

## On the selector problems for the partitions of Polish spaces and for the compact-valued mappings

by K. KURATOWSKI (Warszawa)

**Abstract.** It is shown that under suitable assumptions on the partition there exists a selector with properties of some interest in Topology, or in the Measure Theory or in the Descriptive Set Theory.

The last section contains a very simple proof of the selection-theorem of Ryll-Nardzewski and the author for the case when the set-valued mappings are supposed compact-valued and the  $\sigma$ -lattice  $\mathcal{S}$ , under consideration, is a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

**1. Introduction. Terminology.** There are essentially two types of problems concerning selections. The first (which corresponds to the axiom of choice in its classical form) consists in the following. Given a partition  $\mathcal{Q}$  of a topological space  $X$  into closed non-empty (disjoint) subsets, one has to define a selector for  $\mathcal{Q}$ , i.e. a set  $S$  such that  $S \cap E$  is a singleton for each  $E \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

In the second type of problems (corresponding to the general principle of choice) we have given a set-valued mapping  $F: X \rightarrow 2^X$  and we are looking for a choice function (called also a selector for  $F$ )  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $f(x) \in F(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ .

We will use the following terminology (see also section 4).

Given a family  $\mathcal{L}$  of subsets of  $X$ , we will say that  $\mathcal{Q}$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -partition if

$$(1) \quad \left\{ \bigcup_{E \in \mathcal{Q}} E \cap G \neq \emptyset \right\} \in \mathcal{L} \quad \text{for each open } G \subset X,$$

and we say that the set-valued mapping  $F$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -mapping (see [6], p. 273, and [5]), if

$$(2) \quad \{x: F(x) \cap G \neq \emptyset\} \in \mathcal{L} \quad \text{for each open } G \subset Y.$$

Accordingly, given a point-valued mapping  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  (case where  $F(x)$  is a singleton for each  $x$ ), we say that  $f$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -mapping if

$$(3) \quad f^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{L} \quad \text{for each open } G \subset Y.$$

In what follows (except in section 5), we shall assume that  $X = Y$  is a *Polish space* (i.e. complete metric and separable) and that  $L$  is a  $\sigma$ -*algebra* of subsets of  $X$  (i.e.  $X \in L$  and  $L$  is closed under the operations of subtraction and of countable unions and intersections).

Under these assumptions (and in fact, under some slighter assumptions on  $L$ ) the following statement has been shown (in [7], see also [8] and [9]).

**THEOREM A.** *Every set-valued  $L^-$ -mapping  $F: X \rightarrow 2^Y$  admits a selector  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  which is an  $L$ -mapping.*

As seen, this is a statement on selectors of the second type. The corresponding statement of the first type — which we are going to prove here — is the

**THEOREM B.** *Under the same assumptions on  $X$  (here  $X = Y$ ) and on  $L$  and assuming, moreover, that  $L$  contains all open subsets of  $X$ , every  $L^-$ -partition  $Q$  admits a selector which is a member of  $L$ .*

The following notation states the relation of Theorem B to A.

Denote by  $P$  the projection of  $X$  onto  $Q$  defined by the condition

$$x \in P(x) \in Q.$$

We have the following equivalence

(4)  $Q$  is an  $L^-$ -partition iff  $P$  is an  $L^-$ -mapping.

Because, as easily seen,

$$(5) \quad \left\{ \bigcup_{E \in Q} : E \cap Z \neq \emptyset \right\} = \{x: P(x) \cap Z \neq \emptyset\},$$

whatever is  $Z \subset X$ .

One sees also easily that if  $f$  is a selector for  $P$  and  $f$  is constant on every  $E \in Q$ , then the set  $f(X)$  is a selector for  $Q$ .

Consequently, the proof of Theorem B reduces to proving the two following propositions.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $P$  is an  $L^-$ -mapping, then  $P$  admits a selector  $f$  which is an  $L$ -mapping and is constant on each  $E \in Q$ .*

**PROPOSITION 2.**  $f(X) \in L$ .

**2. Proof of Proposition 1.** Restart the proof given in [7], p. 399.

$X$  being assumed separable, let  $R = (r_1, r_2, \dots)$  be a countable set dense in  $X$ . We may suppose, of course, that the diameter of  $X$  is less than 1.

