

## Complete differentials of higher order in linear field modules

by JAN KUBARSKI (Łódź)

**Abstract.** Complete differentials of higher order in linear field modules are defined. A certain necessary condition for the existence of a complete differential of higher order is given. It is proved that this condition holds in a broad class of linear field modules, which contains differential modules and modules of vector fields on differential spaces of class  $\mathcal{D}_0$ . Jet-field modules of a linear field module are constructed. The exactness of the sequence of jet-modules is examined. A one-to-one correspondence between complete differentials of higher order and splittings of jet-module sequences is established. An example of a differential space of class  $\mathcal{D}_0$  is given in which

1° the module of vector fields over that space in every neighbourhood of a certain point does not possess any vector basis, i.e. it is not differential,

2° a covariant derivative, i.e. a complete differential of the first order, exists in the module of vector fields.

**Introduction.** We consider a manifold  $M$  and a vector bundle  $\xi$  over  $M$  and we denote (as usual) by  $J^k(\xi)$  the vector bundle of holonomic  $k$ -order jets of local sections of  $\xi$ . The exact sequence of vector bundles

$$0 \rightarrow L_s^k(TM, \xi) \rightarrow J^k(\xi) \rightarrow J^{k-1}(\xi) \rightarrow 0$$

called the *sequence of jet-bundles* is well known from the works by R. Palais ([6]), N. V. Que ([9]), D. Spencer ([13], [14]) and others. A differential operator of order  $k$ , corresponding to a splitting of the sequence, is termed by Palais a complete differential of order  $k$  in a bundle  $\xi$ . In the case  $k = 1$  it is simply a covariant derivative.

In the present work we consider an arbitrary differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  ([5], [10]) instead of a manifold  $M$  and an arbitrary linear field module  $\mathcal{W}$  instead of the module of sections of a vector bundle  $\xi$ . Differential spaces have been examined in the works by R. Sikorski ([11], [12]), W. Waliszewski ([18], [19]) as well as in the works by P. Walczak ([15]–[17]), K. Cegiłka ([2], [3]), M. Pustelnik ([8]) and others. Linear field modules defined on differential spaces were introduced by R. Sikorski ([11]).

In the present work we shall construct a linear field module  $J^k(\mathcal{W})$  and an exact sequence of jet-modules analogous to the sequence of jet-bundles

and we shall prove the equivalence between the definition of a complete differential as a certain differential operator of order  $k$  and as a splitting of the jet-module sequence. The construction of the module  $J^k(\mathcal{W})$  will be possible under certain assumptions about the module  $\mathcal{W}$ ; the exactness of the jet-module sequence will occur in certain conditions. These assumptions and conditions will be examined more precisely for a class of pseudo-differential modules, which contains differential modules ([11], [12]) and modules of vector fields on a differential space of the class  $\mathcal{D}_0$  ([16], [17]). K. Cegińska in [2] showed that if a linear field module  $\mathcal{W}$  on a differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  is differential and if it is possible to subordinate a smooth partition of unity to every open covering of the space  $(M, \tau_{\mathcal{C}})$ , then there exists in  $\mathcal{W}$  a scalar product, and so a covariant derivative also exists. It turns out that the existence of a scalar product does not imply the existence of a local basis in the module under consideration. An adequate example will be given at the end of section 3.

**1. Preliminaries.** Differential spaces discussed in this paper as well as the notions of a tangent vector, tangent space, smooth mapping, tangent mapping, smooth vector field and the denotations  $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_A$  have been adopted from the works by R. Sikorski [10], [12]. A  $\mathcal{C}$ -module of smooth vector fields on a differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C})$  and the vector subspace of the tangent space  $(M, \mathcal{C})_p$ ,  $p \in M$ , consisting of these vectors which are values of a smooth vector field will be denoted by  $(M, \mathcal{C})'_p$ .

In a differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  whose topology is paracompact and locally compact, for any open covering there exists a smooth partition of unity subordinated to this covering; this fact has been proved by K. Cegińska ([2]), M. Pustelnik in [8] proved that the assumption of local compactness may be replaced by  $\mathcal{C}$ -normality. It is easy to show that the assumptions of  $\mathcal{C}$ -normality is weaker than that of local compactness (assuming paracompactness) and equivalent to the existence of a smooth partition of unity subordinate to an arbitrary open covering.

**1.1. Differential spaces of class  $\mathcal{D}_0$ .** The existence and specification of the widest class of differential spaces in which the theorem on a diffeomorphism holds was a problem raised by Waliszewski and solved by Walczak in his paper [16]. Paper [17] was devoted to the investigation of that class.

**THEOREM 1.1.1.** *If  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  is a differential space of class  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , then the set  $M'$  of all points  $p \in M$  for which*

$$(M, \mathcal{C})_p = (M, \mathcal{C})'_p$$

*is open and dense in topology  $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$ .*

**Proof.** The openness of  $M'$  is evident from the definition of this set. For

a non-negative integer  $n$ , let  $M_n$  be the set of all points  $p \in M$  for which  $\dim(M, \mathcal{C})_p = n$ . It is easy to see that

$$M' = \bigcup_n \text{Int } M_n.$$

To prove that  $\overline{M'} = M$  we shall show that every point  $p \in M$  has a neighbourhood  $U \in \tau_{\mathcal{C}}$  such that

$$(1.1.1) \quad U \subset \overline{M' \cap U}.$$

We take a set  $U$  covering  $p$  such that  $\dim(M, \mathcal{C})_q \leq \dim(M, \mathcal{C})_p$  for  $q \in U$ . Obviously, if  $n = \dim(M, \mathcal{C})_p$ , then

$$M' \cap U = \bigcup_{k=0}^n ((\text{Int } M_k) \cap U) = \bigcup_{k=0}^n \text{Int}(M_k \cap U).$$

Let  $A_k = (M_k \cap U) \setminus \text{Int}(M_k \cap U)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Since

$$U \setminus (M' \cap U) = \bigcup_{k=0}^n A_k,$$

to show inclusion (1.1.1) it suffices to prove the equality

$$(1.1.2) \quad \text{Int}\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^r A_k\right) = \emptyset, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

We apply induction on  $r$ . Since  $M_0 \cap U$  is open, equality (1.1.2) is satisfied for  $r = 0$ . Assume that (1.1.2) is satisfied for an integer  $r < n$ . From the openness of the set  $U \cap (M_0 \cup \dots \cup M_r)$  and the equality  $\overline{A_{r+1}} \cap (M_0 \cup \dots \cup M_r) \cap U = \emptyset$  results

$$\text{Int}\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^{r+1} A_k\right) = \left(\text{Int}\left(\bigcup_{k=0}^r A_k\right)\right) \cup \text{Int } A_{r+1} = \emptyset. \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

The above theorem states that, in general, there are “many” vector fields in a differential space of class  $\mathcal{D}_0$ .

## 1.2. Examples of differential spaces.

**1.2.1.** Let  $M$  and  $N$  be manifolds of class  $C^\infty$  and let  $f: M \rightarrow N$ ; denote by  $\mathcal{T}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{T}(N)$  the rings of smooth functions on  $M$  and  $N$ ; then the differential spaces  $(f[M], \mathcal{T}(N)_{f[M]})$  and  $(f^{-1}[\{a\}], \mathcal{T}(M)_{f^{-1}[\{a\}]})$ , where  $a \in N$  are not in general submanifolds.

**1.2.2.** The differential space  $(M \times N, \mathcal{T}(M) \times \mathcal{T}(N))$  ([13]) is not a manifold if  $M$  and  $N$  are manifolds with a boundary.

**1.2.3.** Let  $N, N'$  be submanifolds of  $M$ . The differential spaces  $(N \cap N', \mathcal{T}(M)_{N \cap N'})$  and  $(N \cup N', \mathcal{T}(M)_{N \cup N'})$  need not be submanifolds.

**1.2.4.** On a manifold  $M$ , an arbitrary collection of vector fields

$X_1, \dots, X_k$  defines several subspaces of the space  $(M, \mathcal{T}(M))$  of the form  $(A, \mathcal{T}(M)_A)$ , where, for example,

- (a)  $A = \{p \in M; X_1(p) = \dots = X_k(p) = 0\}$ ,
- (b)  $A = \{p \in M; \text{the vectors } X_1(p), \dots, X_k(p) \text{ are linearly independent}\}$ .

**1.2.5.** Let  $K$  be a solid in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A differential space  $(K, C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)_K)$  and the  $k$ -dimensional skeletons of this solid with the differential structure induced from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  need not be manifolds. However, the solid may be a union (in the sense of example 3) of manifolds with a boundary.

**1.2.6.** Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold. Let us fix point  $p \in M$  and denote by  $C(p)$  the set of vectors  $v \in M_p$  for which the differential  $(d \exp_p)_v$  is not an isomorphism. The corresponding differential subspaces  $C(p)$  and  $\exp_p[C(p)]$  of the spaces  $M_p$  and  $M$  need not be submanifolds.

**1.2.7.** We define a structure  $\mathcal{C}$  on the set  $R$  of real numbers by the formula

$$\mathcal{C} = (S_C C_0)_R, \quad \text{where } C_0 = \{R \ni t \mapsto |t - s| \in R; s \in R\}.$$

Then  $\dim(R, C)_t = 2$  and  $\dim(R, C)_t = 0$  for any point  $t \in R$ .

