

On d -characteristic and $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic of linear operators

by D. PRZEWORSKA-ROLEWICZ and S. ROLEWICZ (Warszawa)

Le travail a été adressé au tome XVI des A.P.M. publié à la mémoire de Witold Pogorzelski

Let X be a linear space over complex or real scalars and let A be a linear operator transforming X into itself. Let

$$Z_A = \{x: Ax = 0\}, \quad R_A = \{y: y = Ax\}.$$

The pair of numbers (α_A, β_A) where $\alpha_A = \dim Z_A$, $\beta_A = \dim X/R_A$ (by X/R_A we denote the quotient space) is called a d -characteristic. If both numbers are finite, we say that the operator A has a *finite* d -characteristic. The number $\kappa_A = \beta_A - \alpha_A$ (well determined if at least one of the numbers α_A and β_A is finite) is called an *index* of the operator A ([2], [3], [4]). There is also another concept of dimensional characteristic of linear operator. We consider simultaneously with the space X some space \mathcal{E} of linear functionals ξ defined on X . We shall assume that \mathcal{E} is total, i.e. if $\xi x = 0$ for all $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$, then $x = 0$. By $Z_A^{\mathcal{E}}$ we denote the set

$$Z_A^{\mathcal{E}} = \{\xi \in \mathcal{E}: \xi Ax = 0 \text{ for all } x \in X\}.$$

The pair of numbers $(\alpha_A, \beta_A^{\mathcal{E}})$, where $\beta_A^{\mathcal{E}} = \dim Z_A^{\mathcal{E}}$ is called a $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic. The number $\kappa_A^{\mathcal{E}} = \beta_A^{\mathcal{E}} - \alpha_A$ is called a \mathcal{E} -index of A . Obviously $\beta_A^{\mathcal{E}} \leq \beta_A$ and $\kappa_A^{\mathcal{E}} \leq \kappa_A$.

In this note connections between d -characteristic and $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic are considered.

If $\mathcal{E} = X$, i.e. \mathcal{E} is equal to the space of all linear functionals, then the $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic of A is equal to its d -characteristic. It is also true in the case where X is a linear topological locally convex space, $\mathcal{E} = X^+$, i.e. \mathcal{E} is equal to the space of all linear continuous functionals, and A is *normally solvable*, i.e. it is a closed operator⁽¹⁾ such that R_A is closed.

⁽¹⁾ Operator A is *closed* if its graph is closed.

Basing themselves on this fact I. C. Gochberg and M. G. Krein [3] have determined d -characteristic for normally solvable operators as $d_{\mathcal{E}^+}$ -characteristic. Obviously, d -characteristic and $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic are not needed equal.

EXAMPLE 1. Let X be a space $C[0, 1]$ of all continuous functions $x = x(t)$ determined on segment $[0, 1]$. Let \mathcal{E} be a space of functionals ξ of type

$$\xi x = \int_0^1 x(t) \xi(t) dt$$

where $\xi(t)$ is a continuous function. It is easy to check that \mathcal{E} is a total space of functionals.

Let $y(t) = A[x(t)] = x(t) - x(0)$. This operator transforms X into itself. The set R_A is a set of functions belonging to $C[0, 1]$ and such that $x(t) \in R_A$ if and only if $x(0) = 0$. This implies that the d -characteristic of A is equal to $(1, 1)$. On the other hand, $\beta_A^{\mathcal{E}} = 0$, because if $\xi y = 0$ for all $y \in R_A$, then $\xi = 0$. Hence the $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic is not equal to the d -characteristic.

In this example, however, the space \mathcal{E} is not preserved by the conjugate operator A^* , i.e. by the operator $A^*(\xi)$ determined by the equality $A^*(\xi)x = \xi(Ax)$. In the following example the space \mathcal{E} is also preserved by the conjugate operator.

