

**Leja's type polynomial condition
and polynomial approximation in Orlicz spaces**

by WIESŁAW PLEŚNIAK (Kraków)

Franciszek Leja in memoriam

Abstract. Bernstein's "lethargy" theorem on polynomial approximation in Orlicz spaces is given. The theorem is used to characterize holomorphic and entire functions in spaces of μ -integrable functions on a subset E of C^n such that the pair (E, μ) satisfies Leja's type polynomial condition.

1. Condition L^* . Let E be a subset of the space C^n and let μ be a non-negative function defined on the family of Borel subsets of E such that $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$. In the sequel such a function will be simply called a measure. The pair (E, μ) is said to satisfy *condition L^** at a point $a \in \bar{E}$, closure of E , if for every family \mathcal{F} of polynomials in C^n such that

$$\mu(\{z \in E: \sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} |f(z)| = \infty\}) = 0,$$

and for every $b > 1$ there exists a constant $M > 0$ and a neighbourhood U of a such that for each f in \mathcal{F} ,

$$\sup |f|(U) \leq Mb^{\deg f}.$$

By the well-known polynomial lemma of Leja [7] every subset E of the complex plane C^1 satisfies L^* at a point $a \in \bar{E}$ with respect to the measure

$$\mu(A) = m_1(\{t > 0: A \cap C(a, t) \neq \emptyset\})$$

where $C(a, t)$ denotes the circle with centre a and radius t and m_1 is the Lebesgue linear measure provided that $\mu(\{z \in E: |z - a| \leq \delta\}) = \delta$ for some $\delta > 0$. This remarkable result appeared a very useful tool in complex analysis especially in the theory of polynomial approximation (for references see [8], [12], [13], [14] and [9]). It also yields the following

1.1. EXAMPLE. If E is a rectifiable Jordan arc in C^1 and μ is length measure over E , then (E, μ) satisfies L^* at every point $a \in E$.

By Fubini's theorem, from Example 1.1 we derive

1.2. EXAMPLE. Let E be a subset of the space \mathbf{R}^n (\mathbf{R}^n is treated as a subset of \mathbf{C}^n such that $\mathbf{C}^n = \mathbf{R}^n + i\mathbf{R}^n$). If, for a point $a \in \bar{E}$, there exists a non-singular affine mapping l in \mathbf{R}^n such that $a \in l(I^n) \subset E \cup \{a\}$, where I^n is the n -th Cartesian power of $I = [0, 1]$, then (E, m_n) satisfies L^* at a , m_n denoting the Lebesgue measure in \mathbf{R}^n . In particular, for every bounded, convex set E in \mathbf{R}^n or else for every bounded Lipschitz domain (of class Lip 1), the pair (E, m_n) satisfies L^* at every point $a \in \bar{E}$.

The next two examples are related to the *complex Monge–Ampère operator theory* developed by Bedford and Taylor (see [2] and previous articles by same authors).

1.3. EXAMPLE ([3]). Suppose E is a compact set in \mathbf{C}^n . If E is L -regular at a point $a \in E$ (see Section 2) and μ is the counting measure over E , then (E, μ) satisfies L^* at a . (In this case L^* reduces to the classical *polynomial condition* of Leja [7].)

1.4. EXAMPLE. Let E be a bounded subset of \mathbf{C}^n that is L -regular at a point $a \in \bar{E}$. If μ is a measure over E that dominates L -capacity c (see Section 2), then (E, μ) satisfies L^* at a (see e.g. [6]). Actually, we may take μ to be a measure that dominates the Borel measure $(dd^c u_E^*)^n$ related to the complex Monge–Ampère equation (see [9] and [20]). (Observe that $(dd^c u_E^*)^n$ vanishes on pluripolar sets).

