

## Remarks on absolutely regular and regular $\mathcal{K}'\{M_p\}$ -distributions

by S. PILIPOVIĆ (Novi Sad)

**Abstract.** We give the definition of  $\mathcal{K}'\{M_p\}$ -regular distributions and some characterizations of absolutely regular and regular  $\mathcal{K}'\{M_p\}$ -distributions.

1. Absolutely regular tempered distributions were studied by Szymdt [6], [7] who noted an interesting property of a locally integrable function which defines a tempered distributions. This was the motivation for several papers concerning the relations between locally integrable functions and various subspaces of Schwartz distributions. Dierolf [2] studied regular and absolutely regular tempered distributions; he gave a detailed topological analysis of such spaces. Kliš and Pilipović [3] gave some remarks on these spaces.

The space  $\mathcal{S}'$  is a  $\mathcal{K}'\{M_p\}$ -type space where  $\mathcal{K}'\{M_p\}$  is the Gel'fand–Shilov space [1]. Pilipović [4] characterized absolutely regular  $\mathcal{K}'\{M_p\}$ -distributions.

The following properties of the sequence of functions  $M_p$  are assumed in [4]:

- (1)  $1 \leq M_p(x) \leq M_{p+1}(x)$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{N}$ ;
- (2) For any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  there are only two possible cases:  $M_p(x) = \infty$  for all  $p$  or  $M_p(x) < \infty$  for all  $p$ ;
- (3)  $M_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{N}$ , is continuous with respect to  $x$  where the function is finite. The set of points at which  $M_p(x) = \infty$  is contained in  $(-\alpha, \alpha)$  for some  $\alpha > 0$ ;
- (N) For every  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  there is  $p' \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $M_p/M_{p'} \in L^1$ ;
- (4) For every  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  there is  $Y_p > 0$  such that  $M_p$  is non-decreasing on  $(Y_p, \infty)$  and non-increasing on  $(-\infty, -Y_p)$ ;
- (5) For every  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  there are  $p' \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $X_p > 0$  such that  $M_p(x+1) \leq M_{p'}(x)$ ,  $x > X_p$ ,  $M_p(x-1) \leq M_{p'}(x)$ ,  $x < -X_p$ .  
(Assume  $X_p = Y_{p'}$ .)

Note that (1), (2), the first part of (3) and a stronger version of (N) are well-known conditions from [1], p. 86, and p. 111.

Recall that  $\mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$  is the space of all  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  for which the norms

$$\|\phi\|_p = \sup\{M_p(x)|\phi^{(q)}(x)|; q \leq p, x \in \mathbf{R}\}, \quad p \in \mathbf{N},$$

are finite.

An  $f \in L^1_{loc}$  is called an *absolutely regular generalized function* from  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$  if  $f\phi \in L^1$  for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$ . We denote by  $\mathcal{X}'_{ar}\{M_p\}$  the space of such elements from  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ . Conditions (1), (2), (3), (N) imply that  $\text{const.} \in \mathcal{X}'_{ar}\{M_p\}$  ([4]).

2. For the definition of a regular  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ -distribution we need that  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$  is a subspace of  $\mathcal{D}'$ . Because of that, in the sequel we shall assume that  $M_p$  satisfies conditions (1), (N), (4), (5) and the following two:

(3')  $M_p, p \in \mathbf{N}$ , are continuous functions,

and ([1])

(P) For any  $p \in \mathbf{N}$ , there is  $p' \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} M_p(x)/M_{p'}(x) = 0.$$

Clearly, condition (3') is stronger than (2) and (3).

Under these two conditions ([1], p. 96),  $\mathcal{D}$  is a dense subspace of  $\mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$  and the convergence of a sequence in  $\mathcal{D}$  implies its convergence in  $\mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$ . So,  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\} \subset \mathcal{D}'$ .

