ANNALES POLONICI MATHEMATICI XLII (1983)

On the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial II

by A. Schinzel (Warszawa)

Dedicated to the memory of Jacek Szarski

Abstract. For a polynomial f with integral coefficients and $f(0) \neq 0$ the number of its irreducible factors counted with or without multiplicities and the maximal multiplicity in question are estimated in terms of its degree |f| and of the sum of squares of its coefficients ||f|| roughly by $\sqrt{|f|\log||f||}$. The estimates in their exact form are nearly best possible.

This paper is a sequel to [9] and the same notation is used. For a polynomial f with integral coefficients |f| is its degree, ||f|| the sum of squares of its coefficients; if $|f| \ge 1$ then $\Omega(f)$ and $\omega(f)$ are the number of irreducible factors of f counted with or without multiplicities, respectively, $\Omega_1(f)$ and $\omega_1(f)$ the relevant numbers of irreducible non-cyclotomic factors. Finally, m(f) is the maximal multiplicity of a factor of f. Clearly,

$$\max\{\omega(f), m(f)\} \leqslant \Omega(f) \leqslant |f|$$

and it has been proved in [9] that if $f(0) \neq 0$, then

$$\Omega_{1}(f) \leqslant \sqrt{26 |f| \log 7 |f| \log ||f||},$$

$$(1) \qquad \qquad \omega(f) - \omega_{1}(f) \leqslant |f|^{1/2},$$

$$\Omega(f) - \Omega_{1}(f) \leqslant |f|^{3/4} (\log \log |f|)^{1/2} (\log ||f||)^{1/2} \qquad (|f| \geqslant 3).$$

Here as everywhere in the sequel the constants implicit in the Vinogradov symbol \leq are absolute.

It has been conjectured in [9] that for every ε between 0 and 1

(2)
$$\omega(f) = o(|f|^{\epsilon})(\log ||f||)^{1-\epsilon},$$

(3)
$$\Omega(f) = O(|f|^{\epsilon})(\log ||f||)^{1-\epsilon}.$$

Dobrowolski's result on the Lehmer problem about algebraic integers implies (see [1], Theorem 2)

(4)
$$\Omega_1(f) = O(|f|^{\epsilon})(\log ||f||)^{1-\epsilon}$$

for every ε between 0 and 1; on the other hand, he has disproved conjectures (2) and (3) for every $\varepsilon < 1/2$ or $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$, respectively. For m(f) there is an estimate implicit in a theorem of Erdös and Turán [3], namely

(5)
$$m(f) < 16 \sqrt{n \log |a_0 a_n|^{-1/2} \sum_{i=0}^{n} |a_i|}, \text{ where } f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i x^{n-i}$$

(this has been pointed out to the author by M. Mignotte).

An easy modification of the proof of (4) gives

THEOREM 1. For every ε between 0 and 1 and every polynomial f with integral coefficients and $f(0) \neq 0$

$$\omega_1(f) = o(|f|^s)(\log ||f||)^{1-s}.$$

Our main result is

THEOREM 2. For every polynomial f with integral coefficients and $f(0) \neq 0$

(6)
$$\omega(f) = o(\sqrt{|f| \log ||f||}) \quad (|f| \to \infty),$$

$$m(f) \ll \sqrt{|f| \log ||f||},$$

(8)
$$\Omega(f) \ll \sqrt{|f| \log ||f|| \log r} \log \log r,$$

$$r = \max\left(3, \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}\right).$$

COROLLARY. For every ε between 0 and 1/2

(9)
$$\Omega(f) = O(|f|^{1/2+s})(\log ||f||)^{1/2-s}.$$

The given estimates are quite precise, as it is shown by the examples

$$f_1(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x-i), \quad f_2(x) = (x-1)^n, \quad f_3(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x^i-1)^{n-i+1}.$$