We note that the condition L^* is invariant under non-degenerate holomorphic mappings from \mathbf{C}^n to \mathbf{C}^m ($m \leq n$) (see [13] and [6]). We also quote a convenient geometrical criterion for L^* (see [14]):

1.5. ANALYTIC ACCESSIBILITY CRITERION. Given $a \in \bar{E}$, suppose there exists an analytic mapping $h: [0, 1] \rightarrow \bar{E}$ such that $h(0) = a$. If, for each $t \in (0, 1]$, the pair (E, μ) satisfies L^* at $h(t)$, then (E, μ) also satisfies L^* at a .

This criterion extends that for the L -regularity proved (independently) by Cegrell [4] and Sadullaev [17]. Actually, if $E \subset \mathbf{R}^n$, the analytic curve h can be replaced by a semianalytic arc (see [15]). Hence in particular, by Examples 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4, we get (see [15]).

1.6. EXAMPLE. If E is a bounded open subanalytic set in \mathbf{R}^n and μ is either the counting measure or the L -capacity c , or else the Lebesgue n -dimensional measure, then (E, μ) satisfies L^* at every point $a \in \bar{E}$.

We add that if (E, μ) satisfies L^* and ν is a measure over E that dominates μ (i.e. $\nu(A) = 0$ implies $\mu(A) = 0$), then (E, ν) also satisfies L^* .

In this note we shall show how the condition L^* is involved in problems of polynomial approximation in Orlicz's type spaces. In particular we shall give a version of Bernstein's lethargy theorem for such spaces as well as a characterization of the Bernstein–Walsh type of holomorphic and entire functions in Orlicz spaces. An important role will be played by an extremal plurisubharmonic function associated with a subset of \mathbf{C}^n which can be considered as a multidimensional counterpart of Green's function.

2. *L*-extremal function. For any subset E of C^n , we define an *L*-extremal function by

$$V_E(z) = \sup \{u(z): u \in \mathcal{L}, u \leq 0 \text{ on } E\} \quad \text{for } z \in C^n,$$

where $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(C^n)$ is the set of all plurisubharmonic functions u on C^n such that $u(z) - \log(1 + |z|) = O(1)$, as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$, $|\cdot|$ being any norm in C^n (see [19], [18]). If E is a compact subset of C^1 , V_E is the generalized Green function of the unbounded component of $C^1 \setminus E$. Set

$$V_E^*(z) = \limsup_{w \rightarrow z} V_E(w), \quad z \in C^n,$$

and

$$c(E) = \liminf_{|z| \rightarrow \infty} [|z| \exp(-V_E^*(z))].$$

The quantity $c(E)$ is called *L*-capacity of E . If $n = 1$, $c(E)$ is logarithmic capacity of E . It is known ([18], Theorem 3.10), that $c(E) = 0$ if and only if E is pluripolar, i.e. if there exists a plurisubharmonic function u on C^n such that $E \subset \{u = -\infty\}$. We note that if $c(E) > 0$ then $V_E^* \in \mathcal{L}$ (see [18], Corollary 3.9).

We recall that E is said to be *L*-regular at a point $a \in \bar{E}$ if $V_E^*(a) = 0$; E is *L*-regular if $V_E^*(z) = 0$ for each $z \in \bar{E}$. We quote an analogue of one-dimensional Kellogg's lemma which follows from [1], Theorem 2: If E is an F_σ -set in C^n , then the set $\{z \in E: V_E^*(z) > 0\}$ is pluripolar.

3. Orlicz spaces. In the sequel the term "measure" is used in proper sense. Let E be a subset of C^n and let μ be a Borel measure over E . We adopt notations of Rolewicz [16], p. 18. Let N be a continuous, strictly increasing function defined on $[0, \infty)$ such that $N(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$ and $N(s+t) \leq M[N(s)+N(t)]$ for $s, t \geq 0$ with a constant $M \geq 1$ independent of s and t . Denote by X the linear space of all μ -measurable complex functions defined on E and set $I = \{x \in X: x(z) = 0 \text{ } \mu\text{-almost everywhere on } E\}$. For $x \in X/I$, we define

$$\varrho_N(x) = \int_E N(|x(z)|) d\mu(z).$$

Let X_N be the space of all x in X/I such that $\varrho_N(kx) < \infty$ for some $k > 0$ (depending on x). If $x \in X_N$, we set

$$(3.1) \quad \|x\| = \inf \{\varepsilon > 0: \varrho_N(x/\varepsilon) < \varepsilon\}.$$

