

An integral formula for submanifolds and its application

by BANG-YEN CHEN (Michigan)

1. Introduction. Let R^m be an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold with covariant derivative D , and $x: M^n \rightarrow R^m$ an immersion of an n -dimensional manifold M^n into R^m . A vector field X in R^m over M^n is called a *concurrent vector field* if we have $dx + DX = 0$, where dx denotes the differential of the immersion x [3].

The main purpose of this note is to derive an integral formula for the submanifold M^n and to show that if a closed manifold M^n immersed in R^m admits a concurrent vector field, then M^n is not a minimal submanifold of R^m . The latter result generalizes a result of Chern and Hsiung on minimal submanifolds in euclidean space [2].

2. Preliminaries. Let M^n be an n -dimensional manifold immersed in an m -dimensional Riemannian manifold R^m with covariant derivative D . We choose a local field of orthonormal frames e_1, \dots, e_m in R^m such that, restricted to M^n , the vectors e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to M^n (and, consequently, the remaining vectors e_{n+1}, \dots, e_m are normal to M^n). We shall make use of the following convention on the ranges of indices:

$$1 \leq A, B, C, \dots, \leq m; \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, \dots, \leq n; \quad n+1 \leq a, \beta, \gamma, \dots, \leq m,$$

and we shall agree that repeated indices are summed over the respective ranges. With respect to the frame field of R^m chosen above, let $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^m$ be the field of dual frames. Then the structure equations of R^m are given by

$$(1) \quad d\omega^A = -\sum \omega_B^A \wedge \omega^B, \quad \omega_B^A + \omega_A^B = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad d\omega_B^A = -\sum \omega_C^A \wedge \omega_B^C + \varphi_B^A, \quad \varphi_B^A = \frac{1}{2} \sum K_{BCD}^A \omega^C \wedge \omega^D,$$

$$K_{BCD}^A + K_{BDC}^A = 0.$$

The covariant derivative DY of a vector field $Y = \sum Y_A e_A$ is given by

$$(3) \quad DY = \sum DY_A \otimes e_A,$$

where

$$(4) \quad DY_A = dY_A + \sum Y_B \omega_{BA}.$$

For the vectors e_A themselves equation (3) gives

$$(5) \quad De_A = \sum \omega_{AB} \otimes e_B.$$

We restrict these forms to M^n . Then

$$(6) \quad \omega^a = 0.$$

Since $0 = d\omega^a = -\sum \omega_i^a \wedge \omega^i$, by Cartan's lemma we may write

$$(7) \quad \omega_i^a = \sum h_{ij}^a \omega^j, \quad h_{ij}^a = h_{ji}^a.$$

From these formulas, we obtain

$$(8) \quad d\omega^i = -\sum \omega_j^i \wedge \omega^j, \quad \omega_j^i + \omega_i^j = 0,$$

$$(9) \quad d\omega_j^i = -\sum \omega_k^i \wedge \omega_j^k + \Omega_j^i, \quad \Omega_j^i = \frac{1}{2} \sum R_{jkl}^i \omega^k \wedge \omega^l,$$

$$R_{jkl}^i = K_{jkl}^i + \sum_a (h_{ik}^a h_{jl}^a - h_{il}^a h_{jk}^a).$$

We call $\mathbf{H} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_a (\sum_i h_{ii}^a) \mathbf{e}_a$ the *mean curvature vector* of M^n in R^m . It is

a well-defined normal vector field over M^n . An immersion is said to be *minimal* if its mean curvature vector vanishes identically, i.e., if $\sum_i h_{ii}^a = 0$ for all a .

