

The proof of the uniqueness of the solution of a mixed problem for a class of partial differential equations of even order

by Z. STOJĘK (Kraków)

In this paper we present the proof of the uniqueness of the solution of a mixed problem in the cylinder for linear partial differential equations of even order; these equations correspond to the Euler-Lagrange equation of given variation problems. The method of the proof is similar to that applied by S. Zaremba, K. Friedrichs and others [1] to hyperbolic equations.

Let us consider the partial differential equation of the $2n$ -th order in the form

$$(1) \quad \sum_{h=0}^n \sum_{\substack{i_1+\dots+i_m=h \\ j_1+\dots+j_m=h}} (-1)^h \frac{\partial^h}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m}} \left[a_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_m \\ j_1, \dots, j_m}}(X) \frac{\partial^h w(X, t)}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \right] + \\ + \mu(X) \frac{\partial^2 w(X, t)}{\partial t^2} = f(X, t)$$

and the corresponding homogenous equation

$$(2) \quad \sum_{h=0}^n \sum_{\substack{i_1+\dots+i_m=h \\ j_1+\dots+j_m=h}} (-1)^h \frac{\partial^h}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m}} \left[a_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_m \\ j_1, \dots, j_m}}(X) \frac{\partial^h w(X, t)}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \right] + \\ + \mu(X) \frac{\partial^2 w(X, t)}{\partial t^2} = 0.$$

Let us suppose that $a_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_m \\ j_1, \dots, j_m}}(X)$ ($i_1 + \dots + i_m = h$; $j_1 + \dots + j_m = h$; $h = 0, 1, \dots, n$) belongs to the class C^h in Ω and that the following relations hold:

$$(3) \quad a_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_m \\ j_1, \dots, j_m}}(X) = a_{\substack{j_1, \dots, j_m \\ i_1, \dots, i_m}}(X), \quad X \in \Omega \\ (i_1 + \dots + i_m = h, j_1 + \dots + j_m = h, h = 1, 2, \dots, n)$$

and we assume the continuity of the function $\mu(X)$ in Ω .

Further, we assume that the domain Ω is closed, bounded and normal with respect to the system (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) and its boundary $F\Omega$ is an surface of the class C^1_σ (cf. [1], p. 132).

THEOREM 1. *If the quadratic form*

$$(4) \quad \sum_{h=0}^n \sum_{\substack{i_1+\dots+i_m=h \\ j_1+\dots+j_m=h}} a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \lambda_{i_1, \dots, i_m} \lambda_{j_1, \dots, j_m}$$

is positive semi-definite in Ω , and $\mu(X) > 0$ in Ω , then equation (1) within the range of the functions of class C^3 with continuous derivatives of $2n$ -th order with regard to spatial variables in the semi-cylinder $\Sigma: \{(X, t); X \in \Omega, t \geq 0\}$ can have at most one solution satisfying the initial conditions

$$(5) \quad w(X, 0) = \varphi_0(X), \quad \left. \frac{\partial w(X, t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = \varphi_1(x) \quad \text{for } X \in \Omega$$

and the boundary conditions

$$(6) \quad \frac{\partial^h w(X, t)}{\partial n^h} = \psi_h(X, t) \quad (h = 0, 1, \dots, n-1) \\ \text{for } X \in F\Omega \quad \text{and } t \geq 0.$$

The truth of this theorem is easily demonstrated by the following

THEOREM 2. *If the quadratic form is positive semi-definite in Ω , and $\mu(X) > 0$ in Ω , then the only solution of the homogenous equation (2) within the range of the functions of class C^3 with continuous derivatives of $2n$ -th order with respect to spatial variables in Σ , fulfilling the initial conditions*

$$(7) \quad w(X, 0) = 0, \quad \left. \frac{\partial w(X, t)}{\partial t} \right|_{t=0} = 0 \quad \text{for } X \in \Omega$$

and the boundary conditions

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial^h w(X, t)}{\partial n^h} = 0 \quad (h = 0, 1, \dots, n-1) \quad \text{for } X \in F\Omega \quad \text{and } t \geq 0$$

is the function identically equal to zero in the semi-cylinder Σ .

Proof. We multiply both sides of equation (2) by $\partial w / \partial t$ and integrate over the area $D(X \in \Omega, 0 \leq t \leq T)$, where T is an arbitrary number $0 < T < \infty$; we obtain the equality

$$(9) \quad \int_0^T dt \iint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \left\{ \sum_{h=0}^n \sum_{\substack{i_1+\dots+i_m=h \\ j_1+\dots+j_m=h}} (-1)^h \frac{\partial^h}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m}} \left[a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \right] + \right. \\ \left. + \mu(X) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} \right\} dX = 0.$$

From the formula for integration by parts the m -fold integrals [1]

