

## Note on the existence of continuous solutions of a functional equation of $n$ -th order

by KAROL BARON (Katowice)

**Abstract.** A sufficient condition is given for the existence of continuous solutions of the functional equation

$$\varphi(x) = h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]),$$

where  $\varphi$  is the unknown function.

The object of this note is to give a theorem about the existence of the continuous solutions of the functional equation

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]),$$

under the hypothesis of the existence of semicontinuous solutions of the functional inequalities

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x) \leq h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)])$$

and

$$(3) \quad h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]) \leq \varphi(x).$$

A similar method has been used in [1] in the case  $n = 1$ .

We shall assume the following hypotheses ( $R$  denotes the set of all real numbers).

(i)  $X$  is a metric space;  $h : X \times R^n \rightarrow R$  and  $f_i : X \rightarrow X$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are continuous functions.

(ii) There exist a function  $\alpha : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  and an increasing (with respect to each variable) function  $\beta : [0, \infty)^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  such that

$$(4) \quad |h(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) - h(x, \bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_n)| \leq \alpha(x) \beta(|y_1 - \bar{y}_1|, \dots, |y_n - \bar{y}_n|), \\ (x, y_i), (x, \bar{y}_i) \in X \times R, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Moreover,

$$(5) \quad \alpha(f_1^k[f_i(x)]) \leq \alpha[f_1^{k+1}(x)] \quad (1), \quad x \in X, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

---

(1) The upper indexes denote the function iterates.

(iii) Inequality (2) has a lower semicontinuous solution  $\varphi_1^* : X \rightarrow R$  and inequality (3) has an upper semicontinuous solution  $\varphi_2^* : X \rightarrow R$  such that  $\varphi_1^*(x) \leq \varphi_2^*(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ . Furthermore, the function  $\sigma$  defined by

$$(6) \quad \sigma(x) = |\varphi_1^*(x) - \varphi_2^*(x)|, \quad x \in X,$$

fulfils the inequality

$$(7) \quad \sigma(f_1^k[f_i(x)]) \leq \sigma[f_1^{k+1}(x)], \quad x \in X, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, i = 1, \dots, n.$$

(iv) For every fixed  $x \in X$  the function  $h(x, \cdot, \dots, \cdot)$  is an increasing (with respect to each variable) function in the set

$$[\varphi_1^*[f_1(x)], \varphi_2^*[f_1(x)]] \times \dots \times [\varphi_1^*[f_n(x)], \varphi_2^*[f_n(x)]].$$

Write

$$(8) \quad \gamma(t) = \beta(t, \dots, t), \quad t \in [0, \infty),$$

$$(9) \quad G_k(x) = \prod_{l=0}^{k-1} \alpha[f_1^l(x)], \quad x \in X, k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$(10) \quad H_k(x) = G_k(x) \gamma^k(\sigma[f_1^k(x)]), \quad x \in X, k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We shall show that the following theorem is true.

**THEOREM.** *If hypotheses (i)–(iv) and the conditions*

$$(11) \quad \gamma(\alpha(x)t) \leq \alpha(x)\gamma(t), \quad x \in f_1(X), t \in [0, \infty),$$

$$(12) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H_k(x) = 0, \quad x \in X,$$

*are fulfilled, then equation (1) has at least one continuous solution  $\varphi : X \rightarrow R$  fulfilling the condition*

$$(13) \quad \varphi_1^*(x) \leq \varphi(x) \leq \varphi_2^*(x), \quad x \in X.$$

**Proof.** Let  $\Phi_1$  be the class of all lower semicontinuous functions  $\varphi : X \rightarrow R$  fulfilling (13), and similarly, let  $\Phi_2$  be the class of all upper semicontinuous functions  $\varphi : X \rightarrow R$  fulfilling condition (13). Put

$$(14) \quad \varphi_{j,0}(x) = \varphi_j^*(x), \quad \varphi_{j,k+1}(x) = h(x, \varphi_{j,k}[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi_{j,k}[f_n(x)]), \\ x \in X, j = 1, 2, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows from the continuity of the functions  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $h$  and from hypotheses (iii) and (iv) that

$$(15) \quad \varphi_{j,k} \in \Phi_j, \quad j = 1, 2, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$(16) \quad \varphi_{1,k}(x) \leq \varphi_{1,k+1}(x), \quad \varphi_{2,k+1}(x) \leq \varphi_{2,k}(x), \quad x \in X, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Hence the sequences  $\{\varphi_{j,k}\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , are pointwise convergent. Let

$$(17) \quad \varphi_j(x) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{j,k}(x), \quad x \in X, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

On account of (15), (16) and (17)

$$(18) \quad \varphi_j \in \Phi_j, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Moreover, by (14) and (17) we have that  $\varphi_j$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ , fulfil equation (1).