3. An integral formula and its applications. Let f be a smooth function on M^n . By $\text{grad} f$ or Vf we mean $Vf = \sum f_i \mathbf{e}_i$, where f_i are given by $df = \sum f_i \omega^i$. Let \mathbf{X} be a concurrent vector field in R^m over M^n . Then \mathbf{X} can be decomposed into two components

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X}_t + \mathbf{X}_n,$$

where \mathbf{X}_t is tangent to M^n and \mathbf{X}_n normal to M^n . In the following, let \langle, \rangle denote the scalar product in R^m . For simplicity, we define

$$(11) \quad \varrho = \langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \rangle, \quad \text{and} \quad \varrho_n = \langle \mathbf{X}_n, \mathbf{X}_n \rangle.$$

THEOREM 1. *Let $x: M^n \rightarrow R^m$ be an immersion of an oriented closed manifold of dimension n into a Riemannian manifold R^m of dimension m , and \mathbf{X} be a concurrent vector field in R^m over M^n . Then for any smooth function f , we have*

$$(12) \quad \int_{M^n} e^{c-1} \{ \langle \mathbf{X}, \nabla f \rangle \varrho - 2cf\varrho_n + (2c+n)f\varrho + n \langle X, H \rangle f\varrho \} dV = 0, \quad c \geq 0,$$

where

$$dV = \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^n$$

is the volume element of M^n .

Proof. Let \mathbf{X} be a concurrent vector field in R^m over M^n . Put

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{E} = \sum (-1)^{j-1} \langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{e}_j \rangle \omega^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \hat{\omega}^j \wedge \dots \wedge \omega^n,$$

where \wedge denotes the omitted term. Then it is easy to see that the form \mathcal{E} is a well-defined $(n-1)$ -form on M^n . Using $dx + D\mathbf{X} = 0$, and a straightforward calculation we can verify easily that

$$(14) \quad d\mathcal{E} = n(1 + \langle \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{H} \rangle) dV,$$

$$(15) \quad df \wedge \mathcal{E} = \langle \mathbf{X}, \nabla f \rangle dV,$$

$$(16) \quad d\varrho \wedge \mathcal{E} = 2(\varrho - \varrho_n) dV.$$

Therefore, by (14), (15) and (16), we obtain

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} d(f\varrho^c \mathcal{E}) &= \varrho^c df \wedge \mathcal{E} + cf\varrho^{c-1} d\varrho \wedge \mathcal{E} + f\varrho^c d\mathcal{E} \\ &= \varrho^{c-1} \{ \langle \mathbf{X}, \nabla f \rangle \varrho - 2cf\varrho_n + (2c+n)f\varrho + n \langle X, H \rangle f\varrho \} dV. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by integrating both sides of (17) and applying Stokes' theorem, we obtain (12). This completes the proof of the theorem.

Remark 1. If M^n is a hypersurface of Euclidean $(n+1)$ -space E^{n+1} , $c = 0$, and f is an i -th mean curvature K_i , then Theorem 1 was proved by Amur [1].

THEOREM 2. *Let M^n ($n > 0$) be a closed submanifold of a Riemannian manifold R^m . If there exists a concurrent vector field in R^m over M^n , then M^n is not a minimal submanifold of R^m .*

Proof. If there exists a concurrent vector field \mathbf{X} in R^m over M^n , then by (14) and a straightforward, simple calculation, we see that the Laplacian $\Delta\varrho$ of ϱ is given by

$$(18) \quad (\Delta\varrho) dV = d\mathcal{E} = n(1 + \langle X, H \rangle) dV.$$

Hence, if M^n is a minimal submanifold of R^m , then, by (18) we obtain $\Delta\varrho = n$. Therefore, by Hopf's lemma, we see that $n = 0$. This is a contradiction.

References

- [1] K. Amur, *Vector forms and integral formulas for hypersurfaces in euclidean space*, J. Dif. Geometry 3 (1969), p. 111 - 123.
- [2] S. S. Chern and C. C. Hsiung, *On the isometry of compact submanifolds in Euclidean space*, Math. Ann. 149 (1963), p. 278 - 285.
- [3] K. Yano and B.-Y. Chen, *On the concurrent vector fields of immersed manifolds*, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 23 (1971), p 343-350.

MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY

Reçu par la Rédaction le 30. 1. 1971
