

## Coefficient problem for a class of Mocanu-Bazilevič functions

by P. K. KULSHIRESTHA (New Orleans, La.)

**Abstract.** Let  $M(a, \sigma, A)$ ,  $a > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ ,  $A > \frac{1}{2}$  denote the class of Mocanu-Bazilevič univalent functions  $f$  in the open unit disk  $E(|z| < 1)$  for which  $|(J(a, f(z)) - \sigma)/(1 - \sigma) - A| < A$ ,  $z \in E$ , where

$$J(a, f(z)) = (1 - a) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + a \left[ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right].$$

The coefficient problem for the class  $M(a, \sigma, A)$  is completely solved; the results obtained are sharp.

1. Let  $S$  denote the class of functions  $f$  which are regular and univalent in the open unit disk  $E(|z| < 1)$  and normalized by the conditions

$$(1) \quad f(0) = f'(0) - 1 = 0.$$

Let  $C_r$  denote the image of the circle  $|z| = r$ ,  $0 < r < 1$ , under the mapping  $f \in S$ ,  $z = re^{i\theta}$ . Then the angle  $\varphi = \arg\{f(re^{i\theta})\}$  represents the argument of the radius vector from the origin to the point  $f(re^{i\theta})$ , while  $\psi = \arg\{i re^{i\theta} f'(re^{i\theta})\}$  is the argument of the tangent vector to  $C_r$  at  $f(re^{i\theta})$ . Many special subclasses of  $S$  are obtained by imposing restrictions on the behavior of these arguments. Thus, if  $S_\sigma^*$  and  $K_\sigma$  denote the subclasses of  $S$  of starlike and convex functions of order  $\sigma$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ , respectively, then  $f \in S_\sigma^*(K_\sigma)$  if and only if  $\partial\varphi/\partial\theta \geq \sigma$  ( $\partial\psi/\partial\theta \geq \sigma$ ) for each  $r$ ,  $0 < r < 1$  [11]. The concept of  $\alpha$ -convexity of order  $\sigma$  is obtained by combining these conditions on the arguments. Thus, if  $\alpha \geq 0$  is a real number, a function  $f$  which is regular in  $E$ , satisfies (1) and is such that  $f(z) f'(z)/z \neq 0$  for  $z \in E$ , is said to be an  $\alpha$ -convex function of order  $\sigma$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$ , if the Mocanu angle  $\mu = (1 - \alpha)\varphi + \alpha\psi$  is an increasing function of  $\theta$  for fixed values of  $r$  [9] and satisfies  $\partial\mu/\partial\theta \geq \sigma$  for a given  $\alpha$  [5]. We denote the class of all functions  $f$  that are  $\alpha$ -convex of order  $\sigma$  by  $M(\alpha, \sigma)$ . If we write

$$J(a, f(z)) = (1 - a) \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + a \left[ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right],$$

then  $f \in M(\alpha, \sigma)$  if and only if  $\operatorname{Re} J(\alpha, f(z)) \geq \sigma$  holds for all  $z \in E$ . Obviously,  $M(0, \sigma) = S_\sigma^*$  and  $M(1, \sigma) = K_\sigma$ . In [5] it is proved that  $f \in M(\alpha, \sigma)$  for  $\alpha > 0$  if and only if  $f$  is a Bazilevič function [1] of type  $1/\alpha$  and order  $\sigma$  of the form

$$(2) \quad f(z) = \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^z [g(\zeta)]^{1/\alpha} \zeta^{-1} d\zeta \right]^\alpha, \quad z \in E,$$

where  $g \in S_\sigma^*$ , and the powers appearing in (2) as well as in the sequel are meant as principal values. The class  $M(\alpha, \sigma)$  therefore consists of Mocanu-Bazilevič type of univalent functions of order  $\sigma$ .