The spaces in examples 1–6 are obviously of class  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , while in the last example the space  $(R, \mathcal{C})$  is not of class  $\mathcal{D}_0$ , according to Theorem 1.1.1.

### 1.3. Linear field modules.

**DEFINITION 1.3.1.** A *linear field module* is a triple  $\mathcal{W} = ((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$ , where  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  is a differential space,  $\Phi$  is a function assigning vector spaces  $\Phi(p)$  to points  $p \in M$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  is a certain  $\mathcal{C}$ -module of linear  $\Phi$ -fields satisfying the condition:

If  $W$  is a linear  $\Phi$ -field such that for any point  $p \in M$  there exist a neighbourhood  $U \in \tau_{\mathcal{C}}$  of this point and a field  $V \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $W|_U = V|_U$ , then  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ .

A module  $\mathcal{W}$  satisfying the last condition is said to be closed with respect to localization.

We shall denote by  $\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)$  the vector space consisting of vectors  $v \in \Phi(p)$  which are the values of fields from the module  $\mathcal{W}$ .

Suppose that with every point  $p \in M$  there is associated a linear mapping  $L(p): \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p) \rightarrow \Psi_{\mathcal{V}}(p)$  satisfying the condition

$$L(W) = (M \ni p \mapsto L(p)(W(p))) \in \mathcal{V} \quad \text{for } W \in \mathcal{W};$$

then  $L$  is called a *homomorphism of the linear field module*  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  into the linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Psi, \mathcal{V})$ . Then  $L: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is a homomorphism of  $\mathcal{C}$ -modules.

A homomorphism of  $\mathcal{C}$ -modules  $L: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  induces a homomorphism of linear field modules if and only if it satisfies the following condition:

if  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  and  $W(p) = 0$ , then  $L(W)(p) = 0$ ;

if  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{W}$  are modules of  $\Phi$  and  $\theta$ -linear fields on a differential

space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$ , then we denote by  $L_s^k(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{W})$  the module of all linear  $\Psi$ -fields  $L$ , where  $\Psi(p) = L_s^k(\Phi_{\mathcal{V}}(p); \theta_{\mathcal{W}}(p))$ ,  $p \in M$ , such that  $L(V_1, \dots, V_k) \in \mathcal{W}$  for  $V_1, \dots, V_k \in \mathcal{V}$ . The module  $L(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{C})$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{V}^*$ .

An example of a differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$ , a linear field module  $\mathcal{W}$  and a  $\mathcal{C}$ -linear mapping from  $\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C})$  into  $\mathcal{W}$  which is not a linear  $\Psi$ -field will be given at the end of section 3. However, if every vector field  $V \in \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C})$  equal 0 at  $p$  is of the form  $V = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i$  for some functions  $f^i \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $f^i(p) = 0$  and fields  $W_i \in \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then every  $\mathcal{C}$ -multilinear mapping from the module  $\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C})$  into  $\mathcal{W}$  is a linear  $\Psi$ -field.

#### 1.4. Pseudo-differential modules.

**DEFINITION 1.4.1.** A linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  is called a *pseudo-differential module* if for any point  $q \in M$  there exist a neighbourhood  $U \in \tau_q$  of this point and a differential module  $((U, \mathcal{C}_U), \Psi, \mathcal{V})$  such that  $\Phi(p) \subset \Psi(p)$  for  $p \in U$  and

(1.4.1) if  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and  $V(p) \in \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)$  for any point  $p \in U$ , then  $V \in \mathcal{W}_U$ .

Differential modules and modules of smooth vector fields on a differential space of class  $\mathcal{D}_0$  are examples of pseudo-differential modules. Basic properties of pseudo-differential modules are given underneath:

**THEOREM 1.4.1.** If  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  is a pseudo-differential module, then:

(1)  $\Psi_{\mathcal{W}^*}(p) = (\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p))^*$ , where  $\Psi(p) = (\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p))^*$ ,  $p \in M$ ; i.e. for any linear mapping  $\tau: \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p) \rightarrow R$  there exists a field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$  such that  $h(p) = \tau$ ;

(2) if  $W$  is a  $\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}$ -linear field such that for any field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$  the function  $h \circ W$  belongs to the ring  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ ;

(3) this module is reflexive, i.e. the mapping  $H_{\mathcal{W}}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^{**}$  defined by the formula  $H_{\mathcal{W}}(W) = (\mathcal{W}^* \ni h \mapsto h \circ W \in \mathcal{C})$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , is a linear field module isomorphism.

**Remark.** Actually, it will be proved that the relations  $1 \Rightarrow (2 \Leftrightarrow 3)$  hold for any linear field modules.

(a)  $(1 \wedge 2) \Rightarrow 3$ . It suffices to prove that  $\ker H_{\mathcal{W}} = 0$  and  $\text{im } H_{\mathcal{W}} = \mathcal{W}^{**}$ . If  $H_{\mathcal{W}}(W) = 0$  for a certain field  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , then  $h(p)(W(p)) = 0$  for every field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$ . From condition (1) follows the equality  $W(p) = 0$ . Now consider a field  $L \in \mathcal{W}^{**}$ . From assumption (1) it follows that for any point  $p \in M$  there is exactly one element  $v \in \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)$  such that  $L(p)(\tau) = \tau(v)$  for  $\tau \in \Psi_{\mathcal{W}^*}(p)$ . This defines a certain linear  $\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}$ -field  $W$  for which  $h \circ W = (M \ni p \mapsto h(p)(W(p))) = (M \ni p \mapsto L(p)(h(p))) = L(h) \in \mathcal{C}$  for every field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$ . From the assumption (2) it follows that  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ .

(b)  $(1 \wedge 3) \Rightarrow 2$ . If  $W$  is an arbitrary linear  $\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}$ -field such that  $h \circ W \in \mathcal{C}$  for any field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$ , then  $(\mathcal{W}^* \ni h \mapsto h \circ W \in \mathcal{C}) \in \mathcal{W}^{**}$ . Hence there exists exactly one field  $W' \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $h \circ W = h \circ W'$  for any field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$ . In view of condition (1) we have the equality  $W = W'$ .

**Proof of the theorem.** Obviously, it suffices to check that a pseudo-differential module fulfils conditions (1) and (2). Let us take a point  $q \in M$ , a neighbourhood  $U \in \tau_{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $q$  and a differential module  $((U, \mathcal{C}_U), \theta, \mathcal{V})$  such that  $\Phi(p) \subset \theta(p)$  for  $p \in U$  and condition (1.4.2) is fulfilled.

(1) Let  $\tau: \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(q) \rightarrow R$  be an arbitrary linear mapping and let  $\varrho: \theta(q) \rightarrow R$  be a certain linear extension of it. Let us take an arbitrary field  $F \in \mathcal{V}^*$  such that  $F(q) = \varrho$ . Obviously, the field  $F' = F|_{\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}}$  defined by the formula  $(F|_{\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}})(p) = F(p)|_{\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)}$ ,  $p \in U$ , is an element of the module  $(\mathcal{W}_U)^*$  and has the property:  $F'(q) = \tau$ . Taking into account the  $\mathcal{C}$ -regularity of the space  $(M, \tau_{\mathcal{C}})$  ([14]), we see that condition (1) is fulfilled.

(2) Let  $W$  be an arbitrary linear  $\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}$ -field such that  $h \circ W \in \mathcal{C}$  for any field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$ . In particular,  $F \circ (W|U) = F|_{\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}} \circ (W|U) \in \mathcal{C}$  for any field  $F \in \mathcal{V}^*$ . Therefore  $W|U \in \mathcal{V}$ , and further, from assumption (1.4.1), it follows that  $W|U \in \mathcal{W}_U$ . Hence  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ . q.e.d.

### 1.5. Examples of linear field modules.

**1.5.1.** Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be vector bundles over manifolds  $M$  and  $N$ , respectively, and let  $\alpha: \xi \rightarrow \eta$  be a morphism of vector bundles, i.e. a smooth mapping such that  $\alpha_p = \alpha|_{\xi_p}: \xi_p \rightarrow \eta_{f(p)}$ ,  $p \in M$  is a linear mapping, where  $f: M \rightarrow N$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be a submodule of the module  $C^\infty(\xi)$  consisting of sections  $\sigma$  for which  $\sigma(p) \in \ker \alpha_p$ ,  $p \in M$ , and  $\mathcal{V}$  a submodule of  $C^\infty(f^*\eta)$  consisting of fields  $\sigma$  for which  $\sigma(p) \in \alpha_p[\xi_p]$ ,  $p \in M$ . The linear field modules

$$(M, (M \ni p \mapsto \ker \alpha_p), \mathcal{W}), \quad (M, (M \ni p \mapsto \operatorname{im} \alpha_p), \mathcal{V})$$

are not, in general, differential modules (i.e.  $\bigcup_{p \in M} \ker \alpha_p$  and  $\bigcup_{p \in M} \operatorname{im} \alpha_p$  generally are not subbundles of  $\xi$  and  $f^*\eta$ , respectively).

