

## On the extension of integrable solutions of a functional equation of $n$ -th order\*

by ROMAN WĘGRZYK (Katowice)

**Abstract.** Some theorems concerning the extension of integrable solutions  $\varphi$  of functional equation (1) are given, under suitable hypotheses on the given functions  $h$  and  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ .

In this paper we study the problem of extending integrable solutions  $\varphi$  of the functional equation of  $n$ -th order

$$(1) \quad \varphi(x) = h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]),$$

where  $h$  and  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are given functions.

Integrable solutions of this equation have been investigated by Matkowski [5]; see also [4].

We start with a particular case of Baron's theorem [1], in which the following assumption plays an important role.

(i)  $f_i: I \rightarrow I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $I$  is an arbitrary set; moreover, for a certain subset  $I_0$  of  $I$  and for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we have  $f_i(I_0) \subset I_0$ ; and for every  $x \in I$  there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that

$$f_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_k}(x) \in I_0 \quad \text{for every } i_1, \dots, i_k = 1, \dots, n.$$

**THEOREM 1** (Baron [1]). *Let  $I$ ,  $Y$  and  $I_0 \subset I$  be arbitrary sets and  $h: I \times Y^n \rightarrow Y$  and  $f_i: I \rightarrow I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , arbitrary functions. If assumption (i) is fulfilled, then for every solution  $\varphi_0: I_0 \rightarrow Y$  of equation (1) there exists exactly one solution  $\varphi: I \rightarrow Y$  of (1) such that*

$$(2) \quad \varphi(x) = \varphi_0(x) \quad \text{for } x \in I_0.$$

Since in the sequel we shall appeal to the method of the proof of this theorem, it seems reasonable to reproduce it here.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** We define the following sequence of sets

$$(3) \quad I_{k+1} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n f_i^{-1}(I_k), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

---

\* This paper won the third prize at the Marcinkiewicz competition of the Polish Mathematical Society for the best student's research work.

As a direct consequence of the above definition we get

$$(4) \quad f_i(I_{k+1}) \subset I_k, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

It follows from (i) that  $I_0 \subset f_i^{-1}(I_0)$  for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $I_0 \subset I_1$  in view of (3). By induction

$$(5) \quad I_k \subset I_{k+1}; \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Moreover,

$$(6) \quad I = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} I_k$$

in virtue of hypothesis (i).

Now we define a sequence of functions  $\{\varphi_k\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $\varphi_k: I_k \rightarrow Y$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , by the formula

$$(7) \quad \varphi_{k+1}(x) = h(x, \varphi_k[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi_k[f_n(x)]), \quad x \in I_{k+1}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where  $\varphi_0: I_0 \rightarrow Y$  is given solution of equation (1). It follows from (4) that this definition is correct. Recalling (5) and (6), we may define a function  $\varphi: I \rightarrow Y$  by

$$(8) \quad \varphi(x) := \varphi_k(x), \quad x \in I_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

It is clear, in view of (7) and (8), that  $\varphi$  fulfils equation (1) in  $I$  and that it yields the unique extension of  $\varphi_0$  to a solution of (1) in the whole of  $I$ .

In the sequel we denote by  $L^p[I]$  the set of all functions which are Lebesgue integrable with  $p$ -th power on a measurable set  $I \subset R$ ,  $0 < p < \infty$ . Moreover, by  $\mathcal{L}[I]$  we denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of all Lebesgue measurable subsets of the set  $I \subset R$ .

Regarding the functions  $h$  and  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , we assume that

(ii) The function  $h$  maps  $I \times R^n$  into  $R$ , where  $I \in \mathcal{L}[R]$ ; for every fixed  $(y_1, \dots, y_n) \in R^n$ , the function  $h(\cdot, y_1, \dots, y_n): I \rightarrow R$  is measurable, and for almost every  $x \in I$  and for every  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , the function  $h(x, y_1, \dots, y_{i-1}, \cdot, y_{i+1}, \dots, y_n): R \rightarrow R$  is a continuous function, the variables  $y_j \in R$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $j \neq i$ , being fixed arbitrarily.

