

## On differentiable solutions of some systems of functional equations of $p$ -th order

by Z. KRZESZOWIAK-DYBIEC (Kraków)

**Abstract.** On the basis of the results of [3], a theorem on the existence of a differentiable solution  $\Phi$  of the system of functional equations

$$\Phi(f^p(x)) = G(x, \Phi(x), \dots, \Phi(f^{p-1}(x)))$$

is proved in this paper. Under some additional conditions, regularity of the solution  $\Phi$  at the fixed point of the function  $f$  is investigated. Moreover, an example is discussed.

**1. Introduction.** The purpose of the present paper is to prove some theorems concerning the existence of differentiable solutions of the system of  $m$  functional equations of order  $p$

$$(1) \quad \Phi(f^p(x)) = G[x, \Phi(x), \dots, \Phi(f^{p-1}(x))],$$

where  $\Phi \in R \times R^m$  is an unknown function and functions  $f \in R \times R$  and  $G \in R^{mp+1} \times R^m$  are given.

The problem of the existence and uniqueness of differentiable solutions of the system of functional equations

$$\varphi_i(x) = h_i(x, \varphi_1(f_1(x)), \dots, \varphi_m(f_1(x)), \dots, \varphi_m(f_n(x))),$$

where  $\varphi_i$  are unknown functions, was investigated by Z. Kominek in [1]. Most of the results of that paper have been obtained with use of fixed-point theorems. Here we are not able to apply these methods.

All the theorems proved in this paper concern the case of non-uniqueness.

Section 2 contains a lemma on the equivalence between system (1) and a certain system (2) of functional equations of first order, involving  $R^{mp}$ -functions:

$$(2) \quad \varphi(f(x)) = g(x, \varphi(x)),$$

where  $\varphi$  is the unknown function and the functions  $f$  and  $g$  are given. This section contains also a theorem on the existence of a  $C^r$ -solution of system (1) in an interval  $(a, b)$ .

In Section 3 we formulate some sufficient conditions for a  $C^r$ -solution of system (2) in the interval  $(a, b)$  to be continuous at the point  $b$ .

The theorem on the existence of a  $C^r$ -solutions of system (1) in the interval  $(a, b)$  is the subject of Section 4.

Finally, in Section 5 we show an example illustrating the theorems proved in the previous sections.

Our considerations are based on the theory of differentiable solutions of equation (2) contained mainly in [4], and the results of [3].

**2.  $C^r$ -solution in  $(a, b)$ .** The investigation of system (1) can be reduced to the investigation of a certain system of equations of first order. This is a consequence of the following

**LEMMA 1** (cf. [4], p. 246, also [2], p. 54). *If the function  $f$  maps some number interval  $I$  into itself, then the equation*

$$\Phi(f^p(x)) = G[x, \Phi(x), \Phi(f(x)), \dots, \Phi(f^{p-1}(x))]$$

is equivalent to the equation

$$\varphi(f(x)) = g(x, \varphi(x)),$$

where the function

$$g = (g_1, \dots, g_p), \quad g_i \in R^{m_{p+1}} \times R^m, \quad i = 1, \dots, p,$$

is defined by

$$(3) \quad g_i(x, y_1, \dots, y_p) = y_{i+1}, \quad g_p(x, y_1, \dots, y_p) = G(x, y_1, \dots, y_p), \\ x \in I, y_i \in R^m, i = 1, \dots, p-1.$$

This equivalence to be understood in the following sense: if a function  $\Phi: I \rightarrow R^m$  satisfies in  $I$  equation (1), then the function  $\varphi: I \rightarrow R^{mp}$  defined by

$$(4) \quad \varphi(x) = (\Phi(x), \Phi(f(x)), \dots, \Phi(f^{p-1}(x))), \quad x \in I,$$

satisfies in  $I$  equation (2) with  $g$  defined by (3). Conversely, if a function  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_p)$ ,  $\varphi_i(x) \in R^m$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, p$ , satisfies in  $I$  equation (2) with  $g$  defined by (3), then the function  $\Phi = \varphi_1$  satisfies in  $I$  equation (1).

We start with quoting a theorem which was proved in [3]. First we remind the hypotheses of this theorem.