Here $|f_1| = |f_2| = n$, $|f_3| \sim n^3$, $\log ||f_1|| \ll n \log n$, $\log ||f_2|| \ll n$, $\log ||f_3|| \ll n \log n$ (see [1], p. 401), $\omega(f_1) = n$, $m(f_2) = n$, $\Omega(f_3) \gg n^2 \log n$. Hence for $f = f_1$ the left-hand side of (6) is n, the right-hand side is $o(n(\log n)^{1/2})$, for $f = f_2$ the left-hand side of (7) and (9) is n, the right-hand side is $\ll n$, for $f = f_3$ the left-hand side of (8) is $\gg n^2 \log n$, the right-hand side is $\ll n^2 \log n \cdot \log \log n$. Note that (7) is weaker than (5), but the proof will be entirely different. There is still room to conjecture that

$$\Omega(f) \ll \sqrt{|f| \log ||f|| \log r}.$$

Proof of Theorem 1. Put

$$M(f) = |a_f| \prod_{i=1}^n \max\{1, |a_i|\}$$

where $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n$ are the zeros of the polynomial f listed with proper multiplicity and a_f is its leading coefficient.

Let

(10)
$$f(x) = f_0(x) \prod_{i=1}^{m_1} f_i(x)^{\beta_i},$$

where f_i are for i > 0 distinct non-cyclotomic polynomials and f_0 is a product of cyclotomic factors. Then

$$M(f) = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega_1} M(f_i)^{\beta_i}.$$

Landau [4] showed that $M(f) \leq ||f||^{1/2}$, thus

$$\log \|f\| \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\omega_1} \log M(f_i).$$

On the other hand, by comparison of degrees in (10),

$$|f| \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{\omega_1} |f_i|.$$

By Hölder's inequality

(11)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\omega_1} |f_i|^s \left(\log M(f_i)\right)^{1-s} \leqslant \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\omega_1} |f_i|\right)^s \left(\sum_{i=1}^{\omega_1} \log M(f_i)\right)^{1-s}$$
$$\leqslant |f|^{\bullet} (\log ||f||)^{1-s}.$$

Take an arbitrary A>0 and consider separately $i\leqslant\omega_1$ such that

$$(12) |f_i|^{s} (\log M(f_i))^{1-s} \geqslant A$$

and the remaining ones. The number of i's satisfying (12) does not exceed, in virtue of (11), $|f|^s(\log ||f||)^{1-s}/A$.

On the other hand, by Dobrowolski's theorem ([1], Theorem 1) either $|f_i| \leqslant 2$ or

$$\log M(f_i) \gg \left(\frac{\log \log |f_i|}{\log |f_i|}\right)^3$$
,

whence for a certain $c(\varepsilon) > 1$

$$\log M(f_i) \geqslant \frac{1}{c(\varepsilon) |f_i|^{\epsilon}}.$$

Thus the negation of (12) gives $|f_i|^{s^2} < c(\varepsilon)A$ and also $\log M(f_i) < A^{1/(1-s)}$.

The number of polynomials g with integral coefficients with bounded |g| and M(g) is finite; hence the number of i's for which (12) fails does not exceed $B(\varepsilon, A)$ independent of f. Eventually we obtain

$$\omega_1 = \omega_1(f) \leqslant \frac{|f|^s (\log ||f||)^{1-s}}{A} + B(\varepsilon, A)$$

and since A is arbitrary, the theorem follows.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we need several lemmata.

LEMMA 1. Let $\Phi_n(x)$ be the n-th cyclotomic polynomial, $\Phi_n(x)^{\bullet_n} || f(x)$. Then for every prime p

$$\varepsilon_n \leqslant \frac{\varphi(np)}{\varphi(n)\log p} \left(\log \binom{|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}} + \log ||f||\right),$$

where φ is Euler's function.