(iii) For every  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $A \in \mathcal{L}[I]$ ,  $f_i^{-1}(A) \in \mathcal{L}[I]$ .

Let us also quote the following

LEMMA 1 (Carathéodory [2]; see also [6]). Suppose that the function  $h$  fulfils hypothesis (ii). If  $g_i: I \rightarrow R$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are measurable functions, then the function  $H: I \rightarrow I$  defined by

$$H(x) = h(x, g_1(x), \dots, g_n(x)), \quad x \in I,$$

is measurable.

Now we shall prove

**THEOREM 2.** Suppose that the functions  $f_i: I \rightarrow I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $h: I \times R^n \rightarrow R$ , where  $I$  is a set, are given. If (i) holds with an  $I_0 \subset I$ , and if  $\varphi_0: I_0 \rightarrow R$  is a solution of equation (1), then

(a) there exists exactly one solution  $\varphi: I \rightarrow R$  of (1) such that condition (2) is satisfied;

(b) moreover, if hypotheses (ii) and (iii) are fulfilled and  $\varphi_0$  is a measurable function, then  $\varphi$  is also measurable;

(c) finally, if there exists a function  $g \in L^p[I]$  such that

$$|h(x, y_1, \dots, y_n)| \leq g(x), \quad (x, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in I \times R^n,$$

then every measurable solution  $\varphi: I \rightarrow R$  of equation (1) belongs to the class  $L^p[I]$ .

**Proof.** Assertion (a) is an immediate consequence of Theorem 1. For the proof of (b), let us observe that the functions  $\varphi_k: I_k \rightarrow R$  defined by formula (7) are measurable, on account of Lemma 1, and we have

$$\varphi^{-1}([b, \infty)) = \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} \varphi_k^{-1}([b, \infty)) \quad \text{for every } b \in R.$$

Statement (c) follows from the inequality

$$\int_I |\varphi(x)|^p dx = \int_I |h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)])|^p dx \leq \int_I [g(x)]^p dx.$$

Let  $I \subset R$  be an interval and let  $\xi \in \bar{I}$ , where  $\bar{I}$  is the closure of  $I$  in  $\bar{R} = [-\infty, +\infty]$ . We shall denote by  $S_\xi^n[I]$  (cf. [3], p. 20) the class of all functions  $f: I \rightarrow R$  which have a continuous  $n$ -th derivative and fulfil the condition

$$(9) \quad 0 < \frac{f(x) - \xi}{x - \xi} < 1 \quad \text{for } x \in I \setminus \{\xi\}.$$

For  $\xi = +\infty$  or  $\xi = -\infty$  condition (9) has to be replaced by  $f(x) > x$  for  $x \in I$ , resp.  $f(x) < x$  for  $x \in I$ .

Further, we denote by  $R_\xi^n[I]$  the class of all functions  $f \in S_\xi^n[I]$  which are strictly increasing.

Now we want to give a condition which guarantees that (i) holds.

**LEMMA 2.** If  $f_i \in S_0^0[I]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $I = [0, a)$  or  $I = [0, a]$  with  $a$  finite and  $I_0 = [0, a_0)$ ,  $0 < a_0 < a \leq \infty$ , then (i) holds.

**Proof** (cf. Remark 2 in [1]). It is enough to prove only the second part of condition (i). To this end let us define a function  $F: I \rightarrow R$  by the formula

$$(10) \quad F(x) = \max_{0 \leq t \leq x} \left[ \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{f_i(t)\} \right], \quad x \in I.$$

It is easy to see that  $F \in S_0^0[I]$  and so, by Theorem 0.4 from [3], for every  $x \in I$  there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that

$$(11) \quad F^k(x) \in I_0,$$

where  $F^k$  denotes the  $k$ -th iterate of the function  $F$ .

Moreover, by induction, we have for every positive integer  $k$  and for every  $i_1, \dots, i_k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$

$$0 \leq f_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ f_{i_k}(x) \leq F^k(x), \quad x \in I,$$

which in view of (11) ends the proof.

