, -ок (*pl. tantum*) *rz. ż. pot.*; парі́ *rz. (pl. tantum)*; forsa, -y (*sg. tantum*) *rz. ż. pot.*

ofic. [formal] акт, -а; -ы *rz. m. 1. ofic.* ‘działanie, czyn (zazwyczaj będący urzeczywistnieniem jakiegoś zamysłu bądź przejawem, wyrazem czegoś)’ меppopуcтýчecкий акт; акт дoбpoй вoлѣи; пoлoвoý акт; акт; -ове (два) áкта *rz. m. 1. ofic.* ‘działanie, czyn (zazwyczaj będący urzeczywistnieniem jakiegoś zamysłu bądź przejawem, wyrazem czegoś)’ мepoпyстýчeн акт; акт на дoбpa вoлѣя; пoлoв акт; акт, -u; -y *rz. m. 1. ofic.* ‘działanie, czyn (zazwyczaj będący urzeczywistnieniem jakiegoś zamysłu bądź przejawem, wyrazem czegoś)’ акт terroru; акт добрей воли; акт płciowy; акт koronacji

przestarz. [dated] бухгáлтер *rz. m. (m. i ż.)*; cчeтoвoдýтeл, -и *rz. m.*; buchalter, -a; -rzy *rz. m. przestarz.*

hist. [historical] атама́н, -а; -ы *rz. m. 1. hist.* ‘dowódca wojsk i naczelnik osiedli kozackich’ Командoвáл рýccким вoýcкoм атама́н Ермáк.; атама́н, -и *rz. m. 1. hist.* ‘dowódca wojsk i naczelnik osiedli kozackich’ Комáндeвyвaщ рýccкe вoýcкú бéше атама́нoт Ермáк.; ataman, -a; -i *rz. mos. 1. hist.* ‘dowódca wojsk i naczelnik osiedli kozackich’ Dowódcą wojsk rosyjskich był ataman Jermak.

pogardl. [derogatory] дeд *rz. m.*; дядо *rz. n.*; dziad, -a; -y; -owie *rz. m.*; 2. *pogardl.* ‘stary człowiek’ Weźcie stąd tego dziada, niech tu nie mamrocze.

pejor. [pejorative] ба́ба, -ы; -ы *rz. ż. 2. pejor. lub lekcew.* ‘mężczyzna, który jest zbyt delikatny, słaby, nieśmiały’ He бyдь ба́бoй, пpояви́ твeрдocть!; жeн|á, -и *rz. ż.*; 2. *pejor. lub lekcew.* ‘mężczyzna, który jest zbyt delikatny, słaby, nieśmiały’ Toý ce дъpжú кaтo жeнá!; bab|a, -y; -y *rz. ż. pot. 2. pejor. lub lekcew.* ‘mężczyzna, który jest zbyt delikatny, słaby, nieśmiały’ Ale z niego baba!

wulg. [offensive] éдк|ий *przymiotnik*; paзýжд|aщ *imiesłów czynny*; жра́cy *imiesłów czynny 3. wulg.* ‘taki, który żre’ (imiesłów od żreć w zn. ‘jeść’) жра́ca шwиня

5 Syntactic labels

Syntactic labels indicate whether a verb is transitive, intransitive or reflexive. A verb is transitive if it takes a direct object; in Polish the direct object comes in the accusative case. Conversely, a verb is intransitive if it precludes taking a direct object; in Polish, an intransitive verb takes a noun phrase where a noun is in any case other than the accusative.

transitive: адрес|о́вать, -ую, -уешь *vi. state, transitive*; адрес|и́ра|м, -ш, -ø *vi. state, transitive*; adres|ować, -uję, -ujesz *vi. state, transitive*

intransitive: гля|дѣть, -жѹ; -дѣшь *vi. state, intransitive*; глѣ|дам, -аш *vi. state, transitive* patrz|eć, patrz|у́ć, -е; -ysz *vi. state, intransitive*

aux: вы́леч|иться, -усь, -ишься *vp. event, aux*; излеку́|вам се *vp. event, aux*; wylecz|у́ć się, -е, -ysz *vp. event, aux*

6 Conclusions

In the 21st century, we face two great challenges: making academic research more interdisciplinary and building an integrated multinational European community. We hope that our dictionary will help address these challenges by promoting multilingualism and facilitating intercultural communication. The primary language of the dictionary is Polish — the largest Slavic language in the European Union. The target audience of the dictionary are speakers of Polish, both in Poland and all around the world: experts in Slavic languages, scholars, lexicographers, encyclopaedia writers, students, etc.

