

On a class of differential equations in Banach space

by BOGDAN RZEPECKI (Poznań)

Abstract. In this paper we present some results on the Cauchy problem for the differential equation with lagged argument $x'(t) = f(t, x(g(t)))$ in Banach spaces. We assume that the function f satisfies some regularity conditions expressed in terms of the measure of non-compactness. We prove a theorem on the existence of a solution, a theorem on the existence of an extremal integral and a theorem on the continuous dependence of the extremal integral on initial data. The results extend those of the previous work [11].

In this paper we study the Cauchy problem for the differential equation with lagged argument $x'(t) = f(t, x(g(t)))$ in an infinitely dimensional Banach space. We deal with the problem using a method developed by Ambrosetti [1]. This method is based on the properties of the function $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ introduced by Kuratowski, which is a kind of "measure of non-compactness". The results of this paper extend the results of [11] and [12].

It is well known that neither the continuity, nor even the uniform continuity of the function f , does imply the existence of a solution of the Cauchy problem for the equation $x' = f(t, x)$ in a Banach space. In papers [1], [3], [4], [8] and [14] several existence theorems are proved in the cases where the bounded continuous function f satisfies some regularity conditions expressed in terms of the measure of non-compactness. In particular, an Ambrosetti type condition (termed here assumption (A)) will be used in this paper.

0. Let E be a Banach space and let X be a bounded subset of E . We define $\mathcal{L}(X)$ as the infimum of all $\varepsilon > 0$ such that there exists a finite covering of X by sets of diameter $\leq \varepsilon$ ([9], [10], p. 318). (The number $\mathcal{L}(X)$ is called the *measure of non-compactness of the set X* .) For properties of $\mathcal{L}(\cdot)$ see [1], [6], [7] and [8]. In particular, we have:

- 1° if $A \subset B$, then $\mathcal{L}(A) \leq \mathcal{L}(B)$;
- 2° $\mathcal{L}(A+B) \leq \mathcal{L}(A) + \mathcal{L}(B)$;
- 3° $\mathcal{L}(\text{conv } A) = \mathcal{L}(A) = \mathcal{L}(\bar{A})$;

$$4^\circ \mathcal{L}\left(\bigcup_{0 \leq q \leq h} q \cdot A\right) = h \cdot \mathcal{L}(A);$$

$$5^\circ \mathcal{L}(A) \leq \sup \{\|x\| : x \in A\};$$

6° $\mathcal{L}(A) = 0$ if and only if A is conditionally compact;

7° (theorem of Kuratowski) if $A_{n+1} \subset A_n$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $\mathcal{L}(A_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is a non-empty conditionally compact subset of E .

Denote by $C(J, E)$ the space of all continuous functions from a compact interval J to a Banach space E , with the usual supremum norm $\|\cdot\|$. For $X \subset C(J, E)$ we write $X(t) = \{x(t) : x \in X\}$ and $\int_{t_0}^t X(s) ds = \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t x(s) ds : x \in X \right\}$.

If a subset X of $C(J, E)$ is bounded and all the functions belonging to X are equicontinuous, then:

$$8^\circ \text{(Ambrosetti [1]) } \mathcal{L}(X) = \mathcal{L}\left(\bigcup \{X(t) : t \in J\}\right) = \sup \{\mathcal{L}(X(t)) : t \in J\}.$$

1. Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a Banach space, and let $I_0 = [t_0, t_0 + a]$, $I = [t_0, t_0 + h]$, where $0 < h \leq a$. Assume that $g : I_0 \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$ is a continuous function such that $g(t) \leq t$ for every $t \in I_0$. Let $m = \min \{g(t) : t \in I_0\}$ and let $\varphi : [m, t_0] \rightarrow E$ be a continuous functions. Write

$$J = [m, t_0 + h], \quad B = \{x \in E : \|x - \varphi(t_0)\| \leq K + b\},$$

where $b > 0$ and $K = \sup \{\|\varphi(t) - \varphi(t_0)\| : m \leq t \leq t_0\}$.