In the sequel we shall assume that:

(iv)  $f_i \in R_0^1[I]$ , where  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  is an open interval, and the derivatives  $f_i'$  are positive almost everywhere in  $I$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ;

(v) There exists a measurable function  $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|h(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) - h(x, 0, \dots, 0)| \leq g(x) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n |y_i|$$

for  $(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in I \times \mathbb{R}^n$ ;

(vi)  $h(\cdot, 0, \dots, 0) \in L^p[I]$  for a certain  $p \in (0, +\infty)$ .

Write

$$(12) \quad M = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \operatorname{ess\,sup}_I \frac{[g(x)]^p}{f_i'(x)}.$$

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $I = [0, a]$  and  $I_0 = [0, a_0]$ , where  $0 < a_0 < a < \infty$ , and suppose that functions  $f_i \in S_0^0[I]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $h: I \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are given and fulfil hypotheses (ii)–(vi). If the constant  $M$  defined by (12) is finite and the function  $\varphi_0: I_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a solution of equation (1) such that  $\varphi_0 \in L^p[I]$ , then there exists exactly one solution  $\varphi: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of (1) such that (2) holds; moreover,  $\varphi \in L^p[I]$ .

*Proof.* The existence of a unique solution  $\varphi$  of equation (1) and its measurability follow Theorem 2, assertions (a) and (b), in view of Lemma 2.

Therefore it remains to prove that the integral of  $|\varphi|^p$  on  $I$  is finite. To this end let us observe that the sets  $I_k$  defined by formula (3) are intervals having zero as the left endpoint; and since  $a \in I$ , it follows by (6) that there exists a positive integer  $k_0$  such that  $I = I_{k_0}$ .

Hence it is enough to prove that

$$(13) \quad \int_{I_k} |\varphi(x)|^p dx < \infty, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

In the proof of this fact we shall use the obvious inequality

$$(14) \quad \left( \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \right)^p \leq \left( n \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} b_i \right)^p \leq n^p \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^p, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

where  $b_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , are arbitrary non-negative real numbers.

For  $k = 0$  inequality (13) is true by (2),  $\varphi_0$  being integrable. Suppose that (13) holds for a certain  $k \geq 0$ . It follows from (1) and (14) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_{k+1}} |\varphi(x)|^p dx &= \int_{I_{k+1}} |h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)])|^p dx \\ &= \int_{I_{k+1}} |h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]) - h(x, 0, \dots, 0) + \\ &\quad + h(x, 0, \dots, 0)|^p dx \\ &\leq 2^p \int_{I_{k+1}} |h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]) - h(x, 0, \dots, 0)|^p dx + \\ &\quad + 2^p \int_{I_{k+1}} |h(x, 0, \dots, 0)|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, according to (vi), it suffices to prove that

$$(15) \quad \int_{I_{k+1}} |h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]) - h(x, 0, \dots, 0)|^p dx < \infty.$$

Now, using accordingly hypothesis (v), inequality (14) with appropriately chosen  $b_i$ , a change of variables in the integral, hypothesis (iv), relations (12) and (4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{I_{k+1}} |h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]) - h(x, 0, \dots, 0)|^p dx \\ &\leq \int_{I_{k+1}} |g(x)|^p \cdot \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n |\varphi[f_i(x)]|^p \right] dx \leq n^p \cdot \int_{I_{k+1}} |g(x)|^p \sum_{i=1}^n |\varphi[f_i(x)]|^p dx \\ &= n^p \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{I_{k+1}} |g(x)|^p \cdot |\varphi[f_i(x)]|^p dx \\ &= n^p \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{I_{k+1}} \frac{[g(x)]^p}{f_i'(x)} \cdot |\varphi[f_i(x)]|^p \cdot f_i'(x) dx \\ &= n^p \cdot M \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{f_i(I_{k+1})} |\varphi(x)|^p dx \leq n^p \cdot M \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{I_k} |\varphi(x)|^p dx, \end{aligned}$$

which after taking into account the induction hypothesis, gives (15).

