

## On the mean values of an entire function and its derivatives represented by Dirichlet series

by OM PRAKASH JUNEJA (Kanpur, India)

1. Consider the Dirichlet series

$$f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}$$

where  $\lambda_{n+1} > \lambda_n$ ,  $\lambda_1 \geq 0$ ,  $s = \sigma + it$ , and

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{\lambda_n} = 0,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/\lambda_n} = 0.$$

Under conditions (1.1) and (1.2)  $f(s)$  represents an entire function and if  $M(\sigma) = \max_{-\infty < t < \infty} |f(\sigma + it)|$  and  $\mu(\sigma) = \max_{n \geq 1} |a_n| e^{\sigma \lambda_n}$ , then for functions of finite order,

$$(1.3) \quad \log M(\sigma) \sim \log \mu(\sigma).$$

Throughout our discussions we shall assume that  $f(s)$  is not an exponential polynomial and it satisfies (1.1) and (1.2).

The mean values of  $f(s)$  are defined as

$$(1.4) \quad V(\sigma) \equiv V(\sigma, f) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |f(\sigma + it)|^2 dt,$$

$$(1.5) \quad v_\delta(\sigma) \equiv v_\delta(\sigma, f) = \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma V(x) e^{\delta x} dx$$

$$= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T e^{\delta\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma \int_{-T}^T |f(x + it)|^2 e^{\delta x} dx dt, \quad 0 < \delta < \infty.$$

If  $f^{(m)}(s)$  denotes the  $m$ th derivative of  $f(s)$ , its mean values are similarly defined as

$$(1.6) \quad V(\sigma, f^{(m)}) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |f^{(m)}(\sigma + it)|^2 dt,$$

$$(1.7) \quad v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(m)}) = \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma V(x, f^{(m)}) e^{\delta x} dx \\ = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T e^{\delta\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma \int_{-T}^T |f^{(m)}(x + it)|^2 e^{\delta x} dx dt.$$

If  $f(s)$  is of order  $\rho$  and lower order  $\lambda$ , it is known ([1]) that

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \log \log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\inf \sigma} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda},$$

and that  $e^{\delta\sigma} V(\sigma)$  is a convex function of  $e^{\delta\sigma} v_\delta(\sigma)$  for any finite positive  $\delta$ .

In this paper we investigate into a few properties of  $v_\delta(\sigma)$  and  $v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(m)})$  and give an alternative proof of (1.8).

**2. THEOREM 1.**  $v_\delta(\sigma)$  increases steadily with  $\sigma$  and  $\log v_\delta(\sigma)$  is a convex function of  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ .

*Proof.* For all  $\sigma < \infty$ , it can be shown as in [3], p. 303, that

$$(2.1) \quad V(\sigma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 e^{2\sigma\lambda_n}.$$

Therefore, by (1.5),

$$v_\delta(\sigma) = \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 e^{2x\lambda_n} \right) e^{\delta x} dx.$$

The series under the integral sign is a uniformly convergent series of continuous functions for  $\sigma < \infty$  and hence,

$$(2.2) \quad v_\delta(\sigma) = \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_0^\sigma |a_n|^2 e^{2x\lambda_n} e^{\delta x} dx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2 e^{2\sigma\lambda_n}}{2\lambda_n + \delta} = \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{2\lambda_n + \delta}.$$

That  $v_\delta(\sigma)$  increases steadily with  $\sigma$  follows from (2.2). To prove the convexity of  $\log v_\delta(\sigma)$  we see that

$$\frac{d \log v_\delta(\sigma)}{d\sigma} = \frac{\frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} V(\sigma) e^{\delta\sigma} - \delta \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma V(x) e^{\delta x} dx}{v_\delta(\sigma)} = \frac{V(\sigma) - \delta v_\delta(\sigma)}{v_\delta(\sigma)} = \left[ \frac{V(\sigma)}{v_\delta(\sigma)} - \delta \right].$$