It is proved (see [16], Theorem I.2.3) that $\|\cdot\|$ is an *F*-norm in X_N (i.e. $\|x\| = 0$ iff $x = 0$, $\|\alpha x\| = \|x\|$ for all scalars α with $|\alpha| = 1$, $\|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$ and $\|\alpha_k x\| \rightarrow 0$ as $\alpha_k \rightarrow 0$ such that $\|x_k\| \rightarrow 0$ iff $\varrho_N(x_k) \rightarrow 0$). The space X_N endowed with this *F*-norm is an *F*-space (a complete, metric linear space) denoted further on by $N(L(E, \mu))$. If $E = (0, 1) \subset \mathbf{R}^1$ and $\mu = m_1$, $N(L(E, \mu))$ is called Orlicz space and N is called Orlicz function.

4. Bernstein's "lethargy" theorem. For $k = 0, 1, \dots$, we set

$$\mathcal{P}_k = \{p|_E: p \text{ is a polynomial in } \mathbb{C}^n \text{ of degree at most } k\}.$$

In the sequel we shall assume that E and μ are such that for each k , \mathcal{P}_k is a linear subspace of $N(L(E, \mu))$ and $\dim \mathcal{P}_k < \dim \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$. (This is the case if e.g. $\mu(A) > 0$ implies $c(\bar{A}) > 0$ and for each $m \geq 0$, $\int_E N(|z|^m) d\mu(z) < \infty$; we obviously assume $\mu(E) > 0$.)

An important problem of constructive function theory is to classify elements x in $N(L(E, \mu))$ with respect to the range of convergence to zero of the sequence

$$d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_k) = \inf \{\|x - p\|: p \in \mathcal{P}_k\}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

In general, every speed can be attained, since we have, similarly to the classical Bernstein "lethargy" theorem for the polynomial approximation of continuous functions on compact intervals in \mathbb{R}^1 (see e.g. [5]), the following

4.1. PROPOSITION. *Suppose μ vanishes on every subset of E whose closure is pluripolar. Then for any decreasing null-sequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ of non-negative numbers there is an element x in $N(L(E, \mu))$ such that*

$$d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_k) = \varepsilon_k \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0.$$

The proof of the proposition is based on two following lemmas and a general version of Bernstein's theorem for F -spaces (see Theorem 4.5).

4.2. LEMMA. *Set $M(N, E) = \mu(E) \sup \{N(t): t > 0\}$. With the assumptions of Proposition 4.1, for each $\varepsilon \in (0, M(N, E))$, the sets*

$$B_k = \{x \in \mathcal{P}_k: \varrho_N(x) \leq \varepsilon\}$$

are compacts ($k = 0, 1, \dots$).

Proof. Since $\dim \mathcal{P}_k$ is finite, it suffices to show that for each bounded Borel subset F of E with $\mu(F) > 0$ the set B_k is bounded with respect to the uniform norm $\|\cdot\|_F$ (observe that $\mu(F) > 0$ implies $c(\bar{F}) > 0$, whence $\|\cdot\|_F$ is indeed a norm in \mathcal{P}_k). Choose the set F and suppose there exists a sequence $\{p_m\} \subset B_k$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \|p_m\|_F = \infty$. Without loss of generality we may assume that the sequence $\{|p_m(z)|\}$ is increasing for each $z \in \bar{F}$. Then for each $\delta > 0$, the sequence of sets

$$F_m = \{z \in \bar{F}: |p_m(z)| \leq \delta\}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