2. The subclass of Mocanu-Bazilevič functions to be investigated in this paper is defined as follows: Let  $f$  be regular in  $E$  and satisfy (1); then  $f \in M(\alpha, \sigma, A)$  in  $E$ , if there exist real numbers  $\alpha, \sigma$  and  $A$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $0 \leq \sigma < 1$  and  $A > \frac{1}{2}$ , such that for given  $\alpha, \sigma$  and  $A$

$$(3) \quad \left| \frac{J(\alpha, f(z)) - \sigma}{1 - \sigma} - A \right| < A, \quad z \in E.$$

Obviously,  $M(\alpha, \sigma, A_1) \subset M(\alpha, \sigma, A_2)$  for given  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma$  whenever  $A_1 < A_2$ , and  $M(\alpha, \sigma, \infty) \equiv M(\alpha, \sigma)$ . We also note that for given  $\alpha$  and  $\sigma$  the class  $M(\alpha, \sigma, \frac{1}{2})$  is empty, since  $J(\alpha, z) = 1$ ; in this case the identity function  $f(z) = z$  yields equality in (3). Other different classes of this general kind have been studied, e.g., in [8] and [10], where members of the respective classes turn out to be bounded in  $E$ . The members of  $M(\alpha, \sigma, A)$  are, however, not bounded in  $E$ .

Let  $P(A)$  denote the class of functions  $p$  which are regular in  $E$  and satisfy the conditions that  $p(0) = 1$ ,  $|p(z) - A| < A$  for  $z \in E$  and a fixed  $A > \frac{1}{2}$ . Let  $\Omega$  denote the class of all functions  $\omega$  regular in  $E$  and satisfying the conditions  $\omega(0) = 0$  and  $|\omega(z)| < |z|$  for  $z \in E$ . It is known [4] that if  $p \in P(A)$ , then

$$(4) \quad p(z) = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - a\omega(z)}, \quad a = 1 - 1/A,$$

where  $\omega \in \Omega$ .

Members of the class  $M(\alpha, \sigma, A)$  can be represented in terms of the members of  $P(A)$ . Thus, in view of (3),  $f \in M(\alpha, \sigma, A)$  if and only if

$$(5) \quad J(\alpha, f(z)) - \sigma = (1 - \sigma)p(z), \quad p \in P(A).$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \in M(\alpha, \sigma, A)$ , and let  $s_1 = 0$ ,  $s_m = (1 - \alpha)(\beta_m - a_m) + \alpha\gamma_{m-1}$ ,

$$(6) \quad t_1 = (1 + \alpha)(1 - \sigma),$$

$$t_m = (1 - \sigma + \alpha\alpha - \alpha\sigma)a_m + \alpha(1 - \alpha)\beta_m + \alpha\alpha\gamma_{m-1}, \quad m = 2, 3, \dots,$$

where  $\alpha_m, \beta_m, \gamma_m$  are defined by (with  $\alpha_1 = 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha_m &= \sum_{k=1}^m (m-k+1) \alpha_k \alpha_{m-k+1}, \\
 \beta_m &= \sum_{k=1}^m k(m-k+1) \alpha_k \alpha_{m-k+1}, \\
 \gamma_m &= \sum_{k=1}^m k(k+1) \alpha_{k+1} \alpha_{m-k+1},
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{7}$$

and  $a = 1 - 1/\Lambda$ . Then the coefficients  $a_n$  satisfy the following quadratic inequality:

$$|s_n|^2 \leq \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \{ |t_m|^2 - |s_m|^2 \}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots
 \tag{8}$$

Equality in (8) holds for the function

$$f_*(z) = \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} \int_0^z \zeta^{\frac{1}{\alpha}-1} (1 - \varepsilon a \zeta)^{-(1+\alpha)(1-\sigma)/\alpha} d\zeta \right]^\alpha, \quad |\varepsilon| = 1.
 \tag{9}$$

Proof. Substituting (4) in (5) we get after some simplification

$$\begin{aligned}
 (10) \quad & (1 - \alpha) \{ z[f'(z)]^2 - f(z)f'(z) \} + \alpha z f(z) f''(z) \\
 & = \{ \alpha(1 - \alpha) z [f'(z)]^2 + (1 - \sigma + \alpha a - \alpha \sigma) f(z) f'(z) + \alpha \alpha z f(z) f''(z) \} \omega(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Given  $f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ , we note that

$$f(z)f'(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m z^m, \quad [f'(z)]^2 = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_m z^{m-1}, \quad f(z)f''(z) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma_m z^m,$$

where  $\alpha_m, \beta_m, \gamma_m$  are defined by (7). Thus, relation (10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 - \alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} (\beta_m - \alpha_m) z^m + \alpha \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma_m z^{m+1} \\
 & = \left\{ \alpha(1 - \alpha) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \beta_m z^m + (1 - \sigma + \alpha a - \alpha \sigma) \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \alpha_m z^m + \alpha a \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \gamma_m z^m \right\} \omega(z),
 \end{aligned}$$

which, in view of the substitutions (6), simplifies to

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} s_m z^m = \left\{ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} t_m z^m \right\} \omega(z),$$

or

$$\left| \sum_{m=1}^n s_m z^m + \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} h_m z^m \right| \leq \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} t_m z^m \right|,
 \tag{11}$$

where the series  $\sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} h_m z^m$  is absolutely and uniformly convergent in compacta on  $E$ . Putting  $z = re^{i\theta}$  and performing the indicated integrals we get