We see the dictionary as an innovation in lexicography, because its open structure enables more languages to be added in the future, including non-Slavic languages. Developed with the use of the most recent methodologies available, the dictionary will constitute a sound basis for lexicographic research in the future, in particular for the development on multilingual electronic dictionaries.

What sets the dictionary apart from other dictionaries is that it ventures beyond the standard vocabulary one might expect from a dictionary of this sort. *Leksykon...* also contains neologisms as well as realogisms — words which often do not have perfect equivalents in other languages, because they are so deeply embedded in a nation's culture. Each entry in the dictionary offers state-of-the-art semantic and syntactic labels, developed by Polish experts in Slavic semantics and aspectology.

During the Polish presidency of the EU, a conference entitled *Multilingual Competences for Professional and Social Success in Europe* was held. It concluded with the following declaration: "Multilingualism is not only part of European heritage, but also a chance to develop a society which is open, respectful of cultural diversity and ready for cooperation". However, the chief obstacles that prevents the EU from attaining the full integration of its economies and societies are language barriers. This dictionary will help overcome these barriers by promoting Slavic languages.

References

- Bulgarian National Corpus, IBE BAN. (n.d.). Retrieved 12 October 2015, from <http://search.dcl.bas.bg/>.
- Dimitrova, L. & Koseska-Toszewa, V. (2009a). Bulgarian-Polish Corpus. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (9), 133–141.
- Dimitrova, L. & Koseska-Toszewa, V. (2009b). Classifiers and digital dictionaries. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (9), 117–131.