An  $f \in L^1_{loc}$  is called a *regular  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ -distribution* if  $f \in \mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ , i.e. if for any  $\phi$  and any sequence  $\eta_k$  from  $\mathcal{D}$  which converges to  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$  (as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f, \eta_k \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}} f(t)\eta_k(t) dt = \langle f, \phi \rangle < \infty.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{X}'_r\{M_p\}$  the space of such distributions. Obviously,  $\mathcal{X}'_{ar}\{M_p\} \subset \mathcal{X}'_r\{M_p\}$ . An example of a regular  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ -distribution which is not absolutely regular is given in [4], Theorem 1.

Note that if  $f \in \mathcal{X}'_r\{M_p\}$  and  $f^{(j)} \in L^1_{loc}, j \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $f^{(j)} \in \mathcal{X}'_r\{M_p\}$ .

3. We need the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$ . Then there is  $\psi \in \mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$  such that  $\psi \geq |\phi|$ .

Proof. Let us define  $J_k = (k-3/2, k+3/2), I_k = (k-1, k+1), k \in \mathbf{Z}$  ( $= -\mathbf{N} \cup \{0\} \cup \mathbf{N}$ ),  $a_k = \sup\{|\phi(x)|; x \in I_k\}, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Let  $\omega \in C^\infty$  be such that  $\omega \geq 0, \text{supp } \omega \subset (-1/2, 1/2)$  and  $\int \omega = 1$ . Let  $\tilde{\psi}_k(x) = a_k, x \in J_k$  and  $\tilde{\psi}_k(x) = 0, x \notin J_k, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Put  $\psi_k = \tilde{\psi}_k * \omega, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

We have:  $\psi_k \geq 0, \text{supp } \psi_k \subset (k-2, k+2), \psi_k(x) = a_k, x \in I_k, k \in \mathbf{Z}$ . Put  $\psi = \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \psi_k$ . Note that on  $I_k$

$$\psi = \psi_{k-2} + \psi_{k-1} + \psi_k + \psi_{k+1} + \psi_{k+2}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Let

$$d_j = \sup\{|\omega^{(j)}(x)|; x \in \mathbf{R}\}, \quad j = 0, 1,$$

$$b_{p,k} = \sup\{M_p(x); x \in I_k\}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Using (5) twice we see that for given  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  there are  $p_2$  and  $\bar{X}_p$  such that

$$(6) \quad \sup\{M_p(x); x \in I_k\} \leq \inf\{M_{p_2}(x); x \in I_k\}, \quad |k| > \bar{X}_p.$$

Let  $p_3$  correspond to  $p_2$  in (N) and  $p_5$  and  $\bar{X}_{p_3}$  correspond to  $p_3$  in (6). For  $|k| > \bar{X}_{p_3}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \inf\{M_{p_2}(x); x \in I_k\} \cdot \sup\{|\phi(x)|; x \in I_k\} \\ & \leq \inf\{M_{p_2}(x)/M_{p_3}(x); x \in I_k\} \cdot \sup\{M_{p_3}(x); x \in I_k\} \cdot \sup\{|\phi(x)|; x \in I_k\} \\ & \leq \inf\{M_{p_2}(x)/M_{p_3}(x); x \in I_k\} \cdot \inf\{M_{p_5}(x); x \in I_k\} \cdot \sup\{|\phi(x)|; x \in I_k\} \\ & \leq \sup\{M_{p_5}(x)|\phi(x)|; x \in I_k\} \cdot \inf\{M_{p_2}(x)/M_{p_3}(x); x \in I_k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since any  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  belongs to two intervals from the family  $I_k$ , from (N) we get

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{|k| > \bar{X}_{p_3}} \inf\{M_{p_2}(x)/M_{p_3}(x); x \in I_k\} \\ \leq \sum_{|k| > \bar{X}_{p_3}} \int_{I_k} (M_{p_2}(x)/M_{p_3}(x)) dx \leq 2 \int_{\mathbf{R}} (M_{p_2}(x)/M_{p_3}(x)) dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By using these inequalities we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{|k| > \bar{X}_{p_3}} b_{p,k} a_k & \leq \sum_{|k| > \bar{X}_{p_3}} \inf\{M_{p_2}(x); x \in I_k\} \cdot \sup\{|\phi(x)|; x \in I_k\} \\ & \leq \sum_{|k| > \bar{X}_{p_3}} \sup\{M_{p_5}(x)|\phi(x)|; x \in I_k\} \cdot \inf\{M_{p_2}(x)/M_{p_3}(x); x \in I_k\} \\ & \leq \sup\{M_{p_5}(x)|\phi(x)|; x \in \mathbf{R}\} \int_{\mathbf{R}} (M_{p_2}(x)/M_{p_3}(x)) dx < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So, for any  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  we have  $\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} b_{p,k} a_k < \infty$ . In the same way we prove that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} b_{p,k-i} a_k < \infty \quad \text{for any } p \in \mathbf{N} \text{ and } i = -2, -1, 1, 2.$$