We shall define  $f: X \rightarrow R$  as the limit of mappings  $f_n: X \rightarrow R$  satisfying the following conditions

(i)<sub>n</sub>  $f_n$  is an  $L$ -mapping,

- (ii)<sub>n</sub>  $f_n$  is constant on each  $E \in \mathcal{Q}$ ,
- (iii)<sub>n</sub>  $\varrho[f_n(x), P(x)] < 1/2^n$ ,
- (iv)<sub>n</sub>  $|f_n(x) - f_{n-1}(x)| < 1/2^{n-1}$  for  $n > 0$ .

We proceed by induction. Put  $f_0(x) = r_1$  for each  $x \in X$ . Thus conditions (i)<sub>0</sub>–(iii)<sub>0</sub> are fulfilled.

Let us assume, for a given  $n > 0$ , that  $f_{n-1}$  satisfies conditions (i)<sub>n-1</sub>–(iii)<sub>n-1</sub>.

Denote  $G_i^n$  the open ball  $\{x: |x - r_i| < 1/2^n\}$  and put

$$(6) \quad C_i^n = \{x: P(x) \cap G_i^n \neq \emptyset\},$$

$$(7) \quad D_i^n = f_{n-1}^{-1}(G_i^{n-1}),$$

$$(8) \quad A_i^n = C_i^n \cap D_i^n.$$

Since  $\mathcal{Q}$  is an  $L^-$ -partition, so  $P$  is an  $L^-$ -mapping (see (4)) and hence (by (2))  $C_i^n \in L$ . Since  $f_{n-1}$  is an  $L$ -mapping (by (i)<sub>n-1</sub>), we have  $D_i^n \in L$ . Therefore

$$(9) \quad A_i^n \in L.$$

We shall show that

$$(10) \quad X = A_1^n \cup A_2^n \cup \dots$$

Let  $x \in X$ . By (iii)<sub>n-1</sub> there is  $y \in P(x)$  such that

$$|y - f_{n-1}(x)| < 1/2^{n-1}.$$

Since  $R$  is dense in  $X$ , there is  $i$  such that

$$|r_i - y| < 1/2^n \quad \text{and} \quad |r_i - y| + |y - f_{n-1}(x)| < 1/2^{n-1}.$$

It follows that  $x \in A_i^n$ . Hence (10) is true.

Now put

$$B_1^n = A_1^n \quad \text{and} \quad B_i^n = A_i^n - (A_1^n \cup \dots \cup A_{i-1}^n) \quad \text{for } i > 1.$$

By (10) and (9) we have

$$(11) \quad X = B_1^n \cup B_2^n \cup \dots, \quad B_i^n \cap B_{i'}^n = \emptyset \quad \text{for } i \neq i', \text{ and } B_i^n \in L.$$

Let us define  $f_n(x)$  for  $x \in X$  as follows

$$(12) \quad f_n(x) = r_i \quad \text{if } x \in B_i^n, \quad \text{i.e.} \quad f_n^{-1}(r_i) = B_i^n.$$

We have to show that  $f_n$  satisfies conditions (i)<sub>n</sub>–(iv)<sub>n</sub>.

Since  $R$  is countable we have by (12),  $f_n^{-1}(Z) \in L$  for each  $Z \subset R$ . In particular  $f_n^{-1}(G) \in L$  for  $G$  open in  $X$ ; hence (i)<sub>n</sub> is fulfilled.

In order to show (ii)<sub>n</sub>, denote by  $L^*$  the family of those  $Z \in L$  which are unions of some members of  $\mathcal{Q}$ . Obviously  $L^*$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra (con-

taining  $X$ ) and condition (ii)<sub>n</sub> means that

$$(13)_n \quad f_n^{-1}(r_i) \in L^* \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots$$

So we may assume that (13)<sub>n-1</sub> is true. It follows at once that  $D_i^n \in L^*$ . We have also  $C_i^n \in L^*$ ; for suppose that  $x \in C_i^n$ , i.e.  $P(x) \cap G_i^n \neq \emptyset$ , and let  $x' \in P(x)$ . Then  $P(x') = P(x)$  and hence  $P(x') \cap G_i^n \neq \emptyset$ , which means that  $x' \in C_i^n$ . Thus  $P(x) \subset C_i^n$ . Hence  $C_i^n \in L^*$ , and since  $D_i^n \in L^*$ , it follows by (8) that  $A_i^n \in L^*$  and consequently  $B_i^n \in L^*$ . This implies (13)<sub>n</sub> by (12).

To show (iii)<sub>n</sub> and (iv)<sub>n</sub>, put  $x \in B_i^n$ . Then  $f_n(x) = r_i$  and by (8)

$x \in C_i^n$ , i.e.,  $\rho(r_i, P(x)) < 1/2^n$ , and  $x \in D_i^n$ , i.e.,  $|f_{n-1}(x) - r_i| < 1/2^{n-1}$ , and our conclusion follows.

