

## On differentiable solutions of a functional equation

by B. CHOCZEWSKI (Gliwice)

*Dedicated to Professor Stanislaw Golab  
to celebrate his 60-th birthday*

In the present paper I deal with the problem of the existence of solutions  $\varphi(x)$  of the functional equation

$$(1) \quad \varphi[f(x)] = G(x, \varphi(x)),$$

which are of class  $C^r$  in an open interval  $(a, b)$ .  $f(x)$  and  $G(x, y)$  denote here known, real-valued functions of real variables.

This problem has been solved for  $r = 0$  by J. Kordylewski and M. Kuczma in paper [3]. The authors have proved that there exist an infinite number of solutions of equation (1) that are continuous in the interval  $(a, b)$ .

I shall prove in § 2 that under suitable assumptions equation (1) possesses also infinitely many solutions of class  $C^r$  in the interval  $(a, b)$ , where  $r$  may be infinite.

U. T. Bödewadt has considered in [1] the Abel equation

$$\varphi[f(x)] = \varphi(x) + 1$$

and has proved, the assumptions on the function  $f(x)$  being similar to those formulated in (I) below, that there exist infinitely many solutions of the Abel equation of class  $C^r$  ( $r \leq \infty$ ).

**§ 1. DEFINITION.** We shall denote by  $C^r[E]$  a class of functions defined and of class  $C^r$  in a set  $E$ .

We suppose (cf. [5]) that

(I) The function  $f(x)$  belongs to class  $C^r[(a, b)]$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ , and fulfils the following conditions:

$$(2) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a+0} f(x) = a, \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow b-0} f(x) = b, \quad f(x) > x \quad \text{for} \quad x \in (a, b), \\ f'(x) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad x \in (a, b).$$

(The values  $a$  and  $b$  may be infinite.)

(II) The function  $G(x, y)$  belongs to class  $C^r[\Omega]$  in an open region  $\Omega$ , normal with respect to the  $x$ -axis. Moreover, the inequality

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial G(x, y)}{\partial y} \neq 0$$

holds for  $(x, y) \in \Omega$ .

Let us denote by  $\Omega_x$  the  $x$ -section of the region  $\Omega$ , i.e.

$$\Omega_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y; (x, y) \in \Omega\},$$

and by  $\Gamma_x$  the set of values assumed by the function  $G(x, y)$  for  $(x, y) \in \{x\} \times \Omega_x$ , i.e.

$$\Gamma_x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ z; \sum_y [y \in \Omega_x, z = G(x, y)] \right\}.$$

We suppose that

(III)  $\Omega_x \neq \emptyset$ ,  $\Gamma_x = \Omega_{f(x)}$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ .

Inequality (3) guarantees the existence of the function  $H(x, z)$  inverse to the function  $G(x, y)$  with respect to the variable  $y$ , i.e. we have

$$(4) \quad z = G(x, y) \quad \equiv \quad y = H(x, z).$$

The function  $H(x, z) \in C^r[\Omega']$ , where

$$\Omega' \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(x, z); x \in (a, b), z \in \Gamma_x\}.$$

Let us introduce the following notation:

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} G_1(s, \varphi, \varphi') &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{f'(s)} \left( G'_x(s, \varphi(s)) + G'_y(s, \varphi(s)) \varphi'(s) \right), \\ G_{k+1}(s, \varphi, \dots, \varphi^{(k+1)}) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{f'(s)} \cdot \frac{d}{ds} G_k(s, \varphi, \dots, \varphi^{(k)}), \\ &k = 1, \dots, r-1. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we note the following

LEMMA. Suppose that the sequence  $\{a_n\}_0^\infty$  is strictly increasing,  $g_n(x) \in C^r[\langle a_n, a_{n+1} \rangle]$ ,  $n \geq 0$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq \infty$ , and that we have

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a_{n+1}-0} g_n^{(k)}(x) = g_{n+1}^{(k)}(a_{n+1}), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

Then the function

$$g(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g_n(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \langle a_n, a_{n+1} \rangle$$

belongs to class  $C^r[\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \langle a_n, a_{n+1} \rangle]$ .