- Dimitrova, L. & Koseska-Toszewa, V. (2012). Bulgarian-Polish Parallel Digital Corpus and quantification of time. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (12), 199–207. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.11649/cs.2012.013>
- Dimitrova, L. & Koseska-Toszewa, V. (2014). *Semantics properties of selected universal language categories in digital bilingual resources*. Sofia: DOVIRA Publ. House.
- Dimitrova, L., Koseska-Toszewa, V., & Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2009). Towards a unification of the classifiers in dictionary entry. In R. Garabík (Ed.), *Metalanguage and Encoding Scheme Design for Digital Lexicography: Proceedings of the MONDILEX Third Open Workshop, 15–16 April, 2009, Bratislava, Slovakia* (pp. 48–58). Bratislava: L'. Štúr Institute of Linguistic, Slovak Academy of Sciences.
- Dimitrova, L., Koseska-Toszewa, V., & Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2012). Neologisms in bilingual digital dictionaries (on the example of Bulgarian-Polish Dictionary). *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (12), 107–114. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.11649/cs.2012.008>
- Garabík, R., Dimitrova, L., & Koseska-Toszewa, V. (2011). Web-presentation of bilingual corpora (Slovak-Bulgarian and Bulgarian-Polish). *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (11), 227–239. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.11649/cs.2011.014>
- Kisiel, A., Satoła-Staškowiak, J., & Sosnowski, W. (2014). The need for an electronic multilingual dictionary. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (14), 55–64. <http://doi.org/10.11649/cs.2014.006>
- Kisiel, A., Satoła-Staškowiak, J., & Sosnowski, W. (2014). О работе над многоязычным словарем. In *Прикладна лінгвістика та лінгвістичні технології, MegaLing-2013: 36. наук. пр.* (pp. 112–120). Київ: Національна академія наук України, Український мовно-інформаційний фонд.
- Koseska-Toszewa, V. (1974). Z problematyki temporalno-aspektowej w języku bułgarskim (Relacja imperfectum – aoryst). *Studia z Filologii Polskiej i Słowiańskiej*, 14, 213–226.
- Koseska-Toszewa, V. (2006). *Gramatyka konfrontatywna bułgarsko-polska* (Vol. 7, *Semantyczna kategoria czasu*). Warszawa: Slawistyczny Ośrodek Wydawniczy.
- Koseska-Toszewa, V. & Mazurkiewicz, A. (1988). Net representation of sentences in natural languages. In *Advances in Petri Nets 1988* (pp. 249–266). Berlin: Springer-Verlag. (*Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 340). http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-50580-6_32
- Koseska-Toszewa, V. & Mazurkiewicz, A. (1994). Description a l'aide de reseaux de la temporalite et modalite dans la phrases dans la langue naturelle. In *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, 1 (pp. 89–112), Warszawa.
- Koseska-Toszewa, V. & Mazurkiewicz, A. (2010). *Time flow and tenses*. Warszawa: Slawistyczny Ośrodek Wydawniczy.
- Koseska-Toszewa, V. & Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2013). О pracy над słownikami książkowymi i elektronicznymi z językiem bułgarskim. In *Сборник с материали от Шестата национална конференция с международно участие по лексикография и лексикология. (70 години българска академична лексикография, София, 24–25 октомври 2012)*. София: АИ Проф. „М. Дринов“.
- Koseska-Toszewa, V. & Sosnowski, W. (2015). Multilingualism and dictionaries. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (15), 43–55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11649/cs.2015.004>.
- Koseska-Toszewa, V., Korytkowska, M., & Roszko, R. (2007). *Polsko-bułgarska gramatyka konfrontatywna*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Akademickie Dialog.
- Koseska-Toszewa, V., Satoła-Staškowiak, J., & Duszkin, M. (2012). Теоретические и методологические аспекты создания польско-булгарско-русского словаря. In *MegaLing'2011: Горизонти прикладної лінгвістики і лінгвістичних технологій* (pp. 210–219). Київ: Національна академія наук України, Український мовно-інформаційний фонд.
- Lekov, I. & Ślawski, F. (Eds.). (1961). *Полско-български речник*. София: БАН.
- Mazurkiewicz, A. (1986). Zdarzenia i stany: elementy temporalności. In V. Koseska-Toszewa, I. Sawicka, & J. Mindak (Eds.), *Studia gramatyczne bułgarsko-polskie* (Vol. 1, *Temporalność*, pp. 7–21). Wrocław: Ossolineum.
- Petri, C.A., (1962). Fundamentals of the Theory of Asynchronous Information Flow, In *Proc. of IFIP'62 Congress*, North Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam.
- Reichenbach, H. (1967). Analiza języka potocznego, In *Logika i język* (p. 148), Warszawa.
- Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2013a). Contemporary contrastive studies of Polish, Bulgarian and Russian neologisms versus Language Corpora. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (13), 143–160.
- Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2013b). Neologizmy bułgarskie, polskie i rosyjskie w ujęciu konfrontatywnym. In *Проблеми на неологизма в славянските езици*. (pp. 21–30). София: БАН.

- Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2013c). Polskie i bułgarskie neologizmy znaczeniowe. In *Проблеми на неологията в славянските езици* (pp. 218–230). София: БАН.
- Satoła-Staškowiak, J. & Koseska-Toszeza, V. (with Dimitrova, L.). (2014). *Współczesny słownik bułgarsko-polski* (Pt. 1). Warszawa: Slawistyczny Ośrodek Wydawniczy.
- Sławski, F. (1987). *Podręczny słownik bułgarsko-polski z suplementem*. Warszawa: Państwowe Wydawnictwo Wiedza Powszechna.
- Андрейчин, Л., Георгиев, Л., Илчев, С., Костов, Н., Леков, И., Стойков, С., & Тодоров, Ц. (1973). *Български тълковен речник* (3rd ed.). София: Наука и изкуство.
- Буров, С., Бонджолова, В., Илиева, М., & Пехливанова, П. (1999/2000). *Съвременен тълковен речник на български език с приложения* (3rd ed.). Велико Търново: Gaberoff.
- Леков, И. (1945). *Полско-български речник*. София: БАН.
- Пернишка, Е., Благоева, Д., & Колковска, С. (2010). *Речник на новите думи в българския език* (1st ed.). София: Наука и изкуство.