Let us consider the Cauchy problem

$$(PC) \quad x'(t) = f(t, x(g(t))), \quad x(t) = \varphi(t) \quad \text{for } m \leq t \leq t_0,$$

where $f : I_0 \times B \rightarrow E$ is a given bounded continuous function, and $M = \sup \{\|f(t, x)\| : (t, x) \in I_0 \times B\}$.

The above assumptions concerning the sets I_0, I, J, E, B and the functions g, φ, f are valid throughout this paper and will not be repeated in formulations of particular theorems.

We introduce the following definitions:

DEFINITION 1. Let ε be a positive number. A continuous function $v : J \rightarrow B$ is said to be an ε -approximate solution of the (PC) problem on the interval J , if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $v(t) = \varphi(t)$ for $m \leq t \leq t_0$;
- (ii) $v(\cdot)$ has the right-hand derivative $D^+v(t)$ for $t_0 \leq t < t_0 + h$, and $v(t) = \varphi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t D^+v(s) ds$ for $t \in I$;
- (iii) $\|D^+v(t) - f(t, v(g(t)))\| \leq \varepsilon$ for $t_0 \leq t < t_0 + h$.

DEFINITION 2. We call an Euler polygonal line for (PC) on J any function $v: J \rightarrow B$ of the form

$$v(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(t) & \text{for } m \leq t \leq t_0, \\ \varphi(t_0) + (t - t_j) \cdot f(t_j, v(g(t_j))) + \\ \quad + \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (t_{j-k} - t_{j-k-1}) \cdot f(t_{j-k-1}, v(g(t_{j-k-1}))) & \\ \quad \text{for } t_j \leq t \leq t_{j+1}, j = 0, 1, \dots, m-1, \end{cases}$$

where $t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = t_0 + h$ is an arbitrary partition of the interval I .

DEFINITION 3. A continuous function $x: J \rightarrow B$ is said to be a solution of the (PC) problem on the interval J , if it is a differentiable function on I such that $x(t) = \varphi(t)$ for $m \leq t \leq t_0$ and $x'(t) = f(t, x(g(t)))$ for $t \in I$.

DEFINITION 4. By an E -solution of the (PC) problem on the interval J we mean a solution of (PC) which is the limit of a uniformly convergent (on J) sequence of Euler polygonal lines which are approximate solutions of this problem on J .

Moreover, we introduce the following assumption (cf. [1], [14]):

(A) There exists a constant $k \geq 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}(f[I_0 \times X]) \leq k \cdot \mathcal{L}(X)$ for every subset X of B .

2. It is known ([2], [3]) that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -approximate solution of the Cauchy problem for the equation $x' = f(t, x)$. In [11] we proved the following theorem:

2.1. THEOREM. Let $h \leq \min(a, (b+K)/M)$ and let the following condition be satisfied:

(*) there exists a compact subset H of B such that $\varphi(t) \in H$ for $m \leq t \leq t_0$, and $\varphi(t_0) + (t - t_0) \cdot \text{conv}(f[I \times H]) \subset H$ for $t \in I$.

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -approximate solution $u_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ of (PC) on J such that $u_\varepsilon(t) \in H$ for every $t \in J$. Moreover, this solution is an Euler polygonal line of the (PC) problem on J .

For every positive integer n let us denote:

by S_n — the set of all $(1/n)$ -approximate solutions of (PC) on the interval J ;

by E_n — the set of all Euler polygonal lines $v \in S_n$ such that $v(t) \in H$ for every $t \in J$, where H is the set from Theorem 2.1.

It is easy to verify that all the functions belonging to S_n are uniformly bounded and equicontinuous. First, we prove

2.2. Let condition (*) of Theorem 2.1 be satisfied. Then:

(a) If $(u_k) \subset S_n$, $u_k[I] \subset H$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) and $\|u_k - u\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$;

then $u(t) = \varphi(t)$ for $m \leq t \leq t_0$ and $\left\| u(t) - \varphi(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t f(s, u(g(s))) ds \right\| = n^{-1} |t - t_0|$ for $t \in I$.