Proof. Let

$$f(x) = \Phi_{nn}(x)^{e_{np}}\Phi_n(x)^{e_n}g(x), \quad g \in Z[x].$$

Differentiating ε_{np} times and substituting afterwards for x a primitive npth root of unity, ζ_{np} , we get

(13)
$$f^{(e_{np})}(\zeta_{np}) = (\varepsilon_{np})! \Phi'_{np}(\zeta_{np})^{e_{np}} \Phi_{n}(\zeta_{np}) g(\zeta_{np}).$$

Taking norms from $Q(\zeta_{np})$ to the rational field Q, we obtain

$$\left|N\left(f^{\varepsilon_{np}}(\zeta_{np})\right)\right|\leqslant \left|\overline{f^{(\varepsilon_{np})}(\zeta_{np})}\right|^{\varphi(np)}\leqslant \left(\varepsilon_{np}!\binom{|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}}||f||\right)^{\varphi(np)},$$

$$\left|N\left(\Phi_{np}'(\zeta_{np})^{\epsilon_{np}}g(\zeta_{np})\right)\right|\geqslant 1.$$

On the other hand, since $\Phi_n(x) = \prod_{d \mid n} (x^d - 1)^{\mu \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)}$, we have

$$egin{aligned} N arPhi_n(\zeta_{np}) &= \prod_{\substack{j=1\ (j,np)=1}}^{np} arPhi_n(\zeta_{np}^j) = \prod_{\substack{j=1\ (j,np)=1}}^{np} \prod_{d|n} \left(\zeta_{np}^{dj}-1
ight)^{\mu\left(rac{n}{d}
ight)} \ &= \pm \prod_{d|n} \prod_{\substack{j=1\ (j,np)=1}}^{np} \left(1-\zeta_{rac{n}{d}p}^j
ight)^{\mu\left(rac{n}{d}
ight)} = \pm \prod_{d|n} arPhi_{rac{n}{d}p} \left(1
ight)^{\mu\left(rac{n}{d}
ight)} rac{arphi(np)}{arphi\left(rac{n}{d}p
ight)}. \end{aligned}$$

However,

$$arPhi_r(1) = egin{cases} q & ext{if } r = q^a, \ q ext{ prime,} \ 0 & ext{if } r = 1, \ 1 & ext{otherwise;} \end{cases}$$

hence

$$N \varPhi_n(\zeta_{np}) \, = \begin{cases} \pm \, \varPhi_p(1)^{\varphi(np)/\varphi(p)} & \text{if $p + n$,} \\ \pm \, \varPhi_p(1)^{\varphi(np)/\varphi(p)} \, \varPhi_{p^2}(1)^{-\varphi(np)/\varphi(p^2)} & \text{if $p \mid n$.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$|N\Phi_n(\zeta_{np})| = p^{\varphi(n)}.$$

The lemma follows from (13)-(16).

LEMMA 2. There exists an absolute constant $c \ge e^2$ such that in the notation of Lemma 1 we have

$$\sum^* \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\omega(n) + 1} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} \ll |f| \log \|f\| \log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|},$$

where $\omega(n)$ is the number of distinct prime factors of n, \sum^* is taken over all n with $\varepsilon_n > c \log ||f||$.

Proof. Let us assume that $\varepsilon_n \geqslant e^2 \log ||f||$ and define b_n by the equation

$$\frac{b_n}{\log b_n} = \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2\log \|f\|} \geqslant \frac{e^2}{2} > \frac{2}{\log 2}.$$

Since $x/\log x$ is increasing for $x \ge e$, we have

$$(18) b_n \geqslant \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2\log \|f\|} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|}.$$

Let us consider prime numbers $p \leq b_n$. For such primes p we have

$$\frac{p}{\log p} \leqslant \frac{\varepsilon_n}{2\log \|f\|};$$

hence by Lemma 1

$$\varepsilon_{np}\log\frac{e|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}}\geqslant\log\binom{|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}}\geqslant\frac{\varphi(n)\log p}{\varphi(np)}\,\varepsilon_{n}-\log\|f\|\geqslant\log\|f\|.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{e\,|f|/\varepsilon_{np}}} \geqslant \frac{\log e\,|f|/\varepsilon_{np}}{e\,|f|/\varepsilon_{np}} \geqslant \frac{\log \|f\|}{e\,|f|} \geqslant \left(\frac{\log \|f\|}{|f|}\right)^{3/2}$$