is decreasing. We claim that $\mu(F_0) = 0$, where $F_0 = \bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} F_m$. For if $\mu(F_0) > 0$, then $c(F_0) > 0$ and for $d = c(F_0)/2$ we could find $r > 0$ such that $\bar{F} \subset B(0, r)$ and

$$\exp V_{F_0}^*(z) \leq r/d \quad \text{as } |z| \leq r.$$

Hence, since for every polynomial $p \neq 0$, $(1/\deg p) \log |p| \in \mathcal{L}$, we would have

$$\|p_m\|_F \leq \|p_m\|_{F_m} \exp \left[k \sup_F V_{F_m}^*(z) \right] \leq \|p_m\|_{F_m} \exp \left[k \sup_{B(0,r)} V_{F_0}^*(z) \right] \leq \delta (r/d)^k$$

for $m = 1, 2, \dots$, which is impossible. Thus, $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu(F_m) = 0$. Consequently, by choosing F and δ such that $\mu(F) N(\delta) > \varepsilon$, we get

$$\varepsilon \geq \varrho_N(p_m) \geq \int_F N(|p_m(z)|) d\mu(z) \geq \int_{F \setminus F_m} N(\delta) d\mu = N(\delta) [\mu(F) - \mu(F_m)] > \varepsilon$$

for sufficiently large m , contradiction.

4.3. LEMMA. *With the assumptions of the preceding lemma, for each k we have*

$$\tilde{\eta}_k := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}} \{ \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}_k} \varrho_N(x-p) \} = M(N, E).$$

Proof. Choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_r, \dots, e_s\}$ of \mathcal{P}_{k+1} such that $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$ is a basis of \mathcal{P}_k . Let F be a bounded Borel subset of E with $\mu(F) > 0$. Since all norms in \mathcal{P}_{k+1} are equivalent, there is a constant $d > 0$ such that for each $x = \alpha_1 e_1 + \dots + \alpha_s e_s \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$,

$$d^{-1} \|x\|_F \geq |x|_{k+1} := |\alpha_1| + \dots + |\alpha_s|.$$

Hence, for every $p \in \mathcal{P}_k$ and $m = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\|me_s - p\|_F \geq d \cdot \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}_k} |me_s - p|_{k+1} = dm.$$

For any $x \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$, we set

$$\mathcal{P}_k(x) = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_k : \inf_{q \in \mathcal{P}_k} \|x - q\|_F = \|x - p\|_F\}.$$

Since $\dim \mathcal{P}_k < \infty$, $\mathcal{P}_k(x) \neq \emptyset$. Choose $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}_k(e_s)$. Then, for each $m = 1, 2, \dots$, $mp_0 \in \mathcal{P}_k(me_s)$ and the sequence of the sets

$$G_m = \{z \in F : m|e_s(z) - p_0(z)| \leq \delta\}$$

is decreasing. If $r > 0$ is such that $\bar{F} \subset B(0, r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dm &\leq \|me_s - mp_0\|_F \leq \|me_s - mp_0\|_{B(0,r)} \\ &\leq \|me_s - mp_0\|_{F_m} \cdot \sup_{z \in B(0,r)} \exp \left[(k+1) V_{F_m}^*(z) \right] \\ &\leq \delta \exp \left[(k+1) \sup_{z \in B(0,r)} V_{F_m}^*(z) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and by a similar argument to that of the proof of the preceding lemma, we get $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu(G_m) = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} M(N, E) &\geq \tilde{\eta}_k \geq \sup_m \int_F N(|me_s - mp_0|) d\mu \geq \sup_m \int_{F \setminus G_m} N(\delta) d\mu \\ &= \sup_m N(\delta) [\mu(F) - \mu(G_m)] = N(\delta) \mu(F). \end{aligned}$$

By the arbitrariness of the choice of $\delta > 0$ and $F \subset E$, the proof of the lemma is concluded.