By (iv)<sub>n</sub> and by the completeness of the space  $X$ , the sequence  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  converges uniformly to a mapping  $f: X \rightarrow X$ . Since the limit of a uniformly convergent  $L$ -mappings is an  $L$ -mapping (see e.g. [7], p. 398, lemma), it follows by (i)<sub>n</sub> that  $f$  is an  $L$ -mapping.

It follows also from (ii)<sub>n</sub> that  $f$  is constant on each  $E \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

Finally (iii)<sub>n</sub> implies that  $f(x) \in P(x)$ , i.e. that  $f$  is a selector for  $P$ .

### 3. Proof of Proposition 2.

LEMMA. Assume that the space  $X$  contains a countable open base and that the  $\sigma$ -lattice  $L$  (i.e. closed under countable unions) contains all open subsets of  $X$ . Let  $f: X \rightarrow X$  be an  $L$ -mapping and put

$$(14) \quad I = \{x: f(x) = x\}.$$

Then  $I \in (-L)$ , i.e.  $(X - I) \in L$ .

Proof. Let  $G_1, G_2, \dots$  be an open base of  $X$ . Obviously

$$(x = y) \equiv \bigvee_n: (x \in G_n \Rightarrow y \in \bar{G}_n) \quad \text{for each } x, y \in X.$$

Hence

$$(x = f(x)) \equiv \bigvee_n: [(x \in G_n) \vee (f(x) \in \bar{G}_n)],$$

and therefore, by (14), we have

$$I = \bigcap_n [(X - G_n) \cup f^{-1}(\bar{G}_n)].$$

By assumption the sets  $X - G_n$  and  $f^{-1}(\bar{G}_n)$  belong to  $(-L)$ . Hence so does  $I$ .

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

It remains to show that  $f(X) = I$ , where the mapping  $f: X \rightarrow X$  is assumed to be constant on each  $E \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

So let  $y \in f(X)$ . Put  $y = f(x_0)$  and  $x_0 \in E$ . Since  $f$  is a selector for  $P$ , we have  $f(x_0) \in P(x_0)$ , i.e.  $y \in E$ , and since  $f$  is constant on  $E$ , it follows that  $f(y) = f(x_0)$ , i.e.  $f(y) = y$ , and thus  $y \in I$ .

#### 4. Particular cases. Remarks.

1. Theorem B can be applied to the cases where  $L$  denotes the families: of Borel sets, of sets having the Baire property, of measurable sets. In the last case, Theorem B reads as follows: *every measurable partition admits a measurable selector.*

2. In the definitions of  $L^-$ -partitions and  $L^-$ -mappings we have referred to open sets  $G$ . Symmetrically  $Q$  is said an  $L^+$ -partition if

$$(1') \quad \left\{ \bigcup_{E \in Q} E \cap K \neq \emptyset \right\} \in L \quad \text{for each closed } K \subset X,$$

and  $F$  is an  $L^+$ -mapping if ([6], p. 273):

$$(2') \quad \{x: F(x) \cap K \neq \emptyset\} \in L \quad \text{for each closed } K \subset Y.$$

For point-valued mappings  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  condition (3) and condition

$$(3') \quad f^{-1}(K) \in L \quad \text{for each closed } K \subset Y$$

are obviously equivalent (thus, if  $L = -L$ , it is legitimate to call  $f$  briefly an  $L$ -mapping if (3), or equivalently (3'), is fulfilled).

3. Let us note that our terminology for partitions and set-valued mappings agrees with the usual one in case of semi-continuity, case when  $L$  represents the family (not an algebra!) of open, respectively closed sets (see e.g. [5], p. 185).

#### 5. Compact-valued mappings and partitions into compact subsets.

In this section  $X$  denotes an arbitrary metric separable space and  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  the space of all compact subsets of  $X$ , endowed with the Vietoris topology.

First, let us note that,  $L$  being supposed a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $F$  compact-valued, conditions (2) and (2') are equivalent ([6], p. 274, Corollary 5') and, moreover (ibidem Theorem 4), they are equivalent to the condition of  $F$  being an  $L$ -mapping (that means that  $F^{-1}(G) \in L$  for each  $G$  open in  $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ ).

Consequently, in what follows we may write briefly  $L$ -mapping instead of writing  $L^+$  (or  $L^-$ ) mapping.