We have also the following

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $I = [0, a)$  and  $I_0 = [0, a_0)$ , where  $0 < a_0 < a \leq \infty$ , and suppose that functions  $f_i \in S_0^0[I]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $h: I \times R^n \rightarrow R$  are given and fulfil hypotheses (ii)–(vi), the constant  $M$  in (12) being finite. If

$$(16) \quad b := \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \sup_{x \in I} f_i(x) < a,$$

and  $\varphi_0 \in L^p[I_0]$  is a solution of equation (1), then there exists exactly one solution  $\varphi: I \rightarrow R$  of (1) which fulfils (2); moreover,  $\varphi \in L^p[I]$ .

Proof. Let us observe that by (16) we have  $I = I_{k_0}$  for some positive integer  $k_0$ , and we can just repeat the argument of the proof of Theorem 3.

In the sequel we shall use the following

LEMMA 3. If  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a sequence of non-negative real numbers which fulfils

$$(17) \quad b_{k+1} \leq s \cdot b_k + c, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $s \in (0, 1)$ ,  $c \in R$ , then the sequence  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is bounded.

Proof. By induction the following inequality is true

$$b_{k+1} \leq s^k \cdot b_1 + c \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s^j, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and hence the assertion.

Now we define a function  $\alpha: (0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, 1]$  by the formula

$$(18) \quad \alpha(p) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } p \in (0, 1], \\ 1/p & \text{for } p \in (1, \infty). \end{cases}$$

A certain property of this function is expressed by the following

LEMMA 4. Let  $X \in \mathcal{L}[R]$ . If  $f$  and  $g$  are measurable real functions, defined on the set  $X$ , then

$$(19) \quad \left[ \int_X |f(x) + g(x)|^p dx \right]^{\alpha(p)} \leq \left[ \int_X |f(x)|^p dx \right]^{\alpha(p)} + \left[ \int_X |g(x)|^p dx \right]^{\alpha(p)}, \quad p \in (0, \infty).$$

Proof. For  $p \in (0, 1]$  inequality (19) follows immediately from the inequality

$$|f(x) + g(x)|^p \leq |f(x)|^p + |g(x)|^p, \quad x \in X,$$

in view of (18); and for  $p \in (1, \infty)$ , (19) is simply Minkowski's inequality.

Our last theorem reads as follows.

THEOREM 5. Let  $I = [0, a)$  and  $I_0 = [0, a_0)$ , where  $0 < a_0 < a \leq \infty$ , and suppose that functions  $f_i \in S_0^0[I]$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , and  $h: I \times R^n \rightarrow R$  are given and fulfil hypotheses (ii)–(vi), the constant  $M$  given by (12) being finite. If there exists a number  $b \in (0, a)$  such that

$$(20) \quad s := \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in [b, a)} \left[ \frac{[g(x)]^p}{f_i(x)} \right]^{\alpha(p)} < 1,$$

where  $\alpha$  is the function defined by (18), and if  $\varphi_0 \in L^p[I_0]$  is a solution of equation (1), then there exists exactly one solution  $\varphi: I \rightarrow R$  of (1) which fulfils (2); moreover,  $\varphi \in L^p[I]$ .

**Proof.** The existence and the measurability of  $\varphi$  results from Theorem 2, assertion (b), in view of hypotheses (ii) and (iii). Thus it remains to prove that  $\int_I |\varphi(x)|^p dx < \infty$ . Since the sets  $I_k$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , are intervals having zero as the left endpoints, we infer from (6) that there exists a positive integer  $k_0$  such that  $[0, b] \subset I_{k_0}$ . Moreover, recalling the proof of Theorem 3, we have

$$(21) \quad \varphi|_{I_k} \in L^p[I_k], \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

We introduce the following notation:

$$(22) \quad K = \int_{I_{k_0}} |\varphi(x)|^p dx < \infty,$$

$$(23) \quad U_k = I_{k_0+k} \setminus I_{k_0}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and

$$(24) \quad b_k = \left[ \int_{U_k} |\varphi(x)|^p dx \right]^{\alpha(p)}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $\alpha$  is the function defined by (18).