We omit the simple inductive proof of this lemma.

§ 2. Let us take an arbitrary  $x_0 \in (a, b)$ . Denoting by  $f^{-1}(x)$  the function inverse to the function  $f(x)$ , let us put

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} x_1 &= f(x_0), & x_{n+1} &= f(x_n), & n &> 0, \\ x_{-1} &= f^{-1}(x_0), & x_{-n-1} &= f^{-1}(x_{-n}), & n &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is strictly increasing and converges to  $b$ , and the sequence  $\{x_{-n}\}$  is strictly decreasing and converges to  $a$ .

We shall prove the following

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that hypotheses (I)-(III) are fulfilled and a function  $\psi(x)$  is defined in the interval  $\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle$ ,  $\psi(x) \in C^r[\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle]$  ( $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ), and fulfils the following conditions:*

$$(7) \quad \psi(x) \in \Omega_x \quad \text{for} \quad x \in \langle x_0, x_1 \rangle,$$

$$(8) \quad \psi(x_1) = G(x_0, \psi(x_0)),$$

$$(9) \quad \psi^{(k)}(x_1) = G_k(x_0, \psi, \psi', \dots, \psi^{(k)}), \quad k = 1, \dots, r.$$

Then there exists exactly one solution  $\varphi(x) \in C^r[(a, b)]$  of equation (1) which is an extension of the function  $\psi$ . This solution is given by the formulae

$$(10) \quad \varphi(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi_n(x) & \text{for } x \in \langle x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle, & n \geq 0, \\ \varphi_{-n}(x) & \text{for } x \in \langle x_{-n}, x_{-n+1} \rangle, & n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where the functions  $\varphi_n(x)$  and  $\varphi_{-n}(x)$  are defined in the intervals  $\langle x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle$  and  $\langle x_{-n}, x_{-n+1} \rangle$  respectively (cf. (6)) by the formulae

$$(11) \quad \varphi_0(x) = \psi(x), \quad \varphi_{n+1}(x) = G(f^{-1}(x), \varphi_n[f^{-1}(x)]), \quad n \geq 1,$$

$$(12) \quad \varphi_{-n}(x) = H(x, \varphi_{-n+1}[f(x)]), \quad n \geq 1,$$

and the function  $H$  is defined by (4).

**Proof** (1). From a theorem proved in [3] it follows in particular that the function  $\varphi(x)$  defined by formulae (10)-(12) is a continuous solution of equation (1) for  $x \in (a, b)$  already when the function  $\psi(x)$  is continuous in the interval  $\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle$  and fulfils conditions (7) and (8). Consequently it remains to prove that, if the function  $\psi(x)$  fulfils the  $r$  of conditions (9), then formulae (10)-(12) define the function of class  $C^r$  in the whole interval  $(a, b)$ .

At first we shall prove that

$$(*) \quad \varphi_n(x) \in C^r[\langle x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle], \quad n \geq 0, \quad 0 \leq r \leq \infty,$$

$$(**) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x_{n+1}-0} \varphi_n^{(k)}(x) = \varphi_{n+1}^{(k)}(x_{n+1}), \quad n \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, r.$$

(1) I should like to express my thanks to Dr St. Balcerzyk for his valuable remarks concerning the proof of this theorem.

We shall prove assertion (\*) by induction. Let  $n = 0$ . Then, according to (11),  $\varphi_0(x) = \psi(x)$  for  $x \in \langle x_0, x_1 \rangle$  and (\*) holds as a consequence of the assumptions regarding the function  $\psi(x)$ . Further, if  $\varphi_m(x) \in C^r[\langle x_m, x_{m+1} \rangle]$ ,  $m \geq 0$ , then by (11) we have

$$\varphi_{m+1}(x) = G(f^{-1}(x), \varphi_m[f^{-1}(x)]), \quad x \in \langle x_{m+1}, x_{m+2} \rangle.$$

But now  $f^{-1}(x) \in \langle x_m, x_{m+1} \rangle$  and  $\varphi_m[f^{-1}(x)] \in C^r[\langle x_{m+1}, x_{m+2} \rangle]$ , and consequently, on account of hypotheses (I) and (II) we have  $\varphi_{m+1}(x) \in C^r[\langle x_{m+1}, x_{m+2} \rangle]$ .