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{m=1}^n s_m r^m e^{im\theta} + \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} h_m r^m e^{im\theta} \right|^2 d\theta \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \left| \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} t_m r^m e^{im\theta} \right|^2 d\theta$$

which by Parseval's identity gives as  $r \rightarrow 1$

$$\sum_{m=1}^n |s_m|^2 \leq \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} |t_m|^2, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

and inequality (8) is obtained on transposing terms.

The method used in this proof is due to Clunie [2], which has also been used in [7], [8] and [10].

Inequality (8) in the particular cases for  $n = 2, 3$  gives

$$(12) \quad |a_2| \leq \frac{(1+a)(1-\sigma)}{1+a},$$

$$(13) \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{(1+a)(1-\sigma)[1+3\alpha+2a+5\alpha a+\alpha a^2-\sigma(1-a)(1+3\alpha)]}{2(1+\alpha)^2(1+2\alpha)}.$$

The presence of the parameter  $\alpha$  makes it very difficult to further simplify the quadratic inequality (8), or employ any induction scheme on it. However, some special cases can be obtained from (8) as follows:

If  $f \in M(\alpha, \sigma)$ , the bounds in (12) and (13) are

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{2(1-\sigma)}{1+\alpha}, \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{(1-\sigma)(3+8\alpha+\alpha^2)}{(1+\alpha)^2(1+2\alpha)},$$

which for  $\sigma = 0$  reduce to the result given in [5].

If  $f \in M(0, \sigma, A)$ , inequality (8) becomes

$$\sum_{k=2}^n (k-1)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq (1+a)^2(1-\sigma)^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \{1+ak-(1+a)\sigma\}^2 |a_k|^2,$$

which by induction gives

$$(14) \quad |a_n| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{|1+(k+1)a-(1+a)\sigma|}{k+1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Equality in (14) holds for the function

$$f(z) = z(1-\varepsilon az)^{-(1+a)(1-\sigma)/a}, \quad |\varepsilon| = 1.$$

If  $f \in M(0, \sigma, \infty) \equiv S_\sigma^*$ , inequality (14) gives the known result [11]

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{k+2(1-\sigma)}{k+1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

which is sharp for  $f(z) = z(1-\varepsilon z)^{-2(1-\sigma)}$ ,  $|\varepsilon| = 1$  (see also [7]).

If  $f \in M(1, \sigma, A)$ , inequality (8) becomes

$$\sum_{k=2}^n k^2(k-1)^2 |a_k|^2 \leq (1+a)^2(1-\sigma)^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{n-1} \{(1+a)(1-\sigma)k + ak(k-1)\}^2 |a_k|^2,$$

which by induction leads to

$$(15) \quad |a_n| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{(1-a)(1-\sigma) + ka}{k+2}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

Equality in (15) holds for the function

$$f(z) = \int_0^z (1-\varepsilon a \xi)^{-(1+a)(1-\sigma)/a} d\xi, \quad |\varepsilon| = 1.$$

If  $f \in M(1, \sigma, \infty) \equiv K_\sigma$ , inequality (15) gives the known result [11]

$$|a_n| \leq \prod_{k=0}^{n-2} \frac{k+2(1-\sigma)}{k+2}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots,$$

which is sharp for

$$f(z) = \int_0^z (1-\varepsilon \xi)^{-2(1-\sigma)} d\xi = \frac{1-(1-\varepsilon z)^{2\sigma-1}}{2\sigma-1},$$

$$|\varepsilon| = 1.$$

In view of these particular cases it appears that the function  $f_*(z)$  defined by (9) is an extremal function for the class  $M(a, \sigma, A)$ .