(note that $|f| \geqslant \varepsilon_n \geqslant e^2 \log ||f||$); hence

$$\frac{e|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}} \leqslant \left(\frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}\right)^3, \quad \log \frac{e|f|}{\varepsilon_{np}} \leqslant 3\log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}$$

and by Lemma 1

$$3\varphi(np)\,\varepsilon_{np}\log\frac{|f|}{\log\|f\|}\geqslant \varphi(n)\,\varepsilon_{n}\log p-\varphi(n)\,p\log\|f\|.$$

Now

$$\sum_{p \leqslant b_n} \log p = b_n + O(b_n/\log b_n),$$

$$\sum_{p \leqslant b_n} b^2 \cdot (b_n/\log b_n)$$

$$\sum_{p\leqslant b_n}p=\frac{b_n^2}{2\log b_n}+O\left(\frac{b_n^2}{(\log b_n)^2}\right).$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} &3\log\frac{|f|}{\log\|f\|}\sum_{p\leqslant b_n}\varepsilon_{np}\varphi(np)\\ &\geqslant b_n\varphi(n)\left(\varepsilon_n-\frac{b_n\log\|f\|}{2\log b_n}\right)+O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n\varphi(n)b_n}{\log b_n}\right)+O\left(\frac{b_n^2}{(\log b_n)^2}\varphi(n)\log\|f\|\right) \end{split}$$

and using (17) and (18)

$$(19) \quad 3\log\frac{|f|}{\log\|f\|}\sum_{p\leqslant b_n}\varepsilon_{np}\varphi(np)\geqslant \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon_n\varphi(n)b_n+O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n^2\varphi(n)}{\log\|f\|}\right)$$

$$\geqslant \frac{3}{8}\frac{\varepsilon_n^2\varphi(n)}{\log\|f\|}\log\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log\|f\|}+O\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n^2\varphi(n)}{\log\|f\|}\right).$$

Let the constant implicit in the O symbol on the right-hand side of (19) be c_1 . We now choose a constant $c \ge e^2$ such that

$$\log c \geqslant 4c_1$$
.

Then if $\varepsilon_n > c \log ||f||$, we get

$$c_1 \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\log f} \leqslant \frac{1}{4} \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\log \|f\|} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|}$$

and (19) gives

$$3\log\frac{|f|}{\log\|f\|}\sum_{n\leq b_n}\varepsilon_{np}\varphi(np)\geqslant \frac{1}{8}\frac{\varepsilon_n^2\varphi(n)}{\log\|f\|}\log\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log\|f\|}.$$

Summing over n subject to the condition $\varepsilon_n > c \log \|f\|$, we obtain

(20)
$$3\log \frac{|f|}{\log ||f||} \sum_{n}^{*} \sum_{p \leqslant b_{n}} \frac{\varepsilon_{np} \varphi(np)}{\omega(n) + 1}$$

$$\geqslant \frac{1}{8} \sum_{n}^{*} \frac{\varepsilon_{np}^{2} \varphi(n)}{\log ||f|| (\omega(n+1))} \log \frac{\varepsilon_{n}}{\log ||f||} .$$

On the other hand, for every m

$$1 \geqslant \sum_{p \mid m} \frac{1}{\omega(m/p) + 1};$$

hence

$$|f| \geqslant \sum \varepsilon_m \varphi(m) \geqslant \sum_n \sum_{\omega} \frac{\varepsilon_{np} \varphi(np)}{\omega(n) + 1}.$$

This together with (20) gives the lemma.