Let

$$g: R^{N+1} \supset \Omega \rightarrow R^N, \quad \Omega_x = \{y: (x, y) \in \Omega\}, \\ \langle a, b \rangle \subset \{x: \Omega_x \neq \emptyset\}, \quad \Gamma_x = g(x, \Omega_x), \quad \Gamma = \bigcup_{x \in \langle a, b \rangle} \{x\} \times \Gamma_x,$$

and let  $r$  be a fixed positive integer. We assume that:

- (I)  $f: \langle a, b \rangle \rightarrow \langle a, b \rangle$ ,  $f(a) = a$ ,  $f(b) = b$ ,  $f(x) > x$  for  $x \in (a, b)$ ;  
 $f \in C^r(\langle a, b \rangle)$ , and  $f'(x) > 0$  in  $\langle a, b \rangle$ ,

- (II)  $g \in C^r(\Omega)^{(1)}$  and for every  $x \in \langle a, b \rangle$  the function  $y \mapsto g(x, y)$  is invertible,
- (III)  $h \in C^r(\Gamma)$ , where  $h$  denotes the function inverse to the function  $y \mapsto g(x, y)$ ,
- (IV) there exist sets  $A_i \subset R^{q-1}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $B_j \subset R^{q-1}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $q < N$ , and functions
 
$$u_i: \langle a, b \rangle \times A_i \rightarrow R^N, \quad u_i \in C^1(\langle a, b \rangle \times A_i), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$v_j: \langle a, b \rangle \times B_j \rightarrow R^N, \quad v_j \in C^1(\langle a, b \rangle \times B_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$
 such that

$$\Gamma_x - \Omega_{f(x)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} u_i(x, A_i), \quad \Omega_{f(x)} - \Gamma_x = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} v_j(x, B_j);$$

moreover, the set  $\Omega \cup \Gamma$  is a region in the space  $R^{N+1}$ ,

- (V) there exist a point  $(x_0, \eta) \in \Omega$ ,  $x_0 \in (a, b)$  such that  $\eta = g(x_0, \eta)$  and a  $\rho_0 > 0$  such that

$$\langle x_0, f(x_0) \rangle \times S_0 \subset \Omega \cup \Gamma,$$

where  $S_0$  is the sphere

$$S_0 = \{y \in R^N: |y - \eta| \leq \rho_0\}.$$

Then we have

**THEOREM 1** (cf. [3], Theorem 2). *If hypotheses (I)–(V) are fulfilled, then for every  $\rho$  with  $0 < \rho \leq \rho_0$  and for every system of elements  $l^k \in R^N$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, r$ , there exists a function  $\varphi$  with the following properties:*

- (5)  $\varphi \in C^r((a, b))$ ,
- (6)  $\varphi$  satisfies system (2) in  $(a, b)$ ,
- (7)  $|\varphi - \eta| \leq \rho$  for every  $x \in \langle x_0, f(x_0) \rangle$ ,
- (8)  $\varphi^{(k)}(x_0) = l^k$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, r$ .

Now we are going to formulate a theorem concerning the properties of system (1) resulting from Lemma 1 and from Theorem 1. For this purpose we accept some hypotheses regarding the function  $G$ .

We assume that:

- (II<sub>G</sub>)  $G: R^{mp+1} \supset \Omega \rightarrow R^m$ ,  $G \in C^r(\Omega)$ , and for every admissible  $(x, y_2, \dots, y_p)$  the function  $y_1 \mapsto G(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p)$  is invertible.
- (III<sub>G</sub>)  $H \in C^r(\Gamma)$ , where  $H$  denotes the inverse function to the function  $y_1 \mapsto G(x, y_2, \dots, y_p)$ .

---

(1) In the whole of this paper we understand the notion of  $C^r$ -class of a function in the global sense.

Here

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{x \in \langle a, b \rangle} \bigcup_{(y_2, \dots, y_p) \in \Omega'_x} \{x\} \times \{(y_2, \dots, y_p)\} \times G(x, \Omega_x, y_2, \dots, y_p),$$

where

$$\Omega_x = \{(y_1, \dots, y_p) : (x, y_1, \dots, y_p) \in \Omega\},$$

$$\Omega'_x = \{y_1 : \exists (y_2, \dots, y_p) : (y_1, \dots, y_p) \in \Omega_x\},$$

and

$$\Omega'_x = \{(y_2, \dots, y_p) : \exists y_1 : (y_1, \dots, y_p) \in \Omega_x\},$$

$$x \in \langle a, b \rangle, y_i \in R^m, i = 1, \dots, p,$$

are non-empty subsets of the spaces  $R^{mp}$ ,  $R^m$ ,  $R^{m(p-1)}$  respectively.