It follows from (24), (23), (21) and (6) that for the proof of the integrability of  $|\varphi|^p$  it suffices to show that the sequence  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is bounded. This can be achieved with use of Lemma 3. Namely, we shall show that (17) holds with  $s$  defined by (20) and a number  $c$  which will be defined later on.

Using (24), (1) and Lemma 4 we have for every  $k = 1, 2, \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= \left[ \int_{U_k} |\varphi(x)|^p dx \right]^{\alpha(p)} \\ &= \left\{ \int_{U_k} |h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]) - h(x, 0, \dots, 0) + h(x, 0, \dots, 0)|^p dx \right\}^{\alpha(p)} \\ &\leq \left\{ \int_{U_k} |h(x, \varphi[f_1(x)], \dots, \varphi[f_n(x)]) - h(x, 0, \dots, 0)|^p dx \right\}^{\alpha(p)} + \\ &\quad + \left[ \int_{U_k} |h(x, 0, \dots, 0)|^p dx \right]^{\alpha(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$(25) \quad K_1 = \left[ \int_I |h(x, 0, \dots, 0)|^p dx \right]^{\alpha(p)}.$$

By hypothesis (vi) the number  $K_1$  is finite. Using hypothesis (v), notation (25), Lemma 4, hypothesis (iv), relation (20), a change of variables in the integral, definition (25) and inclusion (4) accordingly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_k - K_1 &\leq \left\{ \int_{U_k} \left[ g(x) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n |\varphi[f_i(x)]| \right]^p dx \right\}^{\alpha(p)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \int_{U_k} \frac{[g(x)]^p}{f_i'(x)} \cdot |\varphi[f_i(x)]|^p \cdot f_i'(x) dx \right\}^{\alpha(p)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{x \in (b,a)} \left\{ \frac{[g(x)]^p}{f'_i(x)} \right\}^{\alpha(p)} \cdot \left\{ \int_{U_k} |\varphi[f_i(x)]|^p \cdot f'_i(x) dx \right\}^{\alpha(p)} \\ &\leq s \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \int_{f_i(U_k)} |\varphi(x)|^p dx \right\}^{\alpha(p)} \leq s \cdot \left[ \int_{U_{k-1} \cup I_{k_0}} |\varphi(x)|^p dx \right]^{\alpha(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account (22), (24) and the fact that  $\alpha(p) \leq 1$ , we hence get

$$b_k - K_1 \leq s \cdot (K + b_{k-1}^{1/\alpha(p)})^{\alpha(p)} \leq s \cdot (K^{\alpha(p)} + b_{k-1}) = s \cdot b_{k-1} + s \cdot K^{\alpha(p)}.$$

This shows that the sequence  $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  fulfils (17) with

$$c = s \cdot K^{\alpha(p)} + K_1,$$

which ends the proof.

**Remark.** The choice of zero as the common fixed point of the functions  $f_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , as well as the fact that it is the left endpoint of the intervals considered, is not essential.

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to express his thanks to Professor M. Kuczma, Dr. K. Baron and Dr. J. Matkowski for their valuable remarks and help in the assertion of this paper.

#### References

- [1] K. Baron, *On extending of solutions of a functional equation*, Aeq. Math. 13 (1975), p. 285–288.
- [2] C. Carathéodory, *Vorlesungen über reelle Funktionen*, Leipzig–Berlin 1927.
- [3] M. Kuczma, *Functional equations in a single variable*, Monografie Mat. 46, Warszawa 1968.
- [4] —, *On integrable solutions of a functional equation*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. 19 (1971), p. 593–596.
- [5] J. Matkowski, *Integrable solutions of functional equations*, Dissertationes Math. 127, Warszawa 1975.
- [6] I. V. Shragin, *Measurability conditions of a superposition*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 197 (1971), p. 295–298 (in Russian).

Reçu par la Rédaction le 8. 7. 1978