EXAMPLE 2. Let $X = C^\infty[0, 1]$ be a space of all infinitely differentiable functions determined on the segment $[0, 1]$. Let \mathcal{E} be a space of functionals ξ if the type

$$\xi x = \int_0^1 x(t) \xi(t) dt$$

where $\xi(t)$ is an infinitely differentiable function such that the function itself and all its derivatives are equal to zero at the point zero. It is easy to prove that \mathcal{E} is a total space. Let A be the following operator:

$$y(t) = A[x(t)] = \int_t^1 x(\tau) d\tau.$$

It is easy to see that R_A is a space of all functions $y(t)$ infinitely differentiable and such that $y(1) = 0$. This implies that the d -characteristic of A is equal to $(0, 1)$. Since, if $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ and $\xi(Ax) = 0$ for all x , then $\xi = 0$, we have $\beta_A^{\mathcal{E}} = 0$ and the $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic is equal to $(0, 0)$.

Further,

$$\xi(Ax) = \int_0^1 \xi(t) \left(\int_t^1 x(\tau) d\tau \right) dt = \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^t \xi(t) dt \right) x(\tau) d\tau;$$

therefore $(\xi A)\tau = \int_0^\tau \xi(t) dt$ and the conjugate operator A^* is invertible and transforms \mathcal{E} onto itself.

Let X be a linear space. Let \mathcal{E} be a total space of functionals. Let A transforming X into itself be such that the conjugate operator A^* transforms \mathcal{E} into \mathcal{E} . From the definition of the $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic it follows that $\alpha_A = \beta_{A^*}^X$ and $\beta_A^{\mathcal{E}} = \alpha_{A^*}$, i.e. that if a pair of numbers (a, b) is the $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic of operator A , then the pair (b, a) is the d_X -characteristic of operator A^* considered in \mathcal{E} .

As it is shown by example 2, this is not true for d -characteristic, because in this case the d -characteristic of A is equal to $(0, 1)$ and the d -characteristic of A^* is equal to $(0, 0)$.

We do not know an example of this kind when X and \mathcal{E} are complete normed spaces and A is continuous.

As we see, for different total spaces \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}_0 the $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic is not needed equal to the $d_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ -characteristic. But the following theorem is true:

THEOREM 1. *Let X be a linear space and let \mathcal{E} be a total space of functionals determined on X . Let T be such an operator transforming X into X that the conjugate operator T^* transforms \mathcal{E} into \mathcal{E} . The $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic of operator $A = I + T$ determined on the space X is equal to the $d_{\mathcal{E}_0}$ -characteristic of the operator A considered only on X_0 , where X_0, \mathcal{E}_0 are arbitrary spaces such that $TX \subset X_0 \subset X$ and $\mathcal{E}T^* \subset \mathcal{E}_0 \subset \mathcal{E}$.*

The proof is trivial. It follows from the fact that each solution of equation $Ax = (I + T)x = 0$ belonging to X belongs to X_0 , and respectively every solution of equation $\xi A = \xi(I + T) = 0$ belonging to \mathcal{E} belongs also to \mathcal{E}_0 .

Now we will apply this theorem to the integral equation

$$(1) \quad x(s) + \int_0^1 T(s, t)x(t) dt = x_0(s),$$

where $T(s, t)$ and $x_0(t)$ are continuous functions, and the given equation is considered in the space $C[0, 1]$ of all continuous functions on segment $[0, 1]$. The operator $Tx = \int_0^1 T(s, t)x(t) dt$ is compact ⁽²⁾ ([1], p. 98), whence the operator $A = I + T$ is normally solvable and the numbers α_A and $\beta_A^{X^+}$ are both finite and equal ([1], p. 151-161). In this case the space X^+ of all continuous linear functionals is the space of functionals ξ of type

$$\xi x = \int_0^1 x(t) d\xi(t)$$

⁽²⁾ An equivalent term is „complete continuous”.

where $\xi(t)$ is a function with bounded variation ([1], p. 59). But

$$\xi Tx = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 T(s, t)x(t) dt d\xi(s) = \int_0^1 x(t) \int_0^1 T(s, t) d\xi(s) dt.$$

Hence the conjugate operator T^* transforms the space of all continuous functionals X^+ into the space \tilde{C} of all functionals η of the type

$$\eta x = \int_0^1 x(t)\eta(t) dt$$

where $\eta(t)$ is a continuous function.

