

On the continuous solutions of a non-linear functional equation of the first order

by Karol BARON (Katowice)

Abstract. Some theorems about the existence, uniqueness and properties of the continuous solution of the functional equation

$$\varphi(x) = h(x, \varphi[f(x)]),$$

where φ is the unknown function, are given, under the hypothesis of the existence of semicontinuous solutions of the functional inequalities

$$\varphi(x) \leq h(x, \varphi[f(x)])$$

and

$$h(x, \varphi[f(x)]) \leq \varphi(x).$$

In the present paper we are concerned with the existence, uniqueness and some properties of the continuous solutions of the functional equation

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = h(x, \varphi[f(x)]),$$

where φ is the unknown function.

We assume that

(i) The real function f is defined and continuous in an interval I and, for a $\xi \in I$, it fulfils the inequalities

$$0 < \frac{f(x) - \xi}{x - \xi} < 1 \quad \text{for } x \in I, x \neq \xi;$$

(ii) h is a real function defined and continuous in a set $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ containing the point (ξ, η) , where η is a solution of the equation

$$(2) \quad \eta = h(\xi, \eta).$$

Moreover, in a neighbourhood of (ξ, η) h fulfils a Lipschitz condition with respect to the second variable

$$|h(x, y_1) - h(x, y_2)| \leq \gamma(x) |y_1 - y_2|, \quad (x, y_i) \in U \cap \Omega; \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where

$$U = U_1 \times U_2, \quad U_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}: |x - \xi| \leq c\}, \quad U_2 = \{y \in \mathbb{R}: |y - \eta| \leq d\}$$

and c, d are positive numbers.

For a subinterval J of I we denote by $\Phi(J)$ the class of all real functions φ defined on J and fulfilling the condition $\varphi[f(x)] \in \Omega_x$ for $x \in J$, where Ω_x denotes the x -section of Ω :

$$\Omega_x = \{y \in R: (x, y) \in \Omega\},$$

(cf. [2], p. 68).

Our next assumptions read as follows:

(iii) The functional inequality

$$(3) \quad \varphi(x) \leq h(x, \varphi[f(x)]),$$

has in the class $\Phi(I)$ a lower semicontinuous solution φ_1^* , whereas the inequality

$$(4) \quad h(x, \varphi[f(x)]) \leq \varphi(x),$$

has in this class an upper semicontinuous solution φ_2^* . Furthermore $\varphi_1^*(x) \leq \varphi_2^*(x)$ for $x \in I$;

(iv) For every fixed $x \in I$ the set Ω_x is an interval, $h(f(x), \Omega_{f(x)}) \subset \Omega_x$ and h is an increasing function with respect to the second variable in the interval $I(x) = \langle \varphi_1^*[f(x)], \varphi_2^*[f(x)] \rangle$.

Put

$$(5) \quad G_n(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} \gamma[f^i(x)], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We have the following

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that hypotheses (i)–(iv) are fulfilled. If equation (2) has in the interval $I(\xi)$ exactly one solution η and the sequence $\{G_n\}$ defined by (5) is bounded in a vicinity of the point ξ :*

$$(6) \quad G_n(x) \leq M(x), \quad x \in I \cap \langle \xi - \delta, \xi + \delta \rangle, \quad x \neq \xi; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

then equation (1) has exactly one solution $\varphi \in \Phi(I)$ continuous at the point ξ and such that $\varphi(\xi) = \eta$. This solution is a continuous function, fulfils the condition

$$(7) \quad \varphi_1^*(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq \varphi_2^*(x), \quad x \in I,$$

and is given by the formula

$$(8) \quad \varphi(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_n(x),$$

where

$$\varphi_{n+1}(x) = h(x, \varphi_n[f(x)]), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and φ_0 is an arbitrary function belonging to $\Phi(I)$, continuous at the point ξ , and such that $\varphi_0(\xi) = \eta$.

