

On the equivalence of Hille's and Robinson's functional equations

by HIROSHI HARUKI (Waterloo, Canada)

Abstract. This paper gives a proof of the fact that Hille's functional equation is equivalent to Robinson's functional equation.

1. Hille [1] solved the following functional equation:

$$(1) \quad |f(s+it)|^2 = |f(s)|^2 + |f(it)|^2,$$

where $f = f(z)$ is an entire function of a complex variable z and s, t are real variables.

Robinson [4] solved the following functional equation:

$$(2) \quad |f(s+it)| = |f(s) + f(it)|,$$

where $f = f(z)$ is an entire function of z .

The purpose of this note is to prove that (1) is equivalent to (2). To this end we introduce the function g defined as

$$(3) \quad g(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}.$$

We see that g is an entire function since f is an entire function.

2. Proof that (1) implies (2).

By (1) we have

$$(4) \quad |f(s+it)|^2 = f(s+it)\overline{f(s+it)} = f(s)\overline{f(s)} + f(it)\overline{f(it)}.$$

By (3), (4) we have

$$(5) \quad f(s+it)g(s-it) = f(s)g(s) + f(it)g(-it).$$

By (5) and by the Identity Theorem we have for all complex x, y

$$(6) \quad f(x+y)g(x-y) = f(x)g(x) + f(y)g(-y).$$

Putting $y = -x$ in (6) and using the fact that $f(0) = 0$ (which follows from (1)) yields

$$(7) \quad (f(x) + f(-x))g(x) = 0.$$

We may assume that $f \neq 0$. Then, by (3), we also have $g \neq 0$. Since the ring of entire functions has no divisors of zero, we see by (7) that f is an odd function.

Hence, by (1), we have

$$(8) \quad |f(s-it)|^2 = |f(s)|^2 + |f(-it)|^2 = |f(s)|^2 + |f(it)|^2.$$

By (1), (3), (8) we have for all complex z

$$(9) \quad |f(z)| = |g(z)|.$$

Since f, g are entire functions, by (9) and by the Maximum Modulus Principle we see that for all complex z

$$(10) \quad g(z) = Cf(z),$$

where C is a complex constant of modulus 1.

By (3), (10), in view of the fact that f , and consequently by (3) also g , is an odd function we have

$$(11) \quad \overline{f(s)} = g(s) = Cf(s),$$

$$(12) \quad \overline{f(it)} = g(-it) = -g(it) = -Cf(it).$$

By (4), (11), (12) we have

$$(13) \quad |f(s+it)|^2 = C(f(s)^2 - f(it)^2),$$

and

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(s)+f(it)|^2 &= (f(s)+f(it))\overline{(f(s)+f(it))} \\ &= (f(s)+f(it))(Cf(s)-Cf(it)) \\ &= C(f(s)^2 - f(it)^2). \end{aligned}$$

By (13), (14) we have (2).

3. Proof that (2) implies (1).

Squaring both sides of (2) and using (3) yields

$$(15) \quad f(s+it)g(s-it) = (f(s)+f(it))(g(s)+g(-it)).$$

By (15) and by the Identity Theorem we have for all complex x, y

$$(16) \quad f(x+y)g(x-y) = (f(x)+f(y))(g(x)+g(-y)).$$

Putting $y = -x$ in (16) and using the fact that $f(0) = 0$, which follows from (2), we get (7), hence we see that f is an odd function, provided $f \neq 0$.

Thus, by (16), we have

$$(17) \quad f(x+y)g(x-y) = (f(x)+f(y))(g(x)-g(y)).$$

Differentiating both sides of (17) with respect to y , putting $y = x$ and noting that $g(0) = 0$, we obtain

$$(18) \quad g'(0)f(2x) = 2f(x)g'(x).$$

Differentiating both sides of (17) with respect to y , putting $y = -x$ and using the facts that $f(0) = 0$ and, the function f being odd, so is g , by (3), and the function f' is even we get

$$(19) \quad f'(0)g(2x) = 2f'(x)g(x).$$

Differentiating both sides of (17) with respect to y and putting $y = 0$ gives

$$(20) \quad f'(x)g(x) - f(x)g'(x) = f'(0)g(x) - g'(0)f(x).$$

By (18), (19), (20) we have

$$(21) \quad f'(0)g(2x) - g'(0)f(2x) = 2\{f'(0)g(x) - g'(0)f(x)\}.$$

Since $C(x) = f'(0)g(x) - g'(0)f(x)$ is an entire function of x and, by (21), satisfies, for all complex x , the functional equation $C(2x) = 2C(x)$, we have $C(x) = Kx$ [2, 3], where K is a complex constant, i. e.,

$$(22) \quad f'(0)g(x) - g'(0)f(x) = Kx.$$

Differentiating both sides of (22) with respect to x and putting $x = 0$ yields $K = 0$.

Hence

$$(23) \quad f'(0)g(x) - g'(0)f(x) = 0.$$

But (3) yields $g'(0) = \overline{f'(0)}$ and so

$$(24) \quad |g'(0)| = |f'(0)|.$$

We shall prove that

$$(25) \quad f'(0) \neq 0.$$

Assume the contrary. Then, by (19), we have for all complex x

$$(26) \quad f'(x)g(x) = 0.$$

Since the ring of entire functions has no divisors of zero, by (26) either f is a complex constant and so, by (2), $f \equiv 0$ or $g \equiv 0$ and so, by (3), $f \equiv 0$. This is contrary to our assumption that $f \not\equiv 0$. Consequently (25) holds.

By (3), (23), (24), (25) we have for all complex z

$$(27) \quad |f(\bar{z})| = |g(z)| = |f(z)|.$$

By (2), the oddness of f and the Parallelogram Law we have

(28)

$$|f(s+it)|^2 + |f(s-it)|^2 = |f(s)+f(it)|^2 + |f(s)-f(it)|^2 = 2|f(s)|^2 + 2|f(it)|^2,$$

where s, t are real variables.

By (27), (28) we have (1).

The author wishes to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] E. Hille, *A Pythagorean functional equation*, Ann. Math. 24 (1923), p. 175–180.
- [2] G. Koenigs, *Recherches sur les intégrales de certaines équations fonctionnelles*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3) 1 (1884), Supplément, p. 3–41.
- [3] M. Kuczma, *Functional equations in a single variable*, Monografie Matematyczne Tom 46, Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw 1968, p. 140.
- [4] R. M. Robinson, *A curious trigonometric identity*, Amer. Math. Monthly 64 (1957), p. 83–85.

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO
WATERLOO, ONTARIO
CANADA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 4. 9. 1971