The following theorem has been deduced from Theorem A (see [7], p. 400).

**THEOREM C.** *For each Polish space  $X$  there is a choice-function  $f: 2^X \rightarrow X$  of the first Baire class (which means that  $f(K) \in K$  for each closed  $K \subset X$  and the set  $f^{-1}(G)$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set for each open  $G \subset 2^X$ ).*

Here we are going to give a very simple proof of that statement for the case where  $2^X$  is replaced by  $\mathcal{C}(X)$  (the space  $X$  being supposed arbitrary metric separable).

Since each metric separable space can be embedded in the Hilbert cube,  $H$ , the proof reduces to the case  $X = H$ .

So let  $g: I \rightarrow H$  be a continuous mapping of the closed interval  $I$  onto  $H$ . Then the inverse mapping  $g^{-1}: H \rightarrow 2^I$  is upper semi-continuous (see e.g. [5], p. 57), hence of the first class ([5], p. 70).

Next denote by  $h(A)$  the first point of  $A$  for  $A \in 2^I$ . Obviously the function  $h: 2^I \rightarrow I$  is continuous (see [5], p. 49).

It remains to put

$$f = g \circ h \circ g^{-1}.$$

Now we shall deduce from Theorem C, thus modified, both Theorems A and B, always under the assumptions that  $Y$  is metric separable,  $\mathcal{L}$  a  $\sigma$ -algebra,  $F: X \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(Y)$  an  $\mathcal{L}$ -mapping, and  $\mathcal{Q}$  an  $\mathcal{L}$ -partition of the metric separable space  $X$  into compact sets.

**Proof of Theorem A.** Let, the mapping  $e: \mathcal{C}(Y) \rightarrow Y$ , be a choice-function (i.e.  $e(A) \in A$  for  $A \in \mathcal{C}(Y)$ ) of the first class. Then  $f = e \circ F$  is a selector for  $F$  and  $f$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -mapping.

The fact that  $f(x) \in F(x)$  is obvious. It remains to show (3). Let  $G$  be open in  $Y$ . We have

$$f^{-1}(G) = F^{-1}[e^{-1}(G)];$$

since  $e$  is of the first class, the set  $e^{-1}(G)$  is  $F_\sigma$  in  $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ . So let

$$e^{-1}(G) = K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \dots, \quad \text{where } K_i \text{ is closed in } \mathcal{C}(Y).$$

Since  $F$  is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -mapping, the set  $F^{-1}(H)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{L}$  for each  $H$  open in  $\mathcal{C}(Y)$ , and so does  $F^{-1}(K_i)$  (because  $\mathcal{L}$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra). Consequently

$$F^{-1}(K_1 \cup K_2 \cup \dots) = [F^{-1}(K_1) \cup F^{-1}(K_2) \cup \dots] \in \mathcal{L}.$$

**Proof of Theorem B.** We have to show the Propositions 1 and 2.

1. As shown, the mapping  $f = e \circ P: X \rightarrow X$  is a selector for  $P$  and is an  $\mathcal{L}$ -mapping. Moreover,  $f$  is constant on each  $E \in \mathcal{Q}$ , because

$$x' \in P(x) \Rightarrow P(x') = P(x).$$

2. The proof of Proposition 2 given in Section 3 remains unchanged.

The author is indebted to Professor A. Maitra for helpful remarks and conversations.

#### References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *Topologie Générale*, Chap. 9, Hermann, Paris 1958.
- [2] M. Čoban, *Multivalued mappings and Borel sets* (Russian), Trudy Mosk. Mat. Soc. 22 (1970), p. 229–250.
- [3] R. Engelking, *Selectors of the first Baire class for semicontinuous set-valued functions*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. 16 (1968), p. 277–282.
- [4] C. J. Himmelberg, *Measurable relations*, Fund. Math. (in print).

- [5] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, vol. I, Academic Press-PWN, 1966.
- [6] — *A general approach to the theory of set-valued mappings*, Proceed. third Prague topological symposium, 1971, p. 271–280.
- [7] — and C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *A general theorem on selectors*, Bull. Pol. Acad. Sci. 13 (1965), p. 397.
- [8] A. Maitra and B. V. Rao, *Selection theorems and the reduction principle* (preprint).
- [9] T. Parthasarathy, *Selection theorems and their applications*, Lecture Notes 263, Springer, 1972.
- [10] C. Ryll-Nardzewski, *On Borel measurability of orbits*, Fund. Math. 56 (1964), p. 129–130.

*Reçu par la Rédaction le 7. 12. 1973*