Proof. Let Φ_1 be the class of all lower semicontinuous functions φ belonging to $\Phi(I_0)$ and fulfilling the condition

$$(9) \quad \varphi_1^*(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq \varphi_2^*(x); \quad x \in I_0,$$

where $I_0 = U_1 \cap \langle \xi - \delta, \xi + \delta \rangle \cap I$; and similarly, let Φ_2 be the class of all upper semicontinuous functions φ belonging to $\Phi(I_0)$ and fulfilling condition (9). Put

$$(10) \quad \varphi_{i,0}(x) = \varphi_i^*(x), \quad \varphi_{i,n+1}(x) = h(x, \varphi_{i,n}[f(x)]), \\ x \in I_0; \quad i = 1, 2; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows from the continuity of the functions f and h and from hypotheses (i), (iii) and (iv) that

$$(11) \quad \varphi_{i,n} \in \Phi_i, \quad i = 1, 2; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(12) \quad \varphi_{1,n}(x) \leq \varphi_{1,n+1}(x), \quad \varphi_{2,n+1}(x) \leq \varphi_{2,n}(x), \quad x \in I_0; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence the sequences $\{\varphi_{i,n}\}$, $i = 1, 2$, are convergent. Let

$$(13) \quad \varphi_i(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{i,n}(x), \quad x \in I_0; \quad i = 1, 2.$$

On account of (11), (12) and (13)

$$(14) \quad \varphi_i \in \Phi_i, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Moreover, by (10) and (13) we have

$$(15) \quad \varphi_i(x) = h(x, \varphi_i[f(x)]), \quad x \in I_0; \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Putting $x = \xi$ in (15) and making use of the facts that $f(\xi) = \xi$ and that η is the unique solution of equation (2) in $I(\xi)$, we obtain

$$(16) \quad \varphi_i(\xi) = \eta, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We shall show that

$$(17) \quad \limsup_{x \rightarrow \xi} \varphi_1(x) \leq \eta, \quad \liminf_{x \rightarrow \xi} \varphi_2(x) \geq \eta.$$

Indeed, write $\limsup_{x \rightarrow \xi} \varphi_1(x) = a_0$. Evidently $a_0 \in I(\xi)$. There exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$, $x_n \in I_0$, such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \xi$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_1(x_n) = a_0$. From the sequence $\{\varphi_1[f(x_n)]\}$ we can choose a convergent subsequence $\{\varphi_1[f(x_{n_k})]\}$. Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_k}) = \xi$, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_1[f(x_{n_k})] = b \leq a_0$, $b \in I(\xi)$. Hence and from (15) we obtain $a_0 = h(\xi, b) \leq h(\xi, a_0)$. Let $a_{n+1} = h(\xi, a_n)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The sequence $\{a_n\}$ is increasing and bounded from above ($a_n \in I(\xi)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), and so it is convergent. Its limit belongs to $I(\xi)$ and fulfils equation (2) and hence it must be equal to η . By the monotonicity

of $\{a_n\}$ we have $a_0 \leq \eta$. Similarly we can prove the second inequality in (17). Thus in view of (14), (16) and (17) we have the continuity of φ_i , for $i = 1, 2$, at the point ξ . A similar argument as in proof of Theorem 1 in [1] shows that

$$\varphi_1(x) = \varphi_2(x), \quad x \in I_0, \quad x \neq \xi.$$

Hence and from (16) $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \bar{\varphi}$. By (14) $\bar{\varphi} \in \Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$ turns out to be a continuous solution of equation (1) in I_0 . This solution can be uniquely extended onto the whole interval I and the extension φ is continuous ([2], p. 70, Theorem 3.2). We shall show that φ fulfils (7). For the indirect proof suppose that there is an $x_0 \in I$ such that the condition

$$\varphi_1^*(x_0) \leq \varphi(x_0) \leq \varphi_2^*(x_0)$$

is not fulfilled. Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(x_0) = \xi$, there is a non-negative integer n such that the condition

$$\varphi_1^*[f^n(x_0)] \leq \varphi[f^n(x_0)] \leq \varphi_2^*[f^n(x_0)]$$

is not fulfilled, whereas the condition

$$\varphi_1^*[f^{n+1}(x_0)] \leq \varphi[f^{n+1}(x_0)] \leq \varphi_2^*[f^{n+1}(x_0)]$$

is fulfilled. Hence, and from hypotheses (iii) and (iv) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1^*[f^n(x_0)] &\leq h(f^n(x_0), \varphi_1^*[f^{n+1}(x_0)]) \leq h(f^n(x_0), \varphi[f^{n+1}(x_0)]) \\ &\leq h(f^n(x_0), \varphi_2^*[f^{n+1}(x_0)]) \leq \varphi_2^*[f^n(x_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (1) contradicts our assumption. Recalling the proofs of Theorems 2 and 1 in [1] and the fact that $\varphi(\xi) = \varphi_n(\xi) = \eta$ for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, we get formula (8) and the required uniqueness, respectively.