Equalities (\*\*) follow for  $k = 0$  from the fact that the function  $\varphi(x)$  defined by formulae (10)-(12) is continuous in  $(a, b)$ . Let us fix a number  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq r$ . Let us note that from definitions (5) we have

$$(13) \quad \frac{d^k}{dx^k} G(f^{-1}(x), \varphi[f^{-1}(x)]) = G_k(s, \varphi, \dots, \varphi^{(k)}), \quad s = f^{-1}(x).$$

Since we have  $\varphi_{n+1}(x) \in C^r[\langle x_{n+1}, x_{n+2} \rangle]$ , the above formula yields for every natural  $n$  (cf. (11))

$$\varphi_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) = G(s, \varphi_n, \dots, \varphi_n^{(k)}), \quad s = f^{-1}(x).$$

In particular, we have for  $x = x_{n+1}$

$$(14) \quad \varphi_{n+1}^{(k)}(x_{n+1}) = G_k(x_n, \varphi_n, \dots, \varphi_n^{(k)}).$$

It follows from (9), (11) and (14) that (\*\*) holds for  $n = 0$ . We now assume that

$$(15) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow x_{m+1}-0} \varphi_m^{(l)}(x) = \varphi_{m+1}^{(l)}(x_{m+1}), \quad m \geq 0, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, k.$$

We have by (11) and (13)

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow x_{m+1}-0} \varphi_{m+1}^{(k)}(x) &= \lim_{x \rightarrow x_{m+1}-0} \frac{d^k}{dx^k} G(f^{-1}(x), \varphi_m[f^{-1}(x)]) \\ &= \lim_{s \rightarrow x_{m+1}-0} G_k(s, \varphi_m, \dots, \varphi_m^{(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get on account of (15) and (14) (for  $n = m+1$ )

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow x_{m+1}-0} \varphi_{m+1}^{(k)}(x) = \varphi_{m+2}^{(k)}(x_{m+2}), \quad k = 1, \dots, r.$$

Assertions (\*) and (\*\*) are valid for every  $n$ . Now let us put in lemma  $x_n = x_n$ ,  $g_n(x) = \varphi_n(x)$ ,  $n \geq 0$ . We infer that the function

$$(16) \quad \varphi^*(x) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \varphi_n(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \langle x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle, \quad n \geq 0$$

belongs to class  $C^r[\langle x_0, b \rangle]$ , since  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle x_n, x_{n+1} \rangle = \langle x_0, b \rangle$  (cf. (6)).

Now we define the functions

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\varphi}_0(x) &= \varphi^*(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \langle x_0, b \rangle, \\ \bar{\varphi}_{-n-1}(x) &= H(x, \bar{\varphi}_{-n}[f(x)]) \quad \text{for } x \in \langle x_{-n-1}, b \rangle.\end{aligned}$$

We have  $\bar{\varphi}_{-n-1}(x) = \bar{\varphi}_{-n}(x)$  for  $x \in \langle x_{-n}, b \rangle$ , since  $\varphi_{-n}(x)$  fulfil equation (1) in  $\langle x_{-n}, b \rangle$ . If  $\bar{\varphi}_{-n}(x) \in C^r[\langle x_{-n}, b \rangle]$  then  $\bar{\varphi}_{-n-1}(x) \in C^r[\langle x_{-n-1}, b \rangle]$ , because for  $x \in \langle x_{-n-1}, b \rangle$ ,  $\bar{\varphi}_{-n}[f(x)] \in C^r[\langle x_{-n}, b \rangle]$ . Consequently