As consequence of Theorem 1 we get the following

**THEOREM 1<sub>G</sub>.** *If hypotheses (I), (II<sub>G</sub>), (III<sub>G</sub>) are fulfilled and if hypotheses (IV) and (V) are fulfilled for the function  $g$  defined by (3), then for every  $\rho$  with  $0 < \rho \leq \rho_0$  and for every system of elements  $L^k \in R^m$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, r$ , there exists a function  $\Phi$  with the following properties:*

$$(9) \quad \Phi \in C^r(\langle a, b \rangle),$$

$$(10) \quad \Phi \text{ satisfies system (1) in } \langle a, b \rangle,$$

$$(11) \quad |\Phi - \eta| \leq \rho \quad \text{for every } x \in \langle x_0, f(x_0) \rangle,$$

$$(12) \quad \Phi^{(k)}(x_0) = L^k, \quad k = 1, \dots, r.$$

**Proof.** According to Lemma 1 it suffices to find a solution of equation (2) with  $g$  defined by (3). Putting  $N = mp$  we see that hypothesis (II) results from hypothesis (II<sub>G</sub>). The function  $h$  inverse to the function  $g$  given by (3) is defined by

$$(13) \quad h_1(x, z_1, \dots, z_p) = H(x, z_1, \dots, z_p), \quad h_i(x, z_1, \dots, z_p) = z_{i-1},$$

$$i = 2, \dots, p.$$

Hypothesis (III<sub>G</sub>) and formula (13) imply hypothesis (III). Consequently, the hypotheses of Theorem 1 are fulfilled. As elements  $l^k$  in Theorem 1 we take

$$l^k = (L^k, L_2^k, \dots, L_p^k),$$

where  $L_i^k$ ,  $i = 2, \dots, p$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, r$ , are arbitrary elements of the space  $R^m$ . By Theorem 1 there exists the function  $\varphi = (\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_p)$  with properties (5)–(8) and hence it follows, by Lemma 1, that the function  $\Phi = \varphi_1$  fulfils conditions (9)–(12).

This completes the proof.

**3. Continuity of a regular solution at the fixed point.** Now we are going to formulate some sufficient conditions for the continuity of a  $C^r$ -

solution of system (2) in  $(a, b)$  at the point  $b$  ( $b$  is the fixed point of the function  $f$ ). For this purpose we assume some additional hypotheses:

(VI) There exists a point  $\mathbf{d} \in \Omega_b$  such that  $\mathbf{g}(b, \mathbf{d}) = \mathbf{d}$ .

(VII) There exist  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\varrho > 0$ , and points  $x_0 \in (b - \delta, b)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\eta} = \Omega_{x_0}$  such that

$$\mathbf{g}(x_0, \boldsymbol{\eta}) = \boldsymbol{\eta} \quad \text{and} \quad |\boldsymbol{\eta} - \mathbf{d}| \leq \varrho/2.$$

We are now in a position to prove the following

**THEOREM 2.** *If hypotheses (I)–(IV), (VI), (VII), and*

$$(VIII) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}(b, \mathbf{d}) \right\| < 1 \quad (2)$$

*are fulfilled, then there exists a  $C^r$ -solution of system (2) which is continuous at the point  $b$ .*

**Proof.** The theorem will be proved if we show that the function  $\mathbf{g}$  fulfils a Lipschitz condition with respect to  $\mathbf{y}$  with a constant less than 1 in a neighbourhood of  $(b, \mathbf{d})$ . Indeed, hypothesis (VII) implies hypothesis (V); thus Theorem 1 implies the existence of a  $C^r$ -solution of system (2) in  $(a, b)$  which, in particular, fulfils condition (7). Then, to complete the proof, we may repeat the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 12.9 ([4], p. 252, cf. also [4], p. 75, Theorem 3.6) in which an essential role is played by the Lipschitz condition.

On account of hypothesis (II) and the continuity of the function

$$(14) \quad (x, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}(x, \mathbf{y}) \right\|$$

there exist  $\delta > 0$ ,  $\varrho > 0$  and  $0 < \vartheta < 1$  such that

$$(15) \quad \left\| \frac{\partial \mathbf{g}}{\partial \mathbf{y}}(x, \mathbf{y}) \right\| < \vartheta \quad \text{for } (x, \mathbf{y}) \in V \cap \Omega,$$

where

$$V = (b - \delta, b) \times \{\mathbf{y}: |\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{d}| \leq \varrho\} \subset \Omega \cup \Gamma.$$

Further, we remark that we are not able to apply the mean-value theorem to the function  $\mathbf{g}$  (according to hypothesis (IV), a required segment need not be included in a correspondent part of the domain of  $\mathbf{g}$ ), but we can omit this inconvenience in the following way:

---

(2) The norm of a matrix  $A = [a_{ij}]$ ,  $i, j = 1, \dots, k$ , is to be understood as the operator norm

$$\|A\| = \sup_{\|u\|=1} |Au|, \quad \text{where } u \in R^k.$$

From hypothesis (II) it follows that there exist a neighbourhood  $U$  of the point  $(b, d)$  and a function  $\bar{g}: U \rightarrow R^N$  such that  $\bar{g} \in C^r(U)$  and  $\bar{g}|_{U \cap \Omega} = g$ .