LEMMA 3. We have

$$A(x) = \sum_{\varphi(n) \leqslant x} 1 \leqslant x$$

and for $x \ge 2$

$$B(x) = \sum_{\varphi(n) \le x} \frac{1}{\varphi(n)} \ll \log x.$$

Proof. The estimate for A(x) is due to Erdös [2]. The estimate for B(x) is obtained by partial summation (see [8], p. 371) as follows:

$$B(x) = \frac{A(x)}{x} + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{A(\xi)}{\xi^{2}} d\xi \leqslant 1 + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \leqslant \log x.$$

LEMMA 4. For every $a \ge 2$ and $x \ge 3$

$$\sum_{\varphi(n) \leq x} \frac{\omega(n) + 1}{\varphi(n) \log (ax/\varphi(n))} \ll (\log \log x)^2.$$

Proof. We shall first show that for $\xi \geqslant 3$

(21)
$$C(\xi) = \sum_{\varphi(n) \leqslant \xi} \omega(n) \leqslant \xi \log \log \xi.$$

By a theorem of Landau ([5], p. 216) for a suitable constant b and $\xi \geqslant 3$

$$\varphi(n) \leqslant \xi$$
 implies $n \leqslant b\xi \log \log \xi = \eta > 1$.

Now by Lemma 2 in [9]

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leqslant \eta \\ \omega(n) > 10 \log \log \eta}} \omega(n) \ll \frac{\eta}{\log \eta}.$$

Hence we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\varphi(n) \leqslant \xi \\ \omega(n) > 10 \log \log \eta}} \omega(n) \ll \frac{\eta}{\log \eta} \ll \frac{\xi \log \log \xi}{\log \xi}.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 3

$$\sum_{\substack{\varphi(n)\leqslant\xi\\\omega(n)\leqslant10\log\log\eta}}\omega(n)\leqslant10\log\log\eta\cdot A(\xi)\,\leqslant\,\xi\log\log\xi\,.$$

Thus (21) follows. Now using partial summation, we get

$$\sum_{x(n) \leq x} \frac{\omega(n)}{\varphi(n) \log(ax/\varphi(n))} \leq \frac{C(x)}{x \log a} + \int_{1}^{x} \frac{\log ax - \log \xi - 1}{\xi^{2} (\log ax/\xi)^{2}} C(\xi) d\xi$$

Since

$$\sum_{\varphi(n)\leqslant x}\frac{\omega(n)+1}{\varphi(n)\log\left(ax/\varphi(n)\right)}\leqslant \frac{1}{\log ax}+2\sum_{\varphi(n)\leqslant x}\frac{\omega(n)}{\varphi(n)\log\left(ax/\varphi(n)\right)},$$

the lemma follows.

Proof of Theorem 2. By Theorem 1 with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$ we have

$$\omega_1(f) = o(\sqrt{|f| \log ||f|}) \quad (|f| \to \infty),$$

thus in order to prove (6) it is enough to show that

$$\omega(f) - \omega_1(f) = o\left(\sqrt{|f| \log ||f||}\right) \quad (|f| \to \infty).$$

Take a number A arbitrarily large. If $\log ||f|| \ge A^2$ we have by (1)

(22)
$$\omega(f) - \omega_1(f) \ll |f|^{1/2} \ll \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{|f| \log ||f||}.$$

If $\log \|f\| \leqslant A^2$ the number of distinct terms of f(x) does not exceed $\|f\| \leqslant \exp A^2$. Let $f(x) = \sum\limits_{i=0}^k a_i x^{a_i}, \quad 0 = a_0 < a_1 < \ldots < a_k$. Suppose that $\Phi_n(x) |f(x)$. Then $\sum\limits_{i=0}^k a_i \zeta_n^{a_i} = 0$ and there exists a subset S of $\{1,\ldots,k\}$ such that

$$a_0 + \sum_{i \in S} a_i \zeta_n^{a_i} = 0,$$

but no subsum of the left-hand side vanishes. It follows from the result of Mann on sums of roots of unity ([6], Theorem 1, see also [7], Lemma 2) that $q = n/(n, \text{g.c.d. } a_i)$ is square-free and is composed entirely of primes $\leq k+1$. Hence n is of the form qd, where $q \mid \prod_{p \leq k+1} p$ and $d \mid a_i$ for an $i \in S$. The number of pairs $\langle q, d \rangle$ satisfying these conditions is less than $2^{k+1} \sum_{i \in S} d(a_i)$, where d(m) is the divisor function. Thus we get

$$\omega(f) - \omega_1(f) < 2^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k d(a_i).$$

However, $d(m) \ll m^{1/4}$, hence

$$\omega(f) - \omega_1(f) \leqslant 2^{k+1} \sum_{i=1}^k a_i^{1/4} \leqslant 2^{k+1} k |f|^{1/4} \leqslant |f|^{1/4} \exp \exp A^2.$$

If $|f|^{1/4} > 2A \exp \exp A^2$ we get

$$\omega(f) - \omega_1(f) < \frac{|f|^{1/2}}{2A} \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{|f|\log \|f\|}}{A}$$

which together with (22) proves (6).