Now since  $e^{\delta\sigma}V(\sigma)$  is a convex function of  $e^{\delta\sigma}v_\delta(\sigma)$ , the right hand side is a positive indefinitely increasing function of  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$  <sup>(1)</sup> and so

$$\frac{d^2 \log v_\delta(\sigma)}{d\sigma^2} > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \sigma > \sigma_0.$$

Hence the result.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)})$  is the mean value of  $f^{(1)}(s)$ , the first derivative of  $f(s)$ , then*

$$(2.3) \quad v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)}) \geq v_\delta(\sigma) \left( \frac{\log v_\delta(\sigma)}{2\sigma} \right)^2$$

for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ .

**Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)}) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T e^{\delta\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma \int_{-T}^T |f^{(1)}(x+it)|^2 e^{\delta x} dx dt \\ &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T e^{\delta\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma \int_{-T}^T \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{f(x+it) - f(\overline{x-x\varepsilon+it})}{\varepsilon x} \right|^2 e^{\delta x} dx dt \\ &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2T e^{\delta\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma \int_{-T}^T \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{|f(x+it)| - |f(\overline{x-x\varepsilon+it})|}{\varepsilon x} \right\}^2 e^{\delta x} dx dt. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by Minkowski's inequality ([3], p. 384)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\{ \int_{-T}^T (|f(x+it)| - |f(\overline{x-x\varepsilon+it})|)^2 dt \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\geq \left\{ \left( \int_{-T}^T |f(x+it)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} - \left( \int_{-T}^T |f(\overline{x-x\varepsilon+it})|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)}) &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma} 2T \varepsilon^2} \times \\ &\times \left[ \int_0^\sigma \left\{ \left( \int_{-T}^T |f(x+it)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} - \left( \int_{-T}^T |f(\overline{x-x\varepsilon+it})|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \right\}^2 \frac{e^{\delta x}}{x^2} dx \right] \\ &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2T \varepsilon^2 \sigma^2 e^{\delta\sigma}} \times \\ &\times \left[ \int_0^\sigma \left\{ \left( \int_{-T}^T |f(x+it)|^2 e^{\delta x} dt \right)^{1/2} - \left( \int_{-T}^T |f(\overline{x-x\varepsilon+it})|^2 e^{\delta x} dt \right)^{1/2} \right\}^2 dx \right]. \end{aligned}$$

<sup>(1)</sup>  $\sigma_0$  need not be the same at each occurrence.

Again using Minkowski's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)}) &\geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2T\varepsilon^2\sigma^2 e^{\delta\sigma}} \times \\ &\times \left\{ \left( \int_0^\sigma \int_{-T}^T |f(x+it)|^2 e^{\delta x} dx dt \right)^{1/2} - \left( \int_0^\sigma \int_{-T}^T |f(x-x\varepsilon+it)|^2 e^{\delta x} dx dt \right)^{1/2} \right\}^2 \\ &\geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[ \frac{\{v_\delta(\sigma)\}^{1/2} - \{v_\delta(\sigma - \sigma\varepsilon)\}^{1/2}}{\varepsilon\sigma} \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now let,

$$g(\sigma) = \frac{\log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\sigma}$$

then since  $\log v_\delta(\sigma)$  is a steadily increasing convex function of  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ , it follows that  $g(\sigma)$  is a positive increasing function of  $\sigma$  and therefore

$$v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)}) \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left\{ \frac{e^{\sigma g(\sigma)/2} - e^{(\sigma - \sigma\varepsilon)g(\sigma - \sigma\varepsilon)/2}}{\varepsilon\sigma} \right\}^2 \geq e^{\sigma g(\sigma)} \left\{ \frac{g(\sigma)}{2} \right\}^2 = v_\delta(\sigma) \left\{ \frac{\log v_\delta(\sigma)}{2\sigma} \right\}^2.$$