Note that relations (10), (9), (8), (5), (7) and the monotonicity of  $\gamma$  imply the inequality

$$(19) \quad H_k[f_i(x)] \leq H_k[f_1(x)], \quad x \in X, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

whereas (10), (9) and (11) give

$$(20) \quad \alpha(x)\gamma(H_k[f_1(x)]) \leq H_{k+1}(x), \quad x \in X, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We shall prove that

$$(21) \quad |\varphi_{1,k}(x) - \varphi_{2,k}(x)| \leq H_k(x), \quad x \in X, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

In view of (14), (4), (6), (7), of the monotonicity of  $\beta$ , and of (8), (9) and (10), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi_{1,1}(x) - \varphi_{2,1}(x)| \\ &= |h(x, \varphi_1^*[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi_1^*[f_n(x)]) - h(x, \varphi_2^*[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi_2^*[f_n(x)])| \\ &\leq \alpha(x)\beta(\sigma[f_1(x)], \dots, \sigma[f_n(x)]) \leq \alpha(x)\gamma(\sigma[f_1(x)]) = H_1(x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (21) holds for  $k = 1$ . Suppose that inequality (21) is fulfilled for a  $k \geq 1$ . By (14), (4), (21) for  $k$ , the monotonicity of  $\beta$ , (19), (8) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\varphi_{1,k+1}(x) - \varphi_{2,k+1}(x)| \\ &= |h(x, \varphi_{1,k}[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi_{1,k}[f_n(x)]) - h(x, \varphi_{2,k}[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi_{2,k}[f_n(x)])| \\ &\leq \alpha(x)\beta(H_k[f_1(x)], \dots, H_k[f_n(x)]) \leq \alpha(x)\gamma(H_k[f_1(x)]) \leq H_{k+1}(x), \end{aligned}$$

i.e. (21) holds for  $k+1$ , and thus it is valid for every positive integer. Recalling (17), (21) and (12) we have  $\varphi_1 = \varphi_2 = \varphi$ , and applying (18) we obtain that  $\varphi \in \Phi_1 \cap \Phi_2$  which implies that  $\varphi$  is a continuous function. This completes the proof.

**Remark.** In the case where the function  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , is the  $i$ -th iterate of a continuous function  $f: X \rightarrow X$ , i.e.  $f_i(x) = f^i(x)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha[f(x)] &\leq \alpha(x), & x \in X, \\ \sigma[f(x)] &\leq \sigma(x), & x \in X, \end{aligned}$$

imply (5) and (7), respectively.

Now, we are going to show how our result can be applied in a more specific situation by considering the following

**EXAMPLE.** Let  $f, g$  and  $F$  be real-valued continuous functions defined on an interval  $I$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(I) &\subset I, \\ 0 \leq g(x), \quad 0 \leq F(x), \quad 1 + g(x) + F(x) &\leq \pi/2, \quad x \in I, \\ g[f(x)] \leq g(x), \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g[f^k(x)] &< \infty, \quad x \in I, \end{aligned}$$

and consider the functional equation

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1 + g(x)}{2} (\sin \varphi[f(x)] + \sin \varphi[f^2(x)]) + F(x).$$

Putting

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(x) &= 1 + g(x), \quad x \in I, \\ \beta(t_1, t_2) &= \frac{1}{2}(\gamma(t_1) + \gamma(t_2)), \quad t_1, t_2 \in [0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma(t) = \begin{cases} 2 \sin t/2, & 0 \leq t \leq \pi, \\ 2, & t > \pi, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\varphi_1^*(x) = 0, \quad \varphi_2^*(x) = \pi/2, \quad x \in I,$$

we get, by the above theorem and by the remark, the existence of at least one continuous solution  $\varphi: I \rightarrow [0, \pi/2]$  of this equation. This, however, does not result from theorems contained in papers [2]-[4] (cf. also Chapter XII in [5]).

#### References

- [1] K. Baron, *On the continuous solutions of a non-linear functional equation of the first order*, Ann. Polon. Math. 28(1973), p. 201-205.
- [2] — *Continuous solutions of a functional equation of n-th order*, Aequationes Math. 9(1973), p. 257-259.
- [3] — *Note on continuous solutions of a functional equation*, ibidem (to appear).
- [4] J. Kordylewski, *On continuous solutions of systems of functional equations*, Ann. Polon. Math. 25 (1971), p. 53-83.
- [5] M. Kuczma, *Functional equations in a single variable*, Monografie Mat. 46, Warszawa 1968.

Reçu par la Rédaction le 27. 9. 1972