In order to prove (7) and (8) let us observe that the multiplicity m of an irreducible non-cyclotomic factor of f does not exceed $\Omega_1(f)$. Hence by (4) with $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$

$$m \leqslant \Omega_1(f) \ll \sqrt{|f| \log ||f||}$$
.

It remains to show in the notation of Lemma 1 that for every n

(23)
$$\varepsilon_n \ll \sqrt{|f| \log ||f||} = B_1(f)$$

and

(24)
$$\sum \varepsilon_n \ll \sqrt{|f| \log \|f\| \log r} \log \log r = B_2(f), \quad r = \max(3, |f|/\log \|f\|).$$
 We set

$$l = \log r$$

and in order to prove (23) we distinguish three cases:

(i)
$$\varphi(n) > \sqrt{r}$$
,

(ii)
$$\varphi(n) \leqslant \sqrt{r}$$
 and $\varepsilon_n \leqslant c \log ||f||$,

(iii)
$$\varphi(n) \leqslant \sqrt{r}$$
 and $\varepsilon_n > c \log ||f||$

(e is the constant of Lemma 2). The obvious inequality

(25)
$$\sum \varepsilon_n \varphi(n) \leqslant |f|$$

gives in case (i) $\varepsilon_n \leqslant |f|/\sqrt{r} \leqslant B_1(f)$.

In case (ii) we get $\varepsilon_n \leqslant c\sqrt{r}\log ||f|| \leqslant B_1(f)$.

Finally, in case (iii) we have by Lemma 2 and (25)

$$\frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\omega(n) + 1} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} \ll l |f| \log \|f\|$$

and, since $\frac{\varphi(n)}{\omega(n)+1} \geqslant \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\left(\frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|}\right)^2 \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} \leqslant rl,$$

where the right-hand side is at least $c \log c > 1$, because by (iii)

$$|f| \geqslant \varepsilon_n \geqslant c \log ||f||$$
.

The function $x \log x$ is increasing for x > 1; hence $x^2 \log x < y$ implies $x < 2\sqrt{y/\log y}$ for y > 1 and we get

$$\frac{arepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} \ll \sqrt{\frac{rl}{\log rl}} \ll \sqrt{r}, \quad arepsilon_n \ll \sqrt{r} \log \|f\| \leqslant B_1(f).$$

The proof of (23) and thus of (7) is complete.

In order to prove (24) we observe that if $r/l \leq 9$, then

$$|f| \leq 3\sqrt{|f| \log ||f|| l}$$

and (24) follows from (25). Thus we assume that

$$(26) r/l > 9,$$

and decompose the sum $\sum \varepsilon_n$ into three sums

(27)
$$\sum \varepsilon_n = \Sigma_1 \varepsilon_n + \Sigma_2 \varepsilon_n + \Sigma_3 \varepsilon_n,$$

where Σ_1 is over n such that

$$\varphi(n) > \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}};$$

 Σ_2 is over n such that

$$\varphi(n) \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon_n \leqslant c \frac{\log \|f\|}{\varphi(n)} \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}},$$

 Σ_3 is over n such that

$$\varphi(n) \leqslant \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \quad \text{ and } \quad \varepsilon_n > c \frac{\log \|f\|}{\varphi(n)} \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}}.$$

By (25)

(28)
$$\Sigma_1 \varepsilon_n < |f|/\sqrt{rl^{-1}} = B_2(f)(\log l)^{-1} \ll B_2(f).$$