(b) If $u \in \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \overline{E}_n$, then $u(\cdot)$ is an E -solution of (PC) on J .

(c) If $E_n \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \geq 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{L}(E_n) = 0$, then the set of all E -solutions of (PC) defined on J and taking values in the set H is a non-empty compact subset of $C(J, E)$.

Proof. Obviously, $u(t) = \varphi(t)$ for $m \leq t \leq t_0$ and $u(t) \in H$ for $t \in I$. For each t in I we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| u(t) - \varphi(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t f(s, u(g(s))) ds \right\| \\ & \leq \|u_k - u\| + \int_{t_0}^t \|D^+ u_k(s) - f(s, u_k(g(s)))\| ds + \\ & \quad + \int_{t_0}^t \|f(s, u_k(g(s))) - f(s, u(g(s)))\| ds \\ & \leq \|u_k - u\| + n^{-1} |t - t_0| + \int_{t_0}^t \|f(s, u_k(g(s))) - f(s, u(g(s)))\| ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f|_{I \times H}$ is a uniformly continuous function, we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|f(t, u_k(g(t))) - f(t, u(g(t)))\| \simeq 0$ for $t \in I$. Consequently,

$$\left\| u(t) - \varphi(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^t f(s, u(g(s))) ds \right\| \leq n^{-1} |t - t_0| \quad \text{for } t \in I.$$

The proof of (c) is similar to the proof given in [3] (cf. also [4], [15]), by the Kuratowski theorem, and 2.2 (b) can be easily obtained. This completes the proof of our theorem, since (a) implies (b).

Now we prove the following result:

2.3. Let assumption (A) be satisfied, $h \cdot k < 1$, and let $S_n \neq \emptyset$ for each $n \geq 1$. Then $\mathcal{L}(S_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Using the Ambrosetti result we obtain

$$\mathcal{L}(S_n) = \sup \{ \mathcal{L}(S_n(t)) : t \in J \} = \mathcal{L}(\cup \{v[J] : v \in S_n\}).$$

Let us fix t in I . Obviously,

$$S_n(t) \subset \varphi(t_0) + \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t [D^+ v(s) - f(s, v(g(s)))] ds : v \in S_n \right\} + \\ + \left\{ \int_{t_0}^t f(s, v(g(s))) ds : v \in S_n \right\};$$

hence

$$\mathcal{L}(S_n(t)) \leq \mathcal{L}\left(\left\{ \int_{t_0}^t [D^+ v(s) - f(s, v(g(s)))] ds : v \in S_n \right\}\right) + \\ + \mathcal{L}\left(\left\{ \int_{t_0}^t f(s, v(g(s))) ds : v \in S_n \right\}\right).$$

We have

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\left\{ \int_{t_0}^t [D^+ v(s) - f(s, v(g(s)))] ds : v \in S_n \right\}\right) \\ \leq \sup \left\{ \left\| \int_{t_0}^t [D^+ v(s) - f(s, v(g(s)))] ds \right\| : v \in S_n \right\} \leq n^{-1} h$$

and, by the integral mean-value theorem and condition (A),

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\left\{ \int_{t_0}^t f(s, v(g(s))) ds : v \in S_n \right\}\right) \\ \leq \mathcal{L}\left((t-t_0) \cdot \overline{\text{conv}}(f[I_0 \times \cup \{v[J] : v \in S_n\}])\right) \\ \leq |t-t_0| \cdot \mathcal{L}(f[I_0 \times \cup \{v[J] : v \in S_n\}]) \\ \leq h \cdot k \cdot \mathcal{L}(\cup \{v[J] : v \in S_n\}) = h \cdot k \cdot \mathcal{L}(S_n).$$

Finally, we conclude that

$$\mathcal{L}(S_n) = \sup \{ \mathcal{L}(S_n(t)) : t \in I \} \leq n^{-1} h + h \cdot k \cdot \mathcal{L}(S_n),$$

which completes the proof.