3. The coefficient problem for the class  $M(a, \sigma, A)$  can be completely solved as follows: Let us write the function  $f_*(z)$ , defined by (9), as

$$(16) \quad f_*(z) = zH(z),$$

where

$$(17) \quad H(z) = \left\{ 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \right\}^a,$$

$$(18) \quad b_n = \frac{\varepsilon^n}{n! \alpha^n (1+na)} \prod_{k=0}^{n-1} [(1+a)(1-\sigma) + kaa].$$

Then, if  $f(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu} \in M(\alpha, \sigma, A)$  it is easy to see that  $|a_{n+1}| \leq \frac{H^{(n)}(0)}{n!}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$  In fact, the following result holds:

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $f(z) = z + \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} a_{\nu} z^{\nu} \in M(\alpha, \sigma, A)$ . Let  $S(n)$  be the set of all  $n$ -tuples  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  of non-negative integers for which  $\sum_{i=1}^n ix_i = n$ , and for each such  $n$ -tuple define  $q$  by  $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = q$ . If

$\gamma(\alpha, q) = \alpha(\alpha-1)(\alpha-2) \dots (\alpha-q)$  with  $\gamma(\alpha, 0) = \alpha$ , and  $c_n = |b_n|$ , where  $b_n$  are given by (18), then for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$

$$(19) \quad |a_{n+1}| \leq \sum \frac{\gamma(\alpha, q-1) c_1^{x_1} c_2^{x_2} \dots c_n^{x_n}}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!},$$

where summation is taken over all  $n$ -tuples in  $S(n)$ .

*Proof.* In view of (16) and (17), since

$$(20) \quad H(z) = [h(z)]^{\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+1} z^n,$$

where  $h(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n$ , we obtain on differentiating (20)

$$H'(z) = \alpha \frac{h'(z)}{h(z)}, \quad H(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n+1} z^{n-1},$$

which on using the power series for  $h, h'$  and  $H$  gives

$$(21) \quad \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n a_{n+1} z^{n-1} \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \right) = \alpha \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n b_n z^{n-1} \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n+1} z^n \right).$$

For fixed integer  $n \geq 1$  we equate the coefficients of  $z^{n-1}$  in (21) and find that

$$(22) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n [k - \alpha(n-k)] b_{n-k} a_{k+1} = 0 \quad (c_0 = a_1 = 1).$$

Since  $c_0 = 1$ , we can solve (22) for  $a_{n+1}$  and get

$$(23) \quad |a_{n+1}| \leq -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [k - \alpha(n-k)] a_{n-k} |a_{k+1}|.$$

Equation (23) is a recursion formula that allows us to compute  $|a_{n+1}|$  from these with smaller index and as such determines a sequence of  $|a_{n+1}|$  in a unique manner. Thus, in order to prove this theorem it would suffice to show that for each integer  $n$  the coefficients  $a_{n+1}$  defined by the equality in (19) do indeed satisfy (23). For this purpose, we proceed by induction, i.e., we assume for each  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$

$$(24) \quad |a_{n+1}| \leq \sum \frac{\gamma(\alpha, j-1) c_1^{x_1} c_2^{x_2} \dots c_k^{x_k}}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_k!},$$

where  $j = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i$  and the sum is taken over  $S(k)$ , the set of all non-negative  $k$ -tuples  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  for which  $\sum_{i=1}^k x_i = k$ . Now if  $k < n$ , we can enlarge the  $k$ -tuple to an  $n$ -tuple by adjoining suitably many zeros.

Then any solution of

$$(25) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n i x_i = k, \quad k < n,$$

in non-negative integers must give  $x_i = 0$  for  $i = k+1, k+2, \dots, n$ , and the inclusion of the factors  $c_i^{x_i}/x_i!$  in (24) does not change the value because these factors are 1 for  $i = k+1, k+2, \dots, n$ . Hence (24) can be replaced by

$$(26) \quad |a_{n+1}| \leq \sum \frac{\gamma(\alpha, j-1) c_1^{x_1} c_2^{x_2} \dots c_n^{x_n}}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!}, \quad k \leq n,$$

where  $j = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ , and the sum is taken over the set  $S(k)$  of all non-negative integer solutions of (25). We use (26) in the right-hand side  $R$  of (23). Then

$$(27) \quad R \equiv -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{S(k)} \frac{[k - \alpha(n-k)] \gamma(\alpha, j-1) c_{n-k} c_1^{x_1} c_2^{x_2} \dots c_n^{x_n}}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!}.$$

Now let  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$  be any fixed  $n$ -tuple in  $S(n)$ , so that

$$(28) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n i y_i = n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = q.$$

We are to determine the coefficient  $C$  of  $c_1^{y_1} c_2^{y_2} \dots c_n^{y_n}$  in (27). This coefficient may arise from combining several terms from the sum and in fact such terms arise if and only if  $c_{n-k} c_1^{x_1} c_2^{x_2} \dots c_n^{x_n} = c_1^{y_1} c_2^{y_2} \dots c_n^{y_n}$ .