**1.5.2.** Let  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  be vector bundles over a manifold  $M$  and  $\Phi$  a differential operator of order  $k$  from the bundle  $\xi$  into  $\eta$ . Following Spencer ([13], [14]), we denote by  $\varphi$  the corresponding morphism of the vector bundle  $J^k(\xi)$  into  $\eta$ , by  $P_l(\varphi)$  its  $l$ -th extension  $P_l(\varphi): J^{k+l}(\xi) \rightarrow J^l(\eta)$  and by  $\sigma_l(\varphi)$  the unique linear morphism  $\sigma_l(\varphi): S^{k+l}T^* \otimes \xi \rightarrow S^lT^* \otimes \xi$ ,  $l \geq 0$ , such that the following diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} 0 & & 0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^{k+l}T^* \otimes \xi & \xrightarrow{\sigma_l(\varphi)} & S^lT^* \otimes \xi \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ J^{k+l}(\xi) & \xrightarrow{P_l(\varphi)} & J^l(\xi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ J^{k+l-1}(\xi) & \xrightarrow{P_{l-1}(\varphi)} & J^{l-1}(\xi) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Let  $g_{k+1} = \ker \sigma_1(\varphi) \subset S^{k+1} T^* \otimes \xi$  and  $R_{k+1} = \ker P_1(\varphi) \subset J^{k+1}(\xi)$ . Adequate linear field modules (constructed accordingly to the scheme from the former example) with values in  $g_{k+1}$  and  $R_{k+1}$ , respectively, are not differential, in general.

**1.5.3.** Let  $M$  be a manifold. An arbitrary collection of smooth vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  defines a linear field module in which  $\Phi(p)$ ,  $p \in M$ , is the vector space spanned by the vectors  $X_1(p), \dots, X_k(p)$ .

**1.5.4.** Let us consider a curve  $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^\infty$  and at every point  $p \in (a, b)$  the osculating space of order  $k$  to  $f$  in the sense of E. Cartan ([1]), i.e. the plane in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  spanned by the points:  $f(p)$ ,  $f(p) + f'(p)$ ,  $f(p) + f''(p)$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $f(p) + f^{(k)}(p)$ . Let us produce a linear field module in which  $\Phi(p)$ ,  $p \in (a, b)$ , will be the osculating space of order  $k$  to the curve  $f$  and all mappings  $V: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  of class  $C^\infty$ , such that  $V(p) \in \Phi(p)$ ,  $p \in (a, b)$ , will form a linear  $\Phi$ -field module. The generated linear field module need not be differential. A generalization of the above definition of the osculating space to a curve in the case of a realization  $f$  of a manifold  $M$  in the space  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , was given by W. Pohl ([7]). Proceeding as above, we can again define a linear field module which, in general is not differential.

## 2. Ideals $I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** For an arbitrary differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  and a point  $p \in M$  we define by induction the sets  $I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , in the following way:

(a)  $I_p^{(1)}(M, \mathcal{C}) = I_p(M, \mathcal{C})$  equals the set of functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  for which  $f(p) = 0$ ;

(b)  $f \in I_p^{(k+1)}(M, \mathcal{C})$  if and only if  $f \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$  and for any collection of vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C})$  the equality

$$[(X_1, \dots, X_k)f](p) = 0$$

holds.

Note that:

(2.1) The sets  $I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , are ideals in the ring  $\mathcal{C}$ ,

(2.2) If  $f \in I_p^{(k+1)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq k$ ,  $X_1, \dots, X_r \in \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C})$ , then  $(X_1, \dots, X_r)f \in I_p^{(k+1-r)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ ,

(2.3)  $[(I_p^{(1)}(M, \mathcal{C}))^k]_M \subset I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ .

As a rule, inclusion (2.3) cannot be replaced by an equality.

**EXAMPLE 2.1.** Let  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  be the set of points  $(x, y)$  for which  $x = 0$  or  $y = 0$  and let  $D = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)_A$ . Obviously, the dimension of the space  $(A, D)_{(0,0)}$  is equal 2; moreover, since every smooth vector field on  $(A, D)$  is equal 0 at the point  $(0, 0)$ , the dimension of the space  $(A, D)'_{(0,0)}$  is equal 0. Consequently  $I_p^{(k)}(A, D) = I_p^{(1)}(A, D)$  for  $k \geq 1$ . There exists a function

$\alpha \in I_p^{(1)}(A, D)$  such that  $(d\alpha)_{(0,0)} \neq 0$ ; so  $\alpha \notin ((I_p^{(1)}(A, D))^2)_A$  and also  $\alpha \notin ((I_p^{(1)}(A, D))^k)_A$ .

THEOREM 2.1. For any differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$ , any point  $p \in M$  and any positive integer  $k$  there exists exactly one linear mapping

$$d_p^{(k)}: I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, R)$$

such that for vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C})$  and functions  $f \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$  the equality

$$(d_p^{(k)} f)(X_1(p), \dots, X_k(p)) = [(X_1, \dots, X_k)f](p)$$

holds. Moreover, the sequence

$$(2.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow I_p^{(k+1)}(M, \mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{d_p^{(k)}} L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, R) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact if  $\dim(M, \mathcal{C})'_p < \infty$ .

Proof. The existence of the mapping  $d_p^{(k)}$ , its uniqueness and linearity may be checked just as in the case when  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  is a manifold ([6]). To prove the exactness of the sequence (2.4) it suffices to show the surjectivity of the mapping  $d_p^{(k)}$  in the case when  $\dim(M, \mathcal{C})'_p > 0$ . Let  $\alpha: \otimes^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p)^* \rightarrow L^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, R)$  be the natural linear isomorphism. Let us fix a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  of the space  $(M, \mathcal{C})'_p$  and take arbitrary vector fields  $X_1, \dots, X_k \in \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C})$  such that  $X_i(p) = v_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . There exist functions  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\beta_j(p) = 0$  and  $X_i(\beta_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j \leq n$  ([12]). An arbitrary element  $\tau$  of the space  $\otimes^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p)^*$  is of the form

$$\tau = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1} a_{i_1, \dots, i_k} d_p^{(1)} \beta_{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes d_p^{(1)} \beta_{i_k}$$

with uniquely determined numbers  $a_{i_1, \dots, i_k} \in R$ .  $\alpha(\tau)$  is a symmetric mapping if and only if the matrix

$$[a_{i_1, \dots, i_k}; 1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_k \leq n]$$

is symmetric.

Let now  $\alpha(\tau)$  be an arbitrary element of the space  $L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, R)$ . Let

$$f = \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = k \\ 0 \leq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \leq k}} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} \beta_1^{\alpha_1} \dots \beta_n^{\alpha_n} a_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)},$$

where the number  $a_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}$  is equal  $a_{i_1, \dots, i_k}$  for the sequence  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  constructed in the following way: at the beginning the number 1 appears  $\alpha_1$  times, then the number 2 is repeated  $\alpha_2$  times etc., the number  $n$  occurs  $\alpha_n$



times. It is clear that for a sequence  $\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n$  such that  $\alpha'_1 + \dots + \alpha'_n = k$  and  $0 \leq \alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n \leq k$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (d_p^{(k)} f) \underbrace{(X_1(p), \dots, X_1(p))}_{\alpha'_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{(X_n(p), \dots, X_n(p))}_{\alpha'_n \text{ times}} \\
 &= (d_p^{(k)} f)(X_1^{\alpha'_1}(p), \dots, X_n^{\alpha'_n}(p)) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = k \\ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \geq 0}} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} a_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)}(X_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, X_n^{\alpha_n})(\beta_1^{\alpha_1}, \dots, \beta_n^{\alpha_n})(p) \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_n = k \\ \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \geq 0}} \frac{1}{\alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!} a_{(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)} \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n! \delta_{\alpha_1}^{\alpha'_1} \dots \delta_{\alpha_n}^{\alpha'_n} \\
 &= a_{(\alpha'_1, \dots, \alpha'_n)} = \alpha(\tau) \underbrace{(X_1(p), \dots, X_1(p))}_{\alpha'_1 \text{ times}}, \dots, \underbrace{(X_n(p), \dots, X_n(p))}_{\alpha'_n \text{ times}}
 \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

There exists a differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  and a point  $p \in M$  at which  $\dim(M, \mathcal{C})_p = \infty$  and  $\dim(M, \mathcal{C})'_p < \infty$ .

EXAMPLE 2.2. Let  $(A, D)$  be a differential space from Example 2.1. Let us take  $(M, \mathcal{C}) = \bigtimes_{m \in \mathbb{N}} (A_m, D_m)$ , where  $(A_m, D_m) = (A, D)$ ,  $m = 1, 2, \dots$ , and a point  $p \in M$  such that  $pr_n(p) = (0, 0)$ . It can be proved that  $\dim(M, \mathcal{C})_p = \infty$  and  $\dim(M, \mathcal{C})'_p = 0$ .