Fix  $p$  and  $j$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup\{|M_p(x)\psi^{(j)}(x)|; x \in \mathbf{R}\} & \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \sup\{M_p(x)|\psi^{(j)}(x)|; x \in I_k\} \\ & \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} b_{p,k} \sup\{|\psi^{(j)}(x)|; x \in I_k\} \\ & \leq \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} b_{p,k} \left( \sup\left\{ \sum_{i=0}^4 |\psi_k^{(i-2+i)}|; x \in I_k \right\} \right) \\ & \leq d_j \sum_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} b_{p,k} (a_{k-2} + \dots + a_{k+2}) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

So,  $\psi \in \mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$ . Obviously  $\psi \geq |\phi|$ .

In the next proposition we give a characterization of an absolutely regular  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ -distribution. Note that we gave in [4], Theorems 2, 3, under the assumptions from [4], several characterizations of absolutely regular

$\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ -generalized functions. Assumptions from this paper allow us to use the term absolutely regular  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ -distributions for such generalized functions.

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $T \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $T$  is an absolutely regular  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ -distribution.
- (ii)  $|T| \in \mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is obvious so let us prove (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

Take  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$  which is non-negative. There exists a sequence  $\phi_n$  from  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\phi_n \geq 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$  in  $\mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$ . We have

$$\int_{-a}^a |T(t)| \phi_n(t) dt \rightarrow \langle |T(t)|, \phi(t) \rangle < \infty, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

For almost all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $|T(t)| \phi_n(t) \rightarrow |T(t)| \phi(t)$ ,  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By Fatou's Lemma we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |T(t)| \phi(t) dt \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |T(t)| \phi_n(t) dt < \infty.$$

This implies that  $T\phi \in L^1$ .

If  $\phi$  is an arbitrary element from  $\mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$  then by Lemma 1 there exists a  $\psi \in \mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$  so that  $|\phi| \leq \psi$ . So, by the previous part of the proof we have  $T\phi \in L^1$ .

4. Let  $T \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$ . We have

$$|T(t)| = \left| \frac{d}{dt} \left( \exp i \left( \int_0^t |T(u)| du \right) \right) \right|,$$

where  $\exp(i(\int_0^t |T(u)| du)) \in \mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ . So every non-negative function from  $L^1_{\text{loc}}$  is the absolute value of some regular  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ -distribution.

In order to obtain a useful sufficient condition for a locally integrable function to be a regular element from  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$  we have to assume two more conditions.

Since we shall use the notion of convolution we need:

$$(S) \quad \phi \in \mathcal{X}\{M_p\} \Rightarrow \check{\phi} \in \mathcal{X}\{M_p\} \quad \text{where } \check{\phi}(x) = \phi(-x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that (S) holds if  $M_p$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ , are even functions or, more generally, if for every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there are  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $C_{p,p'}$  so that

$$M_p(x) \leq C_{p,p'} M_{p'}(-x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The following condition is essential for the next proposition.