3. Let $r_0 \geq 0$, $0 \leq \varepsilon_0 \leq b$. Let us denote:

by Y – a conditionally compact set consisting of some $y \in E$ such that $\|y\| \leq r_0$;

by Γ – a conditionally compact set consisting of some $\psi \in C([m, t_0], E)$ such that $\sup \{ \|\psi(t) - \varphi(t)\| : m \leq t \leq t_0 \} \leq \varepsilon_0$.

By $(PC_{y,\psi})$ we shall denote the problem of finding the solution of the equation

$$x'(t) = y + f(t, x(g(t)))$$

satisfying the initial condition

$$x(t) = \psi(t) \quad \text{for } m \leq t \leq t_0,$$

where $y \in Y$ and $\psi \in \Gamma$.

Let us denote by \mathcal{X} the set of all solutions of the problem $(PC_{y,\psi})$ on J with y ranging over Y and ψ ranging over Γ . We prove

3.1. THEOREM. *Let assumption (A) be satisfied, let $h \leq \min\left(a, \frac{K+b-\varepsilon_0}{M+r_0}\right)$ and $0 \leq h \cdot k < 1$. Then there exists an E -solution of problem $(PC_{y,\psi})$ on the interval J . Moreover, \mathcal{X} is a compact subset of $C(J, E)$.*

Proof. First, we prove our theorem for the case $\varepsilon_0 = r_0 = 0$. By a slight modification of the argument used in [5] (see [14]) we prove that there exists a compact set H satisfying condition (*) of Theorem 2.1: Indeed, let us put

$$R(X) = \varphi[P] + \overline{\bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq h} \lambda \cdot \text{conv}(f[I \times X])} \quad \text{for } X \subset B, \text{ where } P = [m, t_0],$$

$$\Omega = \{X \subset B: R(X) \subset X\} \quad \text{and} \quad H = \bigcap \{X: X \in \Omega\}.$$

As $\varphi[P]$ is a compact set, $R(X)$ is closed. We can verify that $R(B) \subset B$, $\varphi[P] \subset H$ and $R(H) = H$. Hence, by the properties of the measure of non-compactness, we conclude that H is compact. The set H satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 and therefore $E_n \neq \emptyset$ for $n \geq 1$. By 2.3 $\mathcal{L}(E_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consequently, the existence of an E -solution for (PC) follows from 2.2 (c).

Since the function $F_y(t, x) = y + f(t, x)$ satisfies on $I_0 \times B$ condition (A), it follows by the above reasoning that the E -solution for $(PC_{y,\psi})$ exists on J .

Obviously, \mathcal{X} is closed, bounded and all the functions belonging to \mathcal{X} are equicontinuous. We have

$$\mathcal{X}(t) \subset \bigcup \{\psi[P]: \psi \in \Gamma\} \quad \text{for } t \in P$$

and

$$\mathcal{X}(t) \subset \{\psi(t_0): \psi \in \Gamma\} +$$

$$+ \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq h} \lambda \cdot Y + \bigcup_{0 \leq \lambda \leq h} \lambda \cdot \overline{\text{conv}(f[I \times \bigcup \{x[J]: x \in \mathcal{X}\}])}$$

for $t \in I$. From this and from the assumptions it follows (cf. the proof of 2.3) that $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}) = 0$, and therefore \mathcal{X} is compact.

4. Let S be a cone in E . Denote by \leq the partial order in E generated by S . Assume, moreover, that S is a cone with a non-void interior and $f: I \times B \rightarrow E$ is a function such that $x_1 \leq x_2$ implies $f(t, x_1) \leq f(t, x_2)$.

This assumption remains valid throughout all this section and will not be repeated in formulations of particular theorems.