We suppose additionally that  $V$  has been chosen in such a way that  $V \subset U$ . For arbitrary points  $(x, y) \in V \cap \Omega$  and  $(x, \bar{y}) \in V \cap \Omega$  we have the equality

$$(16) \quad \bar{g}(x, y) - \bar{g}(x, \bar{y}) = \bar{\mathfrak{M}}(y - \bar{y}),$$

where

$$\bar{\mathfrak{M}} = \left[ \frac{\partial \bar{g}_i}{\partial y_j}(x, \bar{\xi}_{ij}) \right], \quad (x, \bar{\xi}_{ij}) \in V, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N.$$

In the sequel we make use of the set identity

$$(17) \quad V = (V \cap \Omega) \cup (V \cap (\Gamma \setminus \Omega)).$$

On account of hypothesis (IV) and the Sard theorem ([6], [5], cf. also [3]) we have

$$m_{N+1}(\Gamma - \Omega) = m_{N+1} \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{x \in (a, b)} \{x\} \times u_i(f^{-1}(x), A_i) \right) = 0$$

( $m_{N+1}(A)$  denotes the  $(N+1)$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure of the set  $A$ ); thus the set  $V \cap (\Gamma \setminus \Omega)$  has no interior in the set  $V$ . From this by (17) we conclude that the set  $V \cap \Omega$  is dense in  $V$ . Hence, by the continuity of function (14) for every  $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \vartheta$  ( $\vartheta$  from (15)) there exists a point  $(x, \xi_{ij}) \in V \cap \Omega$  such that

$$(18) \quad \|\bar{\mathfrak{M}}\| \leq \|\mathfrak{M}\| + \varepsilon,$$

where

$$\mathfrak{M} = \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial y_j}(x, \xi_{ij}) = \frac{\partial \bar{g}_i}{\partial y_j}(x, \xi_{ij}), \quad i, j = 1, \dots, N.$$

By (16), (18) and the properties of the matrix norm we have

$$\begin{aligned} |g(x, y) - g(x, \bar{y})| &= |\bar{g}(x, y) - \bar{g}(x, \bar{y})| = |\bar{\mathfrak{M}}(y - \bar{y})| \\ &\leq \|\bar{\mathfrak{M}}\| |y - \bar{y}| \leq (\|\mathfrak{M}\| + \varepsilon) |y - \bar{y}| \leq (\vartheta + \varepsilon) |y - \bar{y}| \\ &\quad \text{for } (x, y) \in V \cap \Omega \text{ and } (x, \bar{y}) \in V \cap \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\vartheta + \varepsilon < 1$ , because  $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \vartheta$ .

This means that the function  $g$  fulfils a contractive Lipschitz condition in  $y$ . This completes the proof.

Now we are going to formulate another theorem giving sufficient condition for the continuity of  $C^r$ -solution of equation (2) in  $(a, b)$  at the point  $b$ .

Replacing in Theorem 2 hypothesis (VIII) by the hypothesis

$$(IX) \quad 0 < \lambda_0 < 1,$$

where  $\lambda_0 = \max_{1 \leq x \leq N} |\lambda_x|$ , and  $\lambda_x$  are the characteristic roots of the matrix

$\frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(b, d)$  we obtain

**THEOREM 3.** *If hypotheses (I)–(IV) and (VI), (VII), (IX) are fulfilled, then there exists a  $C^r$ -solution of system (2), in  $(a, b)$ , which is continuous at the point  $b$ .*

**Proof.** From a result by A. Ostrowski ([7], p. 151, also [2], p. 67, Lemma 7) it follows that for an  $0 < \varepsilon < 1 - \lambda_0$  there exists a non-singular matrix  $A$  such that

$$\left\| A \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(b, d) A^{-1} \right\| \leq \lambda_0 + \varepsilon < 1.$$

Now we consider the linear map  $T: R^N \rightarrow R^N$  defined by  $y^* = Ay$  and the function  $g^*(x, y^*) = Ag(x, A^{-1}y^*)$ . We remark that

$$\frac{\partial g^*}{\partial y^*}(b, d^*) = A \frac{\partial g}{\partial y}(b, d) A^{-1};$$

thus the function  $g^*$  fulfils hypothesis (VIII).