**THEOREM 3.** If  $f(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n e^{s\lambda_n}$  be an entire function of Ritt order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ), lower order  $\lambda$ , type  $\kappa$  and lower type  $\nu$ , then

$$(2.4) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \log \log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\inf \sigma} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sup \log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\inf e^{\delta\sigma}} = \frac{2\kappa}{2\nu}.$$

**Proof.** We have

$$(2.6) \quad v_\delta(\sigma) = \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} \int_0^\sigma V(x) e^{\delta x} dx \leq \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} \{M(\sigma)\}^2 \int_0^\sigma e^{\delta x} dx = \frac{1}{\delta} \{M(\sigma)\}^2 \{1 - e^{-\delta\sigma}\}.$$

Now if  $\mu(\sigma)$  be the maximum term of rank  $N(\sigma)$  for  $\text{Re}(s) = \sigma$ , in the series for  $f(s)$ , it follows from (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} (2.7) \quad v_\delta(\sigma) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2 e^{2\lambda_n \sigma}}{2\lambda_n + \delta} - \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_n|^2}{2\lambda_n + \delta} \\ &\geq \frac{|a_{N(\sigma)}|^2 e^{2\sigma\lambda_{N(\sigma)}}}{2\lambda_{N(\sigma)} + \delta} - \frac{1}{e^{\delta\sigma}} \frac{|a_{N(\sigma)}|}{2\lambda_{N(\sigma)} + \delta} \\ &= \frac{\{\mu(\sigma)\}^2}{2\lambda_{N(\sigma)} + \delta} - \frac{|a_{N(\sigma)}|}{(2\lambda_{N(\sigma)} + \delta) e^{\delta\sigma}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence from (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$\frac{\{\mu(\sigma)\}^2}{2\lambda_{N(\sigma)} + \delta} - o(1) \leq v_\delta(\sigma) \leq \frac{\{M(\sigma)\}^2}{\delta}.$$

Now making use of (1.3), we get

$$(2.8) \quad \log v_\delta(\sigma) \sim 2 \log M(\sigma).$$

The results (2.4) and (2.5) easily follow from (2.8) since

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log \log M(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \rho$$

and

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{e^{\rho\sigma}} = \kappa$$

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $N(\sigma)$  denotes the rank of the maximum term  $\mu(\sigma)$  of  $f(s)$  and  $0 < \lambda < \rho < \infty$ , then*

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\sigma \lambda_{N(\sigma)}} \leq 2 \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right)$$

and

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\lambda_{N(\sigma)} \log \lambda_{N(\sigma)}} \leq 2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\rho}\right).$$

*Proof.* The results follow in view of (2.6) and the following results of Srivastav ([2], p. 84)

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(\sigma)}{\sigma \lambda_{N(\sigma)}} = \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{\sigma \lambda_{N(\sigma)}} \leq \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\rho}\right)$$

and

$$\limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \mu(\sigma)}{\lambda_{N(\sigma)} \log \lambda_{N(\sigma)}} = \limsup_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log M(\sigma)}{\lambda_{N(\sigma)} \log \lambda_{N(\sigma)}} \leq \left(\frac{1}{\lambda} - \frac{1}{\rho}\right).$$

**COROLLARY.** *If  $f(s)$  is of linearly regular growth, then*

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\sigma \lambda_{N(\sigma)}} = 0 = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\lambda_{N(\sigma)} \log \lambda_{N(\sigma)}}.$$

**3.** In the first theorem we have shown that  $\log v_\delta(\sigma)$  is an increasing convex function of  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ . This implies that  $\log v_\delta(\sigma)$  is differentiable almost everywhere with an increasing derivative. This enables us to write  $\log v_\delta(\sigma)$  in the following form:

$$(3.1) \quad \log v_\delta(\sigma) = O(1) + \int_{\sigma_0}^{\sigma} \frac{v'_\delta(x)}{v_\delta(x)} dx.$$