LEMMA 2.1. If functions  $f^1, \dots, f^n$  belong to the ideal  $I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  are arbitrary functions of class  $\mathcal{C}$ , then

$$d_p^{(k)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n f^i g_i \right) = \sum_{i=1}^n (d_p^{(k)} f^i) g_i(p).$$

Proof. The proof will be inductive on  $k$ . By the linearity of  $d_p^{(k)}$  it suffices to prove the equality for  $n = 1$ . Let  $f \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$  and  $g \in \mathcal{C}$ . When  $k = 1$  the proof is evident. Let  $k > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_p^{(k)}(f \cdot g)(X_1(p), \dots, X_k(p)) &= [(X_1, \dots, X_k)(f \cdot g)](p) \\
 &= [(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1})(X_k(f \cdot g))](p) \\
 &= [(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1})((X_k f)g + f(X_k g))](p) \\
 &= [(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1})((X_k f)g)](p) + [(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1})(f(X_k g))](p) \\
 &= d_p^{(k-1)}((X_k f)g)(X_1(p), \dots, X_{k-1}(p)) + 0 \\
 &= [d_p^{(k-1)}(X_k f)g(p)](X_1(p), \dots, X_{k-1}(p)) \\
 &= d_p^{(k-1)}(X_k f)(X_1(p), \dots, X_{k-1}(p)) \cdot g(p)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= [(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1})(X_k f)](p) \cdot g(p) \\
&= [(X_1, \dots, X_k) f](p) \cdot g(p) \\
&= [(d_p^{(k)} f) \cdot g(p)](X_1(p), \dots, X_k(p)). \quad \text{q.e.d.}
\end{aligned}$$

### 3. Modules of jets. An exact sequence of jet-modules.

**3.1. Opening remarks.** For an arbitrary linear field module  $\mathcal{W} = ((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  we shall look for the possibly weakest conditions under which a linear field module  $J^k(\mathcal{W})$ , called the *module of jets of order k* of the module  $\mathcal{W}$ , can be rationally defined.

The notion of jet appeared in Ch. Ehresmann's work [4]. In the same series of articles we can find also the notion of a holonomic extension of order  $k$  of a bundle  $\xi$ . In the case of linear bundles this notion was introduced in a way different but equivalent and more useful for us by R. Palais ([16]) in the course of presenting the theory of differential operators.

The definition of the jet field module  $J^k(\mathcal{W})$  in the case of a linear field module is a generalization of this construction.

### 3.2. Definition of a complete differential of higher order in a linear field module. Examples.

**DEFINITION 3.2.1.** A *complete differential of order k in a linear  $\Phi$ -field module  $\mathcal{W}$  over a differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$*  is defined as an  $R$ -linear mapping

$$D^k: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W})$$

satisfying the condition

$$(3.2.1) \quad (D^k(f \cdot W))(p) = d_p^{(k)}(f - f(p)) \otimes W(p) + f(p)(D^k W)(p)$$

for fields  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , points  $p \in M$  and functions  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  such that

$$f - f(p) \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C}).$$

For  $k = 1$  we have the ordinary definition of a covariant derivative. We shall further denote  $(D^k W)(p)$  by  $D_p^k(W)$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.2.1.** A fundamental example of a complete differential of order  $k$  is the mapping

$$d^k: C^\infty(R^n) \rightarrow L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(R^n, C^\infty(R^n)), C^\infty(R^n))$$

define by the formula

$$(d^k f)(X_1, \dots, X_k)(p) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_k=1}^n X_1(p)(pr_{i_1}) \cdots X_k(p)(pr_{i_k}) f_{i_1 \dots i_k}(p)$$

for  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  smooth vector fields on  $R^n$  and  $pr_j: R^n \rightarrow R, j = 1, \dots, n$ , the natural projections.

Thus, in order to evaluate  $(d^k f)(X_1, \dots, X_k)(p)$ , the vectors

$X_2(p), \dots, X_k(p)$  should be extended to vector fields  $Y_2, \dots, Y_k$ , constant with respect to the natural covariant derivative in the module  $\mathcal{X}(\mathbf{R}^n, C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n))$  and the following quantity should be computed:

$$(d^k f)(X_1, \dots, X_k)(p) = X_1(p)[(Y_2, \dots, Y_k)f].$$

EXAMPLE 3.2.2. Let us consider a vector bundle  $\xi$  over a manifold  $M$ , a covariant derivative  $\tilde{\nabla}$  in the tangent bundle  $TM$  with vanishing curvature tensor and a covariant derivative  $\nabla$  in  $\xi$  such that, whenever  $\bar{X}$  and  $\bar{Y}$  are  $\tilde{\nabla}$ -constant fields defined on an open set  $U \subset M$ , the curvature tensor of  $\nabla$  satisfies

$$R_{\bar{X}, \bar{Y}} \sigma = -\nabla_{[\bar{X}, \bar{Y}]} \sigma,$$

$\sigma$  being any section of  $\xi$  over  $U$ . For vector field  $X$  on the manifold  $M$  and a point  $p \in M$  we denote by  $\bar{X}^p$  the  $\tilde{\nabla}$ -constant field defined in a certain neighbourhood of  $p$  such that  $X(p) = \bar{X}^p(p)$ . Let

$$(D_{X_1, \dots, X_k} \sigma)(p) = (\nabla_{\bar{X}_1^p} (\dots (\nabla_{\bar{X}_k^p} \sigma) \dots))(p).$$

The operator  $D$  defined in this way is a complete differential of order  $k$ .

**3.3. The modules  $Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W})$  and  $Z_p^k(\mathcal{W})$ .** Let us consider a certain vector bundle  $\xi$  over a manifold  $M$ . R. Palais [6] has defined, for an arbitrary point  $p \in M$  and an integer  $k \geq 0$ , a submodule  $Z_p^k(\xi)$  of  $C^\infty(\xi)$  (the module of global sections of  $\xi$ ) to be equal  $I_p^k(M)C^\infty(\xi)$ . It corresponds to these global sections whose holonomic  $k$ -jet at  $p$  (in the terminology of Ehresmann) is equal to 0. If  $D^k$  is a complete differential of order  $k$  in the module  $C^\infty(\xi)$ , then  $Z_p^k(\xi)$  consists of these sections  $\sigma \in Z_p^{k-1}(\xi)$  for which  $D_p^k(\sigma) = 0$ .

DEFINITION 3.3.1. Assume, for an arbitrary linear field module  $\mathcal{W} = ((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  and a point  $p \in M$ , that

$$(a) \quad Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W}) = I_p^{(k+1)}(M, \mathcal{C})\mathcal{W}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(b)  $Z_p^0(\mathcal{W}) = Z_p^{(0)}(\mathcal{W})$  and  $Z_p^k(\mathcal{W})$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , is equal to the submodule of  $\mathcal{W}$  containing these and only these fields  $W \in Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$  which can be written in the form  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i$ ,  $f^1, \dots, f^n \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ ,  $W_1, \dots, W_n \in \mathcal{W}$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (d_p^{(k)} f^i) \otimes W_i(p) = 0.$$

The modules  $Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W})$  and  $Z_p^k(\mathcal{W})$  are closed with respect to localization. It is easy to see that if  $D^k$  is a complete differential of order  $k$  in a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$ , then  $Z_p^k(\mathcal{W})$  contains those and only those fields  $W \in Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$  for which  $D_p^k(W) = 0$ . For an arbitrary open set  $U \in \tau_{\mathcal{C}}$  the following equalities hold:

$$(3.3.1) \quad (Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W}))_U = Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W}_U), \quad (Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}))_U = Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}_U).$$

The inclusion

$$(3.3.2) \quad Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W}) \subset Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}), \quad k \in N,$$

cannot, in general, be replaced by an equality.

EXAMPLE 3.3.1. Consider a differential space  $(R, C^\infty(R))$ , a positive integer  $r$  and an assignment  $\Phi$  defined by the formula:

$$\Phi(p) = \begin{cases} R, & p \neq 0, \\ R^{r-1} \times \{0\}, & p = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let us include into the module  $\mathcal{W}$  those and only those fields  $(f^1, \dots, f^r)$  for which  $f^1, \dots, f^{r-1} \in C^\infty(R)$  and  $f^r \in I_0(R)$ . Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0^{(k)}(\mathcal{W}) &= \{(f^1, \dots, f^r); f^1, \dots, f^{r-1} \in I_0^{k+1}, f^r \in I_0^{k+2}\} \\ &\subsetneq \{(f^1, \dots, f^r); f^1, \dots, f^r \in I_0^{k+1}\} = Z_0^k(\mathcal{W}). \end{aligned}$$

If the manifold  $M$  has a positive dimensions, then for any natural number  $k$  we have

$$Z_p^k(C^\infty(\xi)) \subsetneq Z_p^{(k-1)}(C^\infty(\xi)) = Z_p^{k-1}(C^\infty(\xi)).$$

In general, the equality on the right does not hold in pseudo-differential modules (Example 3.3.1) but it holds in differential modules.

THEOREM 3.3.1. *If a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  is a differential module, then  $Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W}) = Z_p^k(\mathcal{W})$ ,  $k \in N$ ,  $p \in M$ .*

Proof. Every field  $W \in Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W})$  is of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n f^i \cdot W_i$  with functions  $f^i \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , satisfying the condition  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_p^{(k)} f^i \otimes W_i(p) = 0$ . There exist a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p$  and fields  $V_1, \dots, V_r \in \mathcal{W}$  such that the fields  $V_1|U, \dots, V_r|U$  are a vector basis for the module  $\mathcal{W}_U$  and  $W_i|U = (\sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_i^j V_j)|U$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , for certain functions  $\lambda_i^j \in \mathcal{C}$ . Thus by Lemma 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_p^{(k)} f^i \otimes W_i(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_p^{(k)} f^i \otimes \sum_{j=1}^r \lambda_i^j(p) \cdot V_j(p) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (d_p^{(k)} f^i) \lambda_i^j(p) \right) \otimes V_j(p) = \sum_{j=1}^r d_p^{(k)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n f^i \lambda_i^j \right) \otimes V_j(p). \end{aligned}$$

From the fact that the vectors  $V_1(p), \dots, V_r(p)$  are linearly independent we obtain the equalities  $d_p^{(k)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n f^i \lambda_i^j \right) = 0$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , and from Theorem 2.1

we get the relation  $\Psi^j = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i \lambda_i^j \in I_p^{(k+1)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . Thus  $W|U = (\sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i)|U = (\sum_{j=1}^r \Psi^j V_j)|U$ , which means that  $W \in Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W})$ . q.e.d.