By the definition of the F -norm (3.1) and by Lemma 4.3, we get

4.4. COROLLARY. *There exists $\gamma > 0$ such that for each $k = 0, 1, \dots$,*

$$\eta_k := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{P}_{k+1}} \{ \inf_{p \in \mathcal{P}_k} \|x - p\| \} \geq \gamma.$$

Now Proposition 4.1 immediately follows from

4.5. THEOREM ([11]). *Suppose $\{\mathcal{V}_k\}$ is an increasing sequence of distinct, finite dimensional linear subspaces of an F -space X . Suppose that*

(i) *there exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that the sets $B(0, \varepsilon_0) \cap \mathcal{V}_k$ are compact ($k = 1, 2, \dots$).*

Then for every nullsequence $\{\varepsilon_k\}$ of real numbers such that

(ii) $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_0 > \varepsilon_1 \geq \varepsilon_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$

and

(iii) $2\varepsilon_k \leq \eta_k := \sup_{x \in \mathcal{V}_{k+1}} \{ \inf_{v \in \mathcal{V}_k} \|x - v\| \}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) *there is an element $x \in X$ such that*

$$\text{dist}(x, \mathcal{V}_k) = \varepsilon_k \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$\|\cdot\|$ denoting an F -norm in X .

5. A criterion of analyticity in Orlicz spaces. Our goal is to describe these x in $N(L(E, \mu))$ that are the restrictions to E of holomorphic functions in a neighbourhood of \bar{E} . With this aim we shall additionally assume that $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} N(t) = \infty$ and

$$(5.1) \quad \text{For each } a \in (0, 1), \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [N(a^k)]^{1/k} < 1.$$

The typical examples of such functions N are: $N(t) = t^p$ ($p > 0$) and $N(t) = \log_a(1+t)$ ($a > 1$). Observe also that if N_1 and N_2 satisfy (5.1) so do the functions $\max(N_1, N_2)$, $N_1 + N_2$, $N_1 N_2$, and $N_1 \circ N_2$ (superposition). We note a simple technical

5.1. LEMMA. *For every $b > 1$ there is $c > 1$ such that*

$$b^k N(t) \geq N(c^k t)$$

for $t \geq 0$ and $k \geq k_0$.

By Siciak's version of a Bernstein-Walsh theorem (see [18], Theorem 8.5), if E is a bounded subset of \mathbf{C}^n such that \bar{E} is polynomially convex, then for every holomorphic function x in a neighbourhood of \bar{E} ,

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [\inf \{ \|x - p\|_E : p \in \mathcal{P}_k \}]^{1/k} < 1$$

whence we also have

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_k)]^{1/k} < 1.$$

Conversely, suppose $x \in N(L(E, \mu))$ is such that for a strictly increasing sequence $\{k_j\}$ of positive integers, we have

$$(5.2) \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} [d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_{k_j})]^{1/k_j} < 1.$$

Then we have

5.2. THEOREM. 1° If (E, μ) satisfies L^* at each point $a \in \bar{E}$ and

$$(5.3) \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} k_{j+1}/k_j < \infty,$$

then every function $x \in N(L(E, \mu))$ that satisfies (5.2) is equal μ -almost everywhere on E to a function \tilde{x} holomorphic in a neighbourhood of \bar{E} .

2° If μ satisfies the assumptions of Proposition 4.1, \bar{E} is polynomially convex, compact set in C^n , and

$$(5.4) \quad \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} k_{j+1}/k_j = \infty,$$

then there is a function $x \in N(L(E, \mu))$ that satisfies (5.2) and is not the restriction of a holomorphic function in any neighbourhood of \bar{E} .