Further, we see that equation (2) is equivalent to the equation

$$(2^*) \quad \varphi^*(f(x)) = \tilde{g}^*(x, \varphi^*(x)), \quad \text{where } \varphi^*(x) = A\varphi(x),$$

in the following sense: if a function  $\varphi$  satisfies system (2) in  $(a, b)$ , then the function  $\varphi^*$  satisfies system (2\*) in  $(a, b)$ , and conversely, if a function  $\varphi^*$  satisfies system (2\*) in  $(a, b)$ , then the function  $\varphi = A^{-1}\varphi^*$  satisfies system (2) in  $(a, b)$ .

On account of hypotheses (I)–(IV), (VI), (VII) and the properties of the map  $T$  the function  $g^*$  fulfils analogous hypotheses involving the sets  $\Omega^*, \Gamma^*, \Omega_x^*, \Gamma_x^*$ , respectively.

Finally, we may apply Theorem 2 to system (2\*), which is equivalent to system (2) in the sense just described. This completes the proof.

In virtue of Lemma 1 we may formulate analogous theorems for system (1).

For example, hypothesis (IX) obtains the form

$$(IX_G) \quad 0 < \lambda_0 < 1,$$

where  $\lambda_0 = \max_{1 \leq x \leq mp} |\lambda_x|$  are the characteristic roots of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & I \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial y} & (b, d^p) \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $\mathbf{0}$  denotes the zero  $(p-1)m \times m$ -matrix,  $\mathbf{I}$  denotes the unit  $(p-1)m \times (p-1)m$ -matrix,  $\mathbf{d}^p = ((\mathbf{d}, \dots, \mathbf{d}): p \text{ times})$ .

As a consequence of Theorem 3 we have the following

**THEOREM 3<sub>G</sub>.** *If hypotheses (I), (II<sub>G</sub>), (III<sub>G</sub>), (IX<sub>G</sub>) are fulfilled, and if hypotheses (IV), (VI), and (VII) are fulfilled for the function  $\mathbf{g}$  defined by (3), then there exists a  $C^r$ -solution of system (1) in  $(a, b)$ , which is continuous at the point  $b$ .*

**4.  $C^r$ -solutions in the interval  $(a, b)$ .** In this section we formulate a theorem on the existence of a  $C^r$ -solution of system (1) in the interval  $(a, b)$ .

Because the  $k$ -th derivative of the function satisfying system (1) is a solution of some linear functional equation of  $p$ -th order, we first prove a lemma on continuous solutions in  $(a, b)$  of the equation

$$(L^p) \quad \Phi(f^p(x)) = F(x) + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \mathbf{a}_i(x) \Phi(f^i(x)),$$

where the functions  $F \in R \times R^m$ ,  $\mathbf{a}_i \in R \times R^{m^2}$  (the values of  $\mathbf{a}_i$  are square matrices of rank  $m$ ),  $i = 0, \dots, p-1$ ,  $f \in R \times R$  are known, and the function  $\Phi \in R \times R^m$  is unknown.

**LEMMA 2.** *If the known functions in equation  $(L^p)$  are continuous in  $(a, b)$ , the function  $f$  fulfils hypothesis (I) with  $r = 0$  and*

$$0 < \sigma_0 < 1,$$

where  $\sigma_0 = \max_{1 \leq x \leq mp} |\sigma_x|$ ,  $\sigma_x$  are the characteristic roots of the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{a}_0(b) & \dots & \mathbf{a}_{p-1}(b) \end{bmatrix}$$

( $\mathbf{0}$  and  $\mathbf{I}$  as in hypothesis (IX<sub>G</sub>)), then any solution of equation  $(L^p)$  which is continuous in the interval  $(a, b)$  is also continuous at the point  $b$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\Phi$  be a continuous solution of equation  $(L^p)$  in  $(a, b)$ . Then by Lemma 1 the function  $\varphi$  defined by (4) is a continuous solution of the equation

$$(L) \quad \mathbf{a}(f(x)) = \mathbf{a}(x) \mathbf{a}(x) + \mathbf{b}(x),$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}(x) = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I} \\ \mathbf{a}_0(x) & \dots & \mathbf{a}_{p-1}(x) \end{bmatrix}}_{mp} \Bigg\|_{mp}$$



( $\mathbf{0}$  and  $I$  as above) and

$$\mathbf{b}(x) = \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{0} \\ \underbrace{F(x)}_m \end{array} \right] \Bigg\}_{mp}, \quad x \in (a, b).$$