Modifying the proof from [13], Theorem 70.1, we obtain the following result on "strong" differential inequalities:

4.1. Let the functions x, y from $[m, t_0+h]$ into B be continuous. Moreover, let

$$x(t) \leq y(t) \quad (\text{or, } x(t) < y(t)^{(1)}) \quad \text{for } m \leq t \leq t_0,$$

$$D^+ x(t) \leq f(t, x(g(t))) \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t < t_0+h,$$

$$f(t, y(g(t))) < D^+ y(t) \quad (\text{or, } f(t, y(g(t))) \leq D^+ y(t)) \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t < t_0+h.$$

Under our assumptions the inequality $x(t) < y(t)$ holds for every $t_0 < t < t_0+h$.

We introduce the definition: A solution $x^0(\cdot)$ of the problem $(PC_{y,\psi})$ on the interval J is called the *maximal integral* of $(PC_{y,\psi})$ on J , if for every solution $x(\cdot)$ of $(PC_{y,\psi})$ on J we have the inequality $x(t) \leq x^0(t)$ for $t_0 \leq t < t_0+h$.

In a similar way one can introduce the notion of the *minimal integral* of $(PC_{y,\psi})$ on J .

4.2. THEOREM. Let assumption (A) be satisfied, $r_0 > 0$, and let $h \leq \min\left(a, \frac{K+b}{r_0+M}\right)$, $0 \leq h \cdot k < 1$. Then there exists a unique maximal (minimal) integral of (PC) on J , and this integral is the limit of a sequence of E -solutions of (PC) on J .

Proof. Let (y_k) be a sequence in E converging to zero and such that $y_k > \theta$, $\|y_k\| \leq r_0$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). By Theorem 3.1, the problem

$$x'(t) = y_k + f(t, x(g(t))), \quad x(t) = \varphi(t) \quad \text{for } m \leq t \leq t_0$$

possesses an E -solution $x_k(\cdot)$ in the interval J and $\{x_k(\cdot): k \geq 1\}$ is a compact subset of $C(J, E)$.

Denote by $x(\cdot)$ a solution of (PC) on J , and let $(x_{k_n}(\cdot))$ be a subsequence of $(x_k(\cdot))$ such that $x_{k_n}(\cdot) \rightarrow x^0(\cdot)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We have

$$x(t) = x_k(t) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad \text{for } m \leq t \leq t_0+h,$$

$$D^+ x(t) = f(t, x(g(t))) \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t < t_0+h$$

and it is easy to check that

$$f(t, x_k(g(t))) < D^+ x_k(t) \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots) \quad \text{for } t_0 \leq t < t_0+h.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 4.1, $x(t) < x_k(t)$ for every $k \geq 1$ and $t_0 < t < t_0+h$. Consequently, $x^0(\cdot)$ is a solution of (PC) on J such that $x(t) \leq x^0(t)$ for $t_0 \leq t < t_0+h$. By an analogous argument we obtain the assertion concerning the minimal integral of (PC) on J .

(¹) $x < y$ means $y-x \in \text{Int}(S)$; the interior of S is denoted by $\text{Int}(S)$.

The proof is finished.

For E -solutions the theorem on the continuous dependence of the maximal (minimal) integral of (PC) on the initial data is true. More precisely, we have the following theorem:

Let us put

$$\mathcal{V} = \{\psi \in C([m, t_0], E) : \varphi(t) < \psi(t) \text{ and } \|\psi(t) - \varphi(t)\| \leq \varepsilon_0 \text{ for } m \leq t \leq t_0\},$$

and for any $\psi \in \mathcal{V}$ denote the maximal integral of the problem

$$(+) \quad x'(t) = f(t, x(g(t))), \quad x(t) = \psi(t) \quad \text{for } m \leq t \leq t_0$$

on the interval J by $x_\psi^0(\cdot)$.

4.3. THEOREM. Let assumption (A) be satisfied, $r_0 > 0$, $0 < \varepsilon_0 \leq b$, and let $h \leq \min\left(a, \frac{K+b-\varepsilon_0}{M+r_0}\right)$, $0 \leq h \cdot k < 1$. Then the function $\psi \rightarrow x_\psi^0$ maps continuously \mathcal{V} into $C(J, E)$.

