

Distributional solutions in information theory, II

by A. KAMIŃSKI (Katowice) and PL. KANNAPPAN (Waterloo, Ontario)

Abstract. The measure generalized directed divergence is characterized with the help of a functional equation, which is solved by means of distributional methods.

1. Let $P = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $Q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$, $R = (r_1, \dots, r_n)$, $p_i, q_i, r_i \geq 0$, $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = \sum_{i=1}^n q_i = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i = 1$, be three discrete probability distributions. Then the measure generalized directed divergence (see [1], [5]–[7]) is given by

$$(1) \quad D_n(P||Q|R) = D_n \left(\begin{matrix} p_1, \dots, p_n \\ q_1, \dots, q_n \\ r_1, \dots, r_n \end{matrix} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log \frac{q_i}{r_i},$$

where the logarithm base is 2.

In this paper we characterize (1) by a functional equation involving distributions, using a method similar to given in [2]. For similar characterizations of Shannon's entropy, directed divergence and inaccuracy we refer to [3] and [4].

POSTULATES. Let $K_n(P||Q|R)$ be a system of functions defined for $n \geq 2$ and satisfying the following axioms:

(i) $K_n(P||Q|R)$ is symmetric in $\begin{pmatrix} p_i \\ q_i \\ r_i \end{pmatrix}$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$),

(ii) K_n is continuous,

(iii) $K_n \begin{pmatrix} p_1, \dots, p_n \\ q_1, \dots, q_n \\ r_1, \dots, r_n \end{pmatrix} = K_{n-1} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 + p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n \\ q_1 + q_2, q_3, \dots, q_n \\ r_1 + r_2, r_3, \dots, r_n \end{pmatrix} +$

$$+ (p_1 + p_2) K_2 \left(\begin{matrix} \frac{p_1}{p_1 + p_2}, \frac{p_2}{p_1 + p_2} \\ \frac{q_1}{q_1 + q_2}, \frac{q_2}{q_1 + q_2} \\ \frac{r_1}{r_1 + r_2}, \frac{r_2}{r_1 + r_2} \end{matrix} \right),$$

whenever $p_1 + p_2, q_1 + q_2, r_1 + r_2 > 0$.

THEOREM. *If the functions K_n satisfy conditions (i)–(iii), then*

$$(2) \quad K_n(P||Q|R) = a \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log p_i + b \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log q_i + c \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \log r_i,$$

where a, b, c are arbitrary constants.

Remark. As in [4], it can be shown that finding K_n in (2) is equivalent to solving the functional equation

$$(3) \quad L \begin{pmatrix} x, y, z \\ u, v, w \\ p, q, r \end{pmatrix} = L \begin{pmatrix} x+y, 0, z \\ u+v, 0, w \\ p+q, 0, r \end{pmatrix} + L \begin{pmatrix} x, y, 0 \\ u, v, 0 \\ p, q, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with L symmetric and positively homogeneous of order 1 with respect to x, y, z and of order 0 with respect to u, v, w and p, q, r , where

$$(4) \quad L \begin{pmatrix} x, y, z \\ u, v, w \\ p, q, r \end{pmatrix} = (x+y+z) K_3 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x}{x+y+z}, \frac{y}{x+y+z}, \frac{z}{x+y+z} \\ \frac{u}{u+v+w}, \frac{v}{u+v+w}, \frac{w}{u+v+w} \\ \frac{p}{p+q+r}, \frac{q}{p+q+r}, \frac{r}{p+q+r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further, instead of solving the functional equation (3) for three triples $(x, y, z), (u, v, w), (p, q, r)$ of variables, we solve a more general one for a system of n triples.

2. Let D be the domain in \mathbf{R}^{3n} which consists of all points

$$(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} x_1, y_1, z_1 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ x_n, y_n, z_n \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{R}^{3n}$$

such that $x_i, y_i, z_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$) and at least two of the columns

$$x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad y = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{pmatrix}, \quad z = \begin{pmatrix} z_1 \\ \vdots \\ z_n \end{pmatrix}$$

have all elements positive.

Let D^0 be the interior of D , i.e., the set of points $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{R}^{3n}$ for which $x_i, y_i, z_i > 0$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$).

Let

$$K(x, y, z) = K \begin{pmatrix} x_1, y_1, z_1 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ x_n, y_n, z_n \end{pmatrix}$$

be a distribution defined on an open set \mathcal{O} containing D .