On account of A. Ostrowski's result ([7], p. 151) we choose a non-singular matrix  $A$  such that

$$(*) \quad \|\mathbf{a}^*(b)\| < 1,$$

where

$$\mathbf{a}^*(x) = A \mathbf{a}(x) A^{-1}.$$

Now, the function

$$\varphi^*(x) = A \varphi(x)$$

is in  $(a, b)$  a continuous solution of the equation

$$(L^*) \quad \mathbf{a}^*(f(x)) = \mathbf{a}^*(x) \mathbf{a}^*(x) + \mathbf{b}^*(x),$$

where

$$\mathbf{b}^*(x) = A \mathbf{b}(x).$$

From condition  $(*)$  it follows that there exists exactly one  $\mathbf{d}^* \in R^{mp}$  such that

$$\mathbf{d}^* = \mathbf{a}^*(b) \mathbf{d}^* + \mathbf{b}^*(b).$$

Putting

$$\varphi^*(b) = \mathbf{d}^*,$$

we prove as in [4], Theorem 2.9, p. 57, that the function  $\varphi^*$  is a continuous solution of equation  $(L^*)$  in  $(a, b)$ , continuous at the point  $b$ . Thus the function  $\varphi = A^{-1} \varphi^*$  is a continuous solution of equation  $(L)$  in  $(a, b)$ . Finally, by Lemma 1, we obtain that  $\Phi$  is a continuous solution of equation  $(L^p)$  in  $(a, b)$ .

In the sequel we assume the inequality

$$(IX'_G) \quad 0 < \lambda_0 [f'(b)]^{-r} < 1,$$

where  $\lambda_0$  is defined in hypothesis  $(IX_G)$ .

We aim at proving the following

**THEOREM 3<sub>G</sub><sup>r</sup>.** *If hypotheses (I), (II<sub>G</sub>), (III<sub>G</sub>), (IX'<sub>G</sub>) are fulfilled, and if hypotheses (IV), (VI), (VII) are fulfilled for the function  $g$  defined by (3), then there exists a  $C^r$ -solution of system (1) in  $(a, b)$ .*

**Proof.** From the accepted hypotheses it follows that the assumptions of Theorem 3<sub>G</sub> are fulfilled. In particular, from  $(IX'_G)$  we obtain  $(IX_G)$ , because  $0 < f'(b) \leq 1$ . Thus, by Theorem 3<sub>G</sub>, there exists a function  $\Phi$  in  $(a, b)$  which is a  $C^r$ -solution of system (1) and is continuous at the point  $b$ .

Observe that the  $k$ -th derivative of the function  $\Phi$  satisfies the linear equation of  $p$ -th order

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \Phi^{(k)}(f^p(x)) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_i} [x, \Phi(x), \dots, \Phi(f^{p-1}(x))] \left[ \prod_{j=i}^p f'(f^{j-1}(x)) \right]^{-k} \Phi^{(k)}(f^{i-1}(x)) \\ & \quad + F_k [x, \Phi(x), \dots, \Phi(f^{p-1}(x)); \Phi'(x), \dots, \Phi'(f^{p-1}(x)); \dots \\ & \quad \quad \quad \dots; \Phi^{k-1}(x), \dots, \Phi^{(k-1)}(f^{p-1}(x))] \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x \in (a, b)$ .

Now we make use of Lemma 2. For this purpose we have to study characteristic roots of the matrix

$$(20) \quad \mathfrak{N} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc} \mathbf{0} & & & \mathbf{I} \\ \hline \mathfrak{M}_1[f'(b)]^{-kp} & \mathfrak{M}_2[f'(b)]^{-k(p-1)} & \dots & \mathfrak{M}_p[f'(b)]^{-k} \end{array} \right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_j &= \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_j} (b, \mathbf{d}^p), \quad j = 1, \dots, p, \\ \frac{\partial G}{\partial y_j} (b, \mathbf{d}^p) &= \left[ \frac{\partial G_i}{\partial y_{j,v}} (b, \underbrace{\mathbf{d}, \dots, \mathbf{d}}_{mp}) \right], \quad i, v = 1, \dots, m, \end{aligned}$$

$\mathbf{0}$  denotes the  $m(p-1) \times m$  zero matrix,  $\mathbf{I}$  the unit matrix of order  $m(p-1)$ .

We notice that if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of the matrix

$$\mathfrak{P} = \left[ \begin{array}{c|ccc} \mathbf{0} & & & \mathbf{I} \\ \hline \mathfrak{M}_1 & \mathfrak{M}_2, \dots, \mathfrak{M}_p \end{array} \right],$$

then  $\lambda[f'(b)]^{-k}$  is an eigenvalue of matrix (20), and conversely. This fact follows from the equality

$$\det(\mathfrak{P} - \lambda \mathbf{I}) = (f'(b))^{-kpm} \det(\mathfrak{N} - \lambda[f'(b)]^{-k} \mathbf{I}).$$