The next two theorems say something about the properties of the solutions obtained.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. If f is increasing and h is increasing with respect to each variable in the set $\bigcup \{\{x\} \times I(x) : x \in I\}$ and, moreover, φ_1^* or φ_2^* is increasing, then the solution obtained in Theorem 1 is an increasing function.*

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. If f is increasing and convex and h is increasing with respect to each variable and convex in the set $\bigcup \{\{x\} \times I(x) : x \in I\}$, whereas φ_1^* is increasing and convex and φ_2^* is decreasing and concave, then the solution obtained in Theorem 1 is an increasing and convex function.*

In fact, in the case of Theorem 2, for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\varphi_{1,n}$ defined by (10) is an increasing function or for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\varphi_{2,n}$ defined by (10) is an increasing function. In the case of Theorem 3, for every $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $\varphi_{1,n}$ is an increasing and convex function. Fur-

thermore, the extension of an increasing solution is increasing and the extension of an increasing and convex solution is increasing and convex (cf. [4]).

Now we shall show that the above theorems imply the following results.

THEOREM 4. *Let hypotheses (i), (ii) and condition (6) be fulfilled and suppose that Ω is an open set ⁽¹⁾. If, for every fixed $x \in U_1$, h is an increasing function with respect to the second variable in U_2 and*

$$(18) \quad |h(\xi, y) - \eta| < |y - \eta|, \quad y \in U_2, \quad y \neq \eta,$$

then in a neighbourhood of ξ there exists a unique solution φ of equation (1) which is continuous at ξ and such that $\varphi(\xi) = \eta$. This solution is a continuous function.

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 4 are fulfilled. If f is increasing [and convex] in I and h is increasing with respect to each variable [and convex] in $U \cap \Omega$, then the solution obtained in Theorem 4 is an increasing [and convex] function.*

Indeed, it follows from (18) and from the continuity of h that there exist positive numbers c_0, d_0 such that $I_0 \subset U_1 \cap I$, $I_0 \times \langle \eta - d_0, \eta + d_0 \rangle \subset U \cap \Omega$ and $|h(x, y) - \eta| \leq d_0$ for $(x, y) \in I_0 \times \langle \eta - d_0, \eta + d_0 \rangle$, where $I_0 = \langle \xi, \xi + c_0 \rangle$ if ξ is the left endpoint of the interval I , $I_0 = \langle \xi - c_0, \xi + c_0 \rangle$ if ξ is an interior point of I , and $I_0 = \langle \xi - c_0, \xi \rangle$ if ξ is the right endpoint of I . Hence, the functions φ_i^* , $i = 1, 2$, defined by

$$\varphi_1^*(x) = \eta - d_0, \quad \varphi_2^*(x) = \eta + d_0; \quad x \in I_0$$

are continuous solutions of inequalities (3) and (4), respectively, and η is the unique solution of equation (2) in the interval $\langle \eta - d_0, \eta + d_0 \rangle$.

References

- [1] D. Czaja-Pośpiech and M. Kuczma, *Continuous solutions of some functional equations in the indeterminate case*, Ann. Polon. Math. 24 (1970), p. 9–20.
- [2] M. Kuczma, *Functional equations in a single variable*, Monografie Mat. 46, Warszawa 1968.
- [3] — *Special solutions of a functional equation*, Ann. Polon. Math. 27(1972), p. 29–32.
- [4] J. Matkowski, *On some properties of solutions of a functional equation*, Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach, Prace Mat. 1 (1969), p. 79–82.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20. 1. 1972

⁽¹⁾ Instead of this assumption we may require that Ω should contain a rectangle Q (possibly closed) such that $(\xi, \eta) \in Q$ and $(x, h(x, y)) \in Q$ for $(x, y) \in Q$ (cf. [3]).