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \iiint_{\Omega} P(X) \frac{\partial Q(X)}{\partial x_k} dX &= - \iint_{F\Omega} P(X) Q(X) \cos(nx_k) d\sigma - \\ &\quad - \iiint_{\Omega} Q(X) \frac{\partial P(X)}{\partial x_k} dX \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots, m) \end{aligned}$$

resulting from Green's theorem, we arrive by induction, for an arbitrary $t \in [0, T]$, at the equality

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} &\iiint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial^h}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_k^{i_k} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m}} \left[a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \right] dX \\ &= - \iint_{F\Omega} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial^{h-1}}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_k^{i_k-1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m}} \left[a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \right] \times \\ &\quad \times \cos(n, x_k) d\sigma + \\ &\quad + \iint_{F\Omega} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_1 \cdot \partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial^{h-2}}{\partial x_1^{i_1-1} \dots \partial x_k^{i_k-1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m}} \left[a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \right] \times \\ &\quad \times \cos(n, x_1) d\sigma + \dots + \\ &\quad + (-1)^h \iint_{F\Omega} \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_k^{i_k-1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m} \cdot \partial t} a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \times \\ &\quad \times \cos(n, x_m) d\sigma + \\ &\quad + (-1)^h \iiint_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{h+1} w}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_k^{i_k} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m} \cdot \partial t} a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} dX \\ &\quad (h = 1, 2, \dots, n; i_k \geq 1; i_1 + \dots + i_k + \dots + i_m = h; \\ &\quad j_1 + \dots + j_m = h; k = 1, 2, \dots, m). \end{aligned}$$

From the boundary conditions (8) it follows that the derivatives of the functions $w(X, t)$ on the boundary $F\Omega$ equal zero in an arbitrary tangential direction as far as the range $n-1$ for each $t \geq 0$, and the derivatives in the direction of the normal as far as $n-1$ equal zero: hence, for $X \in F\Omega$ and $t \geq 0$, we have

$$(12) \quad \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m}} = 0 \quad (h = 0, 1, \dots, n-1; i_1 + \dots + i_m = h)$$

and consequently

$$(13) \quad \frac{\partial^{h+1} w}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m} \cdot \partial t} = 0 \quad \text{for } X \in F\Omega, \quad t \geq 0 \\ (h = 0, 1, \dots, n-1; i_1 + \dots + i_m = h).$$

From (13) it follows that the surface integrals in inequality (11) equal zero, and thus we obtain:

$$(14) \quad \text{SSS}_{\Omega} \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial^h}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m}} \left[a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \right] dX$$

$$= (-1)^h \text{SSS}_{\Omega} \frac{\partial^{h+1} w}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m} \cdot \partial t} a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} dX$$

$$(h = 1, 2, \dots, n; i_1 + \dots + i_m = h; j_1 + \dots + j_m = h).$$

Substituting equality (14) in (9) we arrive at

$$(15) \quad \int_0^T dt \text{SSS}_{\Omega} \left\{ \sum_{h=0}^n \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_m = h \\ j_1 + \dots + j_m = h}} \left[a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^{h+1} w}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m} \cdot \partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \right] + \right.$$

$$\left. + \mu(X) \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} \cdot \frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right\} dX = 0.$$

Considering relations (3), after having integrated the left side of equality (15) with respect to the parameter t , we obtain the following equality:

$$(16) \quad \text{SSS}_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=0}^n \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_m = h \\ j_1 + \dots + j_m = h}} \left[a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m}} \cdot \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \right] + \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} \mu(X) \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right\} \Big|_0^T dX = 0.$$

Since the initial conditions are (7) by $t = 0$, the subintegral expression equals zero; and since T has been an arbitrary positive number, hence for each $t > 0$ the equality

$$(17) \quad \text{SSS}_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{h=0}^n \sum_{\substack{i_1 + \dots + i_m = 0 \\ j_1 + \dots + j_m = 0}} \left[a_{i_1, \dots, i_m}(X) \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{i_1} \dots \partial x_m^{i_m}} \cdot \frac{\partial^h w}{\partial x_1^{j_1} \dots \partial x_m^{j_m}} \right] + \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} \mu(X) \left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial t} \right)^2 \right\} dX = 0$$

is true.

The quadratic form (4) being positive semi-definite in Ω , $\mu(X) > 0$ in Ω and the continuous derivative of the function $w(X, t)$ being $\partial w / \partial t$, it follows from the equality (17) that in the semi-cylinder Σ we have

$$(18) \quad \frac{\partial w(X, t)}{\partial t} \equiv 0,$$

and that means that the function $w(X, t)$ is independent of the parameter t ; being continuous in Σ , this function must also satisfy the homogeneous initial conditions (7); hence $w(X, t) \equiv 0$ in Σ . Q.e.d.

Reference

[1] M. Krzyżański, *Równania różniczkowe cząstkowe rzędu drugiego*, Cz. 1., Warszawa 1957.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 3. 1. 1961