The distribution K is said to be *symmetric* on \mathbf{D}^0 , if

$$(5) \quad K(x, y, z) = K(y, x, z) = K(x, z, y)$$

for $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{D}^0$. Note that the remaining symmetry equalities follow from (5).

The distribution K is said to be $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous on \mathbf{D}^0 , if

$$K \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_1 y_1, \lambda_1 z_1 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \lambda_n x_n, \lambda_n y_n, \lambda_n z_n \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_1 K \begin{pmatrix} x_1, y_1, z_1 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ x_n, y_n, z_n \end{pmatrix}$$

for $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n > 0$ and $(x, y, z) \in \mathbf{D}^0$.

The symbols

$$K(x, y, 0) = K \begin{pmatrix} x_1, y_1, 0 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ x_n, y_n, 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad K(x+y, 0, z) = K \begin{pmatrix} x_1+y_1, 0, z_1 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ x_n+y_n, 0, z_n \end{pmatrix}$$

will be meant in the sense of generalized operations on distributions (see [8] and [3]).

THEOREM 2. *If a distribution $K(x, y, z)$, defined in an open set \mathcal{O} containing \mathbf{D} , is symmetric and $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneous in \mathbf{D}^0 and satisfies, in \mathbf{D}^0 , the equation*

$$(6) \quad K(x, y, z) = K(x+y, 0, z) + K(x, y, 0),$$

then it is, in \mathbf{D}^0 , of the form

$$(7) \quad K(x, y, z) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i [(x_1 + y_1 + z_1) \log(x_i + y_i + z_i) - x_1 \log x_i - y_1 \log y_i - z_1 \log z_i],$$

where a_i are constants.

Proof. Let

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = K(1-x, x, 0) = K \begin{pmatrix} 1-x_1, x_1, 0 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ 1-x_n, x_n, 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

for $x_i \in (0, 1)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$).

By the symmetry of K , we get

$$(8) \quad F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = F(1-x_1, \dots, 1-x_n).$$

By the symmetry and $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ -homogeneity of K , we obtain from (6)

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9) \quad K \begin{pmatrix} x_1, y_1, z_1 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ x_n, y_n, z_n \end{pmatrix} &= (x_1 + y_1 + z_1) K \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_1 + y_1}{x_1 + y_1 + z_1}, 0, \frac{z_1}{x_1 + y_1 + z_1} \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \frac{x_n + y_n}{x_n + y_n + z_n}, 0, \frac{z_n}{x_n + y_n + z_n} \end{pmatrix} + \\
 &+ (x_1 + y_1) K \begin{pmatrix} \frac{x_1}{x_1 + y_1}, \frac{y_1}{x_1 + y_1}, 0 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \frac{x_n}{x_n + y_n}, \frac{y_n}{x_n + y_n}, 0 \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= (x_1 + y_1 + z_1) F \left(\frac{z_1}{x_1 + y_1 + z_1}, \dots, \frac{z_n}{x_n + y_n + z_n} \right) + \\
 &+ (x_1 + y_1) F \left(\frac{y_1}{x_1 + y_1}, \dots, \frac{y_n}{x_n + y_n} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

which, by symmetry, results in

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad F(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (1 - x_1) F \left(\frac{u_1}{1 - x_1}, \dots, \frac{u_n}{1 - x_n} \right) \\
 = F(u_1, \dots, u_n) + (1 - u_1) F \left(\frac{x_1}{1 - u_1}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1 - u_n} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $x_i, u_i \in (0, 1)$ with $x_i + u_i < 1$.

We shall prove, by induction, that if F satisfy equation (10), then for every $k = 1, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (11) \quad F(s_1, \dots, s_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-\alpha_i) [s_i \log s_i + (1 - s_i) \log(1 - s_i)] + \\
 &+ A(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n) s_1 + B(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n),
 \end{aligned}$$

where α_i are number constants and $A(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n), B(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n)$ are some distributions of $n - k$ variables (which, in the case $k = n$, also become constants).