References (Transliteration)

- Andreïchin, L., Georgiev, L., Ilchev, S., Kostov, N., Lekov, I., Stoïkov, S., & Todorov, T. (1973). *Bŭlgarski tŭlkoven rechnik* (3rd ed.). Sofia: Nauka i izkustvo.
- Bulgarian National Corpus, IBE BAN. (n.d.). Retrieved October 12, 2015, from <http://search.dcl.bas.bg/>
- Burov, S., Bondzholova, V., Ilieva, M., & Pekhlianova, P. (1999/2000). *Sŭvremenen tŭlkoven rechnik na bŭlgarski ezik s prilozheniia* (3rd ed.). Veliko Tŭrnovo: Gaberoff.
- Dimitrova, L. & Koseska-Toszeza, V. (2009a). Bulgarian-Polish Corpus. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (9), 133–141.
- Dimitrova, L. & Koseska-Toszeza, V. (2009b). Classifiers and digital dictionaries. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (9), 117–131.
- Dimitrova, L. & Koseska-Toszeza, V. (2012). Bulgarian-Polish Parallel Digital Corpus and quantification of time. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (12), 199–207. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.11649/cs.2012.013>
- Dimitrova, L. & Koseska-Toszeza, V. (2014). *Semantics properties of selected universal language categories in digital bilingual resources*. Sofia: DOVIRA Publ. House.
- Dimitrova, L., Koseska-Toszeza, V., & Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2009). Towards a unification of the classifiers in dictionary entry. In R. Garabík (Ed.), *Metalanguage and Encoding Scheme Design for Digital Lexicography: Proceedings of the MONDILEX Third Open Workshop, 15 – 16 April, 2009, Bratislava, Slovakia* (pp. 48–58). Bratislava: L'. Štúr Institute of Linguistic, Slovak Academy of Sciences.
- Dimitrova, L., Koseska-Toszeza, V., & Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2012). Neologisms in bilingual digital dictionaries (on the example of Bulgarian-Polish Dictionary). *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (12), 107–114. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.11649/cs.2012.008>
- Garabík, R., Dimitrova, L., & Koseska-Toszeza, V. (2011). Web-presentation of bilingual corpora (Slovak-Bulgarian and Bulgarian-Polish). *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (11), 227–239. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.11649/cs.2011.014>
- Kisiel, A., Satoła-Staškowiak, J., & Sosnowski, W. (2014). O rabote nad mnogoiazychnym slovarem. In *Przykładna lnhvistyka ta lnhvistychni tekhnolohii, MegaLing-2013: Zb. nauk. pr.* (pp. 112–120). Kyiv: Natsional'na akademiia nauk Ukraïny, Ukraïns'kyï movno-informatsiïnyi fond.
- Kisiel, A., Satoła-Staškowiak, J., & Sosnowski, W. (2014). The need for an electronic multilingual dictionary. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (14), 55–64. <http://doi.org/10.11649/cs.2014.006>
- Koseska-Toszeza, V. (1974). Z problematyki temporalno-aspektowej w języku bułgarskim (Relacja imperfectum – aoryst). *Studia z Filologii Polskiej i Słowiańskiej*, 14, 213–226.
- Koseska-Toszeza, V. (2006). *Gramatyka konfrontatywna bułgarsko-polska* (Vol. 7, *Semantyczna kategoria czasu*). Warszawa: Slawistyczny Ośrodek Wydawniczy.
- Koseska-Toszeza, V. & Mazurkiewicz, A. (1988). Net representation of sentences in natural languages. In *Advances in Petri Nets 1988* (pp. 249–266). Berlin: Springer-Verlag. (*Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 340). http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/3-540-50580-6_32
- Koseska-Toszeza, V. & Mazurkiewicz, A. (1994). Description a l'aide de reseaux de la temporalite et modalite dans la phrases dans la langue naturelle. In *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (1) (pp. 89–112), Warszawa.