Writing

$$\lambda_0 [f'(b)]^{-k} = \max_{1 \leq \kappa \leq mp} |\lambda_\kappa| [f'(b)]^{-k},$$

from (IX'<sub>G</sub>) and by hypothesis (I) we obtain the inequality

$$(21) \quad 0 < \lambda_0 [f'(b)]^{-k} < 1.$$

It is easy to observe, by (21), that the numerical system of equations

$$\mathbf{d}_k = \sum_{i=1}^p \mathfrak{M}_i [f'_i(b)]^{-k(p-i+1)} \mathbf{d}_k + \mathbf{c}_k,$$

where  $c_k$  are defined by formulae

$$c_1 = \frac{\partial G}{\partial x}(b, \mathbf{d}^p) [f'(b)]^{-p},$$

$$c_{k+1} = F_k(b, \mathbf{d}^p, \mathbf{d}_1^p, \dots, \mathbf{d}_{k-1}^p), \quad k = 1, \dots, r,$$

has exactly one solution.

Now we assume that

$$\Phi^{(k)}(b) = \mathbf{d}_k, \quad k = 1, \dots, r.$$

For  $k = 1$ , by hypotheses (I), (II<sub>G</sub>), by inequality (21), from the fact that  $\Phi \in C^0((a, b))$  and that  $\Phi'$  satisfies equation (19), on account of Lemma 2 we obtain  $\lim_{x \rightarrow b} \Phi'(x) = \mathbf{d}_1$ . In the sequel we assume that for fixed  $k = s-1$  the functions  $\Phi, \Phi', \dots, \Phi^{s-1}$  are continuous in  $(a, b)$ . The function  $\Phi^{(s)}$  satisfies equation (19) for  $k = s$ . From the inductive assumption it follows that the coefficients in equation (19) are continuous functions. Condition (21) is also fulfilled; thus by Lemma 2  $\lim_{x \rightarrow b^-} \Phi^{(s)}(x) = \mathbf{d}_s$ . Consequently the function  $\Phi$  is a  $C^r$ -solution of system (1) in  $(a, b)$ . This completes the proof.

**5. An example.** Consider a linear equation of the form

$$(L^p) \quad \sum_{i=0}^p a_i(x) \Phi(f^i(x)) = F(x).$$

We know (cf. [4], p. 259) that it is possible to reduce the order of equation  $(L^p)$  by the substitution

$$\Psi_{(x)} = \Phi(f(x)) - \Lambda(x) \Phi(x),$$

provided that the function  $\Lambda$  satisfies the equation

$$(N^{p-1}) \quad a_0(x) + \sum_{i=1}^p a_i(x) \prod_{j=0}^{i-1} \Lambda(f^j(x)) = 0.$$

Then, for the function  $\Psi$  we obtain a linear equation of order  $p-1$ .

Equation  $(N^{p-1})$  ( $\Lambda$  is unknown) is of a lower order than  $(L^p)$  but it is not linear. The known theorems do not apply in this case. From theorems proved in the present paper we get some information about equation  $(N^{p-1})$  for complex-valued function of the real variable.

Let the functions  $a_i$  map  $\langle a, b \rangle$  into  $C$ ,  $C$  — the set of complex number,  $a_i \in C^r(\langle a, b \rangle)$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, p$ , and let the function  $f$  fulfil hypothesis (I).

We assume that  $a_p(x) \neq 0$  and  $a_0(x) \neq 0$  for every  $x \in \langle a, b \rangle$ . Then denoting

$$b_i(x) = -\frac{a_i(x)}{a_p(x)}, \quad i = 0, \dots, p-1,$$

we may write equation ( $N^{p-1}$ ) in the form

$$(1^A) \quad \Lambda(f^{p-1}(x)) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \frac{b_i(x)}{\prod_{j=i}^{p-2} \Lambda(f^j(x))} + b_{p-1}(x).$$

On account of Lemma 1, equation ( $1^A$ ) is equivalent to the system

$$(1^\lambda) \quad \lambda(f(x)) = g(x, \lambda(x)),$$

where the known function  $g$  is of the form

$$g: \Omega \ni (x, y_0, \dots, y_{p-2}) \mapsto \left( y_1, \dots, y_{p-2}, \sum_{i=0}^{p-2} \frac{b_i(x)}{\prod_{j=i}^{p-2} y_j} + b_{p-1}(x) \right),$$

$$y_i \in \mathbb{C}, \quad i = 0, \dots, p-2,$$

$$\Omega = \langle a, b \rangle \times E,$$

and

$$E = \{(y_0, \dots, y_{p-2}): y_i \in \mathbb{C}, y_i \neq 0 \text{ for every } i = 0, \dots, p-2\}.$$