For the sum $\Sigma_2 \varepsilon_n$ we get the estimate

$$\mathcal{L}_2 \, arepsilon_n \leqslant c \log \|f\| \, \sqrt{rac{r}{l}} \, B \Big(\sqrt{rac{r}{l}} \Big);$$

hence by Lemma 3

$$(29) \qquad \mathcal{L}_2 \varepsilon_n \leqslant c \log \|f\| \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \log \frac{r}{l} \leqslant \log \|f\| \sqrt{rl} = B_2(f) (\log l)^{-1} \leqslant B_2(f).$$

To estimate $\Sigma_3 \varepsilon_n$ we use Lemma 2. We have

$$\varepsilon_n \geqslant c \frac{\log \|f\|}{\varphi(n)} \sqrt{\frac{r}{l}} \geqslant c \log \|f\|;$$

hence

$$\Sigma_3 \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\omega(n) + 1} \log \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\log \|f\|} < l |f| \log \|f\|,$$

and

$$\Sigma_3 \frac{\varepsilon_n^2 \varphi(n)}{\omega(n) + 1} \log \frac{c}{\varphi(n)} \sqrt{r/l} \leqslant l |f| \log ||f||.$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4 with $x = \sqrt{r/l} \geqslant 3$

$$\Sigma_3 \frac{\omega(n) + 1}{\varphi(n) \log \left(\frac{c}{\varphi(n)} \sqrt{r/l}\right)} \ll (\log \log \sqrt{r/l})^2 \ll (\log l)^2.$$

By the Schwarz inequality

$$\Sigma_3 \varepsilon_n \leqslant \sqrt{l |f| \log ||f||} \log l = B_2(f),$$

which together with (27)-(29) proves (24), and hence (8).

Proof of the Corollary. If $|f|/\log ||f|| < 3$ we have $\Omega(f) \le |f| \le \sqrt{3|f|\log ||f||}$. If $|f|/\log ||f|| \ge 3$, we have for every ε

$$\sqrt{\log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}} \log \log \frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|} = O\left(\left(\frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}\right)^{s}\right);$$

hence

$$\varOmega(f) = \sqrt{|f| \log \|f\|} O\left(\left(\frac{|f|}{\log \|f\|}\right)^{\epsilon}\right) = O\left(|f|^{1/2 + \epsilon} (\log \|f\|)^{1/2 - \epsilon}\right).$$

Note added in proof. Taking in the proof of Theorem 1 $\varepsilon = \frac{1}{2}$, $A = \log \log |f|$ and in the proof of (6) $A = \frac{1}{2} \log \log |f|$ we get a quantitative version of (6)

$$\omega(f) \ll \sqrt{\frac{|f|\log ||f||}{\log \log |f|}} \; .$$

It seems likely that $\log \log |f|$ can be replaced here by $\log |f|$.

References

- [1] E. Dobrowolski, On a question of Lehmer and the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial, Acta Arith. 34 (1979), p. 391-401.
- [2] P. Erdös, Some remarks on Euler's φ-function and some related problems, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 51 (1945), p. 540-544.
- [3] P. Erdös and P. Turán, On the distribution of roots of polynomials, Ann. of Math. (2) 51 (1950), p. 105-119.
- [4] E. Landau, Sur quelques théorèmes de M. Petrovich relatifs aux zéros des fonctions analytiques, Bull. Soc. Math. de France 33 (1905), p. 1-11.
- [5] -, Handbuch der Lehre von der Verteilung der Primzahlen, reprint New York 1953.
- [6] H. B. Mann, On linear relations between roots of unity, Mathematika 12 (1968), p. 107-117.

- [7] H. L. Montgomery and A. Schinzel, Some arithmetic properties of polynomials in several variables, pp. 195-203 in Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications, London-New York-San Francisco 1977.
- [8] K. Prachar, Primzahlverteilung, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1957.
- [9] A. Schinzel, On the number of irreducible factors of a polynomial, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 13 (1976), p. 305-314.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 10.03.1981