- Koseska-Toszeza, V. & Mazurkiewicz, A. (2010). *Time flow and tenses*. Warszawa: Slawistyczny Ośrodek Wydawniczy.
- Koseska-Toszeza, V. & Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2013). O pracy nad słownikami książkowymi i elektronicznymi z językiem bułgarskim. In *Sbornik s materialii ot Shestata natsionalna konferentsiia s mezh-dunarodno uchastie po leksikografia i leksikologiiia (70 godini bułgarska akademichna leksikografia, Sofia, 24–25 oktomvri 2012)*. Sofia: AI “Prof. M. Drinov”.
- Koseska-Toszeza, V. & Sosnowski, W. (2015). Multilingualism and dictionaries. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (15), 43–55. <http://dx.doi.org/10.11649/cs.2015.004>
- Koseska-Toszeza, V., Korytkowska, M., & Roszko, R. (2007). *Polsko-bułgarska gramatyka konfrontatywna*. Warszawa: Wydawnictwo Akademickie Dialog.
- Koseska-Toszeza, V., Satoła-Staškowiak, J., & Duszkin, M. (2012). Teoreticheskie i metodologicheskie aspekty sozdaniia pol'sko-bolgarsko-russkogo slovaria. In *MegaLing'2011: Horyzonty prykladnoi linhvistyky i linhvistychnykh tekhnologii* (pp. 210–219). Kyïv: Natsional'na akademiia nauk Ukraïny, Ukraïns'kyi movno-informatsiinyi fond.
- Lekov, I. (1945). *Polsko-bułgarski rechnik*. Sofia: BAN.
- Lekov, I., & Sławski, F. (Eds.). (1961). *Polsko-bułgarski rechnik*. Sofia: BAN.
- Mazurkiewicz, A. (1986). Zdarzenia i stany: elementy temporalności. In V. Koseska-Toszeza, I. Sawicka, & J. Mindak (Eds.), *Studia gramatyczne bułgarsko-polskie* (Vol. 1, *Temporalność*, pp. 7–21). Wrocław: Ossolineum.
- Petri, C.A., (1962). Fundamentals of the Theory of Asynchronous Information Flow, In *Proc. of IFIP'62 Congress*, North Holland Publ. Comp., Amsterdam.
- Reichenbach, H. (1967). Analiza języka potocznego, In *Logika i język* (p. 148), Warszawa.
- Pernishka, E., Blagoeva, D., & Kolkovska, S. (2010). *Rechnik na novite dumi v bułgarskiia ezik* (1st ed.). Sofia: Nauka i izkustvo.
- Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2013a). Contemporary contrastive studies of Polish, Bulgarian and Russian neologisms versus Language Corpora. *Cognitive Studies / Études cognitives*, (13), 143–160.
- Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2013b). Neologizmy bułgarskie, polskie i rosyjskie w ujęciu konfrontatywnym. In *Problemi na neologiiata v slavianskite ezitsi*. (pp. 21–30). Sofia: BAN.
- Satoła-Staškowiak, J. (2013c). Polskie i bułgarskie neologizmy znaczeniowe. *Problemi na neologiiata v slavianskite ezitsi*. (pp. 218–230). Sofia: BAN.
- Satoła-Staškowiak, J. & Koseska-Toszeza, V. (with Dimitrova, L.). (2014). *Współczesny słownik bułgarsko-polski* (Pt. 1). Warszawa: Slawistyczny Ośrodek Wydawniczy.
- Sławski, F. (1987). *Podręczny słownik bułgarsko-polski z suplementem*. Warszawa: Państwowe Wydawnictwo Wiedza Powszechna.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by a core funding for statutory activities from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

The authors' contribution was as follows: concept of the study: Wojciech Sosnowski, Violetta Koseska-Toszeza, Anna Kisiel; data analyses: Wojciech Sosnowski, Violetta Koseska-Toszeza, Anna Kisiel; the writing: Wojciech Sosnowski, Violetta Koseska-Toszeza, Anna Kisiel.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 PL License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/pl/>), which permits redistribution, commercial and non-commercial, provided that the article is properly cited.