Before we prove (11), note that (10) and (11) imply the equality (cf. [2])

$$\begin{aligned}
 (12) \quad A(x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) - A \left(\frac{x_{k+1}}{1 - u_{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{x_n}{1 - u_n} \right) \\
 = B \left(\frac{u_{k+1}}{1 - x_{k+1}}, \dots, \frac{u_n}{1 - x_n} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

By partially differentiating (10), first with respect to x_1 and the resultant with respect to u_1 , applying the substitution

$$(13) \quad s_i = \frac{u_i}{1-x_i}, \quad t_i = \frac{x_i}{1-u_i},$$

we obtain

$$s_1(1-s_1) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s_1^2} F(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \text{const} \quad (s_i \in (0, 1)),$$

so that (cf. [2])

$$F(s_1, \dots, s_n) = -a_1[s_1 \log s_1 + (1-s_1) \log(1-s_1)] + A(s_2, \dots, s_n) \cdot s_1 + B(s_2, \dots, s_n),$$

where a_1 is a constant and $A(s_2, \dots, s_n), B(s_2, \dots, s_n)$ are distributions. This means that (11) is true for $k = 1$.

Now we assume that (11) holds for some k , which implies (12). Differentiating (12) with respect to u_{k+1} and using (13) we obtain

$$-(1-t_{k+1})t_{k+1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{k+1}} A(t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n) = (1-s_{k+1}) \frac{\partial}{\partial s_{k+1}} B(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n)$$

for $t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n, s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n \in (0, 1)$. Hence

$$(14) \quad A(t_{k+1}, \dots, t_n) = -a_{k+1}[\log t_{k+1} - \log(1-t_{k+1})] + A(t_{k+2}, \dots, t_n)$$

and

$$(15) \quad B(s_{k+1}, \dots, s_n) = -a_{k+1} \log(1-s_{k+1}) + B(s_{k+2}, \dots, s_n),$$

where $A(t_{k+2}, \dots, t_n)$ and $B(s_{k+2}, \dots, s_n)$ are some distributions and a_{k+1} is a constant.

Now, (14) and (15) together with (11) lead to

$$F(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-a_i)[s_i \log s_i + (1-s_i) \log(1-s_i)] + A(s_{k+2}, \dots, s_n) \cdot s_1 + B(s_{k+2}, \dots, s_n),$$

which, by induction, proves equation (11) for all $k = 1, \dots, n$.

In particular, for $k = n$ we have

$$(16) \quad F(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-a_i)[s_i \log s_i + (1-s_i) \log(1-s_i)] + A s_1 + B,$$

where A and B are real constants.

By (12), we get $B = A - A = 0$. On the other hand, from (8) and (16) it follows that $A = 0$. Thus

$$F(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n (-a_i)[s_i \log s_i + (1-s_i) \log(1-s_i)].$$

Hence, by (9), we obtain (7), which finishes the proof.

3. Proof of Theorem 1. For $n = 3$, we get the solution of the functional equation (3), which together with (4) and (iii) gives the required form K_n of (2) (cf. [3], [4]).

Note that adopting additionally the postulate of normalization (cf. [7]):

$$(iv) \quad K_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad K_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{3}, \quad K_2 \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \\ \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

we obtain, in (2), $a = 0$, $b = 1$ and $c = -1$, i.e.,

$$K_n(P | Q | R) = D_n(P | Q | R)$$

(the generalized directed divergence).

Remark 2. It is easy to deduce from Theorem 2 also the form of Shannon's entropy, directed divergence and inaccuracy (see [4], [3]).

References

- [1] J. Aczél and P. Nath, *Axiomatic characterizations of some measures of divergence in information*, Z. Wahr. verw. Geb. 21 (1972), p. 215–224.
- [2] A. Kamiński and Pl. Kannappan, *A note on some theorem in information theory*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math., Astr., Phys. 25 (1977), p. 925–928.
- [3] A. Kamiński, Pl. Kannappan and J. Mikusiński, *Distributional solutions in information theory*, I, Ann. Polon. Math. 36 (1979), p. 101–110.
- [4] A. Kamiński and J. Mikusiński, *On the entropy equation*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math., Astr., Phys. 22 (1974), p. 319–323.
- [5] Pl. Kannappan, *On a new characterization of generalized directed divergence*, Proc. 7th Prague Conference, 1974.
- [6] — *On various characterizations of generalized directed divergence* (to appear).
- [7] — and P.N. Rathie, *An axiomatic characterization of generalized directed divergence*, Kybernetika 9 (1973), p. 330–337.
- [8] J. Mikusiński, *Iterated operations on distributions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math., Astr., Phys. 23 (1975), p. 23–25.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE OF THE POLISH ACADEMY OF SCIENCES
SECTION IN KATOWICE, KATOWICE, POLAND
UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO, WATERLOO, ONTARIO, CANADA

Reçu par la Rédaction le 1. 11. 1977