- (I) There are functions  $\theta$  and  $M$  defined on  $[0, \infty)$  such that
  - a)  $\theta \geq 1$ ;  $M$  is continuous and monotonically increases from 0 to  $\infty$ ;
  - b) For every  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  there is  $p' \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$p M_p(x) \leq M(p' \theta(|x|)), \quad x \in \mathbb{R};$$

- c) For every  $D > 0$  there is  $p''$  such that

$$M(D\theta(|x|)) \leq p'' M_{p''}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

With the assumptions on  $M_p$  given above we have:

**PROPOSITION 3.** *Let  $T \in L_{loc}^1$ . Then  $T \in \mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$  if for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $T * \phi$  is an  $M_p$ -slowly increasing function.*

**Proof.** We shall use the idea of the proof of Théorème XXII, p. 195 in [5].

We shall prove that  $T$  is of the form  $T = \sum_{\alpha=0}^m f_{\alpha}^{(\alpha)}$  for some  $M_p$ -slowly increasing functions  $f_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 0, \dots, m$ . This implies that  $T$  can be linearly and continuously extended to  $\mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$  by

$$\langle T, \phi \rangle = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T, \phi_n \rangle, \quad \phi \in \mathcal{X}\{M_p\},$$

where  $\phi_n$  is any sequence from  $\mathcal{D}$  which converges to  $\phi$  in  $\mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$ , and since  $T \in L_{loc}^1$  we have  $T \in \mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ .

For  $\phi \in \mathcal{X}\{M_p\}$

$$(T(t) * \check{\phi}(t))(x) = \langle T(t), \check{\phi}(x-t) \rangle = \langle T(u+x), \phi(x) \rangle, \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

and thus, for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$  there is  $p = p_{\phi} \in \mathbf{N}$  such that

$$|\langle T(x+u), \phi(u) \rangle| \leq p M_p(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

i.e.

$$M^{-1}(|\langle T(x+u), \phi(u) \rangle|) / M^{-1}(p M_p(x)) \leq 1, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

where  $M$  is from (I). (I) implies that for some  $p' \in \mathbf{N}$

$$1/\theta(x) \leq p' / M^{-1}(p M_p(x)), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

So, for  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_K$  the functions

$$x \rightarrow h(x, \phi) = M^{-1}(|\langle T(x+u), \phi(u) \rangle|) / \theta(x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

are bounded. For fixed  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and a compact set  $K$  we find that

$$\phi \rightarrow h(x, \phi), \quad \phi \in \mathcal{D}_K,$$

is a continuous function because  $M^{-1}$  is continuous.

Let us view  $\phi \rightarrow h(\cdot, \phi)$  as a mapping from  $\mathcal{D}_K$  into the space of bounded continuous functions on  $\mathbf{R}$  with the usual supremum norm, denoted by  $\mathcal{C}_b$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}_K$  is of the second category there is a neighbourhood of zero  $\mathcal{U}_K$  in  $\mathcal{D}_K$  such that

$$\{x \rightarrow h(x, \phi); \phi \in \mathcal{U}_K\}$$

is a bounded family in  $\mathcal{C}_b$ . Thus, for a given constant  $D > 0$  we deduce that for every compact set  $K$  there exists a neighbourhood of zero  $\mathcal{U}_K$  so that for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{U}_K$

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}} \{M^{-1}(|\langle T(x+u), \phi(u) \rangle|) / \theta(x)\} < D.$$

By (I) there exists  $p'' \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for every compact set  $K$

$$|\langle T(x+u), \phi(u) \rangle| / M_{p''}(x) \leq p'', \quad \phi \in \mathcal{U}_K.$$

Since for every compact set  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_K$  absorbs  $\mathcal{D}_K$  we have for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\langle T(x+u), \phi(u) \rangle / M_{p''}(x) \in L^\infty.$$

This implies (see Chapitre VI, Théorème XXII in [5]) that for a given open bounded set  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}$ ,  $0 \in \Omega$ , there exist a compact neighbourhood of zero  $K$  and  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for every  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_K^m$

$$\{x \rightarrow (T(t+h) * \phi(t))(x) / M_p(h), h \in \mathbf{R}\}$$

is a bounded family of continuous functions on  $\Omega$ . On setting  $x = 0$  we see that

$$h \rightarrow (T * \phi)(h) / M_p(h), \quad h \in \mathbf{R},$$

is a bounded function for any  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}_K^m$ . Now, by (VI, 6; 22) in [5] we obtain

$$T = (\gamma E * T)^{(2N)} - \psi * T$$

where  $(^{2N})$  denotes the distributional derivative of order  $2N$ ,  $E$  satisfies  $E^{(2N)} = \delta$ ,  $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}_K$ ,  $\gamma \equiv 1$  in a neighbourhood of 0 and  $\psi \in \mathcal{D}_K$ . If  $N$  is sufficiently large,  $\gamma E \in \mathcal{D}_K^m$  and we get the required representation of  $T$ .