Observe that  $\Omega_x = E$ , and

$$\Gamma_x = \{(z_0, \dots, z_{p-2}): z_i \in \mathbb{C}, z_i \neq 0$$

$$\text{for every } i = 0, \dots, p-3, z_{p-2} \neq \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \frac{b_i(x)}{\prod_{j=i-1}^{p-3} z_j} + b_{p-1}(x)\};$$

thus we may write

$$\Gamma_x - \Omega_{f(x)} = u(x, C^{p-2})$$

and

$$\Omega_{f(x)} - \Gamma_x = v(x, B),$$

where the functions  $u$  and  $v$  are defined by

$$u: \langle a, b \rangle \times C^{p-2} \ni (x, y_0, \dots, y_{p-3}) \mapsto (y_0, \dots, y_{p-3}, 0) \in C^{p-1},$$

$$v: \langle a, b \rangle \times B \ni (x, y_0, \dots, y_{p-3}) \mapsto \left( y_0, \dots, y_{p-3}, \sum_{i=1}^{p-2} \frac{b_i(x)}{\prod_{j=i-1}^{p-3} y_j} + b_{p-1}(x) \right) \in C^{p-1}$$

and

$$B = \{(y_0, \dots, y_{p-3}): y_i \in \mathbb{C}, y_i \neq 0 \text{ for every } i = 0, \dots, p-3\}.$$

In this case,  $q = 2p - 3$ ,  $N = 2p - 2$  and, in fact,  $q < N$  ( $q, N$  from (IV)). Moreover,  $u$  and  $v$  are of required regularity.

Hypothesis (V) is fulfilled, as well, because the condition  $g(x_0, \eta) = \eta$ ,  $\eta = (\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{p-2})$  is now equivalent to the condition

$$\sum_{i=0}^p a_i(x_0) \eta_0^i = 0.$$

Thus from Theorem 2 it follows that there exists a function  $\Lambda$  of class  $C^r$  which satisfies equation  $(N^{p-1})$  in  $(a, b)$ . (In fact, there exist infinitely many such functions.)

Consequently there exists a  $C^r$ -solution of equation  $(N^{p-1})$  in  $(a, b)$ .

One can easily verify that hypothesis (VI) is also fulfilled. Further, since the functions  $a_i$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, p$ , are continuous and since the roots of an equation of  $p$ -th order depend continuously upon the coefficients of the equation (cf. [8], Theorem 76, p. 211–218), it follows that hypothesis (VII) is fulfilled too.

Suppose, moreover, that the roots of the equation

$$(\omega) \quad \det \left( \frac{\partial g}{\partial y} (b, d) - \omega I \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} \left( \sum_{i=0}^j a_i(b) d^i \right) \omega^j = 0$$

are less in absolute value than 1; here  $d$  satisfies the equation  $g(b, d) = d$ , i.e.  $\sum_{i=0}^p a_i(b) d^i = 0$ . Then, on account of Theorem 3<sub>G</sub>, there exists a  $C^r$ -solution of equation  $(N^{p-1})$  in  $(a, b)$  such that  $\lim_{x \rightarrow b-} \Lambda(x) = d$ .

Finally, if we accept the inequality  $x \rightarrow b-$

$$0 < \omega_0 [f'(b)]^{-r} < 1,$$

where  $\omega_0 = \max_{1 \leq \kappa \leq p-1} |\omega_\kappa|$ ,  $\omega_\kappa$  are the roots of equation  $(\omega)$ , then we may apply Theorem 3<sub>G</sub> to equation  $(N^{p-1})$  thus obtaining the existence of a  $C^r$ -solution of this equation in the interval  $(a, b)$ .

#### References

- [1] Z. Kominek, *Some theorems on differentiable solutions of a system of functional equations of  $n$ -th order*, Ann. Polon. Math. 37 (1980), p. 71–91.
- [2] J. Kordylewski, *On continuous solutions of systems of functional equations*, ibidem 25 (1971), p. 53–83.
- [3] Z. Krzeszowiak-Dybiec, *Existence of differentiable solutions of a system of functional equations of first order*, this volume, p. 119–129.
- [4] M. Kuczma, *Functional equations in a single variable*, Monografie Matematyczne 46, PWN, Warszawa 1968.

- [5] K. Maurin, *Analiza, Część II, Wstęp do analizy globalnej*, Biblioteka Matematyczna 41, PWN, Warszawa 1971 (in Polish).
- [6] J. W. Milnor, *Topology from the differentiable viewpoint*, PWN, Warszawa 1969, (Polish translation).
- [7] A. Ostrowski, *Solution of equations and systems of equations*, Acad. Press, New York 1966.
- [8] W. Sierpiński, *Analiza, t. I, Część I, Liczby rzeczywiste i zespolone*, 2-nd ed. Warszawa 1923 (in Polish).

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 15. 5. 1976*

---