Proof. 1° By (5.2), for each j there is a polynomial p_j of degree at most k_j such that

$$\|x - p_j\| \leq Ma^{k_j}$$

with some constants $M > 0$ and $a \in (0, 1)$ that are independent of j , $\|\cdot\|$ denoting the F -norm (3.1). Hence by (5.3),

$$\|p_{j+1} - p_j\| \leq M(a^{k_{j+1}} + a^{k_j}) \leq 2Mb^{k_{j+1}}$$

for $j \geq j_0 = j_0(c)$, where $c > \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} k_{j+1}/k_j$ and $b = a^{1/c}$. Consequently,

$$(5.5) \quad \varrho_N(p_{j+1} - p_j) \leq 3Mb^{k_{j+1}}$$

for $j \geq j_1$, where $j_1 \geq j_0$ is chosen such that $3Mb^{k_{j+1}} \leq 1$. Now we adopt a reasoning of Nguyen Thanh Van [8]. Take any $c \in (b, 1)$ and set

$$E_{m,j} = \{z \in E: c^{-k_j} N(|p_{j+1}(z) - p_j(z)|) > m\}.$$

Then by (5.5), $\mu(E_{m,j}) \leq 3M(b/c)^{k_{j+1}}/m$ for $j \geq j_1$, whence by setting

$$E_m = \bigcup_{j=j_1}^{\infty} E_{m,j}$$

we get

$$\mu\left(\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} E_m\right) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \mu(E_m) = 0.$$

This means that for each $c \in (b, 1)$ we have

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} c^{-k_{j+1}} N(|p_{j+1}(z) - p_j(z)|) < \infty$$

μ -a.e. on E and hence, by Lemma 5.1, we can find $d > 1$ such that the sequence

$$d^{k_{j+1}} |p_{j+1}(z) - p_j(z)| \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots)$$

is bounded μ -a.e. on E . Since (E, μ) satisfies L^* , for each $r \in (1, d)$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ and a neighbourhood U of \bar{E} such that

$$\sup_U |p_{j+1} - p_j| \leq Cr^{k_{j+1}}, \quad j \geq 1,$$

whence the series

$$p_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (p_{j+1} - p_j)$$

is uniformly convergent on U to a holomorphic function \tilde{x} such that $\tilde{x}(z) = x(z)$ μ -a.e. on E .

2° By (5.4) there is a subsequence $\{k_{j_l}\}$ of $\{k_j\}$ such that $\{k_{j_l+1}/k_{j_l}\}$ tends to ∞ , as $l \rightarrow \infty$. For simplifying the notations we leave $\{k_j\}$ to be the subsequence. By Proposition 4.1 there is a function $x \in N(L(E, \mu))$ such that

$$d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_k) = \varepsilon_k \quad \text{for } k \geq k_0,$$

where $\varepsilon_k = \varrho^{k_j}$, as $k_j \leq k < k_{j+1}$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\varrho \in (0, 1)$. Thus, x fulfils (5.2) but, according to the Bernstein-Walsh theorem cannot be extended to a holomorphic function in any neighbourhood of \bar{E} , since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_k)]^{1/k} &\geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} [d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_{k_{j+1}-1})]^{1/(k_{j+1}-1)} \\ &= \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \varrho^{k_j/(k_{j+1}-1)} = 1. \end{aligned}$$

5.3. Remark. A weaker version of Theorem 5.2 was proved in [12].

A similar criterion to that of Theorem 5.2 can be proved for entire functions without any restrictions on E (in part 1°).

Consider the set of elements x in $N(L(E, \mu))$ such that for a strictly increasing sequence $\{k_j\}$ of positive integers, we have

$$(5.6) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} [d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_{k_j})]^{1/k_j} = 0.$$

5.4. THEOREM. 1° For every subset E of \mathbb{C}^n , if $x \in N(L(E, \mu))$ satisfies (5.6) with a sequence $\{k_j\}$ fulfilling (5.3), then x is equal μ -a.e. on E to an entire function.

2° If μ fulfils the requirements of Proposition 4.1 and $\{k_j\}$ is a sequence that satisfies (5.4), then there is a function x in $N(L(E, \mu))$ fulfilling (5.6) which cannot be the restriction of an entire function.

Proof. 1° By a similar argument to that of the proof of part 1° of Theorem 5.2, we show that there is a subset F of E with $\mu(F) > 0$ such that for each $z \in F$,

$$\sup_{j \geq 1} (2\varepsilon_j)^{-k_{j+1}} N(|p_{j+1}(z) - p_j(z)|) < \infty,$$

where $\varepsilon_j = [d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_{k_j})]^{1/k_j} = \|x - p_j\|^{1/k_j} \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then, similarly as in Lemma 5.1, we can find a sequence $\eta_j \rightarrow 0$ of positive numbers such that for $z \in F$,

$$(5.6) \quad \sup_{j \geq 1} N(\eta_j^{-k_{j+1}} |p_{j+1}(z) - p_j(z)|) < \infty.$$

Since for each j , $-\log \eta_j + k_{j+1}^{-1} \log |p_{j+1} - p_j|$ is a plurisubharmonic function from the class \mathcal{L} , and since \bar{F} is not pluripolar, by [18], Theorem 3.5, it follows from (5.6) that the sequence

$$\eta_j^{-1} |p_{j+1} - p_j|^{1/k_{j+1}}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

is uniformly bounded on every compact set in C^n . Therefore the series

$$p_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (p_{j+1} - p_j)$$

is uniformly convergent on every compact set in C^n to an entire function \tilde{x} such that $\tilde{x}(z) = x(z)$ μ -a.e. on E .

2° Set, for $j = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$t_j = \inf \{k_i/k_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq j\}$$

and

$$\varepsilon_k = t_j^{k_j} \quad \text{as } k_j \leq k < k_{j+1}.$$

Then by Proposition 4.1, there is a function $x \in N(L(E, \mu))$ for which

$$d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_k) = \varepsilon_k$$

for almost all k . Now by (5.4), it is easy to check that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} [d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_k)]^{1/k} = 1.$$

On the other hand, if x is an entire function on C^n , then for each subset E of C^n ,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} [d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_k)]^{1/k} = 0,$$

and this completes the proof.

The functions in $N(L(E, \mu))$ that satisfy (5.2) are called *quasianalytic* in the sense of Bernstein. (Those which satisfy (5.6) are per analogiam called *quasi-entire*.) For properties of quasianalytic functions we refer to [10] (quasianalyticity in spaces of continuous functions) or to [12] (case of Orlicz

spaces). We end by proving a mutation of an identity principle for quasianalytic functions [12], Theorem 5.4.

5.5. PROPOSITION. *Let E be a connected open set in \mathbb{R}^n and let μ be a Borel measure over E such that*

(i) *For each subset A of E , if $c(\bar{A}) = 0$ then $\mu(A) = 0$.*

(ii) *For each n -dimensional compact interval $I \subset E$, the pair (I, μ) satisfies L^* at every point $a \in I$.*

If then $x \in N(L(E, \mu))$ satisfies (5.2) and $x = 0$ on a subset F of E with $\mu(F) > 0$, then $x = 0$ on E .

Proof. By (5.2) there is a sequence $\{p_j\}$ of polynomials in \mathbb{C}^n with $\deg p_j \leq k_j$ satisfying $d_N(x, \mathcal{P}_{k_j}) = \|x - p_j\|$, and a constant $a \in (0, 1)$ such that for each $j \geq 1$,

$$\int_F N(|p_j|) d\mu \leq a^{k_j}.$$

We may suppose F is bounded. Take $b \in (a, 1)$. By the already known argument we can find $m > 0$ and a subset H of F with $\mu(H) > 0$ such that for each $z \in H$,

$$\sup_j b^{-k_j} N(|p_j(z)|) \leq m.$$

Hence by Lemma 5.1, there is a constant $d \in (b, 1)$ such that for $z \in H$,

$$(5.7) \quad \sup_j d^{-k_j} |p_j(z)| \leq N^{-1}(m)$$

and by the continuity of each p_j , the inequality holds for $z \in \bar{H}$. Since $c(\bar{H}) > 0$, by Kellogg's lemma (see Section 2) there is a point $z_0 \in \bar{H}$ such that $V_{\bar{H}}^*(z_0) = 0$. Hence by (5.7), $p_j(z) \rightarrow 0$, as $j \rightarrow \infty$, uniformly on an open neighbourhood U of z_0 , whence $x = 0$ on $E \cap U$. Now our assertion follows from (ii) which can be shown by the same argument as that of the proof of [12], Theorem 5.4, and therefore we omit the details.

5.6. Remark. Condition (ii) does not imply condition (i). For if μ is taken to be counting measure, then by Example 1.3, for each compact interval I , (I, μ) satisfies L^* , and the same time condition (i) fails to hold.

5.7. QUESTION. Does (i) imply (ii)? We note that the answer is "yes" in case of any measure of Example 1.6.

References

- [1] E. Bedford, *Envelopes of continuous, plurisubharmonic functions*, Math. Ann. 251 (1981), 175–183.
- [2] —, B. A. Taylor, *A new capacity for plurisubharmonic functions*, Acta Math. (Upsala) 149 (1982), 1–40.

- [3] U. Cegrell, *Some characterizations of L -regular points*, *Monatsh. Math.* 89 (1980), 289–292.
- [4] —, *Thin sets in C^n* , *Univ. Jagellon. Acta Math.* 25 (1985), 115–120.
- [5] E. W. Cheney, *Introduction to approximation theory*, McGraw-Hill Book Comp., New York 1966.
- [6] M. Klimek, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions and L -regular sets in C^n* , *Proc. Royal Irish Acad.* 82 A (1982), 217–230.
- [7] F. Leja, *Sur les suites de polynômes bornées presque partout sur la frontière d'un domaine*, *Math. Ann.* 108 (1933), 517–524.
- [8] Nguyen Thanh Van, *Familles de polynômes ponctuellement bornées*, *Ann. Polon. Math.* 21 (1975), 83–90.
- [9] —, A. Zeriahi, *Familles de polynômes presque partout bornées*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 2^e série, 107 (1983), 81–91.
- [10] W. Pleśniak, *Quasianalytic functions in the sense of Bernstein*, *Dissertationes Math.* 147, PWN, Warszawa 1977, 1–70.
- [11] —, *On a theorem of S. N. Bernstein in F -spaces*, *Zeszyty Nauk. Uniw. Jagiellon., Prace Mat.* 20 (1979), 7–16.
- [12] —, *Quasianalyticity in F -spaces of integrable functions*, *Approximation and Function Spaces (Proceedings of the International Conference held in Gdańsk, August 27–31, 1979)*, ed. Z. Ciesielski, PWN–North-Holland Publ. Comp., Warszawa–Amsterdam–New York–Oxford 1981, 558–571.
- [13] —, *Sur les conditions polynomiales du type Leja dans C^N* , *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 290 (1980), Série A, 309–311.
- [14] —, *A criterion for polynomial conditions of Leja's type in C^N* , *Univ. Jagellon. Acta Math.* 24 (1984), 139–142.
- [15] —, *L -regularity of subanalytic sets in R^n* , *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., sér. sci. math.* 32 (1984), 647–651.
- [16] S. Rolewicz, *Metric linear spaces*, PWN, Warszawa 1972.
- [17] A. Sadullaev, *P -regularity of sets in C^n* , *Proceedings of a Conference on Analytic Functions held in Kozubnik, April 19–25, 1979*, ed. J. Ławrynowicz, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer-Verlag, 798 (1980), 402–411.
- [18] J. Siciak, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions in C^n* , *Ann. Polon. Math.* 39 (1981), 175–211.
- [19] V. P. Zaharjuta, *Extremal plurisubharmonic functions; orthogonal polynomials and Bernstein–Walsh theorem for analytic functions of several complex variables*, *ibidem* 33 (1976), 137–148 (in Russian).

Added in proof

- [20] N. Levenberg, *Monge–Ampère measures associated to extremal plurisubharmonic functions in C^n* , preprint 1984.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI UJ
KRAKÓW

Reçu par la Rédaction le 20.01.1984