**THEOREM 3.3.2.** *If a differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  is of class  $\mathcal{D}_0$  and if we have  $(M, \mathcal{C})_p = (M, \mathcal{C})'_p$  at a point  $p \in M$ , then  $Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C})) = Z_p^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}))$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consequently the set of points  $p \in M$  for which the two modules are equal is dense in  $\tau_{\mathcal{C}}$  and covers the set  $M'$ .*

**Proof.** From Theorem 1.1.1 follows the existence of a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p$  such that, for any  $q \in U$ ,  $\dim(M, \mathcal{C})_q = \dim(M, \mathcal{C})_p$  and  $(M, \mathcal{C})'_q = (M, \mathcal{C})_q$ . Therefore the module  $\mathcal{X}(U, \mathcal{C}_U)$  is differential. From the preceding theorem follows the equality

$$Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{X}(U, \mathcal{C}_U)) = Z_p^k(\mathcal{X}(U, \mathcal{C}_U)).$$

It is easy to prove the present theorem applying equalities (3.3.1). q.e.d.

**3.4. The mapping  $d_p^{(k)}$  for linear field modules. Condition \*k).** In ([6]) R. Palais has proved the existence and uniqueness of an  $R$ -linear mapping  $d_p^k: Z_p^{k-1}(C^\infty(\xi)) \rightarrow I_s^k(M_p, \xi_p)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , such that if  $W \in Z_p^{k-1}(C^\infty(\xi))$  and  $h \in C^\infty(\xi^*)$ , then

$$(3.4.1) \quad d_p^k(h \circ W) = h(p) \circ d_p^k(W).$$

Note that  $h \circ W \in I_p^k(M)$ . If  $W \in Z_p^{k-1}(C^\infty(\xi))$  and  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i$ , where  $f^i \in I_p^k(M)$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , then

$$(3.4.2) \quad d_p^k(W) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_p^k f^i \otimes W_i(p).$$

Indeed, let us consider a field  $h \in C^\infty(\xi^*)$  and vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in M_p$ . From Lemma 2.1 follows

$$\begin{aligned} d_p^k(h \circ W)(v_1, \dots, v_k) &= \sum_{i=1}^n d_p^k(f^i(h \circ W_i))(v_1, \dots, v_k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (d_p^k f^i)(h \circ W_i)(p)(v_1, \dots, v_k) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n (d_p^k f^i)(v_1, \dots, v_k)(h \circ W_i)(p) \\ &= h(p) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (d_p^k f^i)(v_1, \dots, v_k) W_i(p) \right) \\ &= h(p) \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^n d_p^k f^i \otimes W_i(p) \right) (v_1, \dots, v_k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Applying the formula analogous to (3.4.2) we define the mapping  $d_p^{(k)}$  for linear field modules. Let  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  be a linear field module.

DEFINITION 3.4.1. We denote by  $d_p^{(k)}$ ,  $p \in M$ ,  $k \in N$ , an  $R$ -linear mapping

$$d_p^{(k)}: Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p))$$

such that  $d_p^{(k)}(f \cdot W) = d_p^{(k)} f \otimes W(p)$  for  $f \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ .

THEOREM 3.4.1. A mapping  $d_p^{(k)}$  exists if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} *k) \quad & \text{if } \sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i = 0, \text{ where } f^i \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C}), W_i \in \mathcal{W}, i = 1, \dots, n, n \in N, \text{ then} \\ & \sum_{i=1}^n d_p^{(k)} f^i \otimes W_i(p) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

There exists at most one mapping  $d_p^{(k)}$ .

Proof. If  $d_p^{(k)}$  exists and if  $\sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i = 0$  for  $f^i \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ ,  $W_i \in \mathcal{W}$ , then  $\sum_{i=1}^n d_p^{(k)} f^i \otimes W_i(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_p^{(k)}(f^i W_i) = d_p^{(k)}(\sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i) = 0$ , so that condition  $*k)$  is satisfied. The existence and uniqueness of the mapping  $d_p^{(k)}$  under condition  $*k)$  is a consequence of the property that any field  $W \in Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$  is of the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i$ ,  $f^i \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$ , and that  $d_p^{(k)}(W) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_p^{(k)} f^i \otimes W_i(p)$  does not depend on the representation of the field  $W$  in this form. Thus the last formula defines the desired  $R$ -linear mapping. q.e.d.

It follows directly from the definition of a complete differential of order  $k$  that if a complete differential exists in a linear field module, then condition  $*k)$  is fulfilled at every point of the underlying space. Condition  $*k)$  need not be satisfied in every linear field module.

EXAMPLE 3.4.1. Consider a differential space  $(R, C^x(R))$  and the assignment  $\Phi$  defined as follows:  $\Phi(p) = 0$  for  $p \neq 0$  and  $\Phi(0) = R$ . Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be the module of the all linear  $\Phi$ -fields. For an arbitrary function  $f \in I_0^k \setminus I_0^{k+1}$  and the field  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  equal 1 at the point 0 we have

$$f \cdot W = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad d_0^{(k)} f \otimes W(p) \neq 0.$$

Remark. Let  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  be a linear field module. For arbitrary fields  $W \in Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$  and  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$  we have

$$h \circ W \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C}) \quad \text{and} \quad d_p^{(k)}(h \circ W) = h(p) \circ d_p^{(k)}(W).$$

Proof. Assume that the field  $W$  is of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i \quad \text{for } f^i \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C}), i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For any vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in (M, \mathcal{C})'_p$

$$\begin{aligned} d_p^{(k)}(h \circ W)(v_1, \dots, v_k) &= h(p) \circ \left( \sum_{i=1}^n d_p^{(k)} f^i \otimes W_i(p) \right)(v_1, \dots, v_k) \\ &= h(p) \left( d_p^{(k)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i \right) \right)(v_1, \dots, v_k) \\ &= h(p) \circ d_p^{(k)}(W)(v_1, \dots, v_k). \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

Condition \*k) is satisfied in a fairly broad class of linear field modules (see Theorem 1.4.1).

**THEOREM 3.4.2.** *Let  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  be a linear field module. If this module satisfies at a point  $p$  the conditions:*

- (a)  $\dim \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p) < \infty$ ,
- (b)  $\Psi_{\mathcal{W}^*}(p) = (\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p))^*$ , where  $\Psi(q) = (\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(q))^*$ ,  $q \in M$ ,

then for  $k \in N$

(A) *there exists exactly one  $R$ -linear mapping*

$$d_p^{[k]}: Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p))$$

satisfying the equality

$$(3.4.3) \quad d_p^{(k)}(h \circ W) = h(p) \circ d_p^{[k]}(W) \quad \text{for } W \in Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W}) \text{ and } h \in \mathcal{W}^*;$$

(B) *the module satisfies condition \*k) at the point  $p$  and  $d_p^{(k)} = d_p^{[k]}$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that conditions (a) and (b) are satisfied at a point  $p \in M$ . For an arbitrarily fixed field  $W \in Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$  there exists the  $R$ -linear mapping  $\Psi_{\mathcal{W}^*}(p) \ni w \mapsto d_p^{(k)}(h \circ W)$ , where  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$  and  $h(p) = w$ ; and for any collection of vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  from  $(M, \mathcal{C})'_p$  there exists exactly one element  $d_p^{[k]}(W)(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)$  such that

$$d_p^{(k)}(h \circ W)(v_1, \dots, v_k) = h(p)(d_p^{[k]}(W)(v_1, \dots, v_k))$$

for  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$ . The mapping

$$d_p^{[k]}(W) = \left( \bigtimes_k (M, \mathcal{C})'_p \ni (v_1, \dots, v_k) \mapsto d_p^{[k]}(W)(v_1, \dots, v_k) \in \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p) \right)$$

is symmetric and  $k$ -linear; it defines a linear mapping

$$d_p^{[k]}: Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)).$$

This is the only mapping which has property (3.4.3) and we have  $d_p^{(k)} = d_p^{[k]}$ .

Now we show that condition \*k) is fulfilled at the point  $p \in M$ . Let us

consider any functions  $f^1, \dots, f^n \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$  and fields  $W_1, \dots, W_n \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i = 0$ . For any field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$  and vectors  $v_1, \dots, v_k \in (M, \mathcal{C})'_p$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= h(p) \left( d_p^{(k)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i \right) (v_1, \dots, v_k) \right) \\ &= h(p) \left( \sum_{i=1}^n (d_p^{(k)} f^i) \otimes W_i(p) \right) (v_1, \dots, v_k). \end{aligned}$$

From assumption (a) and (b) follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^n (d_p^{(k)} f^i) \otimes W_i(p) = 0. \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

**THEOREM 3.4.3.** *If a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  satisfies at  $p \in M$  the following conditions:*

- (a)  $\dim(M, \mathcal{C})'_p < \infty$ ,
- (b)  $\dim \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p) < \infty$ ,
- (c)  $*k$ ,

*then the following sequence is exact:*

$$(3.4.4) \quad 0 \rightarrow Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \hookrightarrow Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{d_p^{(k)}} L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)) \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proof.** It suffices to show the surjectivity of the mapping  $d_p^{(k)}$  in the case when  $\dim \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p) > 0$ . Let us take an arbitrary element  $\tau \in L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p))$  and a basis  $v_1, \dots, v_k$  of the space  $\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)$ . There exist elements  $\tau^1, \dots, \tau^r \in L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, R)$  such that  $\tau = \sum_{i=1}^r \tau^i \otimes v_i$ . From Theorem 2.1 we conclude that there exist functions  $f^1, \dots, f^r \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$  such that  $d_p^{(k)} f^i = \tau^i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ . For any fields  $W_1, \dots, W_r \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $W_i(p) = v_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , the equality  $d_p^{(k)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^r f^i W_i \right) = \tau$  is satisfied. q.e.d.