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) \stackrel{\text{df}}{=} \bar{\varphi}_{-n}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in \langle x_{-n}, b \rangle, \quad n \geq 0$$

is a function of class  $C^r[(a, b)]$ . But we have

$$\bar{\varphi}_{-n}(x) = \begin{cases} \varphi^*(x) & \text{for } x \in \langle x_0, b \rangle, \\ \varphi_{-n}(x) & \text{for } x \in \langle x_{-n}, x_{-n+1} \rangle, \quad n \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

whence, according to (16), we see that

$$\bar{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(x) \quad \text{for } x \in (a, b).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that (I)-(III) are fulfilled. Then equation (1) possesses an infinite number of solutions of class  $C^r[(a, b)]$  ( $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ). These solutions are given by formulae (10)-(12), where  $\psi(x) \in C^r[\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle]$  is an arbitrary function which fulfils conditions (7)-(9).*

**Proof.** For  $r < \infty$  this theorem is an immediate consequence of theorem 1. For  $r = \infty$  the question arises whether one can find a function  $\psi(x) \in C^\infty[\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle]$  which will satisfy an infinite number of conditions (9).

Let us take an arbitrary  $\bar{x} \in (x_0, x_1)$ . Let  $\alpha(x)$  be an arbitrary function of class  $C^\infty[\langle x_0, \bar{x} \rangle]$  which fulfils the condition

$$\alpha(x) \in \Omega_x \quad \text{for } x \in \langle x_0, \bar{x} \rangle.$$

Then the function  $G(f^{-1}(x), \alpha[f^{-1}(x)])$  belongs to class  $C^\infty[\langle x_1, f(\bar{x}) \rangle]$ .

It is known (cf. [6]) that there exists a function  $\psi(x) \in C^\infty[\langle x_0, f(\bar{x}) \rangle]$  such that

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} \alpha(x) & \text{for } x \in \langle x_0, \bar{x} \rangle, \\ G(f^{-1}(x), \alpha[f^{-1}(x)]) & \text{for } x \in \langle x_1, f(\bar{x}) \rangle, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi(x) \in \Omega_x \quad \text{for } x \in \langle x_0, f(\bar{x}) \rangle.$$

Of course, this function fulfils an infinite number of conditions (9).

The function  $\alpha(x)$  may be chosen in infinitely many ways, and thus we obtain an infinite number of functions  $\psi(x) \in C^\infty[\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle]$  (since we have  $\langle x_0, x_1 \rangle \subset \langle x_0, f(\bar{x}) \rangle$ ) which fulfil conditions (9) for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$

From theorem 1 we infer that there exist infinitely many solutions  $\varphi(x)$  of equation (1) given by formulae (10)-(12), belonging to class  $C^\infty[(a, b)]$ . This completes the proof.

Remark. Theorems analogous to theorem 2 may be proved for more general equations

$$(17) \quad F(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f(x)], \dots, \varphi[f^n(x)]) = 0,$$

$$(18) \quad F(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]) = 0,$$

which have been considered in [2] and [4]. In these equations  $\varphi(x)$  denotes the required function, and the remaining ones are known.

Namely if the assumptions stated in [2] (resp. [4]) are fulfilled, the known functions belong to class  $C^r$  in suitable sets, and the function  $f(x)$  (resp.  $f_n(x)$ ) in equation (17) (resp. (18)) fulfils condition (2), then equation (17) (resp. (18)) possesses an infinite number of solutions of class  $C$  ( $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ ) in the interval  $(a, b)$ .

#### References

- [1] U. T. Bödewadt, *Zur Iterationen reeller Funktionen*, Math. Zeitschr. 49 (1944), p. 497-516.
- [2] J. Kordylewski, *On the functional equation  $F(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f(x)], \dots, \varphi[f^n(x)]) = 0$* , Ann. Pol. Math. 9 (1960-61), p. 285-293.
- [3] — and M. Kuczma, *On the functional equation  $F(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f(x)]) = 0$* , Ann. Pol. Math. 7 (1959), p. 21-32.
- [4] — *On the functional equation  $F(x, \varphi(x), \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]) = 0$* , Ann. Pol. Math. 8 (1960), p. 55-60.
- [5] M. Kuczma, *On continuous solutions of a functional equation*, Ann. Pol. Math. 8 (1960), p. 209-214.
- [6] H. Whitney, *Analytic extensions of differentiable functions defined in closed sets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 36 (1934), p. 63-89.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 29. 3. 1961*

---