Condition (I) seems complicated but it is satisfied for all concrete  $\mathcal{X}'\{M_p\}$ -type spaces which have been studied in the literature. For example:

(i) Let  $M_p(\cdot) = \exp(p|\cdot|^\alpha)$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  and let  $\alpha > 0$  be fixed. We take  $M(x) = e^x - 1$ ,  $\theta(x) = x^\alpha + 1$ ,  $x \geq 0$ .

(ii) Let  $m$  be a real-valued continuous increasing function defined on  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $m \geq 1$  and that for every  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  there is  $p' \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $pm(x) \leq m(p'x)$ ,  $x > 0$ . Assume that for  $M_p(x) = m(p|x|)$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ , the conditions from Section 2 hold. Then (I) holds for  $M_p$  with

$$M(x) = m(x) - m(0), \quad \theta(x) = x + 1, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Note that for every  $p > 0$  there is  $p'$  and  $X_p > 0$  such that

$$m(p|x|) \leq m(p'|x|) - m(0) \quad \text{for } |x| > X_p.$$

This follows from condition (P).

(iii) Let  $M_p(\cdot) = (1 + |\cdot|^2)^{p/2}$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{N}$ . We take

$$M(x) = e^x - 1, \quad \theta(x) = \ln(x+1) + 1, \quad x \geq 0.$$

(iv) Let  $M_p(\cdot) = \exp(|\cdot|^p)$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{N}$ . We take

$$M(x) = \exp(\exp x) - e, \quad \theta(x) = \ln(x+1) + 1, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Let us remark that the converse assertion in Proposition 3 holds if we assume instead of (I) the following condition:

(R) For any  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  there are  $C_p > 0$  and  $p' \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $M_p(x+u) \leq C_p M_{p'}(x) M_{p'}(u)$ ,  $x, u \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Namely, for the converse assertion in Proposition 3 one has to use the inequality

$$|\langle T(t), \phi(x-t) \rangle| \leq S_p \|\phi(x-t)\|_p$$

which holds for some  $p \in \mathbf{N}$  and some  $S_p > 0$ , and then use (R).

Note that (R) holds in all the examples given above. Let us prove that for example (ii).

Since  $m$  is increasing and  $m \geq 1$  we have

$$m(p|x+u) \leq m(p|x+p|u) \leq m(2p|x) \cdot m(2p|u), \quad x, u \in \mathbf{R}.$$

This implies the assertion.

**Acknowledgements.** The author would like to thank the referee for many helpful comments and remarks which improved the paper.

This material is based on work supported by the U.S.-Yugoslavia Joint Fund for Scientific and Technological Cooperation, in cooperation with NSF under Grant (JF) 838.

#### References

- [1] I. M. Gel'fand and G. E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions*, Vol. 2, *Spaces of Fundamental and Generalized Functions*, Acad. Press, New York-London 1968.
- [2] P. Dierolf, *Some locally convex spaces of regular distributions*, *Studia Math.* 77 (1984), 393-412.
- [3] C. Kliš and S. Pilipović, *Remarks on absolutely regular and regular tempered distributions*, *Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd* (to appear).
- [4] S. Pilipović, *On regular  $\mathcal{K}'\{M_p\}$ -distributions*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 48 (1988), 31-39.
- [5] L. Schwartz, *Théorie des Distributions*, Hermann, Paris 1966.
- [6] Z. Szymdt, *On regular tempered distributions*, *Studia Math.* 44 (1972), 309-314.
- [7] —, *Characterization of regular tempered distributions*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 16 (1983), 255-258.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOVI SAD  
 Ilije Djuričića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 30.05.1987*  
*Révisé le 06.03.1988, 20.02.1989 et 05.02.1990*