In what follows we assume that all linear field modules under consideration satisfy the assumptions of the last theorem.

From the definition of the mapping  $d_p^{(k)}$  follows the equality:  $Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}) = \ker d_p^{(k)}$ . Therefore there exists a linear isomorphism

$$\varrho_p^k: Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W}) / Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p))$$

with the property  $\varrho_p^k(W + Z_p^k(\mathcal{W})) = d_p^{(k)}(W)$  for  $W \in Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$ . The inverse isomorphism will be denoted by  $i_p^k$ ; it will be considered as an injective linear mapping

$$i_p^k: L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)) \rightarrow \mathcal{W} / Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}).$$



Since  $Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \subset Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$ , there exists the canonical surjective linear mapping

$$r_p^{k,(k-1)}: \mathcal{W}/Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}/Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$$

with the kernel  $Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})/Z_p^k(\mathcal{W})$  (equal to  $\text{im } i_p^k$ ). Hence the following sequence is exact:

$$(3.4.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)) \xrightarrow{i_p^k} \mathcal{W}/Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{r_p^{k,(k-1)}} \mathcal{W}/Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow 0.$$

DEFINITION 3.4.2. Consider an arbitrary non-negative number  $k$ , a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  and a point  $p \in M$ . Denote by

$$j_p^k: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}/Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \quad \text{and} \quad j_p^{(k)}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}/Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W})$$

the canonical linear mappings. The spaces

$$J_p^k(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W}/Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \quad \text{and} \quad J_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{W}/Z_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W})$$

will be called the *jet spaces*, of order  $k$  and  $(k)$ , respectively, at the point  $p$ .

For any field  $W \in Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$

$$(3.4.6) \quad j_p^k(W) = i_p^k(d_p^{(k)}(W)).$$

Indeed,  $i_p^k(d_p^{(k)}(W)) = i_p^k(\varrho_p^k(W + Z_p^k(\mathcal{W}))) = i_p^k(\varrho_p^k(j_p^k(W))) = j_p^k(W)$ .

LEMMA 3.4.1. If  $D^k$  is a complete differential of order  $k$  in a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$ , then for any point  $p \in M$  there exists exactly one  $R$ -linear mapping

$$T_p: J_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p))$$

such that  $D_p^k = T_p \circ j_p^k$ . It will be called the mapping linearizing the complete differential  $D^k$  at the point  $p$ . It satisfies the condition:  $T_p \circ i_p^k = \text{id}$ .

Proof. If there exists a mapping linearizing the complete differential  $D^k$  at a point  $p$ , then it is defined by the formula

$$(3.4.7) \quad T_p(j_p^k(W)) = D_p^k(W);$$

therefore there exists at most one such mapping.

Consider the mapping  $T_p$  defined by formula (3.4.7). The formula defines the mapping  $T_p$  correctly because if  $j_p^k(W) = j_p^k(W')$ , then  $(W - W') \in Z_p^k(\mathcal{W})$ , which implies  $D_p^k(W - W') = 0$ . The equality  $T_p \circ i_p^k = \text{id}$  follows from  $D_p^k(W) = d_p^{(k)}(W)$  for  $W \in Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$ . q.e.d.

To conclude this subsection we prove one more important fact.

THEOREM 3.4.4. If  $D^k$  is a complete differential of order  $k$  in a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  and  $T_p$  is the mapping linearizing  $D^k$  at a point  $p$ , then

$$\ker r_p^{k,(k-1)} \cap \ker T_p = 0.$$

Proof. Let us consider any field  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that

$$j_p^k(W) \in \ker r_p^{k, (k-1)} \cap \ker T_p.$$

Then  $W \in Z_p^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$  and so  $W = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i$  for certain functions  $f^1, \dots, f^n \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$  and fields  $W_1, \dots, W_n \in \mathcal{W}$ . Besides,

$$0 = T_p(j_p^k(W)) = D_p^k(W) = D_p^k\left(\sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_p^{(k)} f^i \otimes W_i(p);$$

hence  $W \in Z_p^k(\mathcal{W})$  and consequently  $j_p^k(W) = 0$ . q.e.d.

### 3.5. Jet field module of order $k$ and $(k)$ . An exact sequence of jet-modules.

DEFINITION 3.5.1. (a) The  $(k)$ -order jet field module,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , of a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  is the least linear  $(M \ni p \mapsto J_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W}))$ -field module closed with respect to localization, containing all fields of the form:

$$M \ni p \mapsto j_p^{(k)}(W) \in J_p^{(k)}(\mathcal{W}) \quad \text{for } W \in \mathcal{W}.$$

(b) The  $k$ -order jet field module,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , of a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  is the least  $(M \ni p \mapsto J_p^k(\mathcal{W}))$ -field module closed with respect to localization, containing all fields of the form:

- (i)  $M \ni p \mapsto j_p^k(W) \in J_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \quad \text{for } W \in \mathcal{W},$
- (ii)  $M \ni p \mapsto i_p^k(S_p) \in J_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \quad \text{for } S \in L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W}).$

It is clear that for any jet fields  $S$  of order  $k$  the field  $(M \ni p \mapsto r_p^{k, (k-1)}(S_p))$  is a jet field of order  $(k-1)$ . Moreover, the mappings  $i^k: L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow J^k(\mathcal{W})$  and  $r^{k, (k-1)}: J^k(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow J^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$  defined by the formula  $i^k(L)(p) = i_p^k(L_p)$  for  $L \in L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W})$  and  $p \in M$ ,  $r^{k, (k-1)}(L)(p) = r_p^{k, (k-1)}(L_p)$  for  $L \in J^k(\mathcal{W})$  and  $p \in M$ , are homomorphisms of linear field modules. The following natural mappings are  $R$ -linear,

$$j^k: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow J^k(\mathcal{W}) \quad \text{and} \quad j^{(k)}: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow J^{(k)}(\mathcal{W}).$$

Notice also that  $j^0: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow J^0(\mathcal{W})$  is a  $\mathcal{C}$ -linear mapping.

In the sequel of this section we shall examine the sequence

$$(3.5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{i^k} J^k(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{r^{k, (k-1)}} J^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow 0,$$

called the *jet-module sequence*.

THEOREM 3.5.1. If a differential space  $(M, \mathcal{C})$  is paracompact and  $C$ -normal, then the mapping  $r^{k, (k-1)}$  in sequence (3.5.1) is a surjection.

Proof. Let us consider an arbitrary field  $W \in J^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$ . For any point  $p \in M$  there exists a neighbourhood  $U^p \in \tau_{\mathcal{C}}$  of  $p$  such that  $W|U^p = (\sum_{i=1}^n f^i j^{(k-1)} W_i)|U^p$  for a certain positive integer  $n$ , functions  $f^i \in \mathcal{C}$  and

fields  $W^i \in \mathcal{W}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . According to paracompactness, we subordinate a locally finite family  $(V_t, t \in T)$  to the family  $(U^p, p \in M)$ , and applying  $\mathcal{C}$ -normality we choose a smooth partition of unity  $(\varphi_t)_{t \in T}$  subordinate to this covering. We define fields  $\theta_t \in J^k(\mathcal{W})$ ,  $t \in T$ , by the formula  $\theta_t = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i j^k(W_i)$  and we put  $\theta = \sum_{t \in T} \varphi_t \theta_t$ . Obviously  $\theta \in J^k(\mathcal{W})$ , and since  $r_p^{k, (k-1)}(\theta_t(p)) = W(p)$  for  $p \in V_t$ , we have  $r^{k, (k-1)}(\theta) = W$ . q.e.d.

The exactness of sequence (3.5.1) at the term " $J^k(\mathcal{W})$ " in the case  $k = 1$  will be proved without additional assumptions about the module  $\mathcal{W}$ . In the general case it will be proved for a broad class of linear field modules containing pseudo-differential modules.

To show exactness let us consider an arbitrary field  $S \in \ker r^{k, (k-1)}$  and notice that there exists exactly one field  $L$  such that  $i_p^k(L_p) = S_p$  for any point  $p \in M$ . We shall check that  $L \in L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W})$ . From the definition of the module  $J^k(\mathcal{W})$  it follows that in a certain neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p \in M$  the field  $S$  is of the form

$$S|U = i^k(L)|U + \left( \sum_{j=1}^n f^j j^k(W_j) \right)|U$$

for some field  $L \in L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W})$ , a positive integer  $n$ , functions  $f^1, \dots, f^n \in \mathcal{C}$  and fields  $W_1, \dots, W_n \in \mathcal{W}$ . Since for any point  $q \in U$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= r_q^{k, (k-1)}(S_q) = r_q^{k, (k-1)}(i_q^k(L_q) + \sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) j_q^k(W_j)) \\ &= j_q^{(k-1)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j\right), \end{aligned}$$

then  $\sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j \in Z_q^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$ .

From equality (3.4.6) one can easily derive the equality

$$\begin{aligned} i_q^k(L_q) &= S_q = i_q^k(L_q) + j_q^k\left(\sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j\right) = i_q^k(L_q) + i_q^k\left(d_q^{(k)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j\right)\right) \\ &= i_q^k\left(L_q + d_q^{(k)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

As  $i_q^k$  is an injection, we have

$$(3.5.2) \quad L_q = L_q + d_q^{(k)}\left(\sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j\right) \quad \text{for } q \in U.$$

To prove exactness it suffices to show that

$$(U \ni q \mapsto d_q^{(k)}(\sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j)(V_1(q), \dots, V_k(q))) \in \mathcal{W}_U$$

for  $V_1, \dots, V_k \in \mathcal{X}(U, \mathcal{C}_U)$ .

**THEOREM 3.5.2.** *The sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow L(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{i^1} J^1(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{r^{1,0}} J^0(\mathcal{W})$$

is exact.

**Proof.** Since  $\sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j \in Z_q^0(\mathcal{W})$  for  $q \in U$ , then in particular  $(\sum_{j=1}^n f^j W_j)|U = 0$ , and so  $\sum_{j=1}^n (f^j - f^j(q)) W_j \in Z_q^0(\mathcal{W})$ . Hence

$$0 = d_q^{(1)}(\sum_{j=1}^n f^j W_j) = d_q^{(1)}(\sum_{j=1}^n (f^j - f^j(q)) W_j) + d_q^{(1)}(\sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j),$$

and this produces the equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} d_q^{(1)}(\sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j)(V(q)) &= -d_q^{(1)}(\sum_{j=1}^n (f^j - f^j(q)) W_j)(V(q)) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^n d_q^{(1)}(f^j - f^j(q)) \otimes W_j(q)(V(q)) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^n d_q^{(1)}(f^j - f^j(q))(V(q)) W_j(q) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^n V(q)(f^j - f^j(q)) W_j(q) \\ &= -\sum_{j=1}^n V(q)(f^j) W_j(q) = -(\sum_{j=1}^n V(f^j) W_j)(q). \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 3.5.3.** *If a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  satisfies condition:*

*whenever  $W$  is a linear  $\Phi_{\mathcal{W}}$ -field such that, for any field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$ , the function  $h \circ W$  is from the ring  $\mathcal{C}$ , then  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ ,*

*then the sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{i^k} J^k(\mathcal{W}) \xrightarrow{r^{k,(k-1)}} J^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$$

is exact.

**Proof.** We shall show that every point  $p \in U$  has a neighbourhood  $V \subset U$  such that

$$\left( V \ni q \mapsto d_q^{(k)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j(V_1(q), \dots, V_k(q)) \right) \right) \in \mathcal{W}_V = (\mathcal{W}_U)_V$$

for  $V_1, \dots, V_k \in \mathcal{X}(V, \mathcal{C}_V)$ .

Let us consider a function  $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}$  separating the point  $p$  in the set  $U$ , i.e. a function  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma|_{B_0} = 1$  for some neighbourhood  $B_0$  of  $p$  and  $\gamma|_{U_0} = 0$  for an open set  $U_0$  such that  $U_0 \cup U = M$ . Obviously,

$$\gamma \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j \in Z_q^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W})$$

for any  $q \in M$ . We put  $V = B_0$ . Then for  $q \in V$  we have

$$d_q^{(k)} \left( \gamma \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j \right) = d_q^{(k)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j \right).$$

It suffices to show that

$$(3.5.3) \quad \left( M \ni q \mapsto d_q^{(k)} \left( \gamma \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j \right) (V_1(q), \dots, V_k(q)) \right) \in \mathcal{W}.$$

For an arbitrary field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$  it follows from Theorem 3.4.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} h(q) \left( d_q^{(k)} \left( \gamma \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j \right) (V_1(q), \dots, V_k(q)) \right) \\ &= d_q^{(k)} \left( h \circ \left( \gamma \cdot \sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) W_j \right) (V_1(q), \dots, V_k(q)) \right) \\ &= d_q^{(k)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma \cdot f^j(q) h \circ W_j (V_1(q), \dots, V_k(q)) \right) \\ &= \left( (V_1, \dots, V_k) \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \gamma \cdot f^j(q) h \circ W_j \right) \right) (q) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n f^j(q) [(V_1, \dots, V_k) (\gamma \cdot h \circ W_j)] (q) \\ &= \left( \sum_{j=1}^n f^j [(V_1, \dots, V_k) (\gamma \cdot h \circ W_j)] \right) (q). \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

Now we present the announced example of a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  for which there exists a  $\mathcal{C}$ -linear mapping  $L: \mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  which is not a linear  $\Psi$ -field for  $\Psi = (M \ni q \mapsto L((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_*(p)))$ .

EXAMPLE 3.5.1. Consider the differential space

$$(R, \mathcal{C}) = \left( R, \left( S_C(\{ \text{id}_R, (R \ni x \mapsto |x|) \}) \right)_R \right).$$

This space is of class  $\mathcal{D}_0$ . Let  $e_x \in (R, C^\infty(R))_x$  for  $x \neq 0$  be unitary vector, i.e. such that  $e_x(\text{id}_R) = 1$ . The tangent space  $(R, \mathcal{C})_0$  is 2-dimensional, having as a basis the vectors  $e_0$  and  $\omega$  defined by the formulas:

$$e_0(\text{id}_R) = 1, \quad e_0(|\cdot|) = 0; \quad \omega(\text{id}_R) = 0, \quad \omega(|\cdot|) = 1.$$

The vector field  $V = (R \ni x \mapsto x e_x \in (R, \mathcal{C})_x)$  is smooth because  $V(\text{id}_R) = \text{id}_R$  and  $V(|\cdot|) = |\cdot|$ . It cannot be written in the form  $\sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i$  for any numbers  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , functions  $f^1, \dots, f^n \in I_0^{(1)}(R, \mathcal{C})$  and fields  $W_1, \dots, W_n \in \mathcal{X}(R, \mathcal{C})$ . Every vector field  $W \in \mathcal{X}(R, \mathcal{C})$  is equal 0 at the point 0 and so, if  $V = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i$  for functions  $f^i$  with  $f^i(p) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ , then  $V(\text{id}_R) = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i W_i(\text{id}_R)$ . We thus would get the equality  $\text{id}_R = \sum_{i=1}^n f^i g_i$  for certain functions  $f^i, g_i, i = 1, \dots, n$ , from the ideal  $I_0(R, \mathcal{C})$ , and this produces a contradiction:

$$1 = e_0(\text{id}_R) = \sum_{i=1}^n e_0(f^i g_i) = 0.$$

Now take a jet field module of order 0 of the initial module and a  $\mathcal{C}$ -linear mapping  $j^0: \mathcal{X}(R, \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow J^0(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}))$ .  $j^0$  is not a linear  $\Psi$ -field because for the vector field  $V$  we have

$$V(0) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad j^0(V)(0) = j_0^0(V) \neq 0.$$

A scalar product in a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  is a linear field  $G \in L_s^2(W, \mathcal{C})$  such that  $G(p)(v, v) > 0$  for  $0 \neq v \in \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p)$  and  $G^*: \mathcal{W} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}^*$  defined by the formula  $G^*(V)(W) = G(V, W)$  is an isomorphism of linear field modules.

EXAMPLE 3.5.2. In the space  $(R, \mathcal{C})$  from the preceding example every smooth vector field is of the form  $f \cdot e$ , where  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  is a function such that  $f(0) = 0$ . Every linear field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$  is of the form  $f \cdot e^*$ , where  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is a function such that  $f(0) = 0, f \cdot \text{id}_R \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $f \cdot |\cdot| \in \mathcal{C}$ . The function  $f$  defined by the formula  $f(x) = 1$  when  $x \neq 0$  and  $f(0) = 0$  can serve as example. We shall construct a scalar product  $G$  in the module  $\mathcal{X}(R, \mathcal{C})$ . We put  $G(x)(e_x, e_x) = 1/x$  for  $x \neq 0$  and, of course,  $G(0) = 0$ . As every function  $f \in \mathcal{C}$  equal 0 at the point 0 is of the form  $f(x) = x \cdot f_1(x) + |x| \cdot f_2(x), x \in R$ , where  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}$ , we see that  $G(V, W) \in \mathcal{C}$  for  $V, W \in \mathcal{X}(R, \mathcal{C})$ . Let us take the vector field  $V = f \cdot \text{id}_R \cdot e$  for any field  $h \in \mathcal{W}^*$  of the form  $f \cdot e^*$ . Then  $V \in \mathcal{X}(R, \mathcal{C})$

and  $G(V, W) = h(W)$ . It is clear that the form  $G(x)$ ,  $x \in R$ , is positive, and so  $G$  is a scalar product.