Proof. Let $(\psi_k(\cdot))$ be a sequence in \mathcal{V} converging to $\varphi(\cdot)$. By Theorem 3.1, the set of all solutions of (+) on J with $\psi(\cdot)$ ranging over $\{\psi_k(\cdot) : k \geq 1\}$, is compact in $C(J, E)$.

On account of Theorem 4.2, there exists a maximal integral $x^0(\cdot)$ of (PC) on J and a maximal integral $x_{\psi_k}^0(\cdot)$ of (+) on J if $\psi = \psi_k$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$). Let $(y_k^0(\cdot))$ be a subsequence of $(x_{\psi_k}^0(\cdot))$ and let $(y_{n_k}^0(\cdot))$ be a subsequence of $(y_k^0(\cdot))$ such that $y_{n_k}^0(\cdot) \rightarrow y_0(\cdot)$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. To prove our theorem it is sufficient to show that $y_0(t) = x^0(t)$ for $m \leq t \leq t_0 + h$.

Obviously, $y_0(\cdot)$ is a solution of (PC) on J . Hence, $x^0(t) = y_0(t)$ for $m \leq t \leq t_0$, and $y_0(t) \leq x^0(t)$ for $t_0 \leq t < t_0 + h$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} x^0(t) &< y_{n_k}^0(t) && \text{for } m \leq t \leq t_0, \\ D^+ x^0(t) &= f(t, x^0(g(t))) && \text{for } t_0 \leq t < t_0 + h, \\ D^+ y_{n_k}^0(t) &= f(t, y_{n_k}^0(g(t))) && \text{for } t_0 \leq t < t_0 + h, \end{aligned}$$

we infer from Theorem 4.1 that $x^0(t) < y_{n_k}^0(t)$ for $t_0 < t < t_0 + h$. Hence it follows that $x^0(t) \leq y_0(t)$ for $t_0 < t < t_0 + h$, and thus the proof is completed.

References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, *Un theorem di esistenza per le equazioni differenziali negli spazi di Banach*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 39 (1967), p. 349–360.
- [2] H. Cartan, *Calcul différentiel. Formes différentielles*, Paris 1967.
- [3] A. Cellina, *On the local existence of solutions of ordinary differential equations*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 20 (1972), p. 293–296.
- [4] —, *On the Cauchy problem for ordinary differential equation in Banach spaces*, Summer School on Ordinary Differential Equations, Difford 74, J. E. Purkyne University Brno 1975.
- [5] J. Daneš, *Some fixed point theorems*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 9 (1968), p. 223–235.

- [6] G. Darbo, *Punti uniti in trasformazioni a condominio non compatto*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova 24 (1955), p. 84–92.
- [7] K. Goebel, *Grubość zbiorów w przestrzeniach metrycznych i jej zastosowania w teorii punktów stałych*, Dissertation, Lublin 1970.
- [8] – and W. Rzymowski, *An existence theorem for the equations $x' = f(t, x)$ in Banach space*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 18 (1970), p. 367–370.
- [9] K. Kuratowski, *Sur les espaces completes*, Fund. Math. 15 (1930), p. 300–309.
- [10] –, *Topologie*, vol. I, Warszawa 1952.
- [11] B. Rzepecki, *A functional differential equation in a Banach space*, Ann. Polon. Math. 36 (1979), p. 95–100.
- [12] –, *On some classes of differential equations*, Publ. Inst. Math. 25 (1979), p. 157–165.
- [13] J. Szarski, *Differential inequalities*, Warszawa 1965.
- [14] S. Szufła, *Some remarks on ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 16 (1968), p. 795–800.
- [15] –, *Structure of the solutions set of ordinary differential equations in Banach space*, ibidem 21 (1973), p. 141–144.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS
A. MICKIEWICZ UNIVERSITY, POZNAŃ

Reçu par la Rédaction le 2. 6. 1977