Therefore, applying Theorem 1, we find that the $d_{\tilde{C}}$ -characteristic of $A = I + T$ is equal to the d_{X^+} -characteristic and that the \tilde{C} -index is equal to 0. If we remark that A is normally solvable, we obtain a classical formulation of Fredholm's Alternative ([6], ch. II).

In a similar way we find that if $T(s, t)$ satisfies the Hölder inequality or is k -times differentiable, infinitely differentiable or analytic, then equation (1) satisfies Fredholm's Alternative, if as space X we assume the corresponding space of functions of one variable and as \mathcal{E} we assume the family of functionals

$$\xi x = \int_0^1 \xi(t)x(t) dt$$

where $\xi(t)$ belongs to a corresponding class.

There are also operators T transforming X into X such that the $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic of operator $A = I + T$ is equal to the d -characteristic for each \mathcal{E} . Indeed, this occurs if T is a finite dimensional operator, i.e.

$$T = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \xi_i(x), \quad \text{where } x_i \in X \text{ and } \xi_i \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The proof is the same as the proof for an integral equation with a degenerate kernel ([6], p. 61-64). This implies

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a Banach space. Let T be a compact operator mapping X into X , approximable by finite dimensional operators. Let \mathcal{E} be an arbitrary total space of continuous functionals determined on X and preserved by a conjugate operator T^* . Then the $d_{\mathcal{E}}$ -characteristic is equal to the d -characteristic for every \mathcal{E} .*

The proof is the same as the classical proof of Fredholm's Alternative based on the approximation of continuous kernels by degenerate kernels ([5], p. 33-38). These considerations are the same for all \mathcal{E} .

Unfortunately we do not know whether it is possible to approximate compact operators by finite dimensional operators in every Banach space X . It is possible if in the space X there is a basis. But we do

not know whether there is a basis in every separable Banach space ([1], p. 111).

As an application of Theorem 2 we will consider the integral equation

$$(2) \quad x(t) + \int_0^1 K(t, s)x(s)ds = y(t)$$

where $x(t)$ and $y(t)$ are continuous functions on segment $[0, 1]$ and $K(t, s) = k(t, s)K_0(t-s)$ where $k(t, s)$ is continuous and $K_0(u)$ is a non-negative, summable and even function. It is easy to check that the transformation

$$Kx = \int_0^1 K(t, s)x(s)ds$$

is compact in the space $C[0, 1]$ of continuous functions defined on segment $[0, 1]$.

Basing ourselves on the Theorem 2 we can formulate Fredholm's Alternative for equation (2), where the conjugate equation

$$x(t) + \int_0^1 K(s, t)x(s)ds = y(t)$$

is considered also in the space of continuous functions.

In the particular case $K_0(u) = 1/|u|^\alpha$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) we obtain Fredholm's Alternative for a weakly singular equation without using the method of iteration.

References

- [1] S. Banach, *Théorie des opérations linéaires*, Warszawa-Lwów, 1932.
- [2] A. Buraczewski, *The determinant theory of generalized Fredholm operators*, *Studia Math.* 22 (1963), pp. 266-307.
- [3] И. Ц. Гохберг, М. Г. Крейн, *Основные положения о дефектных числах и индексах линейных операторов*, *Усп. Мат. Наук* 12 (1957), pp. 43-118.
- [4] Т. Kato, *Perturbation theory for nullity, deficiency and other quantities of linear operators*, *J. Annal. Math.* 6 (1958), pp. 261-322.
- [5] И. Г. Петровский, *Лекции по теории интегральных уравнений*, Москва-Ленинград 1948.
- [6] W. Pogorzelski, *Równania oalkowe i ich zastosowania*, t. I, Warszawa 1953.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYCZNY POLSKIEJ AKADEMII NAUK
MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES

Reçu par la Rédaction le 30. 8. 1963