

**On some classes of infinitely decomposable  
Sobolev–Schwartz test functions**

by JAN MIKUSIŃSKI (Katowice)

*Franciszek Leja in memoriam*

**Abstract.** There are considered three different subspaces of Schwartz's space  $\mathcal{D}$  such that each element splits to a convolution of two elements of the same subspace.

We are going to define some subclasses  $\mathcal{D}_0$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that each function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  is decomposable in  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , i.e.  $\varphi = \varphi_1 * \varphi_2$ , where  $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{D}_0$  and  $\varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}_0$ . We shall first construct the Fourier transforms of functions belonging to  $\mathcal{D}_0$ .

Let  $G(z)$  ( $z = x + iy$ ) be a function of exponential type, not identically 0, such that

- (1)  $|G(z)| \leq e^{\alpha|y|}$ ,  
(2)  $|1 - G(z)| \leq A|z|$  for  $|z| < 1$ ,  
(3)  $|G(t)| \leq B/|t|$  for  $t \leq -1$  and  $t \geq 1$ ,

where  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $\alpha$  are positive constants.

**THEOREM.** If  $\lambda_n \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| < \infty$ , then the product

(4) 
$$F(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G(\lambda_n z)$$

represents an entire function, not identically 0 such that

(5)  $|F(z)| \leq e^{\beta|y|}$

and

(6) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t^k F(t)| dt \leq M_k,$$

where  $\beta$  and  $M_k$  are positive constants and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

**Proof.** By (2), we have

$$|1 - G(\lambda_n z)| \leq A |\lambda_n| \cdot |z| \quad \text{for } |z| < 1/|\lambda_n|.$$

Since  $1/|\lambda_n| \rightarrow \infty$ , the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |1 - G(\lambda_n z)|$$

is uniformly convergent on compact sets and thus the product in (4) represents an entire function which is not identically 0.

By (1), we obtain

$$|G(\lambda_n z)| \leq \exp(\beta |y|),$$

where  $\beta \in \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda_n| \right)$ , so (5) holds.

Since, by (1),

$$|G(t)| \leq 1 \quad \text{for real } t,$$

we have

$$|t^k F(t)| \leq |t^k \prod_{n=1}^{k+2} G(\lambda_n t)|.$$

Hence, in view of (3), we get

$$(7) \quad |t^k F(t)| \leq C_k/t^2 \quad \text{for } |t| \geq a_k$$

and

$$(8) \quad |t^k F(t)| \leq |t|^k \quad \text{for } |t| < a_k,$$

where

$$C_k = B^{k+2} |\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{k+2}|^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad a_k = \max \left( \frac{1}{|\lambda_1|}, \dots, \frac{1}{|\lambda_{k+2}|} \right).$$

Relations (7) and (8) imply (6) and the proof is completed.

As a function  $G$  satisfying conditions (1)–(3) we can take  $\frac{\sin z}{z}$ . Another function of that type is  $G(z) = (\exp iz - 1)/z$ .

By the Paley–Wiener theorem, the function  $F(z)$  in (2) is the Fourier transform of the function

$$f(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ist} F(t) dt,$$

whose support is contained in  $[-\beta, \beta]$ . Moreover, condition (6) guarantees that  $f(s) \in C^\infty$  and

$$f^{(k)}(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (it)^k e^{-ist} F(t) dt.$$

Therefore to each function  $G$  satisfying (1)–(3) there corresponds a class  $\mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{D}_G \subset \mathcal{D}$  of functions, depending on the sequence  $\lambda_n$ , whose Fourier transforms are equal  $F$ .

Evidently, if we split the sequence  $\lambda_n$  in two subsequences  $\lambda'_n$  and  $\lambda''_n$ , then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda'_n| < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\lambda''_n| < \infty$  and

$$F(z) = F_1(z) \cdot F_2(z),$$

where

$$F_1(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G(\lambda'_n z) \quad \text{and} \quad F_2(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} G(\lambda''_n z).$$

That means, we have  $\varphi = \varphi_1 * \varphi_2$ , where  $\varphi, \varphi_1, \varphi_2$  are the functions of the class  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , corresponding to the functions  $F, F_1, F_2$ , respectively. Thus we have

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{D}_0 = \mathcal{D}_0 * \mathcal{D}_0.$$

Another class  $\mathcal{D}_0$  with this property was given in the paper: L. A. Rubel, W. A. Squires and B. A. Taylor, *Irreducibility of certain entire functions with applications to harmonic functions*, Ann. of Math. 108 (1976), 560–561. The method of the authors consisted in considering zeros of the Fourier transforms of  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ .

Piotr Mikusiński has remarked that a class  $\mathcal{D}_0 \in \mathcal{D}$  with property (9) can be easily constructed directly, without any help of Fourier transform. In fact, there are functions  $\delta_n \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\delta_n \geq 0$ ,  $\int \delta_n = 1$  and the support of  $\delta_n$  is in  $[-\lambda_n, \lambda_n]$ , where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n < \infty$ . We put  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}_0$  if  $\varphi = \delta_1 * \delta_2 * \dots$ . Then and (9) holds, because we may set  $\varphi_1 = \delta_1 * \delta_3 * \dots$ ,  $\varphi_2 = \delta_2 * \delta_4 * \dots$ .

The class of Piotr Mikusiński is the simplest, but does not include the preceding classes. The variety of possible subclasses satisfying (9) suggests that we possibly have  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D} * \mathcal{D}$  for the whole class  $\mathcal{D}$ .