In the module  $\mathcal{X}(R, \mathcal{C})$  there exists a symmetric covariant derivative determined by the scalar product just constructed. Notice that if  $f, g \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $f(0) = g(0) = 0$ , then the function  $g$  is differentiable except at zero and the function  $h$  defined by the formula

$$h(x) = f(x)g'(x) \quad \text{for } x \neq 0 \text{ and } h(0) = 0$$

is from the ring  $\mathcal{C}$ . It is easy to prove that the following formula defines the generated covariant derivative:

$$(\nabla_{f \cdot e} g \cdot e)(x) = \begin{cases} (f \cdot g' - g \cdot f/(2 \cdot \text{id}_R))(x) e_x, & x \neq 0, \\ 0, & x = 0. \end{cases}$$

#### 4. Complete differentials of higher order in relation to splittings of a sequence of jet-modules.

DEFINITION 4.1. A *splitting of the exact sequence of jet-modules*

$$0 \rightarrow L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W}) \rightarrow J^k(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow J^{(k-1)}(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow 0$$

(also a *connection* in the case  $k = 1$ ) is an assignment

$$M \ni p \mapsto \mathcal{T}_p \subset J_p^k(\mathcal{W})$$

satisfying the conditions:

- (i)  $\mathcal{T}_p$  is a linear subspace of the space  $J_p^k(\mathcal{W})$ ,
- (ii)  $J_p^k(\mathcal{W}) = \mathcal{T}_p \oplus \ker r_p^{k, (k-1)}$ ,  $p \in M$ ,
- (iii) if  $P_p: J_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \ker r_p^{k, (k-1)}$  is the projection defined by the above direct sum then for  $S \in J^k(\mathcal{W})$  the field

$$P(S) = (M \ni p \mapsto P_p(S_p))$$

belongs to the module  $J^k(\mathcal{W})$ .

THEOREM 4.1. If  $D^k$  is a complete differential of order  $k$  in a linear field module  $((M, \mathcal{C}), \Phi, \mathcal{W})$  and  $T_p$  is a mapping linearizing this differential at a point  $p \in M$ , then the assignment  $M \ni p \mapsto \ker T_p$  is a splitting of the exact jet-module sequence of order  $k$ .

Proof. Theorem 3.4.4 states that  $\ker T_p \cap \ker r_p^{k, (k-1)} = 0$ . For any element  $j_p^k(W) \in J_p^k(\mathcal{W})$ ,  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , we have  $j_p^k(W) = i_p^k(D_p^k(W)) + (i_p^k(-D_p^k(W)) + j_p^k(W))$  and  $i_p^k(D_p^k(W)) \in \ker r_p^{k, (k-1)}$  and, by Lemma 3.4.1,  $T_p(i_p^k(-D_p^k(W)) + j_p^k(W)) = -D_p^k(W) + D_p^k(W) = 0$ ; thus condition (ii) is fulfilled. Now consider an arbitrary field  $S \in J^k(\mathcal{W})$ ; in a certain neighbourhood  $U$  of  $p$  the field  $S$  is of the form  $S|U = i^k(L)|U + (\sum_{j=1}^n f^j j^k(W_j))|U$  for a certain field

$L \in L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W})$ , a number  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , functions  $f^1, \dots, f^n \in \mathcal{C}$  and fields  $W_1, \dots, W_n \in \mathcal{W}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P(S)|U &= P(i^k(L) + \sum_{j=1}^n f^j j^k(W_j))|U \\ &= i^k(L)|U + \sum_{j=1}^n f^j |U \cdot P(j^k(W_j))|U \\ &= i^k(L)|U + \sum_{j=1}^n f^j |U \cdot i^k(D^k(W_j))|U \\ &= (i^k(L) + \sum_{j=1}^n f^j \cdot i^k(D^k(W_j)))|U \end{aligned}$$

is an element of the module  $J^k(W)_U$ . q.e.d.

**THEOREM 4.2.** *If  $(\mathcal{T}_p)_{p \in M}$  is splitting of the exact jet-module sequence of order  $k$ , then there exists exactly one homomorphism of linear field modules*

$$T: J^k(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W})$$

such that:

- (i)  $\ker T_p = \mathcal{T}_p$ ,  $p \in M$ ,
- (ii)  $T \circ i^k = \text{id}$ .

Moreover,  $T \circ j^k$  is a complete differential of order  $k$  in the module  $\mathcal{W}$ .

**Proof.** Consider the projection  $P_p$  and the projection  $R_p: J_p^k(\mathcal{W}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_p$  defined by the direct sum  $J_p^k(\mathcal{W}) = \ker r_p^{k, (k-1)} \oplus \mathcal{T}_p$ ,  $p \in M$ . Since  $P_p(j_p^k(W)) \in \ker r_p^{k, (k-1)} = \text{im } i_p^k$  for  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , there exists exactly one element  $s_p \in L_s^k((M, \mathcal{C})'_p, \Phi_{\mathcal{W}}(p))$  associated with  $W \in \mathcal{W}$  such that  $P_p(j_p^k(W)) = i_p^k(s_p)$ . Hence for  $W \in \mathcal{W}$

$$T_p(j_p^k(W)) = T_p(R_p(j_p^k(W)) + P_p(j_p^k(W))) = T_p(P_p(j_p^k(W))) = T_p(i_p^k(s_p)) = s_p.$$

This proves the uniqueness of the mapping  $T_p$  and gives the method of computing it. Now it must be proved that

$$T(S) = (M \ni p \mapsto T_p(S_p)) \in L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W})$$

for any field  $S \in J^k(\mathcal{W})$ . As in the foregoing theorem,  $S$  will be given in the form  $S|U = i^k(L)|U + (\sum_{j=1}^n f^j j^k(W_j))|U$ . Then

$$T(S)|U = T(i^k(L) + \sum_{j=1}^n f^j j^k(W_j))|U = L|U + \sum_{j=1}^n (f^j \cdot T(j^k(W_j)))|U.$$

From the exactness of the jet-module sequence of order  $k$  follows the existence of fields  $L_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ , from the spaces  $L_s^k(\mathcal{X}(M, \mathcal{C}), \mathcal{W})$  such that  $P(j^k(W_j)) = i^k(L_j)$ . Hence

$$T(S)|U = (L + \sum_{j=1}^n f^j L_j)|U.$$



It is easy to check that  $T \circ j^k$  is an  $R$ -linear mapping. Finally, if  $f \in \mathcal{C}$ ,  $f - f(p) \in I_p^{(k)}(M, \mathcal{C})$  and  $W \in \mathcal{W}$ , then from (3.4.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_p \circ j_p^k(f \cdot W) &= T_p \circ j_p^k((f - f(p)) W) + T_p \circ j_p^k(f(p) W) \\ &= T_p \left( i_p^k \left( d_p^{(k)}((f - f(p)) W) \right) \right) + f(p) T_p \circ j_p^k(W) \\ &= d_p^{(k)}(f - f(p)) \otimes W(p) + f(p) T_p \circ j_p^k(W). \quad \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

### References

- [1] E. Cartan, *Sur les variétés de courbure constante d'un espace euclidien ou non euclidien*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 47 (1919), 125–160; 48 (1920), 132–208.
- [2] K. Cegielska, *An existing of a smooth partition of unity and a scalar product in a differential space*, Demonstratio Math. 6.2 (1973), 493–504.
- [3] —, *A connection in a differential module*, Colloq. Math. 34 (1975), 271–286.
- [4] C. Ehresmann, *Les prolongements d'une variété différentiable*, I–V, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 233 (1951), 598–600, 777–779, 1081–1083; 234 (1952), 1028–1030, 1424–1425.
- [5] S. Mac Lane, *Differentiable spaces. Notes for geometrical mechanics*, Winter 1970.
- [6] R. Palais, *Seminar on the Atiyah–Singer index theorem*, Princeton, New Jersey, Princeton University Press, 1965.
- [7] W. Pohl, *Differential geometry of higher order*, Topology 1, 169–211.
- [8] M. Pustelnik, *Sufficient conditions for the existence of a scalar product on a generalized module of vector fields*, Demonstratio Math. 8.4 (1975), 425–446.
- [9] N. V. Que, *De la connexion d'ordre supérieur*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 259, Groupe 1, 2061–2064.
- [10] R. Sikorski, *Abstract covariant derivative*, Colloq. Math. 18 (1967) 251–272.
- [11] —, *Differential modules*, ibidem 24 (1971), 45–79.
- [12] —, *Wstęp do geometrii różniczkowej*, PWN, Warszawa 1972.
- [13] D. Spencer, *Overdetermined systems of linear partial differential equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 75 (1969), 179–239.
- [14] —, *Flat differential operators*, Symposium on Several Complex Variables (Park City, Utah 1970), 85–108.
- [15] P. Walczak, *On restriction of differential structures coinduced by a mapping*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci. Math., Astronom. Phys. 21 (1973), 417–420.
- [16] —, *A theorem on diffeomorphisms in the category of differential spaces*, ibidem 21 (1973), 325–329.
- [17] —, *On a class of diffeomorphisms spaces satisfying the theorem on diffeomorphisms*, I, ibidem 22 (1974), 805–814; II, 22 (1974), 815–820.
- [18] W. Waliszewski, *On a coregular division of a differential spaces by a equivalence relation*, Colloq. Math. 26 (1972), 281–291.
- [19] —, *Regular and coregular mappings of differential spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math. 30 (1975), 262–281.

INSTYTUT MATEMATYKI  
POLITECHNIKI ŁÓDZKIEJ

Reçu par la Rédaction le 6. 03. 1978