This integral representation of  $\log v_\delta(\sigma)$  helps us in proving the following theorem:

**THEOREM 5.** *If  $v'_\delta(\sigma)$  denotes the derivative of  $v_\delta(\sigma)$  with respect to  $\sigma$ , then*

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \{v'_\delta(\sigma)/v_\delta(\sigma)\}}{\sigma} = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda}.$$

**Proof.** From (3.1), we have

$$\log v_\delta(\sigma) \leq O(1) + (\sigma - \sigma_0) \frac{v'_\delta(\sigma)}{v_\delta(\sigma)}$$

and therefore

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\sigma} \leq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \{v'_\delta(\sigma)/v_\delta(\sigma)\}}{\sigma}.$$

Further, for an arbitrary  $\eta > 0$ ,  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ .

$$\log v_\delta(\sigma + \eta) \geq \int_{\sigma}^{\sigma + \eta} \frac{v'_\delta(x)}{v_\delta(x)} dx \geq \eta \frac{v'_\delta(\sigma)}{v_\delta(\sigma)}$$

and as  $\sigma + \eta \sim \sigma$ , we get

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \log v_\delta(\sigma + \eta)}{\sigma + \eta} \geq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \{v'_\delta(\sigma)/v_\delta(\sigma)\}}{\sigma}.$$

(3.3) and (3.4) give (3.2).

**4.** In this section we give a few applications of the above theorems.

(i) *If  $0 < \lambda$ ,  $\rho < \infty$ , then*

$$(4.1) \quad v_\delta(\sigma) < v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)}) < v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(2)}) < \dots$$

for sufficiently large  $\sigma$ .

From Theorem 2, we have

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \{v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)})/v_\delta(\sigma)\}^{1/2}}{\sigma} \geq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \frac{\rho}{\lambda}.$$

Therefore, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and sufficiently large  $\sigma$ ,

$$v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)}) > e^{2\sigma(\lambda - \rho)} v_\delta(\sigma).$$

As  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\varepsilon$  can be made arbitrarily small, it follows that

$$v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)}) > v_\delta(\sigma).$$

Writing the above inequality for  $f^{(1)}(s)$ ,  $f^{(2)}(s)$ , ... and combining them, we get (4.1).

(ii) For  $0 < \lambda, \varrho < \infty$

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \{v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(m)})/v_\delta(\sigma)\}^{1/2m}}{\sigma} \geq \lambda.$$

Writing the result of Theorem 2 for  $f^{(m-1)}(s)$ , we get

$$\frac{v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(m)})}{v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(m-1)})} \geq \frac{1}{2^2} \left( \frac{\log v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(m-1)})}{\sigma} \right)^2.$$

Taking  $m = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and multiplying the  $m$  inequalities thus obtained, we get

$$\frac{v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(m)})}{v_\delta(\sigma)} > \frac{1}{2^{2m}} \left( \frac{\log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\sigma} \right)^{2m},$$

since

$$v_\delta(\sigma) < v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(1)}) < v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(2)}) < \dots$$

for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $\sigma > \sigma_0$ . Hence

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \{v_\delta(\sigma, f^{(m)})/v_\delta(\sigma)\}^{1/2m}}{\sigma} \geq \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} \sup \inf \frac{\log \log v_\delta(\sigma)}{\sigma} = \lambda.$$

Finally I must thank Dr. R. S. L. Srivastava for his help and guidance.

### References

- [1] P. K. Kamthan, *On the mean values of an entire function represented by Dirichlet series*, Acta Mathematica Acad. Scien. Hung. 15 (1964), pp. 133-137.
- [2] R. P. Srivastav, *On the entire functions and their derivatives represented by Dirichlet series*, Ganita 9 (2), (1958), pp. 83-93.
- [3] E. C. Titchmarsh, *The Theory of Functions*, Oxford 1960.

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, KANPUR

Reçu par la Rédaction le 12. 5. 1965