To be specific, let  $a$  be an index for which  $y_a \geq 1$ , and let  $x_i = y_1$  if  $i \neq a$ , and let  $x_a = y_a - 1$ . For this fixed  $a$ , we have  $j = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = q - 1$ . In (27) we set  $n - k = a$ . If  $A$  is the set of  $a$  for which  $y_a \neq 0$ , then

$$(29) \quad C = -\frac{1}{n} \sum_{a \in A} \frac{(n - a - aa)\gamma(a, q - 2)}{x_1! x_2! \dots x_n!}.$$

Inserting the factor  $y_a$  in the numerator and denominator of (29) we have

$$\begin{aligned} C &= -\sum_{a \in A} \frac{y_a(n - a - aa)\gamma(a, q - 2)}{ny_1! y_2! \dots y_n!} \\ &= \frac{\gamma(a, q - 2)}{ny_1! y_2! \dots y_n!} \sum_{a \in A} y_a(aa + a - n). \end{aligned}$$

If  $y_a = 0$ , then the corresponding term in the sum is zero. Hence, using (28)

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \frac{\gamma(a, q - 2)}{ny_1! \dots y_n!} \sum_{a=1}^n (aay_a + ay_a - ny_a) \\ &= \frac{\gamma(a, q - 2)}{ny_1! y_2! \dots y_n!} n[a - (q - 1)] \\ &= \frac{\gamma(a, q - 1)}{y_1! \dots y_n!}, \end{aligned}$$

which is precisely the coefficient of  $c_1^{y_1} c_2^{y_2} \dots c_n^{y_n}$  required on the right-hand side of (19). Since the argument holds for each fixed  $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ , the proof is complete.

The bounds in (19) are sharp and for  $\alpha > 0$  attained by  $f_*(z)$  defined in (9).

The technique used by Goodman in [3] has been employed to get the bounds in (19) in the compact form.

All particular cases discussed in Section 2 for  $\alpha > 0$  follow from (19). For  $\sigma = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  the above theorem reduces to the result announced in [6]. This theorem solves the coefficient problem for the class  $M(\alpha, \sigma, A)$  completely.

The author wishes to thank Professor W. H. J. Fuchs for some helpful comments.



## References

- [1] I. E. Bazilevič, *On a case of integrability in quadrature of the Löwner-Kufarev equation*, Mat. Sb. 37 (79) (1955), p. 471–476 (Russian).
- [2] J. Clunie, *On meromorphic schlicht functions*, J. London Math. Soc. 34 (1959), p. 215–216.
- [3] A. W. Goodman, *Coefficients for the area theorem*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 33 (1972), p. 438–444.
- [4] W. Janowski, *Extremal problems for a family of functions with positive real part and for some related families*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., Ser. Sci., Math., Astr. et Phys. 17 (1969), p. 633–637.
- [5] P. K. Kulshrestha, *Orders of alpha-convex univalent functions* (submitted).
- [6] — *Coefficients for alpha-convex functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [7] R. J. Libera, *Univalent  $\alpha$ -spiral functions*, Canad. J. Math. 19 (1967), p. 449–456.
- [8] — and A. E. Livingston, *Bounded functions with positive real part*, Czech. Math. J. 22 (97) (1972), p. 195–209.
- [9] P. T. Mocanu, *Une propriété de convexité généralisée dans la théorie de la représentation conforme*, Mathematica (Cluj) 11 (1969), p. 127–133.
- [10] W. Plaskota, *Sur quelques problèmes extrémaux dans les familles des fonctions générées par les fonctions de Carathéodory*, Ann. Polon. Math. 25 (1971), p. 139–144.
- [11] M. S. Robertson, *On the theory of univalent functions*, Ann. of Math. 37 (1936), p. 374–408.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY IN NEW ORLEANS  
NEW ORLEANS, U.S.A.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